

1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 SECTION 6103 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 **IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614** TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 4 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Defendants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY 6 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40, ANTELOPE 7 VALLEY 8 (See Next Page For Additional Counsel) 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 11 12 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 13 **GROUNDWATER CASES** Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 14 Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District [Code Civ. Proc., § 382; Cal. Rules 3.764 and 15 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior 3.765] Court of California, County of Los 16 Angeles, Case No. BC 325201: PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND 17 Los Angeles County Waterworks District OR MODIFY SEPTEMBER 11, 2007 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior ORDER CERTIFYING PLAINTIFF 18 Court of California, County of Kern, Case WILLIS CLASS No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 19 Hearing: Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Date: August 11, 2008 20 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Time: 9:00 a.m. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Dept.: 1 21 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. 22 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 23 24 25 26 27 28

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' MOTION TO MODIFY ORDER CERTIFYING PLAINTIFF CLASS

1	
2	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
	RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230
3	COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742
4	PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL
_	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
5	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1951
6	TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337
7	Attorneys for Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
	DISTRICT NO. 40, ANTELOPE VALLEY
8	LUCE EODWADD HAMILTON & CCDIDDCLLD
9	LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP Douglas J. Evertz, Bar No. 123066
	250 Main Street, Suite 600
10	Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 737-3700 (916) 251-5830 fax
11	Attorneys for City of Lancaster
10	Attorneys for City of Lancaster
12	RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON
13	James L. Markman, Bar No. 43536
14	Steven Orr, Bar No. 136615 355 S. Grand Avenue, 40 th Floor
	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101
15	(213) 626-8484 (213) 626-0078 fax Attorneys for City of Palmdale
16	Attorneys for City of Faimdale
1.7	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
17	Wayne Lemieux, Bar No. 43501 2393 Townsgate Road, Ste. 201
18	Westlake Village, CA 91361
19	(805) 495-4770 (805) 495-2787 fax Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and
19	Palm Ranch Irrigation District
20	
21	LAGERLOF SENECAL GOSNEY & KRUSE Thomas Bunn III, Bar No. 89502
	301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor
22	Pasadena, CA 91101-4108 (626) 793-9400 (626) 793-5900 fax
23	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and Quartz
24	Hill Water District
24	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
25	John Tootle, Bar No. 181822
26	2632 West 237 th Street Torrance, CA 90505
i	(310) 257-1488; (310) 325-4605-fax
27	
28	

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO ALL PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 3.764 and 3.765 of the California Rules of Court, on August 11, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Department 1, Room 534 of the above titled court, located at 110 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, defendants California Water Service Company; City of Lancaster; City of Palmdale; Littlerock Creek Irrigation District; Los Angeles County Water Works District No. 40, Antelope Valley; Palmdale Water District; Rosamond Community Services District; Palm Ranch Irrigation District; and Quartz Hill Water District (collectively, "Public Water Suppliers") will, and hereby do, move for an order to amend or modify the court's Order Certifying Plaintiff Class ("Order") dated September 11, 2007 as follows:

1. The class definition in Paragraph 1 of the Order is amended or modified to delete the phrase "that have are not presently pumping water on their property and did not do so at any time during the five years preceding January 18, 2006" and replaced with the phrase "have not pumped groundwater on their property." The Motion will be made on the grounds that the Order's class definition and the proposed class definition in the pending Wood Class Certification Motion lack consistency or comprehensiveness to achieve the "comprehensive, binding, and lasting adjudication of the water rights at issue in this matter . . . to the extent possible. . . [for] all present or potential users of groundwater within the Antelope Valley. . . . (Order Modifying Class Definition and Allowing Parties To Opt In To The Plaintiff Class dated May 22, 2007).

23 //

//

//

1	The Motion is based upon the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and any		
2	other oral and documentary evidence properly before the Court.		
3	/		
4	Dated: July 11, 2008 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP		
5			
6	By Market		
7	ERIC WGARNER JEFFREY V. DUNN		
8	STEFANIE D. HEDLUND Attorneys for Defendants		
9	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY		
10	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40, ANTELOPE VALLEY		
11	ANTEDOLE VALUE		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	·		
	iv		

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this motion is to achieve a comprehensiveness consistency between the class definition in the Willis Class and the proposed Wood Class. Their respective definitions create a comprehensiveness issue because landowners may be excluded from either class.

