GERSHON	IAL CORPORATION
WATSON	'S AT LAW – A PROFESSION
<u> </u> RICHARDS WATSON GERSHON	ATTORNEYS AF LAV
NN N	

	 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation STEVEN R. ORR (136615) BRUCE G. McCARTHY (224804) 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3101 Telephone: (213) 626-8484 Facsimile: (213) 626-0078 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation JAMES L. MARKMAN (43536) One Civic Center Post Office Box 1059 Brea, California 92822-1059 Telephone: (714) 990-0901 Facsimile: (714) 990-6230 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainat CITY OF PALMDALE 	nt
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Judicial Council Coordination
	CASES	Proceeding No. 4408
	Included Actions:	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	(Hon. Jack Komar)
	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California	CITY OF PALMDALE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF LOS
-	County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201	ANGELES COUNTY
	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. BC 325201
		[Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Govt. Code
	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster	§6103]
	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	
	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District	
	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

28

Defendant and Cross-Complainant City of Palmdale ("Palmdale") hereby answers the complaint of Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District") as follows:

1. Answering paragraphs 1 and 2, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

2. Answering paragraph 3, 4, 5 and 6, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of those paragraphs.

3. Answering paragraphs 7, 7(A), 7(B), 7(C) and 7(D), Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.

4. Answering paragraphs 8 and 9, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

5. Answering paragraph 10, Palmdale admits the allegations of the first sentence thereof, and presently lacks information and belief sufficient to enable it to respond to the remaining allegations of that paragraph, and on that basis, denies the remaining allegations thereof.

6. Answering paragraphs 11,12, 13, and 14, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

7. Answering paragraph 15, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of that paragraph.

18 8. Answering paragraph 16, Palmdale admits and alleges, on information and 19 belief, that each defendant has, and is now, pumping, appropriating and diverting water from the natural supply of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin ("Basin"), and/or 20 claims some interest in the Basin water. Palmdale denies for present lack of information 21 and belief that each defendant claims a right to take water or threatens to increase their 22 taking of water without regard to the rights of the other parties. Palmdale admits and 23 alleges on information and belief that (a) the combined extraction of water by some or all 24 of the parties herein exceeds the annual production of water from the Basin, (b) pumping 25 26 reduces Basin water tables and contributes to the deficiency of the Basin water supply as 27 a whole, (c) continued pumping by the parties has and will result in diminution, reduction and impairment of the Basin water supply, and causes land subsidence. Palmdale denies

-2-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

28

for present lack of information and belief the allegation that the District has and will thereby be deprived of its rights to provide water for the public's health, welfare and benefit. Palmdale denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph for present lack of information and belief.

9. Answering paragraphs 17, 18 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 25, 26, 27, and 28, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

10. Answering paragraph 29, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.

11. Answering paragraph 30, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of this paragraph.

12. Answering paragraph 31, Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.

13. Answering paragraphs 32 and 33, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

14. Answering paragraph 34, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.

15. Answering paragraph 35, Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.

16. Answering paragraphs 36, 37, 38, and 39, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

18 17. Answering paragraph 40, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the19 referenced paragraphs.

18. Answering paragraphs 41, 42, 43, and 44, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

22 19. Answering paragraph 45, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the
23 referenced paragraphs.

24 20. Answering paragraphs 46, 47, 48, 49 and 50, Palmdale admits the allegations
25 thereof.

26 21. Answering paragraph 51, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the
27 referenced paragraphs.

22. Answering paragraph 52, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

-3-

1 23. Answering paragraphs 53 and 54, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of 2 3 these paragraphs. 24. Answering paragraphs 55 and 56, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof. 4 25. Answering paragraph 57, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the 5 6 referenced paragraphs. 7 26. Answering paragraphs 58 and 59, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof. 8 27. Answering paragraph 60, Palmdale denies the allegations thereof. 28. Answering paragraphs 61 and 62, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof. 9 29. Answering paragraph 63, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the 10 referenced p paragraphs. 11 30. Answering paragraphs 64, 65, 66, and 67, Palmdale admits the allegations 12 13 thereof. 14 31. Answering paragraph 68, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the 15 referenced paragraphs. 16 32. Answering paragraphs 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, and 74, Palmdale admits the 17 allegations thereof. 18 19 As and for separate and independent affirmative defenses, Palmdale alleges: 20 21 First Affirmative Defense 22 33. In the event of the imposition of a physical solution or some form of 23 declaratory relief, due regard must be given to the prior and paramount nature of 24 Palmdale's overlying rights. 25 Second Affirmative Defense 26 34. The District is not entitled to recover from Palmdale any of the monetary compensation sought by way of the Complaint. 27 28 -4-

P6399-1234\860385v1.doc

City of Palmdale's Answer to Complaint

1	WHEREFORE, Palmdale prays for relief as follows:		
2	1. For an <i>inter se</i> determination as to the priority and amount of Basin water to		
3	which each party is entitled to pump.		
4	2. For a determination of the quantity of the safe yield, the quantity of surplus		
5	water available, the correlative overlying rights of the parties to the safe yield, the rights		
6	inter se among overlying, appropriative and prescriptive pumpers from the Basin.		
7	3. For the imposition of a physical solution.		
8	4. For a declaration of municipal priority.		
9	5. For a determination of rights to store and recapture imported water, including		
10	return flows.		
11	6. For a determination <i>inter se</i> as to reasonable uses of water in the Antelope		
12	Valley.		
13	7. For its costs, including attorney's fees.		
14	8. For declarations, orders and injunctions so as to implement a physical solution		
15	to manage water production in the Basin in order to maximize the beneficial use of that		
16	valuable resource.		
17	9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
18			
19	Dated: December 1, 2005 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON		
20	A Professional Corporation JAMES L. MARKMAN		
21	STEVEN R. ORR BRUCE G. MCCARTHY		
22			
23	Stela		
24	By:		
25	Attorneys for Defendant		
26	CITY OF PALMDALE		
27			
28			
	-5- City of Palmdale's Answer to Complaint		

