Exhibit C

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 SECTION 6103 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 6 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 9 COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY 18 Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 GROUNDWATER CASES 19 CLASS ACTION Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District 20 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Court of California, County of Los 21 Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; 22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District LOS ANGELES COUNTY No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior 23 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40's Court of California, County of Kern, Case OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR No. S-1500-CV-254-348; ADMISSIONS, SET ONE 24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. 26 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 27 28

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 9:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 12:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 13:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 14:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 15:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 16:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 17:

process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 18:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 19:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 20:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 21:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 22:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

2.1

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 23:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 24:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 25:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 26:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 27:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 28:

process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 29:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 30:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 31:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 32:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 33:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUTE 1500 IRVINE. CALIFORNIA 92614

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 34:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 35:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 36:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 37:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 38:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 39:

process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 40:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 41:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 42:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 43:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 44:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 45:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 46:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 47:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 48:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 49:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 50:

process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 51:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 52:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 53:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 54:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 55:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 56:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 57:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 58:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 59:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

22 //

24 //

26 //

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 60:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

Dated: June 26, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Ву

JEFFREY V. DUNN STEFANIE D. HEDLUND Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT

NO. 40

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

ORANGE\37295.1

LAW OFFICES OF BESTBEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE I 500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 9261 4

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On June 26, 2007, I served the within document(s):

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, SET ONE

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.		
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.		
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.		
I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
above is true an	declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the d correct.		
I	Executed on June 26, 2007, at Irvine, California.		
	Kony v. Keefe Kerry v. Keefe		
ORANGE\KKEEFE\242	201.1		

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA ELECTRONIC FILING - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG 1 c/o Glotrans 2915 McClure Street Oakland, CA94609 2 TEL: (510) 208-4775 FAX: (510) 465-7348 EMAIL: Info@Glotrans.com 3 4 5 6

E-FILED Jun 26, 2007 3:56 PM

KIRI TORRE

Chief Executive Officer Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara Case #1-05-CV-049053 Filing #G-3795 By SC E-Filing Administrator, Deput

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

ı	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)) Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases
vs.	Plaintiff,) Lead Case No.1-05-CV-049053
	vo.)) Judge Jack Komar
l	Defendant.) PD005 05 050000
AND RELATED ACTIONS		PROOF OF SERVICE Description Description Description PROOF OF SERVICE Description PROOF OF SERVICE Description PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2915 McClure Street, Oakland, CA 94609.

The documents described on page 2 of this Electronic Proof of Service were submitted via the worldwide web on Tue. June 26, 2007 at 3:56 PM PDT and served by electronic mail notification.

I have reviewed the Court's Order Concerning Electronic Filing and Service of Pleading Documents and am readily familiar with the contents of said Order. Under the terms of said Order, I certify the above-described document's electronic service in the following manner:

The document was electronically filed on the Court's website, http://www.scefiling.org, on Tue. June 26, 2007 at 3:56 PM PDT

Upon approval of the document by the Court, an electronic mail message was transmitted to all parties on the electronic service list maintained for this case. The message identified the document and provided instructions for accessing the document on the worldwide web.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 26, 2007 at Oakland, California.

Dated: June 26, 2007

For WWW.SCEFILING.ORG

Andy Jamieson

21

20

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

1	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG
2	Electronic Proof of Service Page 2
	Document(s) submitted by Jeffrey Dunn of Best Best & Krieger LLP on Tue. June 26, 2007 at 3:56 PM PDT
3	1. Other: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40's Objections to Requests For Admissions, Set One
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	