# EXHIBIT B

	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	9	COUNTY OF LOS AN	GELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
	10 11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
	12	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
	13	GROUNDWATER CASE	Judge: The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept. 17
	14 15		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND PHYSICAL SOLUTION MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING
İ	16		
	17		
l	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
,	22		
,	23		
	24		
1	25		
	26		
	27		
4	28		
	- 1		

[PROPOSED4] JUDGMENT MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

	l			
1	I.	DE	SCRI	PTION OF LITIGATION
2		1.	PRO	CEDURAL HISTORY
3			1.1	Initiation of Litigation
4			1.2	General Adjudication Commenced
5			1.3	Other Actions
6			1.4	McCarran Amendment Issues4
7			1.5	Phased Trials4
8			1.6	Defaults5
9		2.	GENE	RAL ADJUCIATION DOES NOT APPLY TO
10			SURF	ACE WATER5
11	II.	DE	CREI	Z6
12		3.	JUR	ISDICTION. PARTIES. DEFINITIONS6
13			3.1	Jurisdiction6
14			3.2	Parties6
15			3.3	Factual and Legal Issues6
16			3.4	Need for a Declaration of Rights and Obligations for a Physical
17				Solution6
18			3.5	<b>Definitions</b>
19				3.5.1 Action
20				3.5.2 Adjusted Native Safe Yield
21				3.5.3 Administrative Assessment
22				3.5.4 Annual Period
23				3.5.5 Antelope Valley United Manuals Group8
24				3.5.6 AVEK8
25				3.5.7 Balance Assessment8
26				3.5.8 Basin8
27				3.5.9 Carry Over9
28				

4	
1	3.5.10 Conjunctive Use
2	3.5.11 Defaulting Party
3	3.5.12 Drought Program
4	3.5.13 Judgment
5	3.5.14 Groundwater
6	3.5.15 Imported Water
7	3.5.16 Imported Water Return Flows
8	3.5.17 In Lieu Production
9	3.5.18
10	3.5.18.110
11	3.5.18.2
12	3.5.19 Native Safe Yield10
13	3.5.20 New Production10
14	3.5.21 Non-Overlying Production Rights10
15	3.5.22 Non-Pumper Class10
16	3.5.23 Non-Pumper Class Judgment11
17	3.5.24 Non-Pumper Class Members11
18	3.5.25 Overdraft11
19	3.5.26 Overlying Production Rights11
20	3.5.27 Party (Parties)11
21	3.5.28 Pre-Rampdown Production11
22	3.5.29 Produce(d)12
23	3.5.30 Producer(s)12
24	3.5.31 Production12
	3.5.32 Production Right12
25	3.5.33 Pro-Rata Increase12
26	3.5.34 Pro-Rata Reduction12
27	3.5.35 Public Water Suppliers
28	

1		3.5.36 Purpose of Use
2		3.5.37 Rampdown13
3		3.5.38 Recycled Water13
4		3.5.39 Replacement Obligation
5		3.5.40 Replacement Water
6		3.5.41 Replacement Water Assessment
7		3.5.42 Responsible Party
8		3.5.43 Safe Yield
9		3.5.44 Small Pumper Class
10		3.5.45 Small Pumper Class Members14
11		3.5.46 State of California14
12		3.5.47 State Water Project
13		3.5.48 Stored Water14
14	Ì	3.5.49 Subareas
15		3.5.50 Total Safe Yield
16		3.5.51 Watermaster15
17		3.5.52 Watermaster Engineer15
18		3.5.53 District No. 40
19		3.5.54 Year15
20	4. SAF	E YIELD AND OVERDRAFT15
21	4.1	Safe Yield15
22	4.2	Overdraft15
23	5. PRO	DDUCTION RIGHTS15
24	5.1	Allocation of Rights to Native Safe Yield15
25		5.1.1 Overlying Production Rights16
26		5.1.1.1
27		5.1.1.2
28		5.1.1.3
		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT  MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING
- 1	u	TODA TO THOUSE OF THE WILLIA CLASS I VIVII INV

1	
2	5.1.1.416
	5.1.2 Non-Pumper Class Rights17
3	5.1.2.1 Non-Pumper Class Permits17
4	5.1.2.2 Domestic Use
5	5.1.2.3 Non-Domestic Use
6 7	5.1.2.4 Effectuation of Pro Rata Reduction of Production Rights
8	of Other Producers to Accommodate Non-Pumper Class
9	Production19
10	5.1.2.5 Provisions Applicable to all Non-Pumper Class Post-
11	Judgment Production21
12	5.1.3 Small Pumper Class Production Rights
13	5.1.3.1 Small Pumper Class Members22
14	5.1.4 Federal Reserved Water Right24
15	5.1.4.124
16	5.1.4.225
17	5.1.5 State of California Production Rights25
18	5.1.5.1
19	5.1.5.2
20	5.1.5.2.1
21	5.1.5.2.2
22	5.1.5.2.3
23	5.1.5.2.4
24	5.1.5.2.5
25	5.1.5.2.6
26	5.1.5.2.727
27	5.1.5.2.827
28	5.1.5.2.927
	iv [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT <u>MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING</u>

1			
1			5.1.5.3
2			5.1.5.4
3			5.1.5.4.127
4			5.1.5.4.227
5			5.1.5.4.3
6			5.1.5.4.4
7			5.1.6 Non-Overlying Production Rights28
8			5.1.7 City of Lancaster
9			5.1.8 Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District29
10			5.1.9 Construction of Solar Power Facilities29
11			5.1.10 Production Rights Claimed by Parties Not Yet Identified at Entry of
12			Judgment
13			
14		5.2	Rights to Imported Water Return Flows30
15			5.2.1 Rights to Imported Water Return Flows30
16			5.2.2 Water Imported Through AVEK31
17			5.2.3 Water Not Imported Through AVEK31
18		5.3	Rights to Recycled Water32
19	6.	INJ	JNCTION32
20		6.1	Injunction Against Unauthorized Production32
		6.2	Injunction Re Change in Purpose of Use Without Notice to the
21			Watermaster32
22		6.3	Injunction Against Unauthorized Caption of Stored Water33
23		6.4	Injunction Against Transportation From Basin33
24			6.4.1 Export by Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services
25			Districts
26			6.4.1.1
27		6.5	Continuing Jurisdiction
28		0.0	Continuing Julisticuon34
			V

- 1	II		
1	III. PH	YSIC	AL SOLUTION34
2	7.	GEN	NERAL
3		7.1	Purpose and Objection34
4		7.2	Need for Flexibility35
5		7.3	General Pattern of Operations35
6		7.4	Water Rights35
7	8.	RAN	MPDOWN36
8		8.1	Installation of Meters36
9		8.2	Rampdown Period36
10		8.3	Reduction of Production During Rampdown36
11		8.4	Drought Program During Rampdown for Participating Public Water
12	·		Suppliers36
13	_		8.4.136
14			8.4.237
15			8.4.338
16			8.4.438
17	9.	ASS	SESSMENTS38
18		9.1	Administrative Assessment38
19		9.2	Replacement Water Assessment38
20		9.3	Balance Assessment41
21			9.3.141
22			9.3.241
23			9.3.341
24			9.3.441
25	10.	SUB	AREAS41
26			Central Antelope Valley Subarea41
27			West Antelope Valley Subarea
28		10.2	
		10.0	vi Vi

1		10.4 Willow Springs Subarea42
2		10.5 Rogers Lake Subarea
3	11.	INCREASE IN PRODUCTION BY THE UNITED STATES43
4		11.1 Notice of Increase of Production Under Federal Reserved Water
5		Right
6		11.2 Water Substitution to Reduce Production by United States
7	12.	MOVEMENT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS PRODUCTION
8		FACILITIES43
9		12.1 No Requirement to Move Public Water Suppliers' Production
10		Wells43
11	13.	FEDERAL APPROVAL44
12	14.	STORAGE44
13	15.	CARRY OVER45
14		15.1 In Lieu Production Right Carry Over45
15		15.2 Imported Water Return Flow Carry Over46
16		15.3 Production Right Carry Over
17	16.	TRANSFERS47
18		16.1 When Transfers are Permitted47
19		16.2 Transfers to Non-Overlying Production Right Holders
20		16.3 Limitation of Transfers of Water by Antelope Valley United Mutuals
21		Group47
22	i.	16.3.148
23	17.	CHANGES IN POINT OF EXTRACTION AND NEW WELLS48
24		17.1 Notice of New Well
25		17.2 Change in Point of Extraction by the United States
26	18.	WATERMASTER49
27		18.1 Appointment of Initial Watermaster49
28		18.1.149
l		vii

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

1	18.1.249
2	18.1.2.1
3	18.1.2.2
4	18.1.2.3
5	18.1.2.4
6	18.1.3
7	18.1.4
8	18.2 Standard of Performance
9	18.3 Removal of Watermaster
10	18.4 Powers and Duties of the Watermaster
11	18.4.1 Selection of the Watermaster Engineer
12	18.4.2 Adoption of Rules and Regulations
13	18.4.3 Employment of Experts and Agents
14	18.4.4 Notice List
15	18.4.5 Annual Administrative Budget
16	18.4.6 Investment of Funds
17	
18	18.4.7 Borrowing
19	
20	18.4.9 Unauthorized Actions
21	18.4.10 Meetings and Records
22	18.4.11 Assessment Procedure
23	18.5 Watermaster Engineer53
24	18.5.1 Monitoring of Safe Yield53
25	18.5.2 Reduction in Groundwater Production53
26	18.5.3 Determination of Replacement Obligations53
27	18.5.4 Balance Obligations
28	18.5.5 Measuring Devices, Etc
40	18.5.6 Hydrologic Data Collection54

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

_	
1	18.5.7 Purchases of and Recharge with Replacement Water54
2	18.5.8 Water Quality54
3	18.5.9 Native Safe Yield55
4	18.5.10 Change in Production Rights in Response to Change in Native Safe
5	Yield55
6	18.5.11 Evaluation of Reasonable and Beneficial Use55
7	18.5.12 Review of Calculation of Imported Water Return Flow
8	Percentages56
9	18.5.13 Production Reports56
10	18.5.14 New Production Application Procedure57
11	18.5.15 Storage Agreements59
12	18.5.16 Diversion of Storm Flow59
13	18.5.17 Data, Estimates and Procedures60
14	18.5.18 Filing of Annual Report60
15	18.5.19 Annual Report to Court60
16	18.5.19.160
17	18.5.19.260
18	18.5.19.360
19	18.5.19.460
20	18.5.19.560
21	18.5.19.660
22	18.5.19.761
23	18.5.19.861
24	18.5.19.961
25	18.5.19.1061
26	18.5.19.1161
27	18.5.19.1261
28	18.5.19.1361

1		18.5.19.14
2		
3		18.5.19.15
4		18.5.19.16
5		18.5.19.1761
		18.5.19.1861
6	18.6	Recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer61
7	18.7	Interim Approvals by the Court61
8	19. AD	VISORY COMMITTEE61
9	19.1	Authorization61
10	19.2	Compensation62
11	19.3	Powers and Functions62
12	19.4	Advisory Committee Meetings62
13	19.5	Subarea Advisory Management Committees62
14		19.5.1 Authorization
15		19.5.2 Composition and Election62
16		19.5.3 Compensation
17		19.5.4 Powers and Functions
18	20. MIS	CELLANEOUS PROVISIONS63
19	20.1	Water Quality63
20	20.2	Actions Not Subject to CEOA Regulation63
21	20.3	Court Review of Watermaster Actions63
22		20.3.1 Effective Date of Watermaster Action64
23		20.3.2 Notice of Motion
24		20.3.3 Time for Motion
25		20.3.4 De Novo Nature of Proceeding64
26		20.3.5 Decision
27	20.4	Multiple Production Rights64
28	20.5	Payment of Assessments65
1		

1	20.6 Designation of Address for Notice and Service65
2	20.7 Service of Documents65
3	20.8 No Abandonment of Rights65
4	20.9 Intervention After Judgment66
5	20.10 Judgment Binding on Successors, Etc66
6	20.11 Costs66
7	20.12 Headings: Paragraph Reference66
8	20.13 No Third Party Beneficiaries66
9	20.14 Severability66
10	20.15 Cooperation: Further Acts66
11	20.16 Exhibits and Other Writings67
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

	1	
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS AND APPENDICES	
2	Exhibits:	
3	Exhibit 1:	Listing of Parties Against Which a Default Judgment Has Been Entered.
. 4	Exhibit 2:	Map of Area Adjudicated in This Action.
5	Exhibit 3 An	nended: Non-Overlying Production Rights.
6	Exhibit 4 Amended: Overlying Production Rights	
7	Exhibit 5:	Phase 3 Trial Decision.
8	Exhibit 6:	Map of boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base.
9	Exhibit 7:	Map of boundaries of Air Force Plant 42.
10	Exhibit 8 Amended: Rights to Produce Imported Water Return Flows.	
11	Exhibit 9:	Map of the Watershed of the Basin.
12	Exhibit 10:	Map of Subareas.
13	Appendices:	
14		— Non-Pumper Class Judgment.
15		Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement.
16	rippondix D.	Troil amper Class suparation of settlement.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

2.8

A number of Parties have agreed and stipulated to entry of a Judgment consistent with the terms of this Judgment and Physical Solution (hereafter "this Judgment"). The stipulations of the Parties are conditioned upon further proceedings that will result in a Judgment binding all Parties to the Action.

