

1 H. Jess Senecal (CSB #026826)  
2 Thomas S. Bunn III (CSB #89502)  
3 LAGERLOF, SENEAL, GOSNEY & KRUSE, LLP  
4 301 N. Lake Avenue, 10th Floor  
Pasadena, CA 91101-4108  
Telephone: (626) 793-9400  
Facsimile: (626) 793-5900

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER  
GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103**

5 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant,  
6 Palmdale Water District  
7

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
9 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT**  
10

11 Coordination Proceeding  
12 Special Title (Rule 1550 (b))

Judicial Council Coordination  
Proceeding No. 4408

13 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER**  
14 **CASES**

[Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar, Judge  
Santa Clara County Superior Court, Dept. 17]

Santa Clara Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053

15 **Public Water Suppliers' Trial Setting**  
16 **Conference Statement**

17 Date: April 17, 2012  
18 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
19 Dept: 316, Central Civil West  
20

21 At the mediation before Justice Robie on April 2 and 3, there was substantial progress toward an  
22 allocation of water rights. Any settlement will be conditioned upon proof of historical pumping of  
23 groundwater from the basin. Even if the case does not settle, historical pumping will be important in  
24 determining the allocation of water rights. The Public Water Suppliers therefore suggest that this  
25 constitute the next phase of trial.

26 If the court agrees, then the parties can meet and confer concerning the types of evidence that  
27 will be sufficient to establish historical pumping (meter records, energy records and pump efficiency  
28 tests, irrigated acreage, etc.), and concerning uniform discovery for the production of such evidence. It is

1 anticipated that the majority of the evidence of pumping will be uncontested and submitted to the court  
2 in the form of a prove-up. If there is any contested evidence, the court would determine the historical  
3 pumping. As trial approaches, the parties should have enough information to prepare a detailed schedule  
4 for taking evidence.

5 The expected length of trial would be two to four weeks, depending on how much evidence is  
6 contested.

7 The Public Water Suppliers would suggest that trial be held beginning September 10, 2012. They  
8 would additionally propose the following dates:

- 9 • July 9, 2012 Expert witness disclosure by proponents of evidence of pumping
- 10 • July 23, 2012 Expert witness disclosure by opponents of such evidence
- 11 • July 30, 2012 Non-expert discovery cutoff
- 12 • August 1, 2012 Motions in limine
- 13 • August 17, 2012 Expert discovery cutoff
- 14 • August 24, 2012 Witness lists, trial briefs, trial schedule (joint if possible)
- 15 • Week of August 27 Final status conference, hearing on motions in limine

16  
17  
18 Dated: April 10, 2012

LAGERLOF, SENEAL, GOSNEY & KRUSE, LLP

19  
20 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Thomas S. Bunn III  
22 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant  
23 Palmdale Water District  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28