1 2 3 4 5	Robert H. Brumfield, III (State Bar No. 11446 bob@brumfield-haganlaw.com BRUMFIELD & HAGAN, LLP A Limited Liability Partnership 2031 F Street Bakersfield, CA 93301 Telephone: (661) 215-4980 Facsimile: (661) 215-4989  Attorneys for Mark Ritter, individually and as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust	
7	·	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
11	GROUNDWATER CASES	CLASS ACTION
12	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
13	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201	NOTICE OF ERRATA RE STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT OF MARK RITTER, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE
14 15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case	RITTER, SUCCESSOR TRUSTED OF THE RITTER FAMILY TRUST AND CO- TRUSTEE OF THE MARK S. RITTER AND DANA E. RITTER REVOCABLE TRUST
16	No. S-1500-CV-254348	Date: November 10, 2015
17	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of	Time: 1:00 p.m. Location: Telephonic Appearance
18	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of	
19	California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
20		
21	COMPONION M. L. Pines, individe	
22	COMES NOW Mark Ritter, individually and as Successor Trustee of the Ritter Family	
23	Trust ("Ritter"), and submits the following Notice of Errata re Status Conference Statement:	
24	1. Earlier today, undersigned counsel filed a Status Conference Statement which	
25	indicated that on November 3, 2015, Ritter filed a declaration re water usage that shows over the	
26	past 23 years, Ritter averages pumping 803 acre-feet per year of water from two agricultural wells	
27	that supply water to approximately 150 acres of property on which alfalfa is grown.	

2.

It came to the undersigned's attention that due to inadvertence, the exhibits and all

of the documentary evidence that supports a claim of water usage was actually attached to Ritter's request to be allowed to present evidence in support of water usage, which was filed and bears docket number 10925, and that the declaration referenced in paragraph 1 above had also inadvertently not been filed.

- 3. To correct these inadvertent errors, undersigned counsel finalized Mr. Ritter's declaration which had been drafted this past week, had it signed, and uploaded the declaration regarding water usage with all of the appropriate exhibits attached thereto this afternoon. Apologies are extended to all for this inadvertence.
- 4. It also came to the undersigned's attention that while the Ritter Family Trust formerly held an interest in the real property in question, due to a recent distribution from that trust, the real property is currently owned by Mark and Dana Ritter. Mark and Dana Ritter are in the process of preparing a revocable trust and will transfer the real property in question to that trust when it has been finalized. A notice of appearance on behalf of Dana Ritter will also therefore likely be filed in the near future as well.
- 5. Except for these errata, the statements in the Status Conference Report remain the position of Mr. Ritter and the Ritter Family Trust as do the statements and requests made in the pleading filed as docket number 10925.

Dated: November 9, 2015

BRUMFIELD & HAGAN, LLP A Limited Liability Partnership

By:

Robert H. Brumfield, III

Attorneys for Mark Ritter, individually and as Successor Trustee of the Ritter Family

Trust