SmithTrager LLP EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES Susan M. Trager, Esq. (SBN 58497) Francis D. Logan, Jr., Esq. (SBN 163049) UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE COMEDINATED COPY 2 Summer L. Nastich, Esq. (SBN 229985) OF ORIGINAL FILED 3 Los Angeles Superior Court Laurel E. Adcock, Esq. (SBN 234201) 19712 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 120 DEC 3 0 2008 Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 752-8971 5 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk Facsimile: (949) 863-9804 BY SHAUNYA WESLEY, Deputy 6 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant 7 Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 隔下。 (X 10 11 Coordination Proceeding Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) 12 For Filing Purposes Only: ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUND WATER 13 Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053 CASES 14 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar, Department 17 Included actions: 15 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 16 PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al., SERVICES DISTRICT'S CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY, INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF INCLUDING A PHYSICAL 17 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325201 18 SOLUTION AGAINST ALL PARTIES Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 19 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-20 1500-CV-254-348 21 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of 22 Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster 23 Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water 24 District Riverside County Superior Court, 25 Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436 and RIC 344668 26 27 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS 28 -1-PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S CROSS-COMPLAINT

1 2	PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT,
3	Cross-Complainant,
4	vs.
5	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
6	COMPANY; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; LITTLEROCK CREEK
7	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT;
8	QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
9	DISTRICT NO. 40; PALMDALE WATER
10	DISTRICT; CITY OF LOS ANGELES; COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 14;
11	COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT NO. 20; DESERT LAKES COMMUNITY
12	SERVICES DISTRICT; BORON
13	COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT;
14	ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST-KERN WATER AGENCY; REBECCA LEE
15	WILLIS AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CERTIFIED WILLIS CLASS; MR.
16	RICHARD A. WOOD AS
17	REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CERTIFIED WOODS CLASS; DIAMOND FARMING
18	COMPANY; BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES,) INC.; WILLIAM BOLTHOUSE FARMS,
19	INC.; CRYSTAL ORGANIC FARMS LLC; A.V. UNITED MUTUAL GROUP;
20	BRITTON ASSOCIATES, LLP; BUJULIAN
21	BROTHERS, INC.; BUSHNELL ENTERPRISES, LLC; CAMERON
22	PROPERTIES, INC.; COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA GENERAL
23	PARTNERSHIP; DEL SUR RANCH, LLC;
24	GATEWAY TRIANGLE PROPERTIES;) HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC.; HIGH
25	DESERT INVESTMENTS, LLC; LANDINV, INC.; MIDDLE BUTTE MINE, INC.;
26	MOUNTAIN BROOK RANCH, LLC; NORTHROP GRUMAN CORPORATION;
27	PALMDALE HILLS PROPERTY LLC; SPC {
28	DEL SUR RANCH, LLC;