The Court, having considered the pleadings, the stipulations of the Parties, and the evidence presented, and being fully informed in the matter, approves the Physical Solution<sup>1</sup> contained herein. This Judgment is entered as a Judgment binding on all Parties served or appearing in this Action, including without limitation, those Parties which have stipulated to this Judgment, are subject to prior settlement(s) and judgment(s) of this Court, have defaulted or hereafter stipulate to this Judgment.

### I. DESCRIPTION OF LITIGATION

## 1. **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

### 1.1 <u>Initiation of Litigation.</u>

On October 29, 1999, Diamond Farming Company ("Diamond Farming") filed in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. RIC 344436) the first complaint in what would become these consolidated complex proceedings known as the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases. Diamond Farming's complaint names as defendants the City of Lancaster, Palmdale Water District, Antelope Valley Water Company, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, and Mojave Public Utility District.

On February 22, 2000, Diamond Farming filed another complaint in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. RIC 344468). The two Diamond Farming actions were subsequently consolidated.

On January 25, 2001, Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. ("Bolthouse") filed a complaint

A "physical solution" describes an agreed upon or judicially imposed resolution of conflicting claims in a manner that advances the constitutional rule of reasonable and beneficial use of the state's water supply. (City of Santa Maria v. Adam (2012) 211 Cal. App. 4th 266, 288.) It is defined as "an equitable remedy designed to alleviate overdrafts and the consequential depletion of water resources in a particular area, consistent with the constitutional mandate to prevent waste and unreasonable water use and to maximize the beneficial use of this state's limited resource." (California American Water v. City of Seaside (2010) 183 Cal. App. 4th 471, 480.)

in the same Court against the same entities, as well as Littlerock Creek Irrigation District and Los Angeles Waterworks Districts Nos. 37 and 40 (Case No. RIC 353840).

The Diamond Farming and Bolthouse complaints variously allege that unregulated pumping by these named public agencies (collectively the Public Water Suppliers) has irreparably harmed Diamond Farming and Bolthouse's rights to produce Groundwater from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin, and interfered with their rights to put that Groundwater to reasonable and beneficial uses on property they own or lease. Diamond Farming and Bolthouse's complaints seek a determination of their water rights and to quiet title as to the same.

In 2001, the Diamond Farming and Bolthouse actions were consolidated in the Riverside County Superior Court.

In August 2002, a Phase 1 trial commenced in the Riverside County Superior Court in the consolidated Diamond Farming/Bolthouse proceedings for the purpose of determining the geographic boundary of the area to be adjudicated. That Phase 1 trial was not concluded and the Court did not determine any issues or make any factual findings at that time.

# 1.2 General Adjudication Commenced.

In 2004, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District No. 40") initiated a general Groundwater adjudication for the Antelope Valley Ground Water Basin by filing identical complaints for declaratory and injunctive relief in the Los Angeles and Kern County Superior Courts (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325201 and Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV 254348). District No. 40's complaints sought a judicial determination of the respective rights of the Parties to produce Groundwater from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin.

On December 30, 2004, District No. 40 petitioned the Judicial Council of California for coordination of the above-referenced actions. On June 17, 2005, the Judicial Council of California granted the petition and assigned the "Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases" (Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408) to this Court (Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 (Hon. Jack Komar)).

For procedural purposes, the Court requested that District No. 40 refile its complaint as a first amended cross-complaint in the now coordinated proceedings. Joined by the

other Public Water Suppliers, District No. 40 filed a first amended cross-complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and an adjudication of the rights to all Groundwater within the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. The Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint, as currently amended, requests an adjudication to protect the public's water supply, prevent water quality degradation, and stop land subsidence. Some of the Public Water Suppliers allege they have acquired prescriptive and equitable rights to the Groundwater in the Basin. They allege the Basin has been in overdraft for more than five consecutive Years and they have pumped water from the Basin for reasonable and beneficial purposes in an open, notorious, and continuous manner. They allege each non-public cross-defendant had actual or constructive notice of these activities, sufficient to establish prescriptive rights in their favor. In order to alleviate overdraft conditions and protect the Basin, the Public Water Suppliers also request a physical solution.

### 1.3 Other Actions

In response to the Public Water Suppliers first amended cross-complaint, numerous Parties filed cross-complaints seeking various forms of relief.

On August 30, 2006, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency ("AVEK") filed a cross-complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief and claiming overlying rights and rights to pump the supplemental yield attributable to return flows from State Water Project water imported to the Basin.

On January 11, 2007, Rebecca Lee Willis filed a class action complaint in the Los Angeles County Superior Court (Case No. BC 364553) for herself and on behalf of a class of non-pumping overlying property owners ("Non-Pumper Class"), through which she sought declaratory relief and money damages from various public entities. \_Following certification, the Non-Pumper Class entered into a settlement agreement with the Public Water Suppliers concerning the matters at issue in the class complaint. \_All claims of prescription have been released by the Public Water Suppliers against the Willis Class. On September 22, 2011, the Court approved the settlement through an amended final judgment. Amended Final Judgment which stated that the Amended Final Judgment would be merged and incorporated into the physical solution adopted by the Court. In awarding attorneys' fees, the Court stated that Willis

Class Counsel had secured the right to pump groundwater and maintained property values for the Willis Class.

On June 2, 2008, Richard A. Wood filed a class action complaint for himself and on behalf of a class of small property owners in this action ("Small Pumper Class"), *Wood v. Los Angeles Co. Waterworks Dist. 40, et al.*, (Case No.: BC 391869) through which he sought declaratory relief and money damages from various public entities. The Small Pumper Class was certified on September 2, 2008.

On February 24, 2010, following various orders of coordination, the Court granted the Public Water Suppliers' motion to transfer and consolidate all complaints and cross-complaints in this matter, with the exception of the complaint in Sheldon R. Blum, etc. v. Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. (Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053), which remains related and coordinated.

### 1.4 McCarran Amendment Issues

The Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint names Edwards Air Force Base, California and the United States Department of the Air Force as cross-defendants, seeking the same declaratory and injunctive relief as sought against the other cross-defendants. This Judgment, or any other determination in this case regarding rights to water, is contingent on a Judgment satisfying the requirements of the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. §666. The United States reserves all rights to object or otherwise challenge any interlocutory judgment and reserves all rights to appeal a Judgment that does not satisfy the requirements of the McCarran Amendment.

### 1.5 Phased Trials

The Court has divided the trial in this matter into multiple phases, four of which have been tried.

Through the Phase 1 trial, the Court determined the geographical boundaries of the area adjudicated in this Action which is defined as the Basin. On November 3, 2006, the Court entered an order determining that issue.

Through the Phase 2 trial, the Court determined that all areas within the Basin are hydrologically connected and a single aquifer, and that there is sufficient hydraulic connection

between the disputed areas and the rest of the Basin such that the Court must include the disputed areas within the adjudication area. The Court further determined that it would be premature to make any determinations regarding, *inter alia*, claims that portions of the Basin should be treated as a separate area for management purposes. On November 6, 2008, the Court entered its Order after Phase Two Trial on Hydrologic Nature of Antelope Valley.

Through the Phase 3 trial, the Court determined the Basin is in a current state of overdraft and the safe yield is 110,000 acre-feet per Year. The Court found the preponderance of the evidence presented established that setting the safe yield at 110,000 acre-feet per Year will permit management of the Basin in such a way as to preserve the rights of the Parties in accordance with the California Constitution and California law. On July 13, 2011, the Court filed its Statement of Decision.

Through the Phase 4 trial, the Court determined the overall Production occurring in the Basin in calendar Years 2011 and 2012.

### 1.6 **Defaults**

Numerous Parties have failed to respond timely, or at all, to the Public Water Suppliers' cross-complaint, as amended, and their defaults have been entered. The Court has given the defaulted Parties notice of this Judgment and Physical Solution, together with the opportunity to be heard regarding this Judgment, and hereby enters default judgments against all such Parties and incorporates those default judgments into this Judgment. Pursuant to such default judgments a defaulted Party has no right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin. All Parties against which a default judgment has been entered are identified on Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

# 2. GENERAL ADJUDICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO SURFACE WATER.

Pursuant to California law, surface water use since 1914 has been governed by the Water Code. This Judgment does not apply to surface water as defined in the Water Code and is not intended to interfere with any State permitted or licensed surface water rights or pre-1914 surface water right. The impact of any surface water diversion should be considered as part of the State Water Resources Control Board permitting and licensing process and not as part of this Judgment.

### II. DECREE

## 3. JURISDICTION, PARTIES, DEFINITIONS.

- **3.1 Jurisdiction.** This Action is an *inter se* adjudication of all claims to the rights to Produce Groundwater from the Basin alleged between and among all Parties. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and Parties herein to enter a Judgment declaring and adjudicating the rights to reasonable and beneficial use of water by the Parties in the Action pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution.
- 3.2 Parties. The Court required that all Persons having or claiming any right, title or interest to the Groundwater within the Basin be notified of the Action. Notice has been given pursuant to the Court's order. All Public Water Suppliers, landowners, Non-Pumper Class and Small Pumper Class members and other Persons having or making claims have been or will be included as Parties to the Action. All named Parties who have not been dismissed have appeared or have been given adequate opportunity to appear.
- Action frame many legal issues. The Action includes over 4,000 Parties, as well as the members of the Non-Pumper Class and the members of the Small Pumper Class. The Basin's entire Groundwater supply and Groundwater rights, extending over approximately 1390 square miles, have been brought to issue. The numerous Groundwater rights at issue in the case include, without limitation, overlying, appropriative, prescriptive, and federal reserved water rights to Groundwater, rights to return flows from Imported Water, rights to recycled water, rights to stored Imported Water subject to the Watermaster rules and regulations, and rights to utilize the storage space within the Basin. After several months of trial, the Court made findings regarding Basin characteristics and determined the Basin's Safe Yield. The Court's rulings and judgments in this case, including the Safe Yield determination, form the basis for this Judgment.

### 3.4 Need for a Declaration of Rights and Obligations for a Physical

**Solution**. A Physical Solution for the Basin, based on a declaration of water rights and a formula for allocation of rights and obligations, is necessary to implement the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution and to protect the Basin and the Parties' rights to the

28

Basin's water resources. The Physical Solution governs Groundwater, Imported Water and Basin storage space, and is intended to ensure that the Basin can continue to support existing and future reasonable and beneficial uses. A Physical Solution requires determining individual groundwater Groundwater rights for the Public Water Suppliers, landowners, Non-Pumper Class and Small Pumper Class members, and other Parties within the Basin. The Physical Solution set forth in this Judgment: (1) is a fair and reasonable allocation of Groundwater rights in the Basin after giving due consideration to water rights priorities and the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution; (2) provides for a reasonable sharing of Imported Water costs; (3) furthers the mandates of the State Constitution and State water policy; and (4) is a remedy that gives due consideration to applicable common law rights and priorities to use Basin water and storage space without substantially impairing such rights. Combined with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, water banking, and improved conveyance and distribution methods within the Basin, present and future Imported Water sources are sufficient both in quantity and quality to assure implementation of a Physical Solution. This Judgment will facilitate water resource planning and development by the Public Water Suppliers and individual water users.

- **3.5 Definitions.** As used in this Judgment, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth herein:
- **3.5.1** Action. The coordinated and consolidated actions included in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.
- 3.5.2 Adjusted Native Safe Yield. The Native Safe Yield minus (1) the Production Right allocated to the Small Pumper Class under Paragraph 5.1.3, (2) the Federal Reserved Water Right under Paragraph 5.1.4, and (3) the State of California Production Right under Paragraph 5.1.5. The Adjusted Native Safe Yield as of the date of entry of this Judgment is 70,686.6 acre-feet per year.
- 3.5.3 <u>Administrative Assessment</u>. The amount charged by the Watermaster for the costs incurred by the Watermaster to administer this Judgment.
  - **3.5.4 Annual Period.** The calendar Year.

