Exhibit A

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	SUPERIOR COURT O	F THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
6	COUNTY OF LOS AN	NGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
7		
8	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Santa Clara Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053
11	GROUNDWATER CASES	Judge: The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept. 17
12		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND PHYSICAL
13		SOLUTION
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	IPROF	POSED] JUDGMENT

1	A number of Parties have agreed and stipulated to entry of a Judgment consistent with the
2	terms of this Judgment and Physical Solution (hereafter "this Judgment"). The stipulations of the
3	Parties are conditioned upon further proceedings that will result in a Judgment binding all Parties
4	to the Action. The Court, having considered the pleadings, the stipulations of the Parties, and the
5	evidence presented, and being fully informed in the matter, approves the Physical Solution ¹
6	contained herein. This Judgment is entered as a Judgment binding on all Parties served or
7	appearing in this Action, including without limitation, those Parties which have stipulated to this
8	Judgment, are subject to prior settlement(s) and judgment(s) of this Court, have defaulted or
9	hereafter stipulate to this Judgment.
10	I. DESCRIPTION OF LITIGATION
11	1. <u>PROCEDURAL HISTORY</u>
12	(1.1) Initiation of Litigation.
13	On October 29, 1999, Diamond Farming Company ("Diamond Farming") filed in
14	the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. RIC 344436) the first complaint in what would
15	become these consolidated complex proceedings known as the Antelope Valley Groundwater
16	Cases. Diamond Farming's complaint names as defendants the City of Lancaster, Palmdale
17	
	Water District, Antelope Valley Water Company, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill
18	Water District, Antelope Valley Water Company, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, and Mojave Public Utility District.
18 19	
	Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, and Mojave Public Utility District.
19	Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, and Mojave Public Utility District. On February 22, 2000, Diamond Farming filed another complaint in the Riverside
19 20	Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, and Mojave Public Utility District. On February 22, 2000, Diamond Farming filed another complaint in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. RIC 344468). The two Diamond Farming actions were

26

27

24

21

Angeles Waterworks Districts Nos. 37 and 40 (Case No. RIC 353840).

¹ A "physical solution" describes an agreed upon or judicially imposed resolution of conflicting claims in a manner that advances the constitutional rule of reasonable and beneficial use of the state's water supply. (City of Santa Maria v. Adam (2012) 211 Cal. App. 4th 266, 288.) It is defined as "an equitable remedy designed to alleviate overdrafts and the consequential depletion of water resources in a particular area, consistent with the constitutional mandate to prevent waste and unreasonable water use and to maximize the beneficial use of this state's limited resource." (California American Water v. City of Seaside (2010) 183 Cal. App. 4th 471, 480.)

