👔 RICHARDS WATSON GERSHON	ATTORNEYS AT LAW – A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
Ξ	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation STEVEN R. ORR (136615) BRUCE G. McCARTHY (224804) 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3101 Telephone: (213) 626-8484 Facsimile: (213) 626-0078 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation JAMES L. MARKMAN (43536) One Civic Center Post Office Box 1059 Brea, California 92822-1059 Telephone: (714) 990-0901 Facsimile: (714) 990-6230 		
10	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant CITY OF PALMDALE		
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
14			
15	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408	
16 17	Included Actions:	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
18 19 20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201	(Hon. Jack Komar) CROSS-COMPLAINT IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. BC325201	
20212223	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	[Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Govt. Code §6103]	
24	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster		
25	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster		
26 27	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District		
28			
	Cross-Com	plaint	

	i 2 3 4 5	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668 City of Palmdale,
		Cross-Complainant,
	6	vs.
	7 8	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40; Palmdale Water District;
	9	Diamond Farming Company; Bolthouse Properties, Inc.; Wm. Bolthouse Farms,
	10	Inc.; City of Lancaster; Littlecreek Irrigation District; Palm Ranch Irrigation
Z z	11	District; Quartz Hill Water District; California Water Service; City of Los
R S H O	12	Angeles; Rosamond Community Services District; B.J. Calandri; John
RICHARDS WATSON GERSHON attorneys at law - a professional corporation	13	Calandri; John Calandri, as Trustee of the John and B.J. Calandri 2001 Trust;
TSON	14	Forrest G. Godde; Forrest G. Godde, as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust;
WA W - A PI	15	Lawrence A. Godde; Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust; Kootenai
ARDS eys at li	16	Properties, Inc.; Gailen Kyle; Gailen Kyle, as Trustee of the Kyle Trust; James
RICH. attorn	17	W. Kyle; James W. Kyle, as Trustee of the Kyle Family Trust; Julia Kyle; Wanda E. Kyle; Eugene B. Nebeker; R
	18	and M Ranch; Edgar C. Ritter; Paula E. Ritter; Paula E. Ritter, as Trustee of the
	19	Ritter Family Trust; and DOES 100,000 through 125,000 inclusive,
	20	Cross-Defendants.
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
·	28	
		2Cross-Complaint
		P6399-1234\862555v1.doc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cross-complainant City of Palmdale hereby cross-complains as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Sections 526 and 1060. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to the coordination order issued by the Judicial Council.

PARTIES

2. The City of Palmdale ("Palmdale") is a municipal corporation located in the County of Los Angeles.

3. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is a public agency which extracts water from and provides water to customers located within the geographic boundaries of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin ("the Basin").

4. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Palmdale WaterDistrict is a public agency which extracts water from and provides water to customerslocated within the geographic boundaries of the Basin.

5. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Diamond Farming Company is a California corporation which conducts agricultural operations within the geographic boundaries of the Basin, and which extracts water from the Basin.

 Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Bolthouse
 Properties, Inc. is a California corporation which conducts agricultural operations within the geographic boundaries of the Basin, and which extracts water from the Basin.

7. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that William Bolthouse
Farms, Inc. is a California corporation which conducts agricultural operations within the
geographic boundaries of the Basin, and which extracts water from the Basin.

-3-

8. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the City of Lancaster is a municipal corporation located within the County of Los Angeles, and within the geographic boundaries of the Basin.

9. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Littlerock Creek Irrigation District is a public agency which provides water to customers located within the geographic boundaries of the Basin and which extracts water from the Basin.

10. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Palm Ranch Irrigation District is a public agency which provides water to customers located within the geographic boundaries of the Basin and which extracts water from the Basin.

11. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Quartz Hill Water District is a public agency which provides water to customers located within the geographic boundaries of the Basin and which extracts water from the Basin.

12. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that California Water Service Company is a California corporation which provides water to customers located within the geographic boundaries of the Basin and which extracts water from the Basin.

13. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the City of Los Angeles is a municipal corporation that owns land overlying the Basin, including the Palmdale Regional Airport, and that the City of Los Angeles extracts water from the Basin.

14. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Rosamond Community Services District is a public agency which provides water to customers located within the geographic boundaries of the Basin and which extracts water from the Basin.

15. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that B.J. Calandri, John
Calandri, John Calandri, as Trustee of the John and B.J. Calandri 2001 Trust, Forrest G.
Godde, Forrest G. Godde, as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust, Lawrence A. Godde,
Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Kootenai Properties, Inc., Gailen Kyle, Gailen
Kyle, as Trustee of the Kyle Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle, as Trustee of the

-4-

Cross-Complaint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kyle Family Trust, Julia Kyle, Wanda E. Kyle, Eugene B. Nebeker, R and M Ranch,
Edgar C. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter, and Paula E. Ritter, as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust
are the owners of or beneficial interest holders in real property located within the
geographical boundaries of the Basin, and who claim an overlying right to extract water
from the basin, whether or not that overlying right has heretofore been exercised.

16. Palmdale is informed and believes and thereon alleges that cross-defendant Does 100,000 through 125,000 are the owners, lessees or other persons or entities holding or claiming to hold ownership or possessory interests in real property located within the boundaries of the Basin, or extract water from the Basin, or claim some right, title or interest to water located within the Basin, or that have or assert claims that are adverse to Palmdale's rights and claims. Palmdale is presently unaware of the true names and capacities of such Doe cross-defendants, and therefore sues those cross-defendants by fictitious names. Palmdale will seek leave to amend this cross-complaint to add such names and capacities when the same are ascertained.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

17. Through these coordinated proceedings, Palmdale and other responsible public entities seek a judicial determination of the rights to produce groundwater within the geographic boundaries of the Basin, which is located in Los Angeles and Kern counties. The Basin is located in the arid Antelope Valley in the Mojave Desert, approximately 50 miles northeast of the City of Los Angeles. The Basin encompasses approximately 940 square miles, and generally includes Palmdale, Lancaster, Rosamond and the Edwards Air Force Base. The Basin is bounded on the south by the San Gabriel Mountains and on the northwest by the Tehachapi Mountains.

18. This groundwater adjudication has become necessary to protect, to conserve
and to supplement the groundwater supply of the Basin, which is increasingly at risk due
to overpumping and a long-term state of overdraft. The Basin's groundwater supply is

-5-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

vital to the health, safety and welfare of the many people residing and working in the many growing communities of the Antelope Valley, including Palmdale. The Basin's supply has been put under stress due to increased production by agricultural operations, such as that of defendants Diamond Farming Company, Bolthouse Properties, Inc. and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, among others, and due to increasing urbanization as the cities of Palmdale and Lancaster build out.

19. Palmdale brings this cross-complaint to promote and to protect the welfare of its residents and businesses, to protect its rights as a landowner to provide water to its public facilities, to protect its residents and businesses against the harmful effects of longterm overdraft, including land subsidence and water quality degradation, and to assure a long-term, safe, reliable, economic and certain supply of water for itself and its residents and businesses.

20. Palmdale is a growing community, both in terms of residential development and new and existing businesses. Palmdale's City Council is responsible for making informed land use decisions that include consideration of whether a safe and reliable supply of water is and will be available to the land owners, development entities, persons and businesses involved and affected by those land use decisions. Palmdale further has an interest in the responsible development of the entire Antelope Valley and the reliability of water supplies necessary to sustain and service that development.

20 21. When reviewing applications for land use approvals, Palmdale is required to comply with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") 22 (Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et seq.). In so doing, Palmdale must consider the 23 availability of water for any proposed project. Thus, if, for example, a proposed project 24 would require an increase in pumping from a given location to serve groundwater to the 25 proposed project which could potentially result in land subsidence or other forms of 26 property damage, Palmdale would be required to disapprove the project or impose certain 27 conditions thereon to mitigate the forecast impact, such as a condition to apply 28 supplemental water to the project, assuming that supplemental water would be available.

-6-

Cross-Complaint

22. For future residential subdivisions of 500 units or more, as the local legislative bodies, Palmdale's Planning Commission and City Council are required by Government Code section 66473.7 to "include as a condition in any tentative map that includes a subdivision a requirement that a sufficient water supply shall be available." A sufficient water supply under the statute means:

"... the total water supplies available during normal, single-dry, and multiple-dry years within a 20-year projection that will meet the projected demand associated with the proposed subdivision, in addition to existing and planned future uses, including, but not limited to, agricultural and industrial uses. In determining 'sufficient water supply,' all of the following factors shall be considered:

(A) The availability of water supplies over a historical record of at least 20 years.

(B) The applicability of an urban water shortage contingency analysis prepared pursuant to Section 10632 of the Water Code that includes actions to be undertaken by the public water system in response to water supply shortages.

(C) The reduction in water supply allocated to a specific water use sector pursuant to a resolution or ordinance adopted, or a contract entered into, by the public water system, as long as that resolution, ordinance, or contract does not conflict with Section 354 of the Water Code."