28

Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group. The members of the 3.5.5 Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group are Antelope Park Mutual Water Company, Aqua-J Mutual Water Company, Averydale Mutual Water Company, Baxter Mutual Water Company, Bleich Flat Mutual Water Company, Colorado Mutual Water Co., El Dorado Mutual Water Company, Evergreen Mutual Water Company, Land Projects Mutual Water Co., Landale Mutual Water Co., Shadow Acres Mutual Water Company, Sundale Mutual Water Company, Sunnyside Farms Mutual Water Company, Inc., Tierra Bonita Mutual Water Company, West Side Park Mutual Water Co. and White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co., together with the successor(s)-ininterest to any member thereof. Each of the members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group was formed when the owner(s) of the lands that were being developed incorporated the mutual water company and transferred their water rights to the mutual water company in exchange for shares of common stock. The mutual water company owns, operates and maintains the infrastructure for the production, storage, distribution and delivery of water solely to its shareholders. The shareholders of each of these mutual water companies, who are the owners of the real property that is situated within the mutual water company's service area, have the right to have water delivered to their properties, a right appurtenant to their land. [See, Erwin v. Gage Canal Company (1964) 226 Cal. App. 2d 189].

- **3.5.6 AVEK.** The Antelope Valley–East Kern Water Agency.
- **3.5.7** Balance Assessment. The amount of money charged by the Watermaster on all Production Rights, excluding the United States' actual Production, to pay for the costs, not including infrastructure, to purchase, deliver, produce in lieu, or arrange for alternative pumping sources in the Basin.
- 3.5.8 Basin. The area adjudicated in this Action as shown on Exhibit 2, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, which lies within the boundaries of the line labeled "Boundaries of the Adjudicated Area" and described therein. The Basin generally encompasses the Antelope Valley bordered on the West and South by the San Gabriel and Tehachapi Mountains, with the eastern boundary being the Los Angeles-San Bernardino County line, as determined by the Court.

3.5.18.1 Causes material physical harm to the Basin, any Subarea, or any Producer, Party or Production Right, including, but not limited to, Overdraft, degradation of water quality by introduction of contaminants to the aquifer by a Party and/or transmission of those introduced contaminants through the aquifer, liquefaction, land subsidence and other material physical injury caused by elevated or lowered Groundwater levels. Material physical harm does not include "economic injury" that results from other than direct physical causes, including any adverse effect on water rates, lease rates, or demand for water.

3.5.18.2 If fully mitigated, Material Injury shall no longer be considered to be occurring.

3.5.19 <u>Native Safe Yield</u>. Naturally occurring Groundwater recharge to the Basin, including "return flows" from pumping naturally occurring recharge, on an average annual basis. Imported Water Return Flows are not included in Native Safe Yield.

3.5.20 <u>New Production</u>. Any Production of Groundwater from the Basin that has not of right under this Judgment, been exercised as of the date of this Judgment.

**3.5.21 Non-Overlying Production Rights.** The rights held by the Parties identified in Exhibit 3, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

3.5.22 Non-Pumper Class. All private (i.e., non-governmental) Persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and didhave not dodone so at any prior time during the five Years preceding January 18, 2006. The Non-Pumper Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such Non-Pumper Class members' land within the Basin. The Non-Pumper Class excludes (1) all Persons to the extent their properties are connected to a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company from which they receive water service, (2) all properties that are listed as "improved" by the Los Angeles County or Kern County Assessor's offices, unless the owners of such properties declare under penalty of perjury that they do not pump and have never pumped water on those properties, and (3(3) all Persons to the extent they own properties within the Basin on which they have pumped water at any time; and (4) those who opted out of the Non-Pumper Class. The Non-Pumper Class does

40.

3.5.5251 <u>Watermaster</u>. The Person(s) appointed by the Court to administer the provisions of this Judgment.

3.5.5352 <u>Watermaster Engineer</u>. The engineering or hydrology expert or firm retained by the Watermaster to perform engineering and technical analysis and water administration functions as provided for in this Judgment.

3.5.5453 <u>District No. 40</u>. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.3.5.5554 <u>Year</u>. Calendar year.

### 4. SAFE YIELD AND OVERDRAFT

- 4.1 <u>Safe Yield</u>: The Native Safe Yield of the Basin is 82,300 acre-feet per Year. With the addition of Imported Water Return Flows, the Total Safe Yield is approximately 110,000 acre-feet per Year, but will vary annually depending on the volume of Imported Water.
- 4.2 Overdraft: In its Phase 3 trial decision, the Court held that the Basin, defined by the Court's March 12, 2007 Revised Order After Hearing On Jurisdictional Boundaries, is in a state of overdraft based on estimate of extraction and recharge, corroborated by physical evidence of conditions in the Basin. Reliable estimates of the long-term extractions from the Basin have exceeded reliable estimates of the Basin's recharge by significant margins, and empirical evidence of overdraft in the Basin corroborates that conclusion. Portions of the aquifer have sustained a significant loss of Groundwater storage since 1951. The evidence is persuasive that current extractions exceed recharge and therefore that the Basin is in a state of overdraft. The Court's full Phase 3 trial decision is attached as Exhibit 5 and is incorporated herein by reference.

# 5. **PRODUCTION RIGHTS**

Allocation of Rights to Native Safe Yield. Consistent with the goals of this Judgment and to maximize reasonable and beneficial use of the Groundwater of the Basin pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution, all the unexercised and exercised Production Rights established by this Judgment are of equal priority, except the Federal Reserved Water Right which is addressed shall be implemented in accordance with Paragraph 18.5.1.4, and with the reservation of the Small Pumper Class Members' right to claim a priority under Water

MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

1	of the Public Water Suppliers as set forth in Exhibit 3 only, the Production Rights of		
2	the Small Pumper Class of 3806.4 acre feet per Year, and the exercised Domestic Use		
3	Production Rights of the Nonpumper Class shall be 10,321 acre feet per Year.		
4	**Alternative No. 2: Pro Rata Reduction in the Production Rights of Other Producers		
5	will not be necessary if the Unused Federal Reserve Right is allocated in its entirety to a		
6 7	Non-Pumper Class Pool each Year. Any groundwater in the Non-Pumper Class Pool each		
8	Year that is not Produced by Non-Pumper Members shall remain in the Basin for the		
9	benefit of the Basin.		
10	<b>5.1.2.4.1</b> A Reserve shall be established at the time of Entry		
11	of this Judgment for Non-Pumper Class Production in the amount of 1,000 acre feet per Year.**		
12			
13	The Reserve shall be created by reducing pro rata the Production Rights of all Producers except		
14	the Production Rights of the United States, the Production Rights of the State of California, the		
15	Production Rights of the Public Water Suppliers as set forth in Exhibit 3 only, and the Production		
16	Rights of the Small Pumper Class of 3806.4 acre feet per Year, and the exercised Domestic Use		
17	Production Rights of the Nonpumper Class. The Pro Rata Reduction will occur on the first		
18	January 2nd of the Annual Period after the entry of this Judgment and on each succeeding		
19	January 2nd as needed.		
20	**Alternative No. 2: Creation of a Reserve may not be necessary if the Unused Federal		
21	Reserve Right is allocated in its entirety to a Non-Pumper Class Pool each Year.		
22   23	5.1.2.4.2 During any Year after the entry of this Judgment,		
24	any Production by a Non-Pumper Class Member pursuant to a well permit received by the		
25			
26	Watermaster that would exceed the 1,000 acre feet per Year Reserve will not commence until the		
27	actual Pro Rata Reduction occurs on the following January 2.		
20			

5.1.3 Small Pumper Class Production Rights. Subject only to the closure of the Small Pumper Class membership, the Small Pumper Class's aggregate Production Right is 3806.4 acre-feet per Year. Allocation of water to the Small Pumper Class is set at an average Small Pumper Class Member amount of 1.2 acre-feet per existing household or parcel based upon the 3172 known Small Pumper Class Member parcels at the time of this Judgment. Any Small Pumper Class Member may Produce up to and including 3 acre feet per Year per existing household for reasonable and beneficial use on their overlying land, and such Production will not be subject to Replacement Water Assessment. Members. Production by any Small Pumper Class Member above 31.2 acre-feet per Year per household or parcel will be subject to Replacement Water Assessment, as set forth in this Judgment. Administrative Assessments for unmetered Production by Small Pumper Class Members shall be set based upon the allocation of 1.2 acre-feet per Year per household or parcel, whichever is the case; metered Production shall be assessed in accord with the actual Production. A Small Pumper Class Member who is lawfully, by permit, operating a shared well with an adjoining Small Pumper Class Member, shall have all of the same rights and obligations under this Judgment without regard to the location of the shared well, and such shared use is not considered a prohibited transfer of a pumping right under Paragraph 5.1.3.3.

5.1.3.1 The Production of Small Pumper Class Members of upshall install water meters to 3 acre-feet per Year of Groundwater per household or per parcel for reasonable and beneficialmonitor their water use. shall only be subject to reduction if: (1) the reduction is based upon a statistically credible study and analysis of the Small Pumper Class' actual Native Safe Yield Production, as well as the nature of the use of such Native Safe Yield, over at least a three Year period; and (2) the reduction is mandated by Court order after notice to the Small Pumper Class Members affording a reasonable opportunity for the Court to hear any Small Pumper Class Member objections to such reduction, including a determination that Water Code section 106 may apply so as to prevent a reduction.

5.1.3.2 The primary means for monitoring the Small Pumper Class Members' Groundwater use under the Physical Solution will be based on physical inspection by the Watermaster, including the use of aerial photographs and satellite imagery. All Small 22

28

Closure Date; and (2) any unidentified households existing on a Small Pumper Class Member parcel prior to the Class Closure Date. Within ten (10) Court days of the Class Closure Date, class counsel for the Small Pumper Class shall publish to the Court website and file with the Court a list of the known Small Pumper Class Members.

5.1.3.76 Given the limited number of additions to the Small Pumper Class during the more than five Years since the initial notice was provided to the Class, the Court finds that the number of potentially unknown Small Pumper Class Members and their associated water use is likely very low, and any Production by unknown Small Pumper Class Members is hereby deemed to be de minimis in the context of this Physical Solution and shall not alter the Production Rights decreed in this Judgment. However, whenever the identity of any unknown Small Pumper Class Member becomes known, that Small Pumper Class Member shall be bound by all provisions of this Judgment, including without limitation, the assessment obligations applicable to Small Pumper Class Members.

5.1.3.8 In recognition of his service as class representative, Richard Wood has a Production Right of up to five 5 acre feet per Year for reasonable and beneficial use on his parcel free of Replacement Water Assessment. This Production Right shall not be transferable and is otherwise subject to the provisions of this Judgment.

Federal Reserved Water Right. The United States has a right to Produce 7,600 acre-feet per Year from the Native Safe Yield as a Federal Reserved Water Right for use for military purposes at Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42. See Cappaert v. United States, 426 U.S. 128, 138 (1976); United States v. New Mexico, 438 U.S. 696, 700 (1978). Maps of the boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base and Plant 42 are attached hereto as Exhibits 6 and 7. The United States may Produce any or all of this water at any time for uses consistent with the purposes of its Federal Reserved Water Right. Water uses at Edwards Air Force Base and Plant 42 as of the date of this Judgment are consistent with the military purposes of the facilities. The Federal Reserved Water Right to Produce 7,600 acre-feet per Year is not subject to Rampdown or any reduction including Pro-Rata Reduction due to Overdraft and determinations of reasonable and beneficial use pursuant to section 18.5.11.

7,600 acre-feet in any given Year, the unused amount in any Year will be allocated in the following Year to the Non-Overlying Production Rights holders, except for Boron Community Services District and West Valley County Water District, in the following Year, in proportion to Production Rights set forth in Exhibit 3 existence in that given Year.\*\* This Production of unused Federal Reserved Water Right Production does not increase any Non-Overlying Production Right amount or percentage, and does not affect the United States' ability to fully Produce its Federal Reserved Water Right as provided in Paragraph 5.1.4 in any subsequent Year. Upon entry of a judgment confirming its Federal Reserved Water Rights consistent with this Judgment, the United States waives any rights under State law to a correlative share of the Groundwater in the Basin underlying Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42.