1	The Diamond Farming and Bolthouse complaints variously allege that unregulated
2	pumping by these named public agencies (collectively the Public Water Suppliers) has irreparably
3	(harmed Diamond Farming and Bolthouse's rights to produce Groundwater from the Antelope
4	Valley Groundwater Basin, and interfered with their rights to put that Groundwater to reasonable
5	and beneficial uses on property they own or lease. Diamond Farming and Bolthouse's complaints
6	seek a determination of their water rights and to quiet title as to the same.
7	In 2001, the Diamond Farming and Bolthouse actions were consolidated in the
8	Riverside County Superior Court.
9	In August 2002, a Phase 1 trial commenced in the Riverside County Superior
10	Court in the consolidated Diamond Farming/Bolthouse proceedings for the purpose of
11	determining the geographic boundary of the area to be adjudicated. That Phase 1 trial was not
12	concluded and the Court did not determine any issues or make any factual findings at that time.
13	1.2 General Adjudication Commenced.
14	In 2004, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District No. 40")
15	initiated a general Groundwater adjudication for the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin by
16	filing identical complaints for declaratory and injunctive relief in the Los Angeles and Kern
17	County Superior Courts (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325201 and Kern)
18	County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV 254348). District No. 40's complaints sought a
19	judicial determination of the respective rights of the Parties to produce Groundwater from the
20	Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin.
21	On December 30, 2004, District No. 40 petitioned the Judicial Council of
22	California for coordination of the above-referenced actions. On June 17, 2005, the Judicial
23	Council of California granted the petition and assigned the "Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases"
24	(Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408) to this Court (Santa Clara County Superior)
25	Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 (Hon. Jack Komar)).
26	For procedural purposes, the Court requested that District No. 40 refile its
27	complaint as a first amended cross-complaint in the now coordinated proceedings. Joined by the
28	- 2 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	other Public Water Suppliers, District No. 40 filed a first amended cross-complaint seeking
2	declaratory and injunctive relief and an adjudication of the rights to all Groundwater within the
3	Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. The Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint, as currently
4	amended, requests an adjudication to protect the public's water supply, prevent water quality
5	degradation, and stop land subsidence. Some of the Public Water Suppliers allege they have
6	acquired prescriptive and equitable rights to the Groundwater in the Basin. They allege the Basin
7	has been in overdraft for more than five consecutive Years and they have pumped water from the
8	Basin for reasonable and beneficial purposes in an open, notorious, and continuous manner. They
9	allege each non-public cross-defendant had actual or constructive notice of these activities,
10	sufficient to establish prescriptive rights in their favor. In order to alleviate overdraft conditions
11	and protect the Basin, the Public Water Suppliers also request a physical solution.
12	1.3 Other Actions
13	In response to the Public Water Suppliers first amended cross-complaint,
14	numerous Parties filed cross-complaints seeking various forms of relief.
15	On August 30, 2006, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency ("AVEK") filed a
16	cross-complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and claiming overlying rights and rights
17	to pump the supplemental yield attributable to return flows from State Water Project water
18	imported to the Basin.
19	On January 11, 2007, Rebecca Lee Willis filed a class action complaint in the Los
20	Angeles County Superior Court (Case No. BC 364553) for herself and on behalf of a class of
21	non-pumping overlying property owners ("Non-Pumper Class"), through which she sought
22	declaratory relief and money damages from various public entities. Following certification, the
23	Non-Pumper Class entered into a settlement agreement with the Public Water Suppliers
24	concerning the matters at issue in the class complaint. On September 22, 2011, the Court
25	approved the settlement through an amended final judgment.
26	On June 2, 2008, Richard A. Wood filed a class action complaint for himself and
27	on behalf of a class of small property owners in this action ("Small Pumper Class"), Wood v. Los
28	- 3 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	Angeles Co. Waterworks
2	declaratory relief and mo
3	certified on September 2,
4	On Februa
5	the Public Water Supplie
6	complaints in this matter
7	Bolthouse Farms, Inc. (S
8	remains related and coord
9	1.4 <u>M</u>
10	The Public
11	California and the United
12	same declaratory and inju
13	Judgment, or any other d
14	Judgment satisfying the r
15	States reserves all rights
16	all rights to appeal a Judg
17	Amendment.
18	1.5 <u>Ph</u>
19	The Court
20	have been tried.
21	Through t
22	area adjudicated in this A
23	entered an order determin
24	Through t
	il .

Angeles Co. Waterworks Dist. 40, et al., (Case No.: BC 391869) through which he sought declaratory relief and money damages from various public entities. The Small Pumper Class was certified on September 2, 2008.

On February 24, 2010, following various orders of coordination, the Court granted the Public Water Suppliers' motion to transfer and consolidate all complaints and cross-complaints in this matter, with the exception of the complaint in Sheldon R. Blum, etc. v. Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. (Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053), which remains related and coordinated.

1.4 <u>McCarran Amendment Issues</u>

The Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint names Edwards Air Force Base, California and the United States Department of the Air Force as cross-defendants, seeking the same declaratory and injunctive relief as sought against the other cross-defendants. This Judgment, or any other determination in this case regarding rights to water, is contingent on a Judgment satisfying the requirements of the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. §666. The United States reserves all rights to object or otherwise challenge any interlocutory judgment and reserves all rights to appeal a Judgment that does not satisfy the requirements of the McCarran Amendment.

1.5 **Phased Trials**

The Court has divided the trial in this matter into multiple phases, four of which have been tried.

