1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation STEVEN R. ORR (136615) BRUCE G. McCARTHY (224804) 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3101 Telephone: (213) 626-8484 Facsimile: (213) 626-0078  RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation JAMES L. MARKMAN (43536) One Civic Center Post Office Box 1059 Brea, California 92822-1059 Telephone: (714) 990-0901 Facsimile: (714) 990-6230  Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complain	nant	
11	CITY OF PALMDALE		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
14 15	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408	
16	Included Actions:	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
17 18 19	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201	(Hon. Jack Komar)  CITY OF PALMDALE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 IN KERN COUNTY SUPERIOR	
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of	COURT CASE NO. S-1500-CV-254-348  [Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Govt. Code	
21 22	Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	§6103]	
23	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster		
24	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster		
25 26	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District		
27	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos.		

The City of Palmdale's ("Palmdale") hereby answers the complaint of plaintiff Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District") as follows:

WHEREFORE, Palmdale hereby prays for judgment on the District's complaint as follows:

- 1. Answering paragraphs 1 and 2, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 2. Answering paragraph 3, 4, 5 and 6, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of those paragraphs.
- 3. Answering paragraphs 7, 7(A), 7(B), 7(C) and 7(D), Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.
  - 4. Answering paragraphs 8 and 9, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 5. Answering paragraph 10, Palmdale admits the allegations of the first sentence thereof, and presently lacks information and belief sufficient to enable it to respond to the remaining allegations of that paragraph, and on that basis, denies the remaining allegations thereof.
- 6. Answering paragraphs 11,12, 13, and 14, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 7. Answering paragraph 15, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of that paragraph.
- 8. Answering paragraph 16, Palmdale admits and alleges, on information and belief, that each defendant has, and is now, pumping, appropriating and diverting water from the natural supply of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin ("Basin"), and/or claims some interest in the Basin water. Palmdale denies for present lack of information and belief that each defendant claims a right to take water or threatens to increase their taking of water without regard to the rights of the other parties. Palmdale admits and alleges on information and belief that (a) the combined extraction of water by some or all of the parties herein exceeds the annual production of water from the Basin, (b) pumping reduces Basin water tables and contributes to the deficiency of the Basin water supply as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a whole, (c) continued pumping by the parties has and will result in diminution, reduction and impairment of the Basin water supply, and causes land subsidence. Palmdale denies for present lack of information and belief the allegation that the District has and will thereby be deprived of its rights to provide water for the public's health, welfare and benefit. Palmdale denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph for present lack of information and belief.

- 9. Answering paragraphs 17, 18 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 25, 26, 27, and 28, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 10. Answering paragraph 29, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
- 11. Answering paragraph 30, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of this paragraph.
  - 12. Answering paragraph 31, Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.
  - 13. Answering paragraphs 32 and 33, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 14. Answering paragraph 34, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
  - 15. Answering paragraph 35, Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.
- 16. Answering paragraphs 36, 37, 38, and 39, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 17. Answering paragraph 40, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
- 18. Answering paragraphs 41, 42, 43, and 44, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 19. Answering paragraph 45, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
- 20. Answering paragraphs 46, 47, 48, 49 and 50, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 21. Answering paragraph 51, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
  - 22. Answering paragraph 52, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 23. Answering paragraphs 53 and 54, Palmdale presently lacks sufficient information and belief to enable it to respond, and on that basis, denies the allegations of these paragraphs.
  - 24. Answering paragraphs 55 and 56, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 25. Answering paragraph 57, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
  - 26. Answering paragraphs 58 and 59, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
  - 27. Answering paragraph 60, Palmdale denies the allegations thereof.
  - 28. Answering paragraphs 61 and 62, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 29. Answering paragraph 63, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced p paragraphs.
- 30. Answering paragraphs 64, 65, 66, and 67, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.
- 31. Answering paragraph 68, Palmdale incorporates its responses to the referenced paragraphs.
- 32. Answering paragraphs 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, and 74, Palmdale admits the allegations thereof.

As and for separate and independent affirmative defenses, Palmdale alleges:

## First Affirmative Defense

33. In the event of the imposition of a physical solution or some form of declaratory relief, due regard must be given to the prior and paramount nature of Palmdale's overlying rights.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Second Affirmative Defense

34. The District is not entitled to recover from Palmdale any of the monetary compensation sought by way of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, the City of Palmdale prays for relief as follows:

- 1. For an *inter se* determination as to the priority and amount of Basin water to which each party is entitled to pump.
- 2. For a determination of the quantity of the safe yield, the quantity of surplus water available, the correlative overlying rights of the parties to the safe yield, the rights inter se among overlying, appropriative and prescriptive pumpers from the Basin.
  - 3. For the imposition of a physical solution.
  - 4. For a declaration of municipal priority.
- 5. For a determination of rights to store and recapture imported water, including return flows.
- 6. For a determination *inter se* as to reasonable uses of water in the Antelope Valley.
  - 7. For its costs, including attorney's fees.
- 8. For declarations, orders and injunctions so as to implement a physical solution to manage water production in the Basin in order to maximize the beneficial use of that valuable resource.
  - 9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: December 1, 2005

RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation JAMES L. MARKMAN STEVEN R. ORR BRUCE G. MCCARTHY

By:

STEVEN R. ORR Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF PALMDALE

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kelley Herrington, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Richards, Watson & Gershon, 355 South Grand Avenue, 40<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071. On December 1, 2005, I served the within documents:

CITY OF PALMDALE'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 IN KERN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. S-1500-CV-254-348

- by causing facsimile transmission of the document(s) listed above from (213) 626-0078 to the person(s) and facsimile number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 P.M. This transmission was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the transmission report(s), which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, is attached. Service by facsimile has been made pursuant to a prior written agreement between the parties.

  by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles. California addressed as
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in this affidavit.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a prepaid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to an agent for delivery, or deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by, in an envelope or package designated by the express service carrier, with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- by causing personal delivery by First Legal Support Services, 1511 West Beverly Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90026 of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

See Attached Service List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 1, 2005.

Kelley Herrington

## **SERVICE LIST**

1	SERVICE LIST		
2	Honorable Jack Komar Santa Clara County Superior Court	Douglas J. Evertz Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth	
3	191 North First Street, Department 17 San Jose, California 95113	660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 Newport Beach, California 92660-6522	
5	(408) 882-2100	(949) 725-4000 (FAX) (949) 725-4100	
6		Attorneys for City of Lancaster	
7	Eric Garner	Inffact V. David	
8	Best Best & Krieger LLP 3750 University Avenue, Suite 400	Jeffrey V. Dunn Sandra M. Schwarzmann Best Best & Krieger LLP	
9	P.O. Box 1028 Riverside, California 92502-1028	5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 Irvine, California 92614	
10	(909) 686-1450 (FAX) (909) 686-3083	(949) 263-2600 (FAX) (949) 260-0972	
11	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	
12	Water works District 140. 40	waterworks District No. 40	
13	Raymond G. Fortner, Jr. Frederick W. Pfaeffle	Henry Weinstock Fred Fudacz	
14	Office of County Council County of Los Angeles	Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Elliott, LLP 445 South Figueroa Street, 31st Floor	
15 16	500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1901	Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 612-7839 (FAX) (213) 612-7801	
17 18	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Attorneys for Tejon Ranch	
19	Robert H. Joyce	Thomas Bunn	
20	LeBeau, Thelen, Lampe, McIntosh & Crear, LLP	Lagerlof, Senecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor	
21	5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300 P.O. Box 12092	Pasadena, California 91101-4108 (626) 793-9400	
22	Bakersfield, California 93389-2092 (FAX) (661) 325-1127	(FAX) (626) 793-5900	
23	Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz Hill Water District	
24	John Tootle	Wayne K. Lemieux	
25	California Water Service Company 2632 West 237 <sup>th</sup> Street	Lemieux & O'Neill 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201	
26	Torrance, California 90505-5272 (310) 257-1488 (FAX) (310) 325-4605	Westlake Village, California 91361 (805) 495-4770	
27	(FAX) (310) 325-4605	(FAX) (805) 495-2787	
28	Attorneys for California Water Service Company	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Palm Ranch Irrigation District	

1 2 3 4	Richard Zimmer Clifford & Brown 1430 Truxton Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, California 93301 (661) 322-6023 (FAX) (661) 322-3508	John A. Slezak Iverson, Yoakum, Papiano & Hatch 624 South Grand Avenue, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 (FAX) (213) 629-4562 Attorneys for City of Los Angeles,
5	Attorneys for Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. and Bolthouse Properties	Department of Airports
6 7 8 9	Michael T. Fife Hatch & Parent 21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 (805) 963-7000 (FAX) (805) 965-4333	Anne J. Schneider Christopher M. Sanders Peter J. Kiel Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P. 2015 H Street Sacramento, California 95814-3109 (916) 447-2166
11	Attorneys for Eugene B. Nebeker, Robert A. Jones, Forrest G. Godde and Steven F. Godde, Gailen W. Kyle and John A. Calandri	(FAX) (916) 447-3512
12	collectively known as the Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement Association	Attorneys for County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
13	("AGWA")	
14 15 16 17	Janet K. Goldsmith Eric N. Robinson Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 <sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, California 95814-4416 (916) 321-4500 (FAX) (916) 321-4555	B. Richard Marsh Daniel V. Hyde Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 250-1800 (FAX) (213) 250-7900
18 19	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles	Attorneys for County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
20 21 22	Julie A. Conboy Department of Water and Power 111 N. Hope Street, Suite 340 Los Angeles, California 90051-0100 (213) 367-4500	Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles County Courthouse 111 N. Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90012-3014
23	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles	
25   26   27   28	Chair, Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts Attn: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial Services (Civil Case Coordination) 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3688	R. Lee Leininger U.S. Department of Justice Environmental and Natural Resources 999 18 <sup>th</sup> Street Suite 945 North Tower Denver, Colorado 80202 (303) 312-7300 (FAX) (303) 312-7331