Exhibit C

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
6	COUNTY OF LOS AN	NGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
7		
8	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Santa Clara Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053
11	GROUNDWATER CASES	Judge: The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept. 17
12		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND PHYSICAL
13		SOLUTION
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	IPROF	POSED] JUDGMENT

1	5.1.1 Overlying Production Rights. The Parties listed in Exhibit 4,		
2	attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, have Overlying Production Rights.) Exhibit		
3	4 sets forth the following for each Overlying Production Right: (1) the Pre-Rampdown		
4	Production; (2) the Production Right; and (3) the percentage of the Production from the Adjusted		
5	Native Safe Yield.		
6	5.1.1.1 The Parties listed on Exhibit 4 have the right to Produce		
7	Groundwater, on an annual basis, up to their Overlying Production Right set forth in Exhibit 4 for		
8	each Party. Each Party's Overlying Production Right is subject to the following conditions and		
9	limitations:		
10	5.1.1.2 Pursuant to the terms of this Judgment, the Parties listed on		
11	Exhibit 4 have the right to Produce their Overlying Production Right for use on land they own or		
12	lease and without the need for Watermaster approval.		
13	5.1.1.3 Overlying Production Rights may be transferred pursuant to		
14	the provisions of Paragraph 16 of this Judgment.		
15	5.1.1.4 Overlying Production Rights are subject to Pro-Rata		
16	Reduction or Increase only pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.10.		
17	5.1.2 Non-Pumper Class Rights. The Non-Pumper Class members		
18	claim the right to Produce Groundwater from the Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial		
19	uses on their overlying land as provided for in this Judgment. On September 22, 2011, the Court		
20	approved the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement through an amended final judgment		
21	that settled the Non-Pumper Class' claims against the Public Water Suppliers ("Non-Pumper		
22	Class Judgment"). A copy of the Non-Pumper Class Judgment and the Non-Pumper Class		
23	Stipulation of Settlement are attached for reference only as Appendices A and B. This Judgment		
24	is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. Future		
25	Production by a member of the Non-Pumper Class is addressed in the Physical Solution.		
26	5.1.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class members shall have no right to		
27	transfer water pursuant to this Judgment.		
28	- 16 -		
	· ·		

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	ultimately returned to the Basin. However, DWR and AVEK shall use their best efforts to enter
2	into an agreement allowing AVEK to recapture the Native Safe Yield DWR puts into the
3	California Aqueduct and return it to the Basin.
4	5.1.5.4.3 Department of Military. The Department of Military
5	may Produce additional Groundwater in an amount necessary to protect and promote public
6	health and safety during an event deemed to be an emergency by the Department of Military
7	pursuant to California Government Code sections 8567 and 8571, and California Military and
8	Veterans Code sections 143 and 146. Such Production shall be free from any assessment,
9	including any Administrative, Balance, or Replacement Water Assessment.
10	5.1.5.4.4 The California Department of Veterans Affairs. The
11	California Department of Veteran Affairs has begun the expansion and increased occupancy
12	project of the Veterans Home of California – Lancaster facility owned by the State of California
13	by and on behalf of the California Department of Veterans Affairs. The California Department of
14	Veterans Affairs fully expects that it will be able to purchase up to an additional 40 acre-feet per
15	Year for use at this facility from District No. 40.
16	Solution Non-Overlying Production Rights . The Parties listed in Exhibit 3
17	have Production Rights in the amounts listed in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 3 is attached hereto, and
18	incorporated herein by reference. Non-Overlying Production Rights are subject to Pro-Rata
19	Reduction or Increase only pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.10.
20	5.1.7 City of Lancaster. The City of Lancaster ("Lancaster") can
21	Produce up to 500 acre-feet of Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial uses at its National
22	Soccer Complex. Such production shall only be subject to Administrative Assessment and no
23	other assessments. Lancaster will stop Producing Groundwater and will use Recycled Water
24	supplied from District No. 40, when it becomes available, to meet the reasonable and beneficial
25	water uses of the National Soccer Complex. Lancaster may continue to Produce up to 500 acre-
26	fact of Crowndryston until Dagyalad Water hasomas available to some the reasonable and
	feet of Groundwater until Recycled Water becomes available to serve the reasonable and
27	beneficial water uses of the National Soccer Complex. Nothing in this paragraph shall be

construed as requiring Lancaster to have any responsibility for constructing, or in any way contributing to the cost of, any infrastructure necessary to deliver Recycled Water to the National Soccer Complex.

