1 2 3 4	Ralph B. Kalfayan (SBN 133464) Lynne M. Brennan (SBN 149131) KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331	
5	Fax: (619) 232-4019	
6	Class Counsel for the Willis Class	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10		
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
12	This Pleading Relates to Included Action:	
13	REBECCA LEE WILLIS and DAVID ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves and	WILLIS CLASS' CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
14	all others similarly situated,	
15	Plaintiffs,	Date: October 7, 2015 Time: 9:00 am
16	V.	Place: Court Call - Telephonic Judge: Hon. Jack Komar
17	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
18	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF	
19	PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK	
20	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM	
21	RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT;	
22	ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE	
23	DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; and	
24	DOES 1 through 1,000;	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

25

26

27

28

The Willis Class respectfully submits the following Case Management Statement in advance of the October 7, 2015, status conference regarding scheduling of trial witnesses.

The Willis Class reasserts its right to cross-examine Copa de Oro as this Court granted in its Minute Order dated September 4, 2015. During trial, counsel for Copa de Oro argued that the Court should reverse its Minute Order ruling because the Willis Class had allegedly "waived" its right to object to discovery responses filed by Copa de Oro in connection with the Phase IV Trial. Willis Class Counsel stated that the Willis Class was not subject to the Court's Discovery Order regarding the Phase IV Trial and, therefore, had not waived its right to object and cross-examine a Copa de Oro witness regarding evidence relating to its water use. The specific Order referenced during trial by Willis Class Counsel is the Court's Order Regarding Applicability of December 12, 2012 Discovery Order to Willis Class dated December 20, 2012 ("Discovery Order"). The Discovery Order provides in full, "The Willis Class is not subject to the December 12, 2012 Discovery Order since the members of the class are not pumpers." Accordingly, the Willis Class' nonresponse to discovery propounded by Copa de Oro in connection with the Phase IV Trial did not result in a waiver of the Willis Class' right to cross-examine Copa de Oro (or any other Stipulating Party). The Willis Class has a right to cross-examine Copa de Oro about its failure to produce groundwater for the past eleven (11) years and for what purpose it intends to use its permanent allocation of 350 AFY. Mr. Beeby's review of Copa de Oro's information relied solely on crop duties for crops grown by Copa de Oro back in 2000 to 2004. Mr. Beeby did not rely on any evidence that Copa de Oro intends to use its permanent allocation for "housing" as stated by counsel for Copa de Oro during oral argument at trial. Mr. Beeby's testimony cannot be used as a finding of reasonable and beneficial use as to Copa de Oro's Production Right and the Willis Class' right to cross-examine Copa de Oro remains intact.

27

28

Regarding Rosamond High School, the Willis Class has the right to cross-examine that Stipulating Party regarding the admitted mistake which led to a four-fold overstatement of water usage by Rosamond High School. The "Pre-Rampdown Production" of Rosamond High School as set forth in Exhibit 4 to the SPPS (as well as Exhibit 4 to the Beeby Deposition) is 586.4 AFY and the "Production Right" is 202.23. However, the Declaration that Rosamond High School sought to admit into evidence without being subject to cross-examination by the Willis Class states that the actual water production in 2011 was 122 AFY. The Willis Class asserts its right to crossexamine a witness from Rosamond High School as to how their prior Declaration relied upon by this Court and, by extension, expert witness Mr. Beeby, included a four-fold overstatement of its water production and why that Stipulating Party should have a permanent allocation of 202.23 AFY under the SPPS when its water production was only 122 AFY. Significantly, Mr. Beeby's analysis of whether the Production Right of Rosamond High School "made sense" was based on faulty data and, thus, cannot be used to justify the Production Right given to Rosamond High School under the SPPS. Mr. Beeby testified that he did not independently verify or question any of the data he received regarding the Stipulating Parties' amount of water or use. The Willis Class cannot be prevented from cross-examining Rosamond High School (or any other Stipulating Party) simply because Mr. Beeby compared the given data to crop duties for growing alfalfa without doing any independent verification of their underlying data as he did with Mr. Tapia's alleged water production.

Because Final Approval of the SPPS by this Court would affect the property rights of all Willis Class Members, those same Willis Class Members, through Willis Class Counsel, have the fundamental due process right to cross-examine all evidence submitted by the Stipulating Parties at the Phase VI/Physical Solution trial:

"A person's right to cross-examination and confrontation of witnesses against him in noncriminal proceedings is a part of procedural due process guaranteed by the Fifth

Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment of the federal Constitution, where there is a threat to life, liberty, or <u>property</u>." (August v. Department of Motor Vehicles (1968) 264 Cal.App.2d 52, 60, 70 Cal.Rptr. 172.)