\*\*Alternative No. 2: In the event the United States does not Produce its entire

7,600 acre-feet in any given Year, the unused amount in any Year will be allocated

to the Non-Pumper Class Pool in the following Year. Pro Rata Reduction in the

Production Rights of Other Producers may not be necessary if the Unused Federal

Reserve Right is allocated in its entirety to a Non-Pumper Class Pool each Year.

Any groundwater in the Non-Pumper Class Pool each Year that is not Produced by
the Non-Pumper Members shall remain in the Basin for the benefit of the Basin.

5.1.4.2 The United States is not precluded from acquiring State law based Production Rights in excess of its Federal Reserved Water Right through the acquisition of Production Rights in the Basin.

5.1.5 State of California Production Rights. The State of California shall have a Production Right of 207 acre-feet per Year from the Native Safe Yield and shall have the additional right to Produce Native Safe Yield as set forth in Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below. This Production of Native Safe Yield shall not be subject to Pro-Rata Reduction. Any Production by the State of California above 207 acre-feet per Year that is not Produced pursuant to Paragraphs 5.1.5.3 and 5.1.5.4 below shall be subject to Replacement Assessments.

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

into an agreement allowing AVEK to recapture the Native Safe Yield DWR puts into the California Aqueduct and return it to the Basin.

5.1.5.4.3 Department of Military. The Department of Military may Produce additional Groundwater in an amount necessary to protect and promote public health and safety during an event deemed to be an emergency by the Department of Military pursuant to California Government Code sections 8567 and 8571, and California Military and Veterans Code sections 143 and 146. Such Production shall be free from any assessment, including any Administrative, Balance, or Replacement Water Assessment.

5.1.5.4.4 — The California Department of Veterans Affairs. The California Department of Veteran Affairs has begun the expansion and increased occupancy project of the Veterans Home of California — Lancaster facility owned by the State of California by and on behalf of the California Department of Veterans Affairs. The California Department of Veterans Affairs fully expects that it will be able to purchase up to an additional 40 acre-feet per Year for use at this facility from District No. 40.

5.1.6 Non-Overlying Production Rights. The Parties listed in Exhibit 3 have Production Rights in the amounts listed in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 3 is attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference. Non-Overlying Production Rights are subject to Pro-Rata Reduction or Increase only pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.10.

Produce up to 500 acre-feet of Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial uses at its National Soccer Complex. Such production shall only be subject to Administrative Assessment and no other assessments. Lancaster will stop Producing Groundwater and will use Recycled Water supplied from District No. 40, when it becomes available, to meet the reasonable and beneficial water uses of the National Soccer Complex. Lancaster may continue to Produce up to 500 acrefeet of Groundwater until Recycled Water becomes available to serve the reasonable and Beneficial water uses of the National Soccer Complex. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed as requiring Lancaster to have any responsibility for constructing, or in any way

contributing to the cost of, any infrastructure necessary to deliver Recycled Water to the National Soccer Complex.

# Valley Joint Union High School District is a public school entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. In addition to the amounts allocated to Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District ("AVJUHSD") and pursuant to Exhibit 4, AVJUHSD can additionally produce up to 29 acre-feet of Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial uses on its athletic fields and other public spaces. When recycled water becomes available to Quartz Hill High School (located at 6040 West Avenue L, Quartz Hill, CA 93535) which is a site that is part of AVJUHSD, at a price equal to or less than the lowest cost of any of the following: Replacement Obligation, Replacement Water, or other water that is delivered to AVJUHSD at Quartz Hill High School, AVJUHSD will stop producing the 29 acre-feet of Groundwater allocated to it and use recycled water as a replacement to its 29 acre-feet production. AVJUHSD retains its

Party may Produce Groundwater in excess of its Production Right allocated to it in Exhibit 4 for the purpose of constructing a facility located on land overlying the Basin that will generate, distribute or store solar power through and including December 31, 2016 and shall not be charged a Replacement Water Assessment or incur a Replacement Obligation for such Production in excess of its Production Rights. Any amount of such production in excess of the Production Right through and including December 31, 2016 shall be reasonable to accomplish such construction but shall not exceed 500 acre-feet per Year for all Parties using such water.

production rights and allocation pursuant to Exhibit 4 of this Judgment.

Parties: Not Yet Identified at Entry of Judgment. Any claim to a right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin by a Non-Stipulating Party Not Yet Identified at Entry of Judgment shall be subject to procedural or legal objection by any Stipulating Party. \_Should the Court, after taking evidence, rule that a Non-Stipulating Party Not Yet Identified at Entry of Judgment has a Production Right, the Non-Stipulating Party Not Yet Identified at Entry of Judgment shall

be subject to all provisions of this Judgment, including reduction in Production necessary to implement the Physical Solution and the requirements to pay assessments, but shall not be entitled to benefits provided by Stipulation, including but not limited to Carry Over pursuant to Paragraph 15 and Transfers pursuant to Paragraph 16. If the total Production by Non-Stipulating Parties is less than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe Yield, such Production will be addressed when Native Safe Yield is reviewed pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. If the total Production by Non-Stipulating Parties is greater than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe Yield, the Watermaster shall determine whether Production by Non-Stipulating Parties would cause Material Injury, in which case the Watermaster shall take action to mitigate the Material. Any Production Rights granted by the Court pursuant to this paragraph shall reduce pro rata the Production Rights of all Producers except the Production Rights of the United States, the <u>Production Rights of the State of California, the Production Rights of the Public Water Suppliers</u> as set forth in Exhibit 3 only, the Production Rights of the Small Pumper Class of 3806.4 acre feet per Year, and the exercised Domestic Use Production Rights of the Nonpumper Class. Injury, including, but not limited to, imposing a Balance Assessment, provided however, that the Watermaster shall not recommend any changes to the allocations under Exhibits 3 and 4 prior to the redetermination of Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. In all cases, however, whenever the Watermaster re-determines the Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9, the Watermaster shall take action to prevent Native Safe Yield Production from exceeding the Native Safe Yield on a long-term basis.

# 5.2 Rights to Imported Water Return Flows.

5.2.1 Rights to Imported Water Return Flows. Return Flows from Imported Water used within the Basin which net augment the Basin Groundwater supply are not a part of the Native Safe Yield. Subject to review pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.11, Imported Water Return Flows from Agricultural Imported Water use are 34% and Imported Water Return Flows from Municipal and Industrial Imported Water use are 39% of the amount of Imported Water used.

25

26

27

27

28

Water Imported Through AVEK. The right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK belongs exclusively to the Parties identified on Exhibit 8, attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference. (Amended), including Non-Pumper Class Members, who pay a Replacement Water Assessment. Each Party, other than Non-Pumper Class Members, shown on Exhibit 8 (Amended) shall have a right to Produce an amount of Imported Water Return Flows in any Year equal to the applicable percentage multiplied by the average amount of Imported Water used by that Party within the Basin in the preceding five Year period (not including Imported Stored Water in the Basin). Non-Pumper Class Members shall have a right to Produce an amount of Imported Water Return Flows in any Year equal to the applicable percentage multiplied by the amount of Imported Water used by the Non-Pumper Class Member in the preceding Year. Any Party that uses Imported Water on lands outside the Basin but within the watershed of the Basin shall be entitled to Produce Imported Water Return Flows to the extent such Party establishes to the satisfaction of the Watermaster the amount that its Imported Water Return Flows augment the Basin Groundwater supply. This right shall be in addition to that Party's Overlying or Non-Overlying Production Right. Production of Imported Water Return Flows is not subject to the Replacement Water Assessment. All Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK and not allocated to Parties identified in Exhibit 8 (Amended), including Non-Pumper Class Members, who pay a Replacement Water Assessment belong exclusively to AVEK, unless otherwise agreed by AVEK. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Boron Community Services District shall have the right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows, up to 78 acre feet annually, based on the applicable percentage multiplied by the average amount of Imported Water used by Boron Community Services District outside the Basin, but within its service area in the preceding five Year period (not including Imported Stored Water in the Basin) without having to establish that the Imported Water Return Flows augment the Basin Groundwater supply.

5.2.3 <u>Water Not Imported Through AVEK.</u> After entry of this Judgment, a Party other than AVEK that brings Imported Water into the Basin from a source

other than AVEK shall notify the Watermaster each Year quantifying the amount and uses of the Imported Water in the prior Year. The Party bringing such Imported Water into the Basin shall have a right to Produce an amount of Imported Water Return Flows in any Year equal to the applicable percentage set forth above multiplied by <u>either</u> the average annual amount of Imported Water used by that Party within the Basin in the preceding five Year period (not including Imported Stored Water in the Basin).) or the amount of Imported Water used by the Non-Pumper Class Member in the preceding Year.

5.3 Rights to Recycled Water. The owner of a waste water treatment plant operated for the purpose of treating wastes from a sanitary sewer system shall hold the exclusive right to the Recycled Water as against anyone who has supplied the water discharged into the waste water collection and treatment system. At the time of this Judgment those Parties that produce Recycled Water are Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts No. 14 and No. 20, Rosamond Community Services District, and Edwards Air Force Base. Nothing in this Judgment affects or impairs this ownership or any existing or future agreements for the use of Recycled Water within the Basin.

# 6. <u>INJUNCTION</u>

6.1 Injunction Against Unauthorized Production. Each and every Party, its officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, and assigns, except for the United States, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from Producing Groundwater from the Basin except pursuant to this Judgment. Without waiving or foreclosing any arguments or defenses it might have, the United States agrees that nothing herein prevents or precludes the Watermaster or any Party from seeking to enjoin the United States from Producing water in excess of its 7,600 acre-foot per Year Reserved Water Right if and to the extent the United States has not paid the Replacement Assessments for such excess Production or entered into written consent to the imposition of replacement Assessments as described in Paragraph 9.2.

# 6.2 Injunction Re Change in Purpose of Use Without Notice to The

<u>Watermaster</u>. Each and every Party, its officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from changing its Purpose of Use of Groundwater

27

28

at any time without notifying the Watermaster.

every Party, its officers, directors, agents, employees, successors and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from claiming any right to Produce the Stored Water that has been recharged in the Basin, except pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster, and as allowed by this Judgment, or pursuant to water banking operations in existence and operating at the time of this Judgment as identified in Paragraph 14. This Paragraph does not prohibit Parties from importing water into the Basin for direct use, or from Producing or using Imported Water Return Flows owned by such Parties pursuant to Paragraph 5.2.

6.4 <u>Injunction Against Transportation From Basin</u>. Except upon further order of the Court, each and every Party, its officers, agents, employees, successors and assigns, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from transporting Groundwater hereafter Produced from the Basin to areas outside the Basin except as provided for by the following. The United States may transport water Produced pursuant to its Federal Reserved Water Right to any portion of Edwards Air Force Base, whether or not the location of use is within the Basin. This injunction does not prevent Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax, and Tejon Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company from conducting business operations on lands both inside and outside the Basin boundary, and transporting Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment for those operations and for use on those lands outside the Basin and within the watershed of the Basin as shown in Exhibit 9. However, Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax, and Tejon Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company shall provide a comprehensive report to the Watermaster evidencing any and all policies and procedures enforced by each of these Parties to prevent the transportation of Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment outside the watershed of the Basin. Any Member of the Watermaster may challenge the sufficiency and/or efficacy of said policies and procedures by filing a Noticed Motion with Court. The Noticed Motion may seek appropriate remedies to ensure that Groundwater Produced from the Basin is not being transported outside the watershed by Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax, and/or Tejon Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company, up to and including a remedy of reducing the then-existing Production Right of Saint Andrew's Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax, and/or Tejon Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company. This

injunction also does not apply to any California Aqueduct protection dewatering Produced by the California Department of Water Resources. This injunction does not apply to the recovery and use of stored Imported Water by any Party that stores Imported Water in the Basin pursuant to Paragraph 14 of this Judgment.

# 6.4.1 Export by Boron and Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services

# Districts.

6.4.1.1 — The injunction does not prevent Boron Community

Services District from transporting Groundwater Produced consistent with this Judgment for use outside the Basin, provided such water is delivered within its service area.

Produced within the Basin by Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District and delivered to its service areas, so long as the total Production does not exceed 1,200 acre-feet per Year, such water is available for Production without causing Material Injury, and the District pays a Replacement Water Assessment pursuant to Paragraph 9.2, together with any other costs deemed necessary to protect Production Rights decreed herein, on all water Produced and exported in this manner.

6.5 <u>Continuing Jurisdiction</u>. The Court retains and reserves full jurisdiction, power and authority for the purpose of enabling the Court, upon a motion of a Party or Parties noticed in accordance with the notice procedures of Paragraph 20.6 hereof, to make such further or supplemental order or directions as may be necessary or appropriate to interpret, <u>modify</u>, <u>amend</u>, enforce, administer or carry out this Judgment and to provide for such other matters as are not contemplated by this Judgment and which might occur in the future, and which if not provided for would defeat the purpose of this Judgment.