Valley Joint Union High School District is a public school entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. In addition to the amounts allocated to Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District ("AVJUHSD") and pursuant to Exhibit 4, AVJUHSD can additionally produce up to 29 acre-feet of Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial uses on its athletic fields and other public spaces. When recycled water becomes available to Quartz Hill High School (located at 6040 West Avenue L, Quartz Hill, CA 93535) which is a site that is part of AVJUHSD, at a price equal to or less than the lowest cost of any of the following:

Replacement Obligation, Replacement Water, or other water that is delivered to AVJUHSD at Quartz Hill High School, AVJUHSD will stop producing the 29 acre-feet of Groundwater allocated to it and use recycled water as a replacement to its 29 acre-feet production. AVJUHSD retains its production rights and allocation pursuant to Exhibit 4 of this Judgment.

Groundwater in excess of its Production Right allocated to it in Exhibit 4 for the purpose of constructing a facility located on land overlying the Basin that will generate, distribute or store solar power through and including December 31, 2016 and shall not be charged a Replacement Water Assessment or incur a Replacement Obligation for such Production in excess of its Production Rights. Any amount of such production in excess of the Production Right through and including December 31, 2016 shall be reasonable to accomplish such construction but shall not exceed 500 acre-feet per Year for all Parties using such water.

5.1.10 Production Rights Claimed by Non-Stipulating Parties. Any claim to a right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin by a Non-Stipulating Party shall be subject to procedural or legal objection by any Stipulating Party. Should the Court, after taking evidence, rule that a Non-Stipulating Party has a Production Right, the Non-Stipulating Party

shall allocate the Imported Water for delivery to areas on an equitable and practicable basis pursuant to the Watermaster rules and regulations.

9.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement, executed by its signatories and approved by the Court in the Non-Pumper Class Judgment, specifically provides for imposition of a Replacement Water Assessment on Non-Pumper Class members. This Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. The Non-Pumper Class members specifically agreed to pay a replacement assessment if that member produced "more than its annual share" of the Native Safe Yield less the amount of the Federal Reserved Right. (See Appendix B at paragraph V., section D. Replacement Water.) In approving the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement this Court specifically held in its Order after Hearing dated November 18, 2010, that "the court determination of physical solution cannot be limited by the Class Settlement." The Court also held that the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement "may not affect parties who are not parties to the settlement."

one or more Public Water Suppliers satisfies the elements of prescription and that Production by overlying landowners during portion(s) of the prescriptive period exceeded the Native Safe Yield. At the time of this Judgment the entire Native Safe Yield is being applied to reasonable and beneficial uses in the Basin. Members of the Non-Pumper Class do not and have never Produced Groundwater for reasonable beneficial use as of the date of this Judgment. Pursuant to *Pasadena v. Alhambra* (1949) 33 Cal 2d 908, 931-32 and other applicable law, the failure of the Non-Pumper Class members to Produce any Groundwater under the facts here modifies their rights to Produce Groundwater except as provided in this Judgment. Because this is a comprehensive adjudication pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, consistent with the California Supreme Court decisions, including *In Re Waters of Long Valley Creek Stream System* (1979) 25 Cal. 3d 339, this Court makes the following findings: (1) certainty fosters reasonable and beneficial use of water and is called for by the mandate of Article X, section 2; (2) because of this mandate for certainty and in furtherance of the Physical Solution, any New Production, including that by a

1	member of the Non-Pumper Class must comply with the New Production Application Procedure
2	specified in Paragraph 18.5.13; (3) as of this Judgment no member of the Non-Pumper Class has
3	established a Production Right to the reasonable and beneficial use of Groundwater based on their
4	unexercised claim of right to Produce Groundwater; (4) if in the future a member of the Non-
5	Pumper Class proposes to Produce Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial use, the
6	Watermaster as part of the New Production Application Procedure, has the authority to determine
7	whether such a member has established that the proposed New Production is a reasonable and
8	beneficial use in the context of other existing uses of Groundwater and then-current Basin
9	conditions; and (5) the Watermaster's determinations as to the approval, scope, nature and priority
10	of any New Production is reasonably necessary to the promotion of the State's interest in fostering
11	the most reasonable and beneficial use of its scarce water resources. All provisions of this
12	Judgment regarding the administration, use and enforcement of the Replacement Water
13	Assessment shall apply to each Non-Pumper Class member that Produces Groundwater. Prior to
14	the commencement of Production, each Producing Non-Pumper Class member shall install a
15	meter and report Production to the Watermaster. The Court finds that this Judgment is consistent
16	with the Non-Pumper Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment.
17	9.3 <u>Balance Assessment</u> . In order to ensure that after Rampdown each Party
18	may fully exercise its Production Right, there may be a Balance Assessment imposed by the