Through the Phase 1 trial, the Court determined the geographical boundaries of the area adjudicated in this Action which is defined as the Basin. On November 3, 2006, the Court entered an order determining that issue.

Through the Phase 2 trial, the Court determined that all areas within the Basin are hydrologically connected and a single aquifer, and that there is sufficient hydraulic connection between the disputed areas and the rest of the Basin such that the Court must include the disputed areas within the adjudication area. The Court further determined that it would be premature to make

25

26

1	3.5.39 Replacement Obligation. The obligation of a Producer to pay for
2	Replacement Water for Production of Groundwater from the Basin in any Year in excess of the
3	sum of such Producer's Production Right and Imported Water Return Flows.
4	3.5.40 Replacement Water. Water purchased by the Watermaster or
5	otherwise provided to satisfy a Replacement Obligation.
6	3.5.41 Replacement Water Assessment. The amount charged by the
7	Watermaster to pay for all costs incurred by the Watermaster related to Replacement Water.
8	3.5.42 Responsible Party. The Person designated by a Party as the
9	Person responsible for purposes of filing reports and receiving notices pursuant to the provisions
10	of this Judgment.
11	3.5.43 Safe Yield. The amount of annual extractions of water from the
12	Basin over time equal to the amount of water needed to recharge the Groundwater aquifer and
13	maintain it in equilibrium, plus any temporary surplus. [City of Los Angeles v. City of San
14	Fernando (1975) 14 Cal. 3d 199, 278.]
15	3.5.44 Small Pumper Class. All private (i.e., non-governmental)
16	Persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, and that have been
17	pumping less than 25 acre-feet per Year on their property during any Year from 1946 to the
18	present. The Small Pumper Class excludes the defendants in Wood v. Los Angeles Co.
19	Waterworks Dist. 40, et al., any Person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity in which any such
20	defendants has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any such defendants,
21	and the representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded
22	party. The Small Pumper Class also excludes all Persons and entities that are shareholders in a
23	mutual water company. The Small Pumper Class does not include those who opted out of the
24	Small Pumper Class.
25	3.5.45 Small Pumper Class Members. Individual members of the Small
26	Pumper Class who meet the Small Pumper Class definition, and for purposes of this Judgment
27	and any terms pertaining to water rights, where two or more Small Pumper Class Members reside
28	12

1	5.1.1 Overlying Production Rights. The Parties listed in Exhibit 4,
2	attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, have Overlying Production Rights. Exhibit
3	4 sets forth the following for each Overlying Production Right: (1) the Pre-Rampdown
4	Production; (2) the Production Right; and (3) the percentage of the Production from the Adjusted
5	Native Safe Yield.
6	5.1.1.1 The Parties listed on Exhibit 4 have the right to Produce
7	Groundwater, on an annual basis, up to their Overlying Production Right set forth in Exhibit 4 for
8	each Party. Each Party's Overlying Production Right is subject to the following conditions and
9	limitations:
10	5.1.1.2 Pursuant to the terms of this Judgment, the Parties listed on
11	Exhibit 4 have the right to Produce their Overlying Production Right for use on land they own or
12	lease and without the need for Watermaster approval.
13	5.1.1.3 Overlying Production Rights may be transferred pursuant to
14	the provisions of Paragraph 16 of this Judgment.
15	5.1.1.4 Overlying Production Rights are subject to Pro-Rata
16	Reduction or Increase only pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.10.
17	5.1.2 Non-Pumper Class Rights. The Non-Pumper Class members
18	claim the right to Produce Groundwater from the Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial
19	uses on their overlying land as provided for in this Judgment. On September 22, 2011, the Court
20	approved the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement through an amended final judgment
21	that settled the Non-Pumper Class' claims against the Public Water Suppliers ("Non-Pumper
22	Class Judgment"). A copy of the Non-Pumper Class Judgment and the Non-Pumper Class
23	Stipulation of Settlement are attached for reference only as Appendices A and B. This Judgment
24	is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. Future
25	Production by a member of the Non-Pumper Class is addressed in the Physical Solution.
26	5.1.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class members shall have no right to
27	transfer water pursuant to this Judgment.
28	