# III. PHYSICAL SOLUTION

# 7. **GENERAL**

7.1 Purpose and Objective. The Court finds that the Physical Solution incorporated as part of this Judgment: (1) is a fair and equitable basis for satisfaction of all water rights in the Basin; (2) is in furtherance of the State Constitution mandate and the State water

policy; and (3) takes into account water rights priorities, applicable public trust interests and the Federal Reserved Water Right. The Court finds that the Physical Solution establishes a legal and practical means for making the maximum reasonable and beneficial use of the waters of the Basin by providing for the long-term Conjunctive Use of all available water in order to meet the reasonable and beneficial use requirements of water users in the Basin. Therefore, the Court adopts, and orders the Parties to comply with this Physical Solution.

- **7.2** Need For Flexibility. This Physical Solution must provide flexibility and adaptability to allow the Court to use existing and future technological, social, institutional, and economic options in order to maximize reasonable and beneficial water use in the Basin.
- 7.3 General Pattern of Operations. A fundamental premise of the Physical Solution is that all Parties may Produce sufficient water to meet their reasonable and beneficial use requirements in accordance with the terms of this Judgment. To the extent that Production by a Producer exceeds such Producer's right to Produce a portion of the Total Safe Yield as provided in this Judgment, the Producer will pay a Replacement Water Assessment to the Watermaster and the Watermaster will provide Replacement Water to replace such excess production according to the methods set forth in this Judgment.
- Mater Rights. A Physical Solution for the Basin based upon a declaration of water rights and a formula for allocation of rights and obligations is necessary to implement the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution. The Physical Solution requires quantifying the Producers' rights within the Basin in a manner which will reasonably allocate the Native Safe Yield and Imported Water Return Flows and which will provide for sharing Imported Water costs. all subject to Pro Rata Reduction of certain Producers' Production Rights as set forth in this Judgment to accommodate the correlative rights of the Non-Pumper Class and subject to the periodic Evaluation of Reasonable and Beneficial Use pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.11. Imported Water sources are or will be available in amounts which, when combined with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, and improved conveyance and distribution methods within the Basin, will be sufficient in quantity and quality to assure implementation of the Physical Solution. Sufficient information and data exists to allocate existing water supplies,

taking into account water rights priorities, within the Basin and as among the water users Parties.

The Physical Solution provides for delivery and equitable distribution of Imported Water to the Basin.

# 8. RAMPDOWN

- 8.1 <u>Installation of Meters</u>. Within two (2) Years from the entry of this Judgment all Parties other than the Small Pumper Class shall install meters on their wells for monitoring Production. Each Party shall bear the cost of installing its meter(s). <u>Monitoring or metering of Production by the Small Pumper Class shall be at the discretion of the Watermaster, subject to the provisions of Paragraph 5.1.3.2.</u>
- **8.2** Rampdown Period. The "Rampdown Period" is seven Years beginning on the January 1 following entry of this Judgment and continuing for the following seven (7) Years.
- Years of the Rampdown Period no Producer will be subject to a Replacement Water Assessment. During Years three through seven of the Rampdown Period, the amount that each Party may Produce from the Native Safe Yield will be progressively reduced, as necessary, in equal annual increments, from its Pre-Rampdown Production to its Production Right. Except as is determined to be exempt during the Rampdown period pursuant to the Drought Program provided for in Paragraph 8.4, any amount Produced over the required reduction shall be subject to Replacement Water Assessment. The Federal Reserved Water Right is not subject to Rampdown.
- 8.4 Drought Program During Rampdown for Participating Public Water Suppliers. During the Rampdown period a drought water management program ("Drought Program") will be implemented by District No. 40, Quartz Hill Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, and Palm Ranch Irrigation District, (collectively, "Drought Program Participants"), as follows:
- **8.4.1** During the Rampdown period, District No. 40 agrees to purchase from AVEK each Year at an amount equal to 70 percent of District No. 40's total annual demand

if that amount is available from AVEK at no more than the then current AVEK treated water rate. If that amount is not available from AVEK, District No. 40 will purchase as much water as AVEK makes available to District No. 40 at no more than the then current AVEK treated water rate. Under no circumstances will District No. 40 be obligated to purchase more than 50,000 acre-feet of water annually from AVEK. Nothing in this Paragraph affects AVEK's water allocation procedures as established by its Board of Directors and AVEK's Act.

8.4.2 During the Rampdown period, the Drought Program Participants each agree that, in order to minimize the amount of excess Groundwater Production in the Basin, they will use all water made available by AVEK at no more than the then current AVEK treated water rate in any Year in which they Produce Groundwater in excess of their respective rights to Produce Groundwater under this Judgment. During the Rampdown period, no Production by a Drought Program Participant shall be considered excess Groundwater Production exempt from a Replacement Water Assessment under this Drought Program unless a Drought Program Participant has utilized all water supplies available to it including its Production Right to Native Safe Yield, Return Flow rights, unused Production allocation of the Federal Reserved Water Rights, Imported Water, and Production rights previously transferred from another party. Likewise, no Production by a Drought Program Participant will be considered excess Groundwater Production exempt from a Replacement Water Assessment under this Drought Program in any Year in which the Drought Program Participant has placed water from such sources described in this Paragraph 8.4.2 into storage or has transferred such water to another Person or entity.

8.4.3 During the Rampdown period, the Drought Program Participants will be exempt from the requirement to pay a Replacement Water Assessment for Groundwater Production in excess of their respective rights to Produce Groundwater under this Judgment up to a total of 40,000 acre feet over the Rampdown Period with a maximum of 20,000 acre feet in any single Year for District No. 40 and a total of 5,000 acre feet over the Rampdown Period for all other Drought Program Participants combined. During any Year that excess Groundwater is produced under this Drought Program, all Groundwater Production by the Drought Program Participants will be for the purpose of a direct delivery to customers served within their respective

service areas and will not be transferred to other users within the Basin.

8.4.4 8.4.3 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Drought Program Participants remain subject to the Material Injury limitation as provided in this Judgment.

**8.4.54** Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Drought Program Participants remain subject to a Balance Assessment as provided in Paragraph 9.3 of this Judgment.

# 9. ASSESSMENTS.

Administrative Assessment. Administrative Assessments to fund the Administrative Budget adopted by the Watermaster shall be levied uniformly on an annual basis against (1) each acre foot of a Party's Production Right as described in Paragraph 5.1, (2) each acre foot of a Party's right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows as determined pursuant to paragraph 5.2, (3) each acre foot of a Party's Production for which a Replacement Water Assessment has been imposed pursuant to Paragraph 9.2, and (4) during the Rampdown, each acre foot of a Party's Production in excess of (1)-(3), above, excluding Production from Stored Water and/or Carry Over water, except that the United States shall be subject to the Administrative Assessment only on the actual Production of the United States. During the Rampdown the Administrative Assessment shall be no more than five (5) dollars per acre foot, or as ordered by the Court upon petition of the Watermaster. Non-Overlying Production Rights holders using the unused Production allocation of the Federal Reserved Water Right shall be subject to Administrative Assessments on water the Non-Overlying Production Rights holders Produce pursuant to Paragraph 5.1.4.1.

9.2 Replacement Water Assessment. In order to ensure that each Party may fully exercise its Production Right, there will be a Replacement Water Assessment. Except as is determined to be exempt during the Rampdown period pursuant to the Drought Program provided for in Paragraph 8.4, the The Watermaster shall impose the Replacement Water Assessment on any Producer whose Production of Groundwater from the Basin in any Year is in excess of the sum of such Producer's Production Right and Imported Water Return Flow available in that Year, provided that no Replacement Water Assessment shall be imposed on the United States except

upon the United States' written consent to such imposition based on the appropriation by Congress, and the apportionment by the Office of Management and Budget, of funds that are available for the purpose of, and sufficient for, paying the United States' Replacement Water Assessment. The Replacement Water Assessment shall not be imposed on the Production of Stored Water, In-Lieu Production or Production of Imported Water Return Flows. The amount of the Replacement Water Assessment shall be the amount of such excess Production multiplied by the cost to the Watermaster of Replacement Water, including any Watermaster spreading costs. All Replacement Water Assessments collected by the Watermaster shall be used to acquire Imported Water from AVEK, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palmdale Water District, or other entities. AVEK shall use its best efforts to acquire as much Imported Water as possible in a timely manner. If the Watermaster encounters delays in acquiring Imported Water which, due to cost increases, results in collected assessment proceeds being insufficient to purchase all Imported Water for which the Assessments were made, the Watermaster shall purchase as much water as the proceeds will allow when the water becomes available. If available Imported Water is insufficient to fully meet the Replacement Water obligations under contracts, the Watermaster shall allocate the Imported Water for delivery to areas on an equitable and practicable basis pursuant to the Watermaster rules and regulations.

9.2.1 The Non Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement, executed by its signatories and approved by the Court in the Non-Pumper Class Judgment, specifically provides for imposition of a Replacement Water Assessment on Non-Pumper Class members. This judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. The Non-Pumper Class members specifically agreed to pay a replacement assessment if that member produced "more than its annual share" of the Native Safe Yield less the amount of the Federal Reserved Right. (See Appendix B at paragraph V., section D. Replacement Water.) In approving the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement this Court specifically held in its Order after Hearing dated November 18, 2010, that "the court determination of physical solution cannot be limited by the Class Settlement." The Court also held that the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement "may not affect parties who are not parties to the settlement."

one or more Public Water Suppliers satisfies the elements of prescription and that Production by overlying landowners during portion(s) of the prescriptive period exceeded the Native Safe Yield. At the time of this Judgment the entire Native Safe Yield is being applied to reasonable and beneficial uses in the Basin. Members of the Non-Pumper Class do not and have never Produced Groundwater for reasonable beneficial use as of the date of this Judgment. Pursuant to Pasadena v. Alhambra (1949) 33 Cal 2d 908, 931-32 and other applicable law, the failure of the Non-Pumper Class members to Produce any Groundwater under the facts here modifies their rights to Produce Groundwater except as provided in this Judgment. Because this is a comprehensive adjudication pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, consistent with the California Supreme Court decisions, including In Re Waters of Long Valley Creek Stream System (1979) 25 Cal. 3d 339, this Court makes the following findings: (1) certainty fosters reasonable and beneficial use of water and is called for by the mandate of Article X, section 2; (2) because of this mandate for certainty and in furtherance of the Physical Solution, any New Production, including that by a member of the Non Pumper Class must comply with the New Production Application Procedure specified in Paragraph 18.5.13; (3) as of this Judgment no member of the Non-Pumper Class has established a Production Right to the reasonable and beneficial use of Groundwater based on their unexercised claim of right to Produce Groundwater; (4) if in the future a member of the Non-Pumper Class proposes to Produce Groundwater for reasonable and beneficial use, the Watermaster as part of the New Production Application Procedure, has the authority to determine whether such a member has established that the proposed New Production is a reasonable and beneficial use in the context of other existing uses of Groundwater and then current Basin conditions; and (5) the Watermaster's determinations as to the approval, scope, nature and priority of any New Production is reasonably necessary to the promotion of the State's interest in fostering the most reasonable and beneficial use of its scarce water resources. All provisions of this Judgment regarding the administration, use and enforcement of the Replacement Water Assessment shall apply to each Non-Pumper Class member that Produces Groundwater. Prior to the commencement of Production, each Producing Non-Pumper Class member shall install a meter and report Production to the Watermaster. The Court finds that this Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment.

9.3 Balance Assessment. In order to ensure that after Rampdown each Party may fully exercise its Production Right, there may be a Balance Assessment imposed by the Watermaster. The Balance Assessment shall be assessed on all Production Rights, excluding the United States' actual Production, but including that portion of the Federal Reserved Right Produced by other Parties, in an amount determined by the Watermaster. A Balance Assessment may not be imposed until after the end of the Rampdown. In determining whether to adopt a Balance Assessment, and in what amount, the Watermaster Engineer shall consider current Basin conditions as well as then-current pumping existing after Rampdown exclusive of any consideration of an effect on then-current Basin conditions relating to Production of Groundwater pursuant to the Drought Program which occurred during the Rampdown, and shall only assess a Balance Assessment or curtail a Party's Production under section 9.3.4 below, to avoid or mitigate Material Injury that is caused by Production after the completion of the Rampdown.