		١
1	SERVICE ROCK PRODUCTS	5
2	CORPORATION; SORRENTO WEST)
2	PROPERTIES, INC.; TEJON RANCHORP;	{
3	THE THREE ARKLIN LIMITED	5
	LIABILITY COMPANY; TRIPLE M PROPERTY F.K.A. 3M PROPERTY	į
4	INVESTMENT CO; U.S. BORAX, INC.;	{
5	WAGAS LAND COMPANY LLC;	Ś
	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUND WATER	Ź
6	AGREEMENT ASSOCIATION; ENXCO	{
7	DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION; B.J.	{
	CALANDRI; JOHN CALANDRI; JOHN)
8	CALANDRI AS TRUSTEE OF THE JOHN	{
9	AND B.J. CALANDRI 2001 TRUST;	<i>)</i>
,	FORREST G. GODDE; FORREST G.)
10	GODDE AS TRUSTEE OF THE FORREST G. GODDE TRUST; LAWRENCE A.	{
11	GODDE ROST, LA WRENCE A.	Ś
11	GODDE, ENVIRENCE AL GODDE AND	į
12	PROPERTIES, INC.; GAILEN KYLE;	Ì
13	GAILEN KYLE AS TRUSTEE OF THE)
13	KYLE TRUST; JAMES W. KYLE; JAMES)
14	W. KYLE AS TRUSTEE OF THE KYLE	ì
س ,	FAMILY TRUST; JULIA KYLE; WANTA	Ś
15	E. KYLE; EUGENE B. NEBEKER; R AND)
16	M RANCH, INC.; EDGAR C. RITTER; PAULA E. RITTER; PAULA E. RITTER AS)
	TRUSTEE OF THE RITTER FAMILY)
17	TRUST; HINES FAMILY TRUST;)
18	MALLOY FAMILY PARTNERS;	}
,	CONSOLIDATED ROCK PRODUCTS;)
19	CALMAT LAND COMPANY;)
20	MARYGRACE H. SANTORO AS)
٠٠	TRUSTEE FOR THE MARYGRACE H.)
21	SANTORO REV. TRUST; MARYGRACE	
22	H. SANTORO; HELEN STATHATOS;)
24	SAVAS STATHATOS; SAVAS STATHATOS AS TRUSTEE FOR THE)
23	STATHATOS AS TRUSTED FOR THE STATHATOS FAMILY TRUST; DENNIS L.)	1
24	AND MARJORIE E. GROVEN TRUST;	į
24	SCOTT S. AND KAY B. HARTER; HABOD ?	į
25	JAVADI; EUGENE V., BEVERLY A. AND {	,
26	PAUL S. KINDIG; PAUL S. AND SHARON	ţ
20	R. KINDIG; JOSE MARITORENA LIVING)	
27	TRUST; RICHARD H. MINER; JEFFERY L.)	!
20	AND NANCEE J. SIEBERT; BARRY S.	1
28	MUNZ; TERRY A. MUNZ AND (KATHLEEN M. MUNZ; BEVERLY)	
	KATTEBER W. WORL, DEVERUI	

TOBIAS; LEO L. SIMI; WHITE FENCE FARMS MUTUAL WATER CO. NO. 3; WILLIAM R. BARNES AND ELDORA M. BARNES FAMILY TRUST OF 1989; DEL SUR RANCH LLC; HEALY ENTERPRISES, INC.; JOHN AND ADRIENNE RECA; SAHARA NURSERY; SAL AND CONNIE L. CARDILE; GENE T. BAHLMAN; THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; AND AGAINST EACH AND EVERY PARTY WHO SUBSEQUENTLY FILES A CROSS-COMPLAINT; AND DOES 100,001 THROUGH 200,000, INCLUSIVE,

Cross-Defendants.

Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("Phelan") complains against all parties to this action as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This cross-complaint seeks to expand the scope of the pending judicial determination of groundwater rights within the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin ("Basin"). As a public water supplier pumping water from the Basin, cross-complainant Phelan seeks to align itself with the public water suppliers who are already parties to this litigation in obtaining a comprehensive adjudication of the Basin with a physical solution. In addition, Phelan seeks to add the following to the issues to be adjudicated: (a) the right of Phelan to export water pumped from the Basin out of the Basin for beneficial use; and (b) the right of Phelan to capture return flows, including but not limited to water discharged by Phelan and its customers outside the Basin that then flows into the Basin.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 526 and 1060, this Court has jurisdiction over this action.
- 3. Pursuant to the Coordination Order issued by the Judicial Council, venue before this Court is proper.

///

PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

- 4. Phelan is a community services district located in western San Bernardino County.

 Phelan is organized under the Community Services District Law (Government Code section 61000, et seq.). The San Bernardino County Local Agency Formation Commission confirmed the order of reorganization and issued the certificate of completion for Phelan in March of 2008. Phelan's official date of inception is on or about March 18, 2008.
- 5. Phelan is the successor to all water and capacity rights and interests of County Service Area 70 Improvement Zone L ("CSA 70 IZ L") and the successor to the priorities of use and rights of use of water and capacity rights in any public improvements and facilities and any other property, whether real or personal, to which CAS 70 IZ L was entitled as of the date of reorganization.
- 6. Phelan is authorized to exercise the following functions and services within its service area: (a) water -- supplying water for any beneficial use pursuant to the Municipal Water District Law of 1911; (b) streetlighting and landscaping -- acquiring, constructing, improving, maintaining and operating streetlighting and landscaping on public property, public right-of-way, and public easements; and (c) recreation and parks -- acquiring, constructing, improving, maintaining and operating recreation facilities in the same manner as a recreation and park district formed pursuant to the Recreation and Park District Law.
- 7. Phelan serves approximately 21,000 residents of the unincorporated communities of Phelan and Piñon Hills in a 128-square-mile area of western San Bernardino County bordering Los Angeles County and furnishes water to parks, recreational areas and landscaped public spaces within its service area. Phelan is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that some portion of the water it uses and provides is not consumptively used and percolates to the aquifer beneath Phelan's service area.
- 8. The communities of Phelan and Piñon Hills and the unincorporated areas within Phelan lack central sewer collection and treatment systems, and therefore rely entirely on septic systems and leach fields for wastewater treatment. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that inflows to leach fields percolate into the aquifer beneath Phelan's service area.