1	
2	(
3	(
4	(
5	1
6	(
7	(
8	(
9	(
10	(
11	(
12	1
13	(
14	(
15	(
16	:
17	
18	
19	
20	;
21]
22	1
23	1
24	1
25	1
26	
27	

5.1.3 Small Pumper Class Production Rights. Subject only to the
closure of the Small Pumper Class membership, the Small Pumper Class's aggregate Production
Right is 3806.4 acre-feet per Year. Allocation of water to the Small Pumper Class is set at an
average Small Pumper Class Member amount of 1.2 acre-feet per existing household or parcel
based upon the 3172 known Small Pumper Class Member parcels at the time of this Judgment.
Any Small Pumper Class Member may Produce up to and including 3 acre-feet per Year per
existing household for reasonable and beneficial use on their overlying land, and such Production
will not be subject to Replacement Water Assessment. Production by any Small Pumper Class
Member above 3 acre-feet per Year per household or parcel will be subject to Replacement Water
Assessment, as set forth in this Judgment. Administrative Assessments for unmetered Production
by Small Pumper Class Members shall be set based upon the allocation of 1.2 acre-feet per Year
per household or parcel, whichever is the case; metered Production shall be assessed in accord
with the actual Production. A Small Pumper Class Member who is lawfully, by permit, operating
a shared well with an adjoining Small Pumper Class Member, shall have all of the same rights
and obligations under this Judgment without regard to the location of the shared well, and such
shared use is not considered a prohibited transfer of a pumping right under Paragraph 5.1.3.3.

5.1.3.1 The Production of Small Pumper Class Members of up to 3 acre-feet per Year of Groundwater per household or per parcel for reasonable and beneficial use shall only be subject to reduction if: (1) the reduction is based upon a statistically credible study and analysis of the Small Pumper Class' actual Native Safe Yield Production, as well as the nature of the use of such Native Safe Yield, over at least a three Year period; and (2) the reduction is mandated by Court order after notice to the Small Pumper Class Members affording a reasonable opportunity for the Court to hear any Small Pumper Class Member objections to such reduction, including a determination that Water Code section 106 may apply so as to prevent a reduction.

5.1.3.2 The primary means for monitoring the Small Pumper Class Members' Groundwater use under the Physical Solution will be based on physical inspection by

1	-
2	,
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	-
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	-
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

the Watermaster, including the use of aerial photographs and satellite imagery. All Small Pumper Class Members agree to permit the Watermaster to subpoena the electrical meter records associated with their Groundwater wells on an annual basis. Should the Watermaster develop a reasonable belief that a Small Pumper Class Member household is using in excess of 3 acre-feet per Year, the Watermaster may cause to be installed a meter on such Small Pumper Class Member's well at the Small Pumper Class Member's expense.

5.1.3.3 The pumping rights of Small Pumper Class Members are not transferable separately from the parcel of property on which the water is pumped, provided however a Small Pumper Class Member may move their water right to another parcel owned by that Small Pumper Class Member with approval of the Court. If a Small Pumper Class Member parcel is sold, absent a written contract stating otherwise and subject to the provisions of this Judgment, the water right for that Small Pumper Class Member parcel shall transfer to the new owners of that Small Pumper Class Member parcel. The pumping rights of Small Pumper Class Members may not be aggregated for use by a purchaser of more than one Small Pumper Class Member's property.

5.1.3.4 Defaults or default judgments entered against any Small Pumper Class Member who did not opt out of the Small Pumper Class are hereby deemed non-operative and vacated *nunc pro tunc*, but only with respect to their ownership of real property meeting the Small Pumper Class definition.

5.1.3.5 The Small Pumper Class shall be permanently closed to new membership upon issuance by the Court of its order granting final approval of the Small Pumper Class Settlement (the "Class Closure Date"), after the provision of notice to the Class of the Class Closure Date. Any Person or entity that does not meet the Small Pumper Class definition prior to the Class Closure Date is not a Member of the Small Pumper Class. Similarly, any additional household constructed on a Small Pumper Class Member parcel after the Class Closure Date is not entitled to a Production Right as set forth in Paragraphs 5.1.3 and 5.1.3.1.