- 9.3.1 Any proceeds of the Balance Assessment will be used to purchase, deliver, produce in lieu, or arrange for alternative pumping sources of water in the Basin, but shall not include infrastructure costs.
- 9.3.2 The Watermaster Engineer shall determine and collect from any Party receiving direct benefit of the Balance Assessment proceeds an amount equal to that Party's avoided Production costs.
- 9.3.3 The Balance Assessment shall not be used to benefit the UnitedStates unless the United States participates in paying the Balance Assessment.
- **9.3.4** The Watermaster Engineer may curtail the exercise of a Party's Production Right under this Judgment, except the United States' Production, if it is determined necessary to avoid or mitigate a Material Injury to the Basin and provided that the Watermaster provides an equivalent quantity of water to such Party as a substitute water supply, with such water paid for from the Balance Assessment proceeds.
- 10. <u>SUBAREAS</u>. Subject to modification by the Watermaster the following Subareas are recognized:
- 10.1 <u>Central Antelope Valley Subarea</u>. The Central Antelope Valley Subarea is the largest of the five Subareas and underlies Rosamond, Quartz Hill, Lancaster,

Edwards AFB and much of Palmdale. This Subarea also contains the largest amount of remaining agricultural land use in the Basin. The distinctive geological features of the Central Antelope Valley Subarea are the presence of surficial playa and pluvial lake deposits; the widespread occurrence of thick, older pluvial lake bed deposits; and alluvial deposits from which Groundwater is produced above and below the lake bed deposits. The Central Antelope Valley Subarea is defined to be east of the largely buried ridge of older granitic and tertiary rocks exposed at Antelope Buttes and extending beyond Little Buttes and Tropico Hill. The Central Subarea is defined to be southwest and northeast of the extension of the Buttes Fault, and northwest of an unnamed fault historically identified from Groundwater level differences, as shown on Exhibit 10.

10.2 West Antelope Valley Subarea. The West Antelope Valley Subarea is the second largest subarea. The area is characterized by a lack of surficial lake bed deposits, and little evidence of widespread subsurface lake beds, and thick alluvial deposits. The Western Antelope Valley Subarea is defined to be south of the Willow Springs-Cottonwood Fault and west of a largely buried ridge of older granitic and tertiary rocks that are exposed at Antelope Buttes and Little Buttes, and continue to Tropico Hill, as shown on Exhibit 10.

10.3 South East Subarea. The South East Subarea is characterized by granitic buttes to the north, shallow granitic rocks in the southwest, and a lack of lake bed deposits. The South East Subarea is defined to encompass the remainder of the Basin from the unnamed fault between the Central and South East subareas, to the county-line boundary of the Basin. Notably, this area contains Littlerock and Big Rock creeks that emanate from the mountains to the south and discharge onto the valley floor.

10.4 <u>Willow Springs Subarea</u>. The Willow Springs Subarea is separated from the West Antelope Subarea primarily because the Willow Springs fault shows some signs of recent movement and there is substantial Groundwater hydraulic separation between the two adjacent areas, suggesting that the fault significantly impedes Groundwater flow from the Willow Springs to the lower West Antelope Subarea. Otherwise, the Willow Springs Subarea is comparable in land use to the West Antelope Subarea, with some limited agricultural land use

and no municipal development, as shown on Exhibit 10.

10.5 Rogers Lake Subarea. The Rogers Lake Subarea is characterized by surficial pluvial Lake Thompson and playa deposits, and a narrow, fault-bound, central trough filled with alluvial deposits. The area is divided into north and south subareas on opposite sides of a buried ridge of granite rock in the north lake, as shown on Exhibit 10.

# 11. INCREASE IN PRODUCTION BY THE UNITED STATES.

# **Right.** After the date of entry of this Judgment, the United States shall provide the Watermaster with at least ninety (90) days advanced notice if Production by the United States is reasonably anticipated to increase more than 200 acre-feet per Year in a following 12 month period.

United States agrees that maximizing Imported Water is essential to improving the Basin's health and agrees that its increased demand can be met by either increasing its Production or by accepting deliveries of Imported Water of sufficient quality to meet the purpose of its Federal Reserved Water Right under the conditions provided for herein. Any Party may propose a water substitution or replacement to the United States to secure a reduction in Groundwater Production by the United States. Such an arrangement would be at the United States' sole discretion and subject to applicable federal law, regulations and other requirements. If such a substitution or replacement arrangement is agreed upon, the United States shall reduce Production by the amount of Replacement Water provided to it, and the Party providing such substitution or replacement of water to the United States may Produce a corresponding amount of Native Safe Yield free from Replacement Water Assessment in addition to their Production Right.

# 12. MOVEMENT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS PRODUCTION FACILITIES.

12.1 No Requirement to Move Public Water Suppliers' Production Wells.

One or more of the Public Water Suppliers intend to seek Federal or State legislation to pay for all costs related to moving the Public Water Suppliers Production wells to areas that will reduce

27

28

the impact of Public Water Supplier Production on the United States' current Production wells. The Public Water Suppliers shall have no responsibility to move any Production wells until Federal or State legislation fully funding the costs of moving the wells is effective or until required to do so by order of this Court which order shall not be considered or made by this Court until the seventeenth (17th) Year after entry of this Judgment. The Court may only make such an order if it finds that the Public Water Supplier Production from those wells is causing Material Injury. The Court shall not impose the cost of moving the Public Water Supplier Production Facilities on any non-Public Water Supplier Party to this Judgment.

This Judgment is contingent on final approval by 13. FEDERAL APPROVAL. the Department of Justice. Such approval will be sought upon final agreement of the terms of this Judgment by the settling Parties. Nothing in this Judgment shall be interpreted or construed as a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable provision of law. Nothing in this Judgment, specifically including Paragraphs 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3, shall be construed to deprive any federal official of the authority to revise, amend, or promulgate regulations. Nothing in this Judgment shall be deemed to limit the authority of the executive branch to make recommendations to Congress on any particular piece of legislation. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed to commit a federal official to expend federal funds not appropriated by Congress. To the extent that the expenditure or advance of any money or the performance of any obligation of the United States under this Judgment is to be funded by appropriation of funds by Congress, the expenditure, advance, or performance shall be contingent upon the appropriation of funds by Congress that are available for this purpose and the apportionment of such funds by the Office of Management and Budget and certification by the appropriate Air Force official that funding is available for this purpose, and an affirmative obligation of the funds for payment made by the appropriate Air Force official. No breach of this Judgment shall result and no liability shall accrue to the United States in the event such funds are not appropriated or apportioned.

14. STORAGE. All Parties shall have the right to store water in the Basin pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster. If Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or Palmdale Water District stores Imported Water in the Basin it shall not export from its service area that

Stored Water. AVEK, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or Palmdale Water District may enter into exchanges of their State Water Project "Table A" Amounts. Nothing in this Judgment limits or modifies operation of preexisting banking projects (including AVEK, District No. 40, Antelope Valley Water Storage LLC, Tejon Ranchcorp and Tejon Ranch Company, Sheep Creek Water Co., Rosamond Community Services District and Palmdale Water District) or performance of preexisting exchange agreements of the Parties. The Watermaster shall promptly enter into Storage Agreements with the Parties at their request. The Watermaster shall not enter into Storage Agreements with non-Parties unless such non-Parties become expressly subject to the provisions of this Judgment and the jurisdiction of the Court. Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations which will cause a Material Injury on any Producer. If, pursuant to a Storage Agreement, a Party has provided for pre-delivery or post-delivery of Replacement Water for the Party's use, the Watermaster shall credit such water to the Party's Replacement Water Obligation at the Party's request. Any Stored Water that originated as State Water Project water imported by AVEK, Palmdale Water District or Littlerock Creek Irrigation District may be exported from the Basin for use in a portion of the service area of any city or public agency, including State Water Project Contractors, that are Parties to this action at the time of this Judgment and whose service area includes land outside the Basin. AVEK may export any of its Stored State Project Water to any area outside its jurisdictional boundaries and the Basin provided that all water demands within AVEK's jurisdictional boundaries are met. Any Stored Water that originated as other Imported Water may be exported from the Basin, subject to a requirement that the Watermaster make a technical determination of the percentage of the Stored Water that is unrecoverable and that such unrecoverable Stored Water is dedicated to the Basin.

# 15. CARRY OVER

# 15.1 In Lieu Production Right Carry Over. Any Producer identified in

Paragraph 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.5 and 5.1.6 can utilize In Lieu Production by purchasing Imported Water and foregoing Production of a corresponding amount of the annual Production of Native Safe Yield provided for in Paragraph 5 herein. In Lieu Production must result in a net reduction of annual Production from the Native Safe Yield in order to be entitled to the corresponding Carry Over benefits under this paragraph. In Lieu Production does not make additional water

from the Native Safe Yield available to any other Producer. If a Producer foregoes pumping and uses Imported Water In Lieu of Production, the Producer may Carry Over its right to the unproduced portion of its Production Right for up to ten (10) Years. A Producer must Produce its full current Year's Production Right before any Carry Over water is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a storageStorage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

Paragraph 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.5 and 5.1.6 fails to Produce its full amount of Imported Water Return Flows in the Year following the Year in which the Imported Water was brought into the Basin, the Producer may Carry Over its right to the unproduced portion of its Imported Water Return Flows for up to ten (10) Years. A Producer must Produce its full Production Right before any Carry Over water, or any other water, is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a Storage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

15.3 <u>Production Right Carry Over</u>. If a Producer identified in Paragraph 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.5 and 5.1.6 fails to Produce its full Production Right in any Year, the Producer 46

may Carry Over its right to the unproduced portion of its Production Right for up to ten (10) Years. A Producer must Produce its full Production Right before any Carry Over water, or any other water, is Produced. Carry Over water will be Produced on a first-in, first-out basis. At the end of the Carry Over period, the Producer may enter into a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster to store unproduced portions, subject to terms and conditions in the Watermaster's discretion. Any such Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude operations, including the rate and amount of extraction, which will cause a Material Injury to another Producer or Party, any subarea or the Basin. If not converted to a Storage Agreement, Carry Over water not Produced by the end of the tenth Year reverts to the benefit of the Basin and the Producer no longer has a right to the Carry Over water. The Producer may transfer any Carry Over water or Carry Over water stored pursuant to a Storage Agreement.

# 16. TRANSFERS.

Mhen Transfers are Permitted. Pursuant to terms and conditions to be set forth in the Watermaster rules and regulations, and except as otherwise provided in this Judgment, Parties may transfer all or any portion of their Production Right to another Party so long as such transfer does not cause Material Injury. All transfers are subject to hydrologic review by the Watermaster Engineer. All transferees of water transfers are subject to Pro-Rata Reduction as provided in Paragraphs 5.1.2.4 et. seq., 18.5.10, and 18.5.11, but may be used anywhere in the transferee's service area.

# Production Rights that are transferred to Non-Overlying Production Right holders shall remain on Exhibit 4 (Amended) and be subject to adjustment as provided in ParagraphParagraphs 5.1.2.4 et. seq., 18.5.10, and 18.5.11, but may be used anywhere in the transferee's service area.

# 16.3 <u>Limitation on Transfers of Water by Antelope Valley United Mutuals</u>

**Group.** After the date of this Judgment, any Overlying Production Rights pursuant to Paragraph 5.1.1, rights to Imported Water Return Flows pursuant to Paragraph 5.2, rights to Recycled Water pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 and Carry Over water pursuant to Paragraph 15 (including any water banked pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster) that are at any time held by any member of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group may only be transferred to or amongst

other members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group, except as provided in Paragraph 16.3.1. Transfers amongst members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group shall be separately reported in the Annual Report of the Watermaster pursuant to Paragraphs 18.4.8 and 18.5.17. Transfers amongst members of the Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group shall not be deemed to constitute an abandonment of any member's non-transferred rights.

16.3.1 Nothing in Paragraph 16.3 shall prevent Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group members from transferring Overlying Production Rights to Public Water Suppliers who assume service of an Antelope Valley United Mutuals Group member's shareholders.

16.4 Notwithstanding section 16.1, the Production Right of Boron Community Services District shall not be transferable. If and when Boron Community Services District permanently ceases all Production of Groundwater from the Basin, its Production Right shall be allocated to the other holders of Non-Overlying Production Rights, except for West Valley County Water District, in proportion to those rights.