9. Phelan is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that some portion of the water discharged to the aquifer beneath Phelan after use, including but not limited to irrigation water, septic discharge, fire flows and other non-consumptive uses (collectively "return flows") migrate into the Basin.

WELL 14

- 10. One of Phelan's principal groundwater production wells ("Well 14") is located on that parcel of real property identified as Lot 32 as shown on the licensed surveyors map filed in Book 74, Page 43, Record of Surveys, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County ("the Well 14 property").
- 11. Phelan produces water from Well 14, and beneficially uses the water within the County of San Bernardino, outside of the Basin.
- 12. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that prior to the formation of Phelan the County of San Bernardino pumped water from Well 14, exported the water from the Basin and put the pumped water to beneficial use within San Bernardino County.
- 13. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that, as set forth in the "Revised Order After Hearing on Jurisdictional Boundaries" issued by the Court on March 12, 2007, Well 14 is within the boundaries of the Basin that is subject to adjudication in this action.
- 14. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it holds prescriptive, appropriative and/or other rights to extract water from Well 14, export the water from the Basin, and to put that water to reasonable and beneficial use outside the basin.
- 15. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that its rights to draw water from Well 14 are superior to, or at least coequal with, the rights of others claiming an interest in and/or right to use Basin water both within and outside of the Basin.
- 16. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Basin from which Well 14 draws is currently in overdraft and, thus, the withdrawal of water from the aquifer exceeds the annual safe yield of the Basin.
- 17. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the claims of the parties to this action amount to more than the Basin's safe yield, and that, if the Court grants some or all of

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28 the Prayers for Relief of these parties, Phelan's right and interest in and to water historically and presently drawn from Well 14 could be curtailed.

THE MOJAVE GROUND WATER ADJUDICATION

- 18. With the exception of Well 14, all of Phelan's production wells are located in San Bernardino County. Some of its wells are subject to the provisions of the Judgment entered in City of Barstow, et al., v. City of Adelanto, et al., Riverside County Superior Court Case No. 208568 regarding rights in and to the Mojave Ground Water Basin ("the Mojave Adjudication").
- 19. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Mojave and Antelope Valley Ground Water Basins are non-distinct hydrologically, and that drawing from one is, for all practical and theoretical purposes, drawing from the other.
- 20. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that groundwater flows across the boundary between the Mojave and Antelope Valley Ground Water Basins are not well understood, and that groundwater pumping by parties to this adjudication has the potential to adversely affect the ability of Phelan and other parties to the Mojave Adjudication to exercise their rights to pump groundwater from the Mojave Basin pursuant to the Mojave Adjudication.
- 21. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that some portion of the return flow of the Mojave Adjudication water reasonably and beneficially used by Phelan as a matter of right under the Mojave Adjudication is subsequently reclaimed by means of pumping from Well 14.

CROSS-DEFENDANTS

- 22. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the following persons and/or entities claim some right to the groundwater in the Basin:
- 23. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that California Water Service Company is a California corporation that extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 24. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that City of Lancaster is a municipal corporation located in the County of Los Angeles that produces and receives water for a variety of uses. Phelan is further informed and believes that the City of Lancaster also provides ministerial services to mutual water companies that extract groundwater from the Basin.