27

25

26

5.1.3.6 Unknown Small Pumper Class Members are defined as: (1)
those Persons or entities that are not identified on the list of known Small Pumper Class Members
maintained by class counsel and supervised and controlled by the Court as of the Class Closure
Date; and (2) any unidentified households existing on a Small Pumper Class Member parcel prior
to the Class Closure Date. Within ten (10) Court days of the Class Closure Date, class counsel
for the Small Pumper Class shall publish to the Court website and file with the Court a list of the
known Small Pumper Class Members.

Class during the more than five Years since the initial notice was provided to the Class, the Court finds that the number of potentially unknown Small Pumper Class Members and their associated water use is likely very low, and any Production by unknown Small Pumper Class Members is hereby deemed to be *de minimis* in the context of this Physical Solution and shall not alter the Production Rights decreed in this Judgment. However, whenever the identity of any unknown Small Pumper Class Member becomes known, that Small Pumper Class Member shall be bound by all provisions of this Judgment, including without limitation, the assessment obligations applicable to Small Pumper Class Members.

5.1.3.8 In recognition of his service as class representative, Richard Wood has a Production Right of up to five 5 acre-feet per Year for reasonable and beneficial use on his parcel free of Replacement Water Assessment. This Production Right shall not be transferable and is otherwise subject to the provisions of this Judgment.

5.1.4 Federal Reserved Water Right. The United States has a right to Produce 7,600 acre-feet per Year from the Native Safe Yield as a Federal Reserved Water Right for use for military purposes at Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42. *See Cappaert v. United States*, 426 U.S. 128, 138 (1976); *United States v. New Mexico*, 438 U.S. 696, 700 (1978). Maps of the boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base and Plant 42 are attached hereto as Exhibits 6 and 7. The United States may Produce any or all of this water at any time for uses consistent with the purposes of its Federal Reserved Water Right. Water uses at Edwards Air Force Base and

1	Plant 42 as of the date of this Judgment are consistent with the military purposes of the facilities.
2	The Federal Reserved Water Right to Produce 7,600 acre-feet per Year is not subject to
3	Rampdown or any reduction including Pro-Rata Reduction due to Overdraft.
4	5.1.4.1 In the event the United States does not Produce its
5	entire 7,600 acre-feet in any given Year, the unused amount in any Year will be allocated to the
6	Non-Overlying Production Rights holders, except for Boron Community Services District and
7	West Valley County Water District, in the following Year, in proportion to Production Rights set
8	forth in Exhibit 3. This Production of unused Federal Reserved Water Right Production does not
9	increase any Non-Overlying Production Right holder's decreed Non-Overlying Production Right
10	amount or percentage, and does not affect the United States' ability to fully Produce its Federal
11	Reserved Water Right as provided in Paragraph 5.1.4 in any subsequent Year. Upon entry of a
12	judgment confirming its Federal Reserved Water Rights consistent with this Judgment, the United
13	States waives any rights under State law to a correlative share of the Groundwater in the Basin
14	underlying Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42.
15	5.1.4.2 The United States is not precluded from acquiring State law
16	based Production Rights in excess of its Federal Reserved Water Right through the acquisition of
17	Production Rights in the Basin.
18	5.1.5 State of California Production Rights. The State of California
19	shall have a Production Right of 207 acre-feet per Year from the Native Safe Yield and shall have
20	the additional right to Produce Native Safe Yield as set forth in Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4
21	below. This Production of Native Safe Yield shall not be subject to Pro-Rata Reduction. Any
22	Production by the State of California above 207 acre-feet per Year that is not Produced pursuant
23	to Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below shall be subject to Replacement Assessments. All
2324	to Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below shall be subject to Replacement Assessments. All Production by the State of California shall also be subject to the Administrative Assessment and

26

Any Production of Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below shall not

reduce any other Party's Production Rights pursuant to this Judgment.

construed as requiring Lancaster to have any responsibility for constructing, or in any way contributing to the cost of, any infrastructure necessary to deliver Recycled Water to the National Soccer Complex.