- 17. CHANGES IN POINT OF EXTRACTION AND NEW WELLS. Parties may change the point of extraction for any Production Right to another point of extraction so long as such change of the point of extraction does not cause Material Injury. A replacement well for an existing point of extraction which is located within 300 feet of a Party's existing well shall not be considered a change in point of extraction.
- 17.1 Notice of New Well. Any Party seeking to construct a new well in order to change the point of extraction for any Production Right to another point of extraction shall notify the Watermaster at least 90 days in advance of drilling any well of the location of the new point of extraction and the intended place of use of the water Produced.
- **17.2** Change in Point of Extraction by the United States. The point(s) of extraction for the Federal Reserved Water Right may be changed, at the sole discretion of the United States, and not subject to the preceding limitation on Material Injury, to any point or points within the boundaries of Edwards Air Force Base or Plant 42. The point(s) of extraction for the Federal Reserved Water Right may be changed to points outside the boundaries of

27

28

Edwards Air Force Base or Plant 42, provided such change in the point of extraction does not cause Material Injury. In exercising its discretion under this Paragraph 17.2, the United States shall consider information in its possession regarding the effect of Production from the intended new point of extraction on the Basin, and on other Producers. Any such change in point(s) of extraction shall be at the expense of the United States. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to waive any monetary claim(s) another Party may have against the United States in federal court based upon any change in point of extraction by the United States.

# 18. WATERMASTER

#### 18.1 Appointment of Initial Watermaster.

**18.1.1** Appointment and Composition: The Court hereby appoints a Watermaster. The Watermaster shall be a five (5) member board\*\*\* composed of one (1) representative each from AVEK and District No. 40, a secondone (1) Public Water Supplier representative selected by District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, Quartz Hill Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, and Rosamond Community Services District, and two (2three (3) landowner Parties, exclusive composed of public agencies and membersone (1) Member of the Non-Pumper and Class, one (1) Member of the Small Pumper Classes, Class, and one (1) landowner selected by majority vote of the landowners identified on Exhibit 4 (or their successors in interest) based on their proportionate share of the total Production Rights identified in Exhibit 4. The United States may also appoint a non-voting Department of Defense (DoD) Liaison to the Watermaster committee to represent DoD interests. Participation by the DoD Liaison shall be governed by Joint Ethics Regulation 3-201. The opinions or actions of the DoD liaison in participating in or contributing to Watermaster proceedings cannot bind DoD or any of its components.

\*\*\*Alternative No. 3: The Watermaster shall be independent and not a Party to this Judgment.

# **18.1.2** Voting Protocol for Watermaster Actions:

**18.1.2.1** The Watermaster shall make decisions by unanimous

vote for the purpose of selecting or dismissing the Watermaster Engineer.

18.1.2.2 The Watermaster shall determine by unanimous vote, after consultation with the Watermaster Engineer, the types of decisions that shall require unanimous vote and those that shall require only a simple majority vote.

18.1.2.3 All decisions of the Watermaster, other than those specifically designated as being subject to a simple majority vote, shall be by a unanimous vote.

18.1.2.4 All board members must be present to make any decision requiring a unanimous vote.

18.1.3 In carrying out this appointment, the Watermaster shall segregate and separately exercise in all respects the Watermaster powers delegated by the Court under this Judgment. All funds received, held, and disbursed by the Watermaster shall be by way of separate Watermaster accounts, subject to separate accounting and auditing. Meetings and hearings held by the Watermaster shall be noticed and conducted separately.

18.1.4 Pursuant to duly adopted Watermaster rules, Watermaster staff and \_administrative functions may be accomplished by AVEK, subject to strict time and cost accounting principles so that this Judgment does not subsidize, and is not subsidized by AVEK.

18.2 <u>Standard of Performance</u>. The Watermaster shall carry out its duties, powers and responsibilities in an impartial manner without favor or prejudice to any Subarea, Producer, Party, or Purpose of Use.

18.3 Removal of Watermaster. The Court retains and reserves full jurisdiction, power, and authority to remove any Watermaster for good cause and substitute a new Watermaster in its place, upon its own motion or upon motion of any Party in accordance with the notice and hearing procedures set forth in Paragraph 20.6. The Court shall find good cause for the removal of a Watermaster upon a showing that the Watermaster has: (1) failed to exercise its powers or perform its duties; (2) performed its powers in a biased manner; or (3) otherwise failed to act in the manner consistent with the provisions set forth in this Judgment or subsequent order of the Court.

18.4 Powers and Duties of the Watermaster. Subject to the continuing

supervision and control of the Court, the Watermaster shall have and may exercise the following express powers and duties, together with any specific powers and duties set forth elsewhere in this Judgment or ordered by the Court:

18.4.1 <u>Selection of the Watermaster Engineer</u>. The Watermaster shall select the Watermaster Engineer with the advice of the Advisory Committee described in Paragraph 19.

appropriate rules and regulations prepared by the Watermaster Engineer and proposed by the Watermaster for conduct pursuant to this Judgment. Before proposing rules and regulations, the Watermaster shall hold a public hearing. Thirty (30) days prior to the date of the hearing, the Watermaster shall send to all Parties notice of the hearing and a copy of the proposed rules and regulations or amendments thereto. All Watermaster rules and regulations, and any amendments to the Watermaster rules and regulations, shall be consistent with this Judgment and are subject to approval by the Court, for cause shown, after consideration of the objections of any Party.

18.4.3 <u>Employment of Experts and Agents.</u> The Watermaster may employ such administrative personnel, engineering, legal, accounting, or other specialty services, and consulting assistants as appropriate in carrying out the terms of this Judgment.

18.4.4 Notice List. The Watermaster shall maintain a current list of Parties to receive notice. The Parties have an affirmative obligation to provide the Watermaster with their current contact information. For Non-Pumper and Small Pumper Class Members, the Watermaster shall initially use the contact information contained in the list of Non-Pumper and Small Pumper Class members filed with the Court by class counsel.

18.4.5 <u>Annual Administrative Budget</u>. The Watermaster shall prepare a proposed administrative budget for each Year. The Watermaster shall hold a public hearing regarding the proposed administrative budget and adopt an administrative budget. The administrative budget shall set forth budgeted items and Administrative Assessments in sufficient detail to show the allocation of the expense among the Producers. Following the adoption of the budget, the Watermaster may make expenditures within budgeted items in the exercise of powers herein granted, as a matter of course.

interest. This interest rate shall apply to any said delinquent assessment from the due date thereof until paid. The delinquent assessment, together with interest thereon, costs of suit, attorneys fees and reasonable costs of collection, may be collected pursuant to (1) motion by the Watermaster giving notice to the delinquent Party only; (2) Order to Show Cause proceeding, or (3) such other lawful proceeding as may be instituted by the Watermaster or the Court. The United States shall not be subject to costs and fees absent congressional waiver of immunity for such costs and fees. The delinquent assessment shall constitute a lien on the property of the Party as of the same time and in the same manner as does the tax lien securing county property taxes. The property of the United States shall not be subject to any lien. The Watermaster shall annually certify a list of all such unpaid delinquent assessments. The Watermaster shall include the names of those Parties and the amounts of the liens in its list to the County Assessor's Office in the same manner and at the same time as it does its Administrative Assessments. Watermaster shall account for receipt of all collections of assessments collected pursuant to this Judgment, and shall pay such amounts collected pursuant to this Judgment to the Watermaster. The Watermaster shall also have the ability to seek to enjoin Production of those Parties, other than the United States, who do not pay assessments pursuant to this Judgment.

18.5 <u>Watermaster Engineer.</u> The Watermaster Engineer shall have the following duties:

18.5.1 <u>Monitoring of Safe Yield.</u> The Watermaster Engineer shall monitor all the Safe Yield components and include them in the annual report for Court approval.

The annual report shall include all relevant data for the Basin.

18.5.2 <u>Reduction in Groundwater Production.</u> The Watermaster Engineer shall ensure that reductions of Groundwater Production to the Native Safe Yield (Rampdown) <u>and Pro Rata Reductions</u> take place pursuant to the terms of this Judgment and any orders by the Court.

18.5.3 <u>Determination of Replacement Obligations.</u> The Watermaster Engineer shall determine Replacement Obligations for each Producer, pursuant to the terms of this Judgment.

18.5.4 <u>Balance Obligations</u>. The Watermaster Engineer shall determine Balance Assessment obligations for each Producer pursuant to the terms of this Judgment. In addition, the Watermaster Engineer shall determine the amount of water derived from the Balance Assessment that shall be allocated to any Producer to enable that Producer to fully exercise its Production Right.

18.5.5 Measuring Devices, Etc. The Watermaster Engineer shall propose, and the Watermaster shall adopt and maintain, rules and regulations regarding determination of Production amounts and installation of individual water meters. The rules and regulations shall set forth approved devices or methods to measure or estimate Production. Producers who meter Production on the date of entry of this Judgment shall continue to meter Production. The Watermaster rules and regulations shall require Producers who do not meter Production on the effective date of entry of this Judgment, except the Small Pumper Class, to install water meters within two Years.

18.5.6 <u>Hydrologic Data Collection</u>. The Watermaster Engineer shall (1) operate, and maintain such wells, measuring devices, and/or meters necessary to monitor stream flow, precipitation, Groundwater levels, and Basin Subareas, and (2) to obtain such other data as may be necessary to carry out this Judgment.

18.5.7 Purchases of and Recharge with Replacement Water. To the extent Imported Water is available, the Watermaster Engineer shall use Replacement Water Assessment proceeds to purchase Replacement Water, and deliver such water to the area deemed most appropriate as soon as practicable. The Watermaster Engineer may pre-purchase Replacement Water and apply subsequent assessments towards the costs of such pre-purchases. The Watermaster Engineer shall reasonably and equitably actively manage the Basin to protect and enhance the health of the Basin.

18.5.8 Water Quality. The Watermaster Engineer shall take all reasonable steps to assist and encourage appropriate regulatory agencies to enforce reasonable water quality regulations affecting the Basin, including regulation of solid and liquid waste disposal, and establishing Memorandums of Understanding with Kern and Los Angeles Counties regarding well drilling ordinances and reporting.

of the seven Year Rampdown period, in the seventeenth (17th) Year, or any timethis Judgment, and every fifth (5<sup>th</sup>) year thereafter, the Watermaster Engineer may recommend to the Court an increase or reduction of the Native Safe Yield. The Watermaster Engineer shall initiate no recommendation to change Native Safe Yield prior to the end of the seventeenth (17th) Year. In the event the Watermaster Engineer recommends in its-report to the Court that the Native Safe Yield be revised based on the best available science, the Court shall conduct a hearing regarding the recommendations and may order a change in Native Safe Yield. Watermaster shall give notice of the hearing pursuant to Paragraph 20.3.2. The most recent Native Safe Yield shall remain in effect until revised by Court order according to this paragraph. If the Court approves a reduction in the Native Safe Yield, it shall impose a Pro-Rata Reduction as set forth hereinin Paragraph 18.5.10, such reduction to be implemented over a seven (7two (2) Year period. If the Court approves an increase in the Native Safe Yield, it shall impose a Pro-Rata Increase as set forth herein, such increase to be implemented immediately. Only the Court can change the Native Safe Yield.

Native Safe Yield. In the event the Court changes the Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9, the increase or decrease will be allocated among the existing Producers in the agreed percentages listed in Exhibits 3 and on the Watermaster's most current Exhibit 4, (Amended), except that the Federal Reserved Water Right of the United States is not subject to any increase or decrease.

Every Two (2) Years following the Entry of this Judgment, the Watermaster Engineer may recommend to the Court that it finds that a Producer is not using Produced water in a reasonable and beneficial manner. In the event the Watermaster Engineer recommends in a report to the Court that a Producer is not using the Produced water in a reasonable and beneficial manner, the Court shall conduct a hearing regarding the recommendation and may order the Producer to put the produced water to a reasonable and beneficial use. Watermaster shall give notice of the

hearing pursuant to Paragraph 20.3.2. If the Court orders a Producer to change the manner of use of Produced water, the Producer shall implement the change in use within the time period specified by the Court. If the Producer does not implement the change in use within the time period specified by the Court, the Court may decree that the Production Right of the Producer be reduced by the amount of the use that is found to not be reasonable and beneficial. If the Court reduces a Production Right, it shall allocate the water in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 18.5.10, such allocation to be implemented immediately. Only the Court can reduce a Production Right pursuant to this provision.