Further, Palmdale is precluded from approving a project subject to Government Code section 66463.7 unless a reliable water supply for the project over a twenty-year period is certified to be available by the proposed water supplier.

20 23. Palmdale owns land within the geographic boundaries of the Basin, including 21 its Civic Center, an extensive park system and other public properties. As a landowner, 22 Palmdale desires that its overlying right to produce groundwater from the Basin to be 23 applied to its properties be recognized and established and that its priority to do so be 24 adjudicated herein. To the extent that Palmdale does not presently extract groundwater 25 itself for use on its property, Palmdale purchases water from other purveyors, and thus 26 has a responsibility to its taxpayers and residents to assure that there will be stability in 27 cost and certainty in the supply and quality of the water used by people utilizing 28 Palmdale's facilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24. In order to assure a similarly reliable, efficient and certain supply of electricity, Palmdale is presently studying the feasibility of developing an electric power generation facility that would use locally supplied water in the generation of electricity. Palmdale may, as well, consider other public utility projects in the future that would require water, and may seek to expand its system of parks and other public properties for the use and enjoyment of its citizens. The certainty of water supply is an integral factor in Palmdale's ability to implement those public projects.

25. Palmdale is interested in preventing further land subsidence in the Basin which could damage public facilities owned and operated by Palmdale and/or privately owned property which constitute investments made by its residents and businesses.

26. Through the physical solution sought to be imposed through this crosscomplaint, Palmdale seeks to prevent its citizens and businesses from suffering physical harm to their homes and places of work, and to avoid depressed property values and impediments to growth associated with a chronic water shortage and land subsidence. Such uncertainty as to long-term supply and harm from land subsidence could have negative impacts on Palmdale's property tax base and could result in reductions in the sales tax revenues upon which Palmdale bases its budgeting process.

27. Palmdale brings this cross-complaint generally to promote and protect the welfare of its citizens and businesses and to serve the numerous public purposes identified hereinabove.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (DECLARATORY RELIEF AS TO WATER RIGHTS) (AGAINST ALL CROSS-DEFENDANTS)

25 28. Palmdale incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 27 as though set
26 forth in full herein.

27 29. Palmdale is informed and believes, and based upon that information and
28 belief, alleges that the Basin has been overdrafted for more than five consecutive years

-8-

Cross-Complaint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

immediately prior to the commencement of the first filed of these coordinated proceedings, that, during that period of time, total annual demands upon and water consumed from the Basin have exceeded, and do now exceed, the average annual supply of water to the Basin, that, concomitantly, there has been a progressive and general lowering of Basin water levels, the available supply of water contained in the Basin has been and is being gradually and increasingly depleted, and if demands upon the water supplies contained in the Basin are not limited, the Basin will suffer adverse effects including, but not limited to, increased pump lifts, interference with well production, land subsidence, decreased water quality and, eventually, exhaustion of the water supply.

30. Each cross-defendant has pumped, and is now pumping, water from the Basin or purports to represent parties who do so. Palmdale is informed and believes, and based upon that information and belief, alleges that said combined extraction and consumption of water from the Basin by cross-defendants constitutes a substantial portion of the annual production and consumption of water from the Basin, and that each crossdefendant claims a prior and paramount right to continue to produce Basin water and threatens to increase its taking of Basin water without regard to the rights and interests of Palmdale in and to Basin water. Cross-defendants' extractions have contributed and continue to contribute to the lowering of Basin water tables and land subsidence and that extraction of water will continue to contribute to the adverse effects to the Basin alleged herein. Cross-defendants continued and/or increased extraction of Basin water will result in a diminution, reduction and impairment of the Basin water supply and will deprive Palmdale of Basin water to which it is entitled.

31. Palmdale is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that there are conflicting claims of overlying, appropriative and prescriptive water rights to the Basin and/or its water among Palmdale and cross-defendants.

26 32. Palmdale asserts and contends that the right of any cross-defendant to continue to produce water from the Basin and/or to increase its production of water from the Basin

RICHARDS | WATSON | GERSHON ATTORNEYS AT LAW – A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

33. Palmdale is informed and believes, and based upon that information and belief, alleges that an actual controversy has arisen between Palmdale and crossdefendants, and each of them, in that cross-defendants, and each of them, dispute the assertions and contentions of Palmdale set forth herein.