Valley Joint Union High School District is a public school entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. In addition to the amounts allocated to Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District ("AVJUHSD") and pursuant to Exhibit 4, AVJUHSD can additionally produce up to 29 acre-feet of Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial uses on its athletic fields and other public spaces. When recycled water becomes available to Quartz Hill High School (located at 6040 West Avenue L, Quartz Hill, CA 93535) which is a site that is part of AVJUHSD, at a price equal to or less than the lowest cost of any of the following:

Replacement Obligation, Replacement Water, or other water that is delivered to AVJUHSD at Quartz Hill High School, AVJUHSD will stop producing the 29 acre-feet of Groundwater allocated to it and use recycled water as a replacement to its 29 acre-feet production. AVJUHSD retains its production rights and allocation pursuant to Exhibit 4 of this Judgment.

Groundwater in excess of its Production Right allocated to it in Exhibit 4 for the purpose of constructing a facility located on land overlying the Basin that will generate, distribute or store solar power through and including December 31, 2016 and shall not be charged a Replacement Water Assessment or incur a Replacement Obligation for such Production in excess of its Production Rights. Any amount of such production in excess of the Production Right through and including December 31, 2016 shall be reasonable to accomplish such construction but shall not exceed 500 acre-feet per Year for all Parties using such water.

claim to a right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin by a Non-Stipulating Party shall be subject to procedural or legal objection by any Stipulating Party. Should the Court, after taking evidence, rule that a Non-Stipulating Party has a Production Right, the Non-Stipulating Party

1	shall be subject to all provisions of this Judgment, including reduction in Production necessary to
2	implement the Physical Solution and the requirements to pay assessments, but shall not be
3	entitled to benefits provided by Stipulation, including but not limited to Carry Over pursuant to
4	Paragraph 15 and Transfers pursuant to Paragraph 16. If the total Production by Non-Stipulating
5	Parties is less than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe Yield, such Production will be
6	addressed when Native Safe Yield is reviewed pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. If the total
7	Production by Non-Stipulating Parties is greater than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe
8	Yield, the Watermaster shall determine whether Production by Non-Stipulating Parties would
9	cause Material Injury, in which case the Watermaster shall take action to mitigate the Material
10	Injury, including, but not limited to, imposing a Balance Assessment, provided however, that the
11	Watermaster shall not recommend any changes to the allocations under Exhibits 3 and 4 prior to
12	the redetermination of Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. In all cases, however,
13	whenever the Watermaster re-determines the Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9, the
14	Watermaster shall take action to prevent Native Safe Yield Production from exceeding the Nativ
15	Safe Yield on a long-term basis.
16	5.2 Rights to Imported Water Return Flows.

<u>Rights to Imported Water Return Flows.</u>

5.2.1 **Rights to Imported Water Return Flows.** Return Flows from Imported Water used within the Basin which net augment the Basin Groundwater supply are not a part of the Native Safe Yield. Subject to review pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.11, Imported Water Return Flows from Agricultural Imported Water use are 34% and Imported Water Return Flows from Municipal and Industrial Imported Water use are 39% of the amount of Imported Water used.

5.2.2 Water Imported Through AVEK. The right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK belongs exclusively to the Parties identified on Exhibit 8, attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference. Each Party shown on Exhibit 8 shall have a right to Produce an amount of Imported Water Return Flows in any Year equal to the applicable percentage multiplied by the average amount of Imported Water used

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Producer must Produce its full Production Right before any Carry Over water, or any other water, is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a Storage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

16. TRANSFERS.

When Transfers are Permitted. Pursuant to terms and conditions to be set forth in the Watermaster rules and regulations, and except as otherwise provided in this Judgment, Parties may transfer all or any portion of their Production Right to another Party so long as such transfer does not cause Material Injury. All transfers are subject to hydrologic review by the Watermaster Engineer.