RICHARDS | WATSON | GERSHON

1		PROOF OF SERVICE
2		I, Kelley Herrington, declare:
3	I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and	
4	not a party to the within action; my business address is Richards, Watson & Gershon, 355 South Grand Avenue, 40 th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071. On December 1, 2005, I served the within documents:	
5		
6		CITY OF PALMDALE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. BC 325201
7		by causing facsimile transmission of the document(s) listed above from (213) 626-
8		5:00 P.M. This transmission was reported as complete and without error. A conv
9		of the transmission report(s), which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, is attached. Service by facsimile has been made pursuant to a
10		prior written agreement between the parties.
11 12		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as
12		set forth below. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service.
13		Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served service is prevented in the ordinary course of business.
15		am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in this affidavit.
16		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-
17		paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to an agent for delivery, or deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by in an envelope or
18		package designated by the express service carrier, with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
19 20		by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
20 21		by causing personal delivery by First Legal Support Services, 1511 West Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90026 of the document(s) listed above to the
22		person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
23	See Attached Service List	
24	above is true :	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the and correct.
25		Executed on December 1, 2005.
26		KALINAINA
27		Kelley Merrington
28		\mathbb{V}
P6399\1	234\809191.1	

1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>		
2	Honorable Jack Komar	Douglas J. Evertz Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 Newport Beach, California 92660-6522 (949) 725-4000 (FAX) (949) 725-4100	
3 4	Santa Clara County Superior Court 191 North First Street, Department 17 San Jose, California 95113		
5	(408) 882-2100		
6		Attorneys for City of Lancaster	
7	Eric Garner	Jeffrey V. Dunn	
8	Best Best & Krieger LLP 3750 University Avenue, Suite 400	Sandra M. Schwarzmann Best Best & Krieger LLP	
9	P.O. Box 1028 Riverside, California 92502-1028 (909) 686-1450	5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 Irvine, California 92614 (949) 263-2600	
10	(FAX) (909) 686-3083	(FAX) (949) 260-0972	
11 12	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	
12	Raymond G. Fortner, Jr.	TT YTT I I I	
13	Frederick W. Pfaeffle Office of County Council	Henry Weinstock Fred Fudacz	
15	County of Los Ángeles 500 West Temple Street	Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Elliott, LLP 445 South Figueroa Street, 31 st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
16	Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1901	(213) 612-7839 (FAX) (213) 612-7801	
17	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Attorneys for Tejon Ranch	
18			
19	Robert H. Joyce LeBeau, Thelen, Lampe, McIntosh & Crear, LLP	Thomas Bunn Lagerlof, Senecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse	
20 21	5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300 P.O. Box 12092	301 North Lake Avenue, 10 th Floor Pasadena, California 91101-4108 (626) 793-9400	
22	Bakersfield, California 93389-2092 (FAX) (661) 325-1127	(FAX) (626) 793-5900	
23	Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz Hill Water District	
24	John Tootle		
25	California Water Service Company 2632 West 237 th Street	Wayne K. Lemieux Lemieux & O'Neill 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201	
26	Torrance, California 90505-5272 (310) 257-1488	Westlake Village, California 91361 (805) 495-4770	
27	(FAX) (310) 325-4605	(FAX) (805) 495-2787	
28	Attorneys for California Water Service Company	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Palm Ranch Irrigation District	

1	Richard Zimmer	John A. Slezak
2	Clifford & Brown	Iverson, Yoakum, Papiano & Hatch
3	1430 Truxton Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, California 93301 (661) 322-6023	624 South Grand Avenue, 27 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017
4	(FAX) (661) 322-3508	(FAX) (213) 629-4562
5	Attorneys for Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. and Bolthouse Properties	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports
6		
7	Michael T. Fife Hatch & Parent	Anne J. Schneider Christopher M. Sanders
8	21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, California 93101	Peter J. Kiel Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P.
9	(805) 963-7000 (FAX) (805) 965-4333	2015 H Street Sacramento, California 95814-3109
10	Attorneys for Eugene B. Nebeker, Robert A.	(916) 447-2166 (FAX) (916) 447-3512
11	Jones, Forrest G. Godde and Steven F. Godde, Gailen W. Kyle and John A. Calandri	Attorneys for County Sanitation Districts
12	collectively known as the Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement Association	Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
13	("AGWA")	
14	Janet K. Goldsmith Eric N. Robinson	B. Richard Marsh
15	Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 th Floor	Daniel V. Hyde Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
16	Sacramento, California 95814-4416 (916) 321-4500	221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012
17	(FAX) (916) 321-4555	(213) 250-1800 (FAX) (213) 250-7900
18	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles	Attorneys for County Sanitation Districts
19		Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
20	Julie A. Conboy Department of Water and Power	Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California
21	111 N. Hope Street, Suite 340 Los Angeles, California 90051-0100	County of Los Angeles County Courthouse
22	(213) 367-4500	111 N. Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90012-3014
23	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles	2007 migeres, Camorina 90012-9014
24	Chair, Judicial Council of California	R. Lee Leininger
25	Administrative Office of the Courts Attn: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial	U.S. Department of Justice Environmental and Natural Resources
26	Services (Civil Case Coordination)	999 18 th Street Suite 945 North Tower
27	455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3688	Denver, Colorado 80202 (303) 312-7300
28		(FAX) (303) 312-7331