- 25. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that City of Palmdale is a municipal corporation in the County of Los Angeles that receives water extracted from the Basin.
- 26. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Littlerock Creek Irrigation District is a special district that extracts groundwater from the Basin for provision to customers within the Basin.
- 27. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Rosamond Community Services District provides water to residents of Kern County.
- 28. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Quartz Hill Water District is a county water district organized and operating under Division 12 of the California Water Code.

 Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Quartz Hill extracts groundwater from the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin for delivery to customers.
- 29. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is a public agency governed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors organized to, among other things, provide water to customers within part of the Basin.
- 30. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Palmdale Water District is an irrigation district organized and operating under Division 11 of the California Water Code.

 Palmdale Water District extracts groundwater from the Basin for delivery to customers.
- 31. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that City of Los Angeles is a municipal corporation that extracts water from the Basin.
- 32. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that County Sanitation District No. 14 operates wastewater treatment facilities within the Basin and claims a right to extract water, and reclaim water, from the Basin.
- 33. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that County Sanitation District No. 20 operates wastewater treatment facilities within the Basin and claims a right to extract water, and reclaim water, from the Basin.
- 34. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Desert Lakes Community Services District is a Community Services District that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts Basin water.

- 35. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Boron Community Services District is a Community Services District within the County of San Bernardino that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts Basin water.
- 36. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Palm Ranch Irrigation District is a special district that extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 37. Phelan is informed and believes that Antelope Valley East-Kern Water Agency is a special district that provides water to users within the Counties of Kern and Los Angeles.
- 38. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that, with certain exclusions and limitations enumerated in the Court's Orders dated September 11, 2007, May 22, 2008 and September 2, 2008, Ms. Rebecca Lee Willis is the representative of members of the certified Willis Class, which consists of private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin but are presently pumping water on their property.
- 39. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that, with certain exclusions and exceptions enumerated in the Court's Order dated September 2, 2008, Mr. Richard A. Wood is the representative of the certified Woods Class, which consists of private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin, and that have been pumping less than 25 acre-feet per year on their property during any year since 1946.
- 40. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Diamond Farming Company is a California corporation conducting agricultural operations within the Basin. Phelan is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Diamond Farming Company extracts water from the Basin.
- 41. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Bolthouse Properties, Inc. is a California corporation that conducts agricultural operations within the Basin. Phelan is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Bolthouse Properties, Inc. extracts water from the Basin.
- 42. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that William Bolthouse

 Properties Farms, Inc. is a corporation that conducts agricultural operations within the Basin. Phelan

///

is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that William Bolthouse Farms, Inc. extracts water from the Basin.

- 43. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Crystal Organic Farms LLC is a corporation that conducts agricultural operations within the Basin. Phelan is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Crystal Organic Farms LLC extracts water from the Basin.
- 44. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that A.V. United Mutual Group claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 45. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Britton Associates, LLP is a limited liability partnership that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 46. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Bujulian Brothers, Inc. is a corporation that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 47. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Bushnell Enterprises, LLC is a limited liability company that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 48. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Cameron Properties, Inc. is a company that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 49. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Copa De Oro Land Company, a California general partnership claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 50. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Del Sur Ranch, LLC is a limited liability company that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 51. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Gateway Triangle Properties claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 52. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Healy Enterprises, Inc. is a corporation that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.

- 63. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that The Three Arklin Limited Liability Company is a company that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 64. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Triple M Property F.K.A. 3M Property Investment Co. claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 65. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that U.S. Borax, Inc. is a corporation that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 66. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that WAGAS Land Company LLC is a limited liability company that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 67. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that enXco Development Corporation is a corporation that claims a right to extract and/or presently extracts water from the Basin.
- 68. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement Association is an aggregate group consisting primarily of large landowners within the Basin that claim a right to extract and/or in fact extract Basin water. Phelan is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that at present this group consists of the following individuals and entities: B.J. Calandri; John Calandri; John Calandri as Trustee of the John and B.J. Calandri 2001 Trust; Forrest G. Godde; Forrest G. Godde as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust; Lawrence A. Godde; Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust; Kootenai Properties, Inc.; Gailen Kyle; Gailen Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Trust; James W. Kyle; James W. Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle; Family Trust; Julia Kyle; Wanta E. Kyle; Eugene B. Nebeker; R and M Ranch, Inc.; Edgar C. Ritter; Paula E. Ritter; Paula E. Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust; Hines Family Trust; Malloy Family Partners; Consolidated Rock Products, Calmat Land Company; Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust; Marygrace H. Santoro; Helen Stathatos; Savas Stathatos; Savas Stathatos as Trustee for the Stathatos Family Trust; Dennis L. and Marjoric E. Groven Trust; Scott S. and Kay B. Harter; Habod Javadi; Eugene V., Beverly A. and Paul S. Kindig; Paul S. and Sharon R. Kindig; Jose Maritorena Living Trust; Richard H. Miner; Jeffery L. and