Transfers to Non-Overlying Production Right Holders. Overlying Production Rights that are transferred to Non-Overlying Production Right holders shall remain on Exhibit 4 and be subject to adjustment as provided in Paragraph 18.5.10, but may be used anywhere in the transferee's service area.

Group. After the date of this Judgment, any Overlying Production Rights pursuant to Paragraph 5.1.1, rights to Imported Water Return Flows pursuant to Paragraph 5.2, rights to Recycled Water pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 and Carry Over water pursuant to Paragraph 15 (including any water banked pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster) that are at any time held by any member of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group may only be transferred to or amongst other members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group, except as provided in Paragraph

1	16.3.1. Transfers amongst members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group shall be
2	separately reported in the Annual Report of the Watermaster pursuant to Paragraphs 18.4.8 and
3	18.5.17. Transfers amongst members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group shall not be
4	deemed to constitute an abandonment of any member's non-transferred rights.
5	Nothing in Paragraph 16.3 shall prevent Antelope Valley United
6	Mutuals Group members from transferring Overlying Production Rights to Public Water
7	Suppliers who assume service of an Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group member's
8	shareholders.
9	Notwithstanding section 16.1, the Production Right of Boron Community
10	Services District shall not be transferable. If and when Boron Community Services District
11	permanently ceases all Production of Groundwater from the Basin, its Production Right shall be
12	allocated to the other holders of Non-Overlying Production Rights, except for West Valley
13	County Water District, in proportion to those rights.
14	CHANGES IN POINT OF EXTRACTION AND NEW WELLS. Parties may
15	change the point of extraction for any Production Right to another point of extraction so long as
16	such change of the point of extraction does not cause Material Injury. A replacement well for an
17	existing point of extraction which is located within 300 feet of a Party's existing well shall not be
18	considered a change in point of extraction.
19	Notice of New Well. Any Party seeking to construct a new well in order to
20	change the point of extraction for any Production Right to another point of extraction shall notify
21	the Watermaster at least 90 days in advance of drilling any well of the location of the new point
22	of extraction and the intended place of use of the water Produced.
23	Change in Point of Extraction by the United States. The point(s) of
24	extraction for the Federal Reserved Water Right may be changed, at the sole discretion of the
25	United States, and not subject to the preceding limitation on Material Injury, to any point or
26	points within the boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base or Plant 42. The point(s) of extraction
27	for the Federal Reserved Water Right may be changed to points outside the boundaries of
28	- 43 -

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Edwards Air Force Base or Plant 42, provided such change in the point of extraction does not		
cause Material Injury. In exercising its discretion under this Paragraph 17.2, the United States		
shall consider information in its possession regarding the effect of Production from the intended		
new point of extraction on the Basin, and on other Producers. Any such change in point(s) of		
extraction shall be at the expense of the United States. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to		
waive any monetary claim(s) another Party may have against the United States in federal court		
based upon any change in point of extraction by the United States.		
18. <u>WATERMASTER</u>		
18.1 <u>Appointment of Initial Watermaster.</u>		
18.1.1 Appointment and Composition: The Court hereby appoints a		
Watermaster. The Watermaster shall be a five (5) member board composed of one representative		
each from AVEK and District No. 40, a second Public Water Supplier representative selected by		
District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, Quartz Hill Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation		
District, California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community Services District, North		
Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, and		
Rosamond Community Services District, and two (2) landowner Parties, exclusive of public		

of the landowners identified on Exhibit 4 (or their successors in interest) based on their
proportionate share of the total Production Rights identified in Exhibit 4. The United States may

20 also appoint a non-voting Department of Defense (DoD) Liaison to the Watermaster committee to

agencies and members of the Non-Pumper and Small Pumper Classes, selected by majority vote

21 represent DoD interests. Participation by the DoD Liaison shall be governed by Joint Ethics

Regulation 3-201. The opinions or actions of the DoD liaison in participating in or contributing

to Watermaster proceedings cannot bind DoD or any of its components.

18.1.2 Voting Protocol for Watermaster Actions:

18.1.2.1 The Watermaster shall make decisions by unanimous vote for the purpose of selecting or dismissing the Watermaster Engineer.