# 18.5.12 Review of Calculation of Imported Water Return Flow

Percentages. Ten (10) Years following the end of the Rampdown, in the seventeenth (17th) Year, or any time thereafter, the Watermaster Engineer may recommend to the Court an increase or decrease of Imported Water Return Flow percentages. The Watermaster Engineer shall initiate no recommendation to change Imported Water Return Flow percentages prior to end of the seventeenth (17th) Year. In the event the Watermaster Engineer recommends in its report to the Court that Imported Water Return Flow percentages for the Basin may need to be revised based on the best available science, the Court shall conduct a hearing regarding the recommendations and may order a change in Imported Water Return Flow percentages. Watermaster shall give notice of the hearing pursuant to Paragraph 20.6. The Imported Water Return Flow percentages set forth in Paragraph 5.2 shall remain in effect unless revised by Court order according to this Paragraph. If the Court approves a reduction in the Imported Water Return Flow percentages, such reduction shall be implemented over a seven (7) Year period. Only the Court can change the Imported Water Return Flow percentages.

18.5.1213 Production Reports. The Watermaster Engineer shall require each Producer, other than unmetered Small Pumper Class Members, to file an annual Production report with the Watermaster. Producers shall prepare the Production reports in a form prescribed by the rules and regulations. The Production reports shall state the total Production for the reporting Party, including Production per well, rounded off to the nearest tenth of an acre foot

for each reporting period. The Production reports shall include such additional information and supporting documentation as the rules and regulations may reasonably require.

18.5.4314 New Production Application Procedure. Any well to be used for New Production shall be subject to the applicable County Well Permit procedures then in effect in Kern County and in Los Angeles County. Any Party who obtains a permit to install a well to be used for New Production from Kern County or Los Angeles County shall provide a copy of the permit to the Watermaster Engineer within thirty (30) days of the issuance of the permit. The Watermaster Engineer may curtail the exercise of a Non-Pumper's Production Right under this Judgment if it is determined necessary to avoid or mitigate a Material Injury to the Basin and provided that the Watermaster provides an equivalent quantity of water to such Non-Pumper as a substitute water supply, with such water paid for from the Balance Assessment proceeds.

The Watermaster Engineer shall determine whether a Party or Person seeking to commence New Production has established the reasonableness of the New Production in the context of all other uses of Groundwater in the Basin at the time of the application, including whether all of the Native Safe Yield is then currently being—used reasonably and beneficially. Considering common law water rights and priorities, the mandate of certainty in Article X, section 2, and all other relevant factors, the Watermaster Engineer has authority to recommend that the application for New Production be denied, or approved on condition of payment of a Replacement Water Assessment. The Watermaster Engineer shall consider, investigate and recommend to the Watermaster whether an application to commence New Production of Groundwater may be approved as follows:

Watermaster to commence New Production of Groundwater shall submit a written application to the Watermaster Engineer which shall include the following:

18.5.13.1.1 Payment of an application fee sufficient to recover all costs of application review, field investigation, reporting, and hearing, and other associated costs, incurred by the Watermaster and Watermaster Engineer in processing the application for New Production;

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

MODIFIED TO INCORPORATE WILLIS CLASS PUMPING

19.2 <u>Compensation</u>. The Advisory Committee members shall serve without compensation.

19.3 <u>Powers and Functions</u>. The Advisory Committee shall act in an advisory capacity only and shall have the duty to study, review, and make recommendations on all discretionary determinations by Watermaster. Parties shall only provide input to the Watermaster through the Advisory Committee.

19.4 Advisory Committee Meetings. The Advisory Committee shall 1) meet on a regular basis; 2) review Watermaster's activities pursuant to this Judgment on at least a semi-annual basis; and 3) receive and make advisory recommendations to Watermaster. Advisory Committee Meetings shall be open to all members of the public. Edwards Air Force Base and the State of California shall be ex officio members of the committee. The United States may also appoint a DoD Liaison to the Watermaster pursuant to Joint Ethics Regulation 3-201.

19.5 <u>Subarea Advisory Management Committees.</u> Subarea Advisory Management Committees will meet on a regular basis and at least semi-annually with the Watermaster Engineer to review Watermaster activities pursuant to this Judgment and to submit advisory recommendations.

19.5.1 <u>Authorization</u>. The <u>ProducersParties</u> in each of the five Management Subareas are hereby authorized and directed to cause committees of <u>ProducerParty</u> representatives to be organized and to act as Subarea Management Advisory Committees.

Management Advisory Committee shall consist of five (5) Persons who shall be called Management Advisors. In the election of Management Advisors, every Party shall be entitled to one vote for every acre foot of Production Right for that Party in that particular subarea. Parties may cumulate their votes and give one candidate a number of votes equal to the number of advisors to be elected, multiplied by the number of votes to which the Party is normally entitled, or distribute the Party's votes on the same principle among as many candidates as the Party thinks fit... In any election of advisors, the candidates receiving the highest number of affirmative votes of the Parties are elected. Elections shall be held upon entry of this Judgment and thereafter every third Year. In the event a vacancy arises, a temporary advisor shall be appointed by

unanimous decision of the other four advisors to continue in office until the next scheduled election. Rules and regulations regarding organization, meetings and other activities shall be at the discretion of the individual Subarea Advisory Committees, except that all meetings of the committees shall be open to the public.

19.5.3 <u>Compensation.</u> The Subarea Management Advisory Committee shall serve without compensation.

19.5.4 <u>Powers and Functions.</u> The Subarea Management Advisory Committee for each subarea shall act in an advisory capacity only and shall have the duty to study, review and make recommendations on all discretionary determinations made or to be made hereunder by Watermaster Engineer which may affect that subarea.

# 20. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.

**20.1** <u>Water Ouality.</u> Nothing in this Judgment shall be interpreted as relieving any Party of its responsibilities to comply with State or Federal laws for the protection of water quality or the provisions of any permits, standards, requirements, or orders promulgated thereunder.

20.2 Actions Not Subject to CEOA Regulation. Nothing in this Judgment or the Physical Solution, or in the implementation thereof, or the decisions of the Watermaster acting under the authority of this Judgment shall be deemed a "project" subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). See e.g., California American Water v. City of Seaside (2010) 183 Cal.App.4th 471, and Hillside Memorial Park & Mortuary v. Golden State Water Co. (2011) 205 Cal.App.4th 534. Neither the Watermaster, the Watermaster Engineer, the Advisory Committee, any Subarea Management Committee, nor any other Board or committee formed pursuant to the Physical Solution and under the authority of this Judgment shall be deemed a "public agency" subject to CEQA. (See Public Resources Code section 21063.)

**20.3** <u>Court Review of Watermaster Actions.</u> Any action, decision, rule, regulation, or procedure of Watermaster or the Watermaster Engineer pursuant to this Judgment shall be subject to review by the Court on its own motion or on timely motion by any Party as follows:

**20.3.1** Effective Date of Watermaster Action. Any order, decision or action of Watermaster or Watermaster Engineer pursuant to this Judgment on noticed specific agenda items shall be deemed to have occurred on the date of the order, decision or action.

20.3.2 Notice of Motion. Any Party may move the Court for review of an action or decision pursuant to this Judgment by way of a noticed motion. The motion shall be served pursuant to Paragraph 20.7 of this Judgment. The moving Party shall ensure that the Watermaster is served with the motion under that Paragraph 20.7 or, if electronic service of the Watermaster is not possible, by overnight mail with prepaid next-day delivery. Unless ordered by the Court, any such petition shall not operate to stay the effect of any action or decision which is challenged.

**20.3.3** <u>Time for Motion.</u> A Party shall file a motion to review any action or decision within ninety (90) days after such action or decision, except that motions to review assessments hereunder shall be filed within thirty (30) days of Watermaster mailing notice of the assessment.

20.3.4 <u>De Novo Nature of Proceeding.</u> Upon filing of a motion to review a decision or action, the Watermaster shall notify the Parties of a date for a hearing at which time the Court shall take evidence and hear argument. The Court's review shall be *de novo* and the Watermaster's decision or action shall have no evidentiary weight in such proceeding.

20.3.5 <u>Decision</u>. The decision of the Court in such proceeding shall be an appealable supplemental order in this case. When the Court's decision is final, it shall be binding upon Watermaster and the Parties.

20.4 <u>Multiple Production Rights</u>. A Party simultaneously may be a member of the Small Pumper Class and hold an Overlying Production Right by virtue of owning land other than the parcel(s) meeting the Small Pumper Class definition. The Small Pumper Class definition shall be construed in accordance with Paragraph 3.5.44 and 3.5.45. <u>A Party simultaneously may be a member of the Non-Pumper Class and hold an Overlying Production Right by virtue of owning land other than the parcel(s) meeting the Non-Pumper Class definition.</u>

26

27

28

The Non-Pumper Class definition shall be construed in accordance with Paragraph 3.5.22 and 3.5.24.

**20.5** Payment of Assessments. Payment of assessments levied by Watermaster hereunder shall be made pursuant to the time schedule developed by the Watermaster, notwithstanding any motion for review of Watermaster actions, decisions, rules or procedures, including review of assessments implemented by the Watermaster.

Designation of Address for Notice and Service. Each Party shall 20.6 designate a name and address to be used for purposes of all subsequent notices and service herein, either by its endorsement on this Judgment or by a separate designation to be filed within thirty (30) days after judgment has been entered. A Party may change its designation by filing a written notice of such change with Watermaster. A Party that desires to be relieved of receiving notices of Watermaster activity may file a waiver of notice in a form to be provided by Watermaster. At all times, Watermaster shall maintain a current list of Parties to whom notices are to be sent and their addresses for purpose of service. Watermaster shall also maintain a full current list of said names and addresses of all Parties or their successors, as filed herein. Watermaster shall make copies of such lists available to any requesting Person. If no designation is made, a Party's designee shall be deemed to be, in order of priority: (1) the Party's attorney of record; (2) if the Party does not have an attorney of record, the Party itself at the address on the Watermaster list; (3) for Non-Pumper and Small Pumper Class Members, after this Judgment is final, the individual Non-Pumper and Small Pumper Class Members at the service address maintained by the Watermaster.

20.7 <u>Service of Documents</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, delivery to or service to any Party by the Court or any Party of any document required to be served upon or delivered to a Party pursuant to this Judgment shall be deemed made if made by e-filing on the Court's website at <a href="www.scefiling.org">www.scefiling.org</a>. All Parties agree to waive service by mail if they receive notifications via electronic filing at the above identified website.

20.8 No Abandonment of Rights. In the interest of the Basin and its water supply, and the principle of reasonable and beneficial use, no Party shall be encouraged to Produce and use more water in any Year than is reasonably required. Failure to Produce all of

the Groundwater to which a Party is entitled shall not, in and of itself, be deemed or constitute an abandonment of such Party's right, in whole or in part, except as specified in Paragraph 15.

- 20.9 Intervention After Judgment. Any Person who is not a Party or successor to a Party and who proposes to Produce Groundwater from the Basin, to store water in the Basin, to acquire a Production Right or to otherwise take actions that may affect the Basin's Groundwater is required to seek to become a Party subject to this Judgment through a noticed motion to intervene in this Judgment prior to commencing Production. Prior to filing such a motion, a proposed intervenor shall consult with the Watermaster Engineer and seek the Watermaster's stipulation to the proposed intervention. A proposed intervenor's failure to consult with the Watermaster Engineer may be grounds for denying the intervention motion. Thereafter, if approved by the Court, such intervenor shall be a Party bound by this Judgment.
- **20.10** <u>Judgment Binding on Successors, etc.</u> Subject to specific provisions hereinbefore contained, this Judgment applies to and is binding upon, and inures to the benefit of the Parties to this Action and all their respective heirs, successors-in-interest and assigns.
- **20.11** <u>Costs</u>. Except subject to any existing court orders, each Party <u>other than</u> the Small Pumper Class and the Non-Pumper Class shall bear its own costs and <u>attorneys</u> fees arising from the Action.
- **20.12** Headings: Paragraph References. Captions and headings appearing in this Judgment are inserted solely as reference aids for ease and convenience; they shall not be deemed to define or limit the scope or substance of the provisions they introduce, nor shall they be used in construing the intent or effect of such provisions.
- **20.13 No Third Party Beneficiaries.** There are no intended third party beneficiaries of any right or obligation of the Parties.
- **20.14** <u>Severability</u>. <u>Except as specifically provided herein, the The provisions of this Judgment are not severable.</u>
- **20.15** Cooperation: Further Acts. The Parties shall fully cooperate with one another, and shall take any additional acts or sign any additional documents as may be necessary, appropriate or convenient to attain the purposes of this Judgment.

1	
2	20.16 Exhibits and Other Writings. Any and all exhibits, documents,
3	instruments, certificates or other writings attached hereto or required or provided for by this
4	Judgment, if any, shall be part of this Judgment and shall be considered set forth in full at each
1	reference thereto in this Judgment.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Dated:———
10	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11	VOD CE OF THE SOI ENGINEERING
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	