Nancee J. Siebert; Barry S. Munz; Terry A. Munz and Kathleen M. Munz; Beverly Tobias; Leo L. Simi; White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co. No. 3; William R. Barnes and Eldora M. Barnes Family Trust of 1989; Del Sur Ranch LLC; Healy Enterprises, Inc.; John and Adrienne Reca; Sahara

69. Phelan is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Defendant Does 100,001 through 200,000, inclusive, are the owners, lessees or other persons or entities holding or claiming to hold ownership or possessory interests in real property within the boundaries of the Basin; extract water from the Basin; claim some right, title or interest to water located within the Basin; or that they have or assert claims adverse to Phelan's rights and claims. Phelan is presently unaware of the true names and capacities of the Doe Cross-Defendants, and therefore sue those Cross-Defendants by fictitious names. Phelan will seek leave to amend this Cross-Complaint to add names and capacities

THE UNITED STATES IS A NECESSARY PARTY TO THIS ACTION

- 70. This action to comprehensively adjudicate the rights of all claimants to the use of a source of water located entirely within California, i.e., the Basin, and for the ongoing administration of all
- 71. Phelan is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the United States claims rights to the Basin water subject to adjudication in this action by virtue of owning real property
- 72. For the reasons expressed in this Cross-Complaint, the United States is a necessary party to this action pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. §666.
- 73. Under the McCarran Amendment, the United States, as a necessary party to this action, is deemed to have waived any right to plead that the laws of California are not applicable, or that the United States is not subject to such laws by virtue of its sovereignty.
- 74. Under the McCarran Amendment, the United States, as a necessary party to this action, is

28 ///

///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF - PRESCRIPTIVE RIGHTS

(against all Parties except the United States and Other Public Entities)

75. Phelan incorporates paragraphs 1 through 74 by reference and re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations contained therein as if fully set forth.

76. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that, for at least five years, Phelan and the County of San Bernardino by and through CAS 70 IZ L (the "County"), Phelan's predecessor-in-interest, pumped non-surplus water from the Basin and promptly put that water to reasonable and beneficial uses. Phelan is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the County and Phelan do and did so under a claim of right in an actual, open, notorious, exclusive, continuous, hostile, and adverse manner. Phelan is also informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the parties who would claim an overlying right in the water pumped by Phelan and the County in such a manner had actual and/or constructive notice of the pumping and subsequent use of this water by Phelan and the County sufficient to establish Phelan's prescriptive rights against those parties.

77. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the rights of any party that claims an interest in the water to which Phelan presently possesses prescriptive rights are subordinate to Phelan's prescriptive rights and the general welfare of the residents and customers served by Phelan.

78. An actual controversy exists regarding the existence and priority of Phelan's rights to pump water from within the Basin as well as the priority of the rights of all pumpers. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the parties against which Phelan asserts this Cause of Action dispute Phelan's contentions and allegations as set forth herein.

79. Phelan seeks a judicial determination as to the correctness of its contentions, as well as a finding of its priority and quantity of how much water it, and each party claiming a right to pump, is in fact entitled to pump from the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin.