34. Palmdale desires a judicial determination and declaration as to the validity of its assertions and contentions set forth herein, the amount of Basin water to which each cross-defendant is entitled to produce from the Basin and the priority and character of each party's respective rights.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - PHYSICAL SOLUTION) (AGAINST ALL CROSS-DEFENDANTS)

35. Palmdale incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 34 as though set forth in full herein.

36. Palmdale is informed and believes, and on based upon that information and belief, alleges that cross-defendants claim the right to take Basin water in increased amounts without regard to the water rights of Palmdale and the long term health of the Basin, and that unless restrained by order of the Court, cross-defendants will continue to take increasing amounts of Basin water thereby causing irreparable damage and injury to the Basin as a water bearing resource and, concomitantly, to Palmdale and the persons and businesses in Palmdale, which damages and injuries cannot be redressed adequately by the award of money damages.

37. Palmdale is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that due to the
large and increasing amounts of Basin water extracted by cross-defendants, the amount of
Basin water available has been reduced, and that unless and until cross-defendants and
each of them are enjoined and restrained from continuing or increasing such water

-10-

Cross-Complaint

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

production from the Basin, the aforementioned conditions of overdraft will continue and will become more severe and there will occur further depletion of water contained in the Basin as well as increased incidents of land subsidence, thereby endangering public and private property located in Palmdale and elsewhere in the Basin.

38. In order to prevent irreparable injury to the Basin and to Palmdale and the persons to whom water is served therein, it is necessary that the Court, acting pursuant to its equitable prerogatives, determine, impose and retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce a physical solution upon the parties who produce and/or use water produced from the Basin and who import and/or use water imported to the Basin, taking into consideration in doing so any and all water rights of the parties established during trial, the relative legal priorities thereof, priorities established by and through legislative provisions, and all other relevant physical, climatic and equitable factors. The physical solution may include, but not be limited to, injunctive limitations on water produced from, stored in and/or imported into or exported from the Basin, the appointment of a Watermaster to aid the Court in administering the physical solution, administrative monetary assessments to facilitate the implementation of the physical solution and, if indicated, metering of and assessments upon Basin water extractions to pay for the purchase, and delivery of supplemental water to relieve the demand for production of Basin water and curtail the condition of overdraft and provisions administering water sought to be stored in the Basin.

WHEREFORE, Palmdale prays for judgment as against cross-defendants, and
each of them, on this cross-complaint as follows:

1. For an *inter se* determination as to the priority and amount of Basin water to which each party is entitled to pump.

26 2. For a determination of the quantity of the safe yield, the quantity of surplus
 water available, the correlative overlying rights of the parties to the safe yield, and the
 rights *inter se* among overlying, appropriative and prescriptive pumpers from the Basin.

-11-

Cross-Complaint

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

1	3. For the imposition of a physical solution, including declarations, orders and		
2	injunctions necessary to manage water production from the Basin in order to preserve the		
3	Basin as a resource and to supplement Basin supplies in order to maximize the beneficial		
4	use of water used in the Basin.		
5	4. For a declaration of municipal priority.		
6	5. For a determination of rights to store and recapture imported water, including		
7	return flows.		
8	6. For a determination <i>inter se</i> as to reasonable uses of water in the Antelope		
9	Valley.		
10	7. For its costs, including attorney's fees.		
11			
12	8. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.		
13			
14	DATED: December 1, 2005 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation		
15	JAMES L. MARKMAN STEVEN R. ORR		
16	BRUCE G. MCCARTHY		
17	$\leq + \epsilon _{\epsilon}$		
18	By:		
19	STEVEN R. ORR Attorney for Defendant and Cross-Complainant		
20	CITY OF PALMDALE		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-12-		
	P6399-1234\862555v1.doc Cross-Complaint		

RICHARDS | WATSON | GERSHON

1		PROOF OF SERVICE
2		I, Kelley Herrington, declare:
3		I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and
4	not a party to the within action; my business address is Richards, Watson & Gershon, 355 South	
5	within docum	ents:
6		CROSS-COMPLAINT IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. BC325201
7		by causing facsimile transmission of the document(s) listed above from (213) 626-
8		0078 to the person(s) and facsimile number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 P.M. This transmission was reported as complete and without error. A copy
9		of the transmission report(s), which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine, is attached. Service by facsimile has been made pursuant to a prior written agreement between the parties.
10		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon
11	-	fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and
12		processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that
13		same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal
14		cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in this affidavit.
15		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-
16		paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to an agent for delivery, or deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by, in an envelope or
17 18		package designated by the express service carrier, with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
18		by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
20		by causing personal delivery by First Legal Support Services, 1511 West Beverly
21		Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90026 of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
22	See Attached Service List	
23		I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
24	above is true a	ind correct.
25		Executed on December 1, 2005.
26		- Kelley Harington
27		Kelley Herrington
28		
P6399\1	234\809191.1	