CACH LLC v. Rodgers, 229 Cal.App.4th Supp. 1, 6-7 (2014) (emphasis supplied).

The scope of the Phase IV Trial did *not* include a determination of any water right and, therefore, Willis Class should have every opportunity to cross-examine evidence submitted by Stipulating Parties during the current Phase VI/Physical Solution Trial:

The Phase IV Trial is only for the purpose of determining groundwater pumping during 2011 and 2012. The Phase IV Trial shall not result in any determination of any water right, or the reasonableness of any party's water use or manner of applying water to the use.

Fifth Amended Case Management Order dated May 20, 2013 (emphasis supplied).

As shown by the specific declaration evidence relating to Copa de Oro and Rosamond High School, there are many areas of cross-examination that the Willis Class has the right to pursue because these Stipulating Parties (and all others) will receive a *permanent allocation* of the Native Safe Yield that will result in the *permanent exclusion* of Willis Class Members' correlative right to pump from the Native Safe Yield in violation of both law and equity.

Regarding the Willis Class' expert witnesses, Willis Class Counsel have previously posted the expert witness reports or proposed testimony of Dr. Smith, Mr. Kear, and Mr. Sunding. Willis Class Counsel has attached the expert witness report of Mr. Roach as Exhibit A to this CMC Statement for review by the Court and all Stipulating Parties. The Willis Class intends to call all four expert witnesses at trial on Wednesday, October 14, 2015.

Willis Class Counsel again raises with the Court the previously-filed objection to the interference by Wood Class Counsel to our request for the Court-appointed expert, Mr. Thompson, to produce documents to Willis Class Counsel as agreed during his deposition. Willis Class Counsel has narrowed the scope of documents sought from Mr. Thompson to numbers 3, 7, 7a, and 8 as listed in the letter to Mr. Thompson from Willis Class Counsel dated September 18, 2015. The

documents sought relate to underlying data for the survey referenced in Mr. Thompson's expert witness report as well as emails between the expert and Mr. McLachlan and the expert and any other attorneys involved in this adjudication. These documents were responsive to the document requests directed to Mr. Thompson, were not objected to prior to the deposition, and in fact were agreed to be produced during the deposition of Mr. Thompson. The Willis Class therefore requests this Court to order Mr. McLachlan to refrain from intervening with Willis Class Counsel's attempts to obtain these documents from Mr. Thompson by instructing Mr. Thompson not to produce such documents to Willis Class Counsel. Depending on the content of the documents obtained by Willis Class Counsel from Mr. Thompson, the Willis Class may call Mr. Thompson to testify at trial on October 15, 2015.

On a final matter, Willis Class Counsel reserve the right to call Mr. Wildermuth at trial on October 15, 2015, to provide the foundational facts for the Stipulation entered into between the Willis Class and District 40 regarding the size of the Willis Class with respect to parcels, acreage, number of members.

Dated: October 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP

Kalph B. Kalayan, Esq

Lynne M. Brennan, Esq.

Class Counsel for the Willis Class

1 2 3 4 5 6	Ralph B. Kalfayan (SBN 133464) Lynne M. Brennan (SBN 149131) KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019 Class Counsel for the Willis Class	
7 8	STIDEDTOD COLIDA OF	THE STATE OF CALLEODNIA
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
10		
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
12	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS and DAVID	PROOF OF SERVICE
13	ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
14	an omers similarly situated,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	v.	
17	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
18	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF	
19	PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK	
20	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM	
21	RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT;	
22	ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE	
23	DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT;	
24	and DOES 1 through 1,000;	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 I, Lynne M. Brennan, declare: 2 I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Diego County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 3 Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530, San Diego, California, 92101. On October 6, 2015, I caused the following document(s): to be served on the parties in this 4 action, as follows: 5 WILLIS CLASS' CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT 6 7 (X) (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website: www.scefiling.org regarding the Antelope Valley Groundwater 8 matter. 9 (BY U.S. Mail) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing 10 of documents for mailing. Under that practice, the above-referenced documents(s) were placed in sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties as noted above, with postage thereon fully prepaid and 11 deposited such envelope(s) with the United States Postal Service on the same date at San Diego, California, addressed to: 12 (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I served a true and correct copy by Federal Express or other 13 overnight delivery service, for the delivery on the next business day. Each copy was enclosed in an envelope or package designed by the express service carrier; deposited in a facility regularly 14 maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive 15 documents on its behalf; with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown on the accompanying service list. 16 (BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of 17 facsimile transmission of documents. It is transmitted to the recipient on the same day in the 18 ordinary course of business. 19 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 20 (FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of 21 America that the foregoing is true and correct. 22 23 24 25 26 27

28