1 2 3 4 5	Robert H. Brumfield, III (State Bar No. 1144 bob@brumfield-haganlaw.com BRUMFIELD & HAGAN, LLP A Limited Liability Partnership 2031 F Street Bakersfield, CA 93301 Telephone: (661) 215-4980 Facsimile: (661) 215-4989 Attorneys for Charles Tapia and the Nellie Tartust	
7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
9		
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
11		CLASS ACTION
12	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
13	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los	STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT OF
14	Angeles, Case No. BC 325201	CHARLES TAPIA, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE NELLIE TAPIA
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	FAMILY TRUST
16	Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254348	Date: June 15, 2015 Time: 1:30 p.m.
17	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	Place: Santa Clara County Superior Court (via CourtCall)
18	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.	(Via Coarroan)
19	Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.	
20	RIC 353 840, RIČ 344 436, RIĆ 344 668	
21		J
22	Charles Tapia, individually and as Trustee of the Nellie Tapia Family Trust (hereinafter	
23	"Tapia"), hereby respectfully submits his Status Conference Statement as follows:	
24	Pursuant to a Court directed "Meet and Confer" that the Parties who are the liaisons for	
25	the Settling Parties under the global Stipulation and Settlement that is currently being proposed to	
26	the Court, a fairness hearing of which is set to be heard beginning on August 3, 2015	
27	("Stipulation"), on the one hand, and all non-settling parties, as well as parties who wish to be in	
28	the settlement but have yet to be invited to do, on the other hand.	

28

Such Meet and Confer duly occurred on May 11, 2015. Robert H. Brumfield, III, of Brumfield & Hagan, LLP, on behalf of Tapia, telephonically appeared for the Meet and Confer. At the Meet and Confer, Mr. Brumfield made a further request to be included in the Stipulation. Although the settling parties did not make a final determination at the May 11 hearing, they did represent that another liaison would be appointed to communicate directly to the parties yet in Up to and including the current time, Tapia has never communicated any resistance to be included in the Stipulation, and would not object to terms of the Stipulation. Tapia eagerly awaits As a brief summary, Tapia only became involved in this case as of September 15, 2014, by filing his Motion to Set Aside Default, which was granted. Tapia, through Brumfield & Hagan, made repeated requests to be a part of the Stipulation, to no avail. Thereafter, on or about January 12, 2015, Tapia brought a Demand for Inclusion, asking the Court to make an allowance for Tapia's inclusion in the Stipulation. The Court did not make an order directing inclusion, but Tapia continued to make requests directly with the Settling Parties, but such were denied. Following the Court's directive in suggesting that as many of the non-settling parties, and the non-included parties, work together to be included in the Stipulation, the Meet and Confer commenced. Progress is being made toward a resolution that includes Tapia. Tapia will endeavor to be included, and only awaits final approval from the settling parties. BRUMFIELD & HAGAN, LLP A Limited Liability Partnership Robert H. Brumfield, III Attorneys for Charles Tapia and the Nellie Tapia Family Trust