h Party may fully exercise its Production Right, there may be a Balance Assessment imposed by the Watermaster. The Balance Assessment shall be assessed on all Production Rights, excluding the United States' actual Production, but including that portion of the Federal Reserved Right Produced by other Parties, in an amount determined by the Watermaster. A Balance Assessment may not be imposed until after the end of the Rampdown. In determining whether to adopt a Balance Assessment, and in what amount, the Watermaster Engineer shall consider current Basin conditions as well as then-current pumping existing after Rampdown exclusive of any consideration of an effect on then-current Basin conditions relating to Production of Groundwater pursuant to the Drought Program which occurred during the Rampdown, and shall only assess a

27

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

18.5.11 Review of Calculation of Imported Water Return Flow

Percentages. Ten (10) Years following the end of the Rampdown, in the seventeenth (17th) Year, or any time thereafter, the Watermaster Engineer may recommend to the Court an increase or decrease of Imported Water Return Flow percentages. The Watermaster Engineer shall initiate no recommendation to change Imported Water Return Flow percentages prior to end of the seventeenth (17th) Year. In the event the Watermaster Engineer recommends in its report to the Court that Imported Water Return Flow percentages for the Basin may need to be revised based on the best available science, the Court shall conduct a hearing regarding the recommendations and may order a change in Imported Water Return Flow percentages. Watermaster shall give notice of the hearing pursuant to Paragraph 20.6. The Imported Water Return Flow percentages set forth in Paragraph 5.2 shall remain in effect unless revised by Court order according to this Paragraph. If the Court approves a reduction in the Imported Water Return Flow percentages, such reduction shall be implemented over a seven (7) Year period. Only the Court can change the Imported Water Return Flow percentages.

Producer, other than unmetered Small Pumper Class Members, to file an annual Production report with the Watermaster. Producers shall prepare the Production reports in a form prescribed by the rules and regulations. The Production reports shall state the total Production for the reporting Party, including Production per well, rounded off to the nearest tenth of an acre foot for each reporting period. The Production reports shall include such additional information and supporting documentation as the rules and regulations may reasonably require.

18.5.13 New Production Application Procedure. The Watermaster Engineer shall determine whether a Party or Person seeking to commence New Production has established the reasonableness of the New Production in the context of all other uses of Groundwater in the Basin at the time of the application, including whether all of the Native Safe Yield is then currently being used reasonably and beneficially. Considering common law water rights and priorities, the mandate of certainty in Article X, section 2, and all other relevant

1	factors, the watermaster Engineer has authority to recommend that the application for New	
2	Production be denied, or approved on condition of payment of a Replacement Water Assessment.	
3	The Watermaster Engineer shall consider, investigate and recommend to the Watermaster	
4	whether an application to commence New Production of Groundwater may be approved as	
5	follows:	
6	18.5.13.1 All Parties or Person(s) seeking approval from the	
7	Watermaster to commence New Production of Groundwater shall submit a written application to	
8	the Watermaster Engineer which shall include the following:	
9	18.5.13.1.1 Payment of an application fee sufficient to recover	
10	all costs of application review, field investigation, reporting, and hearing, and other associated	
11	costs, incurred by the Watermaster and Watermaster Engineer in processing the application for	
12	New Production;	
13	18.5.13.1.2 Written summary describing the proposed quantity,	
14	sources of supply, season of use, Purpose of Use, place of use, manner of delivery, and other	
15	pertinent information regarding the New Production;	
16	18.5.13.1.3 Maps identifying the location of the proposed New	
17	Production, including Basin Subarea;	
18	18.5.13.1.4 Copy of any water well permits, specifications and	
19	well-log reports, pump specifications and testing results, and water meter specifications	
20	associated with the New Production;	
21	18.5.13.1.5 Written confirmation that the applicant has obtained	
22	all applicable Federal, State, County, and local land use entitlements and other permits necessary	
23	to commence the New Production;	
24	18.5.13.1.6 Written confirmation that the applicant has complied	
25	with all applicable Federal, State, County, and local laws, rules and regulations, including but not	
26	limited to, the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et. seq.);	
27		
28	- 52 -	

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT