1		
2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL
1	CASES	COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
12	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS and DAVID	IDDODOGEDI ODDED DENNING MILLIG
13	ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING WILLIS CLASS' MOTION TO WITHDRAW BASED
14	•	ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR CONTINUANCE OF PHASE VI/PHYSICAL SOLUTION TRIAL
15	Plaintiffs,	PHASE VI/PHYSICAL SOLUTION TRIAL
16	V.	
17	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	
18	DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE	
19	WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH	
20	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY	
21	WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY	
22	SERVICE DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT;	
23	and DOES 1 through 1,000; Defendants.	
24		
25		
26 27		
27	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING WILLIS CLASS	1 ' MOTION TO WITHDRAW BASED ON CONFLICT
28		FOR CONTINUANCE OF PHASE VI/PHYSICAL

Plaintiff Willis Class filed a Motion to Withdraw Based on Conflict of Interest or, in the Alternative, for a Continuance of the Phase VI/Physical Solution Trial. The matter came for hearing on August 25, 2015. Numerous counsel appeared in Court and telephonically, as further reflected in the minutes and the hearing transcript.

6 Having reviewed the filings by the Willis Class, the Wood Class, and the Public Water 7 Suppliers, this Court finds that, contrary to the interpretations of the Willis Class definition 8 espoused by Willis Class Counsel and the Public Water Suppliers, the definition of the Willis 9 Class includes persons who own property(ies) on which they have pumped groundwater in the 10 Antelope Valley Adjudication Area ("Adjudication") and who also own properties in the area on 11 which they have never pumped groundwater ("Nonpumpers"). If a person within either the Willis 12 Class or the Wood Class owns more than one parcel, one parcel pumping groundwater and 13 14 another parcel not pumping groundwater, then Willis Class Counsel represents this person's 15 interest in the non-pumping parcel(s) only. Wood Class Counsel represents this person's interest 16 in the pumping parcel(s) only ("Small Pumpers"). It is undisputed that 2,400 Members of the 17 3,400-Member Small Pumper Wood Class also own property(ies) on which they have never 18 pumped groundwater. This Court finds that those 2,400 Members of the Wood Class are also 19 Members of the Willis Class. 20

Although the Court recognizes that there are conflicts of interest between and within the Wood Class and Willis Class, the Court rules that these conflicts of interest are inevitable in the context of this Adjudication proceeding. This Court does not find that the 2,400 persons who are Members of both the Willis and Wood Classes need or are entitled to separate representation to assert their water rights in this Adjudication. Willis Class Counsel shall represent the legal

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

1	interests of the Nonpumpers' dormant parcel(s) and Wood Class Counsel shall represent the legal	
2	interests of the Small Pumpers' pumping parcel(s) in this Adjudication.	
3	The Willis Class' Motion to Withdraw Based on Conflict of Interest or, in the Alternative,	
4	for Continuance of the Phase VI/Physical Solution Trial is hereby denied.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6		
7	Date: Judge of the Superior Court	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	3	
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING WILLIS CLASS' MOTION TO WITHDRAW BASED ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR CONTINUANCE OF PHASE VI/PHYSICAL SOLUTION TRIAL	