26 |

///

///

27 | ///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF - APPROPRIATIVE RIGHTS

(against all parties)

- 80. Phelan incorporates paragraphs 1 through 74 by reference and re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations contained therein as if fully set forth.
- 81. Phelan alleges that in addition to prescriptive and other rights as set forth herein, it has an appropriative right to pump water from the Basin.
- 82. Appropriative rights attach to surplus water from the Basin pumped and put to reasonable and beneficial use. Surplus water exists when the Basin safe yield exceeds the volume pumped. Surplus water is that amount that can be extracted without causing a drop in the water table or subsidence.
- 83. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Phelan and the County pumped surplus water from within the Basin and put that water to reasonable and beneficial use.
- 84. There is an actual controversy regarding entitlement to surplus water within the Basin. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the parties herein seeks to prevent Phelan from pumping its surplus water from the Basin.
- 85. Phelan seeks a judicial determination of the Basin's safe yield, a quantification of any surplus water in the Basin, as well as a judicial determination of the rights of each party to the safe yield, as well as each party's overlying, appropriative, and prescriptive right to pump water from the Basin.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- A PHYSICAL SOLUTION

(against all parties)

- 86. Phelan incorporates paragraphs 1 through 85 by reference and re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations contained therein as if fully set forth.
- 87. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the parties to this action, and each of them, claim an interest and/or right in and/or to Basin water as well as a right to increase their pumping of this water. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that, in the

absence of judicial action, these parties will continue to pump water from the Basin and that this pumping exceeds, and/or will exceed, the Basin's safe yield. Phelan is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that this excessive pumping will result in great and irreparable damage and injury, for which money damages would be insufficient compensation, to the inhabitants of both the Antelope Valley and Mojave Ground Water Basins.

- 88. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the amount of water available to Phelan and the residents it serves has been, and will continue to be, reduced because the parties herein have pumped, continue to pump, and will pump significant amounts of water from the Basin. Unless enjoined and restrained by the Court, subsidence and reduction of the groundwater table will worsen, further harming Phelan and those it serves.
- 89. Under California law, the Court may consider fashioning a physical solution to disputes involving water rights. Physical solutions can be fashioned to resolve such disputes in a manner that attempts to satisfy the reasonable and beneficial needs of all parties through practical measures and the augmentation of the native water supply and thereby satisfy the mandate of California Constitution Article X, section 2.
 - 90. An actual controversy exists regarding the terms of a physical solution for the Basin.
- 91. Phelan seeks a judicial determination as to the correctness of its contentions and the amount of water the parties may pump from the Basin and seeks a permanent injunction enforcing the terms of the physical solution.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF - MUNICIPAL PRIORITY

(against all defendants)

- 92. Phelan incorporates paragraphs 1 through 85 by reference and re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations contained therein as if fully set forth.
- 93. Phelan has rights to pump water from the Basin to meet its municipal water demands, and also to take increased amounts of Basin water as necessary to meet future municipal demands. Phelan's rights to Basin water exist both as a result of the priority and extent of its appropriative and prescriptive rights, and as a matter of law and public policy of the State of California: "It is hereby

///

///

declared to be the established policy of this State that the use of water for domestic purposes is the highest use of water and that the next highest use is for irrigation." (Water Code §106.)

- 94. Water Code section 106.5 provides: "It is hereby declared to be the established policy of this State that the right of a municipality to acquire and hold rights to the use of water should be protected to the fullest extent necessary for existing and future uses. . . ."
- 95. Under Water Code section 106 and 106.5, Phelan has a prior and paramount right to Basin water as against all non-municipal uses.
- 96. An actual controversy has arisen between Phelan and cross-defendants. Phelan alleges, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute the contentions of this cross-complaint.
- 97. Phelan seeks a judicial determination as to the correctness of its contentions and to the amount of water the parties may pump from the Basin. Phelan also seeks a declaration of its right to pump water from the Basin to meet its reasonable present and future needs, and that such rights are prior and paramount to the rights, if any, of cross-defendants to use Basin water for irrigation purposes.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF – USE OF STORAGE SPACE (against all parties)

- 98. Phelan incorporates paragraphs 1 through 74 by reference and re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations contained therein as if fully set forth.
- 99. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that there is unused pore space in the soils of the Basin available for storing imported water and return flows ("storage space").
- 100. An actual controversy exists between the parties herein and Phelan with regard to the amount and use of storage space in the Basin. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it has the prior and paramount right to import water into the Basin, to recharge and store imported water in that storage space, to carry over the stored water from one water year to the next, and to pump the stored water at later times. Phelan is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the parties to this action dispute Phelan's allegations and contentions contained herein.