SERVI<u>CE LIST</u>

1	<u>SERVI</u>	<u>CE LIST</u>
2 3	Honorable Jack Komar Santa Clara County Superior Court 191 North First Street, Department 17	Douglas J. Evertz Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600
4	San Jose, California 95113 (408) 882-2100	Newport Beach, California 92660-6522 (949) 725-4000
5	· · · · ·	(FAX) (949) 725-4100
6		Attorneys for City of Lancaster
7 8 9	Eric Garner Best Best & Krieger LLP 3750 University Avenue, Suite 400 P.O. Box 1028	Jeffrey V. Dunn Sandra M. Schwarzmann Best Best & Krieger LLP 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500
10	Riverside, California 92502-1028 (909) 686-1450 (FAX) (909) 686-3083	Irvine, California 92614 (949) 263-2600 (FAX) (949) 260-0972
11 12	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
13 14 15	Raymond G. Fortner, Jr. Frederick W. Pfaeffle Office of County Council County of Los Angeles 500 West Temple Street	Henry Weinstock Fred Fudacz Nossaman, Guthner, Knox & Elliott, LLP 445 South Figueroa Street, 31 st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071
16	Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1901	(213) 612-7839 (FAX) (213) 612-7801
17 18	Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Attorneys for Tejon Ranch
19 20	Robert H. Joyce LeBeau, Thelen, Lampe, McIntosh & Crear, LLP 5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300	Thomas Bunn Lagerlof, Senecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse 301 North Lake Avenue, 10 th Floor Pasadena, California 91101-4108
21 22	P.O. Box 12092 Bakersfield, California 93389-2092 (FAX) (661) 325-1127	(626) 793-9400 (FAX) (626) 793-5900
23	Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz Hill Water District
24 25	John Tootle California Water Service Company 2632 West 237 th Street	Wayne K. Lemieux Lemieux & O'Neill 2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201
26 27	Torrance, California 90505-5272 (310) 257-1488 (FAX) (310) 325-4605	Westlake Village, California 91361 (805) 495-4770 (FAX) (805) 495-2787
28	Attorneys for California Water Service Company	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Palm Ranch Irrigation District
	•	

- 2 -

1	Richard Zimmer Clifford & Brown	John A. Slezak Iverson, Yoakum, Papiano & Hatch
2	1430 Truxton Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, California 93301	624 South Grand Avenue, 27 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017
3	(661) 322-6023 (FAX) (661) 322-3508	(FAX) (213) 629-4562
4	Attorneys for Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. and	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles, Department of Airports
5	Bolthouse Properties	Department of Amports
6	Michael T. Fife	Anne J. Schneider
7	Hatch & Parent 21 East Carrillo Street	Christopher M. Sanders Peter J. Kiel
8	Santa Barbara, California 93101 (805) 963-7000	Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P. 2015 H Street
9	(FAX) (805) 965-4333	Sacramento, California 95814-3109 (916) 447-2166
10	Attorneys for Eugene B. Nebeker, Robert A. Jones, Forrest G. Godde and Steven F.	(FAX) (916) 447-3512
11	Godde, Gailen W. Kyle and John A. Calandri collectively known as the Antelope Valley	Attorneys for County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
12	Ground Water Agreement Association ("AGWA")	
13		
14	Janet K. Goldsmith Eric N. Robinson	B. Richard Marsh Daniel V. Hyde
15	Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 th Floor	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200
16	Sacramento, California 95814-4416 (916) 321-4500	Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 250-1800
17	(FAX) (916) 321-4555	(FAX) (213) 250-7900
18	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles	Attorneys for County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
19		
20	Julie A. Conboy Department of Water and Power	Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California
21	111 N. Hope Street, Suite 340 Los Angeles, California 90051-0100	County of Los Angeles County Courthouse
22	(213) 367-4500	111 N. Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90012-3014
23	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles	
24	Chair, Judicial Council of California Administrative Office of the Courts	R. Lee Leininger
25	Administrative Office of the Courts Attn: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial Services	U.S. Department of Justice Environmental and Natural Resources
26	(Civil Case Coordination) 455 Golden Gate Avenue	999 18 th Street Suite 945 North Tower
27	San Francisco, California 94102-3688	Denver, Colorado 80202 (303) 312-7300 (FAX) (202) 212 7221
28		(FAX) (303) 312-7331
ļ		