II. PROCEDURAL FACTS

On September 11, 2007, the Court issued its Order Certifying Plaintiff Class for a plaintiffs' class action with Rebecca Lee Willis as the representative of the Class and the law firm of Krause, Kalfayan, Benink & Slavens LLP as counsel for the Class. On May 22, 2008, the Court issued its Order Modifying Class Definition And Allowing Parties To Opt In To The Plaintiff Class ('Order'').

On or about June 20, 2008, plaintiff Richard A. Wood filed his "Motion To For Class Certification" for certification of a class of all persons and entities that own real property in the Court's previously-determined Adjudication Area ("Basin") that "have been pumping [groundwater] within the five year period preceding the filing of this action" subject to certain exclusions. Wood filed his class action complaint on June 2, 2008.

III. THE EXISTING WILLIS CLASS DEFINITION, TOGETHER WITH THE PROPOSED WOOD CLASS DEFINITION, OMIT LANDOWNERS FROM EITHER CLASS

As presently certified, the Willis Class generally includes all persons and entities that own real property in the Court's previously-determined Adjudication Area ("Basin") that do not pump groundwater and have not pumped groundwater within the five years preceding January 18, 2006, and generally excludes municipal water customers.

As presently proposed, the Wood Class generally includes all persons and entities that own real property in the Court's previously-determined Adjudication Area ("Basin") that have pumped groundwater within the five years preceding the filing of the action on June 2, 2008.

An example may help illustrate the lack of comprehensiveness in the two class definitions. Assume a landowner does not presently pump groundwater but pumped groundwater at some time between January 18, 2001, and June 1, 2003. The landowner would not be a member of the Willis Class because it excludes any landowner that does not pump groundwater and did not pump at any time from January 18, 2001 to January 18, 2006. The landowner would not be a member of the Wood Class because it excludes landowners who have not pumped groundwater from June 2, 2003 to June 2, 2008.

ı

III. POTENTIAL MODIFICATION OF THE CLASS DEFINITIONS

There are at least two solutions to the comprehensiveness issue created by the two existing class definitions. One solution is to modify the class definitions for both the Willis and the Wood Classes as suggested by Bolthouse Properties and Wm Bolthouse Farms (collectively, "Bolthouse") in their July 10, 2008 "Objection" to the Wood Class Certification Motion: The Willis Class to include landowners who have never pumped groundwater; and the Wood Class to include landowners who have pumped groundwater. As noted by Bolthouse, the approach achieves comprehensiveness while providing a simple solution to the existing class definition comprehensiveness issue.

Another solution is to retain the existing class definition in the Willis Class Certification Order but modify certain language in the proposed Wood Class definition as follows: All private (i.e., non-governmental) persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, and that currently pump groundwater or that have pumped on their property within the five year period preceding January 18, 2006. (Emphasis added.) Either solution may be acceptable but the Bolthouse proposal is preferred for its simplicity.

IV. CONCLUSION

The motion can be denied should the Court modify the proposed class definition in the Wood Class Certification Motion to achieve comprehensive coverage of landowners in the Adjudication Area. For the all reasons above, however, the Public Water Suppliers respectfully request that the Court grant their motion to amend and modify the Order as requested herein and

1	to also modify the proposed definition in the Wood Class Certification motion to generally		
2	include landowners who have pumped.		
3	D 4 1 1 1 2000		
4	Dated: July 11, 2008 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP		
5			
6	By		
7	JEFFREN V. DUNN STEFANIE D. HEDLUND		
8	Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES		
9	DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT		
10	NO. 40		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	ORANGE\48676.1		
28	3		
	J		

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On July 11, 2008, I served the within document(s):

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND OR MODIFY SEPTEMBER 11, 2007 ORDER CERTIFYING PLAINTIFF CLASS

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.		
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.		
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
7.0	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.		
I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.			
	Executed on July 11, 2008, at Irvine, California.		
	ZMy V- Kog o Kerry V. Keefe		

- 1 PROOF OF SERVICE