1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JANET K. GOLDSMITH, State Bar No. 065959 ERIC N. ROBINSON, State Bar No. 191781 KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD A Professional Corporation 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-4416 Telephone: (916) 321-4500 Facsimile: (916) 321-4555 ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO, City Attorney RICHARD M. BROWN, Senior Assistant City Attorney for Water and Power S. DAVID HOTCHKISS (Bar No. 076821) Assistant City Attorney JULIE A. CONBOY (Bar No. 197407) Deputy City Attorney 111 North Hope Street, Suite 340 P. O. Box 51111 Los Angeles, California 90051-0100 Telephone: (213)367-4500 Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES	
12	STIDEDIOD COUDT OF THE STATE OF CALLEODNIA	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
15	Coordination Proceeding	Case No. 105 CV 049053
16 17	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 Hon. Jack Komar
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	ANSWER OF CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO CROSS-COMPLAINT OF LOS ANGELES
19	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO.
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	40, ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT, CITY OF LANCASTER,
21	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT, LITTLE ROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
22	Lancaster	DISTRICT AND CALIFORNIA WATER
23	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	SERVICE COMPANY
24	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water	Riverside County Superior Court Lead Case No. RIC 344436
25	District	Case No. RIC 344668 Case No. RIC 353840
26		Los Angeles Superior Court
27		Case No. BC 325201
28		Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV-254348
- ~		-1-
l	Viii.	

-	Defendant City of Los Angeles, a public entity, by and through its Department of Water
***************************************	and Power and on behalf of its Department of Airports, hereby answers the cross-complaint of
	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, Rosamond Community Services District,
	Palmdale Water District, City of Lancaster, Quartz Hill Water District, Little Rock Creek
	Irrigation District and California Water Service Company, collectively referred to herein as
	"Municipal Purveyors," as follows:
	<u>ANSWER</u>
	1. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), defendant City of Los Angeles
***************************************	hereby denies each and every allegation contained in the complaint and further denies that
***************************************	Municipal Purveyors are entitled to any relief against cross-defendant.
***************************************	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
***************************************	First Affirmative Defense
***************************************	(Failure to State a Cause of Action)
	2. The cross-complaint, and each and every cause of action alleged therein, fails to state facts
	sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
	Second Affirmative Defense
	(Uncertainty)
	3. The Municipal Purveyors are not entitled to any relief, as the complaint is fatally uncertain
	as to the geographical location and extent of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin as to which
	comprehensive adjudication of water rights is sought.
	Third Affirmative Defense
	(Statutes of Limitation)
***************************************	4. Each and every cause of action is barred, in whole or in part, by applicable statutes of
***************************************	limitation including, but not limited to, sections 318, 319, 321, 337, 338, 339, 342, and 343 of the
	California Code of Civil Procedure
	///

1	Fourth Affirmative Defense	
2	(Laches)	
3	5. The cross-complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by	
4	the doctrine of laches.	
5	Fifth Affirmative Defense	
6	(Estoppel)	
7	6. The cross-complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by	
8	the doctrine of estoppel.	
9	Sixth Affirmative Defense	
10	(Waiver)	
11	7. The cross-complaint, and each and every cause of action contained therein, is barred by	
12	the doctrine of waiver.	
13	Seventh Affirmative Defense	
14	(Unclean Hands/ Unjust Enrichment)	
15	8. Municipal Purveyors are barred from recovery under the complaint, and each and every	
16	cause of action contained therein, by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or unjust enrichment	
17	Eighth Affirmative Defense	
18	(Civil Code § 1007)	
19	9. Each and every cause of action contained in the cross-complaint is barred in whole or in	
20	part by the provisions of section 1007 of the California Civil Code.	
21	Ninth Affirmative Defense	
22	(Indispensable and/or Necessary Party)	
23	10. The cross-complaint is barred by Code of Civil Procedure Section 389 on the ground that	
24	Municipal Purveyors have failed to name and join indispensable and/or necessary parties, e.g.,	
25	other producers of water in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	-3-	

The state of the s	Tenth Affirmative Defense
2	(Adequate Legal Remedy)
3	11. Without conceding that defendant has extracted or has threatened to extract water in
4	excess of its right to do so, as a separate affirmative defense to Municipal Purveyors' claim for
5	equitable relief, defendant alleges that Municipal Purveyors have adequate legal remedies for its
6	injuries, if any, resulting from the actual or threatened conduct of defendant.
7	Eleventh Affirmative Defense
8	(Separation of Powers)
9	12. The injunctive remedy sought of a physical solution ordered by the Court is not available
10	due to the doctrine of separation of powers set forth in Article III, section 3 of the California
11	Constitution.
12	Twelfth Affirmative Defense
13	(Unreasonable Use)
14	13. Cross-complainants' methods of water use and storage are unreasonable and wasteful in
15	the arid conditions of Antelope Valley, violate California Constitution Article X section 2, and
16	therefore do not give rise to any claim of right to the water.
17	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense
18	(Additional Defenses)
19	14. The complaint does not state Municipal Purveyors' allegations with sufficient particularity
20	or clarity to enable defendant to determine what additional defenses may exist to Municipal
21	Purveyors' causes of action. Defendant therefore reserves the right to assert all defenses which
22	may pertain to the complaint once the precise nature of Municipal Purveyors' causes of action is
23	more fully ascertained.
24	WHEREFORE, defendant prays that judgment be entered against Municipal Purveyors as
25	follows:
26	1. That Municipal Purveyors take nothing and be granted no relief by reason of the
27	cross-complaint;
28	2. That the cross-complaint be dismissed with prejudice;
	-4-

1	3.	For defendant's attorneys' fees incurred herein;	
2	4.	For defendant's costs incurred herein; and	
3	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
4	Dated: Dece	ember 29, 2006	
5 6		ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO, City Attorney Richard M. Brown, Senior Assistant City Attorney for Water and Power	
7		KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD	
8		A Professional Corporation	
9		By Janet K. Holdsmith	
10		Lanet K. Goldsmith Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES	
11		*Attorneys for Defendant CTTT OF LOS ANGELES	
12			
13			
14	849637.1 1351.7		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		·	

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Suzanne Stewart, declare:

2.1

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814. On December 29, 2006, I served a copy of the within document(s): ANSWER OF CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO CROSS-COMPLAINT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40, ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT, CITY OF LANCASTER, QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT, LITTLE ROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT AND CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY via electronic posting to the Santa Clara Superior Court E-Filing website, http://www.scefiling.org/cases/casehome.jsp?caseId=19 with electronic mail to the parties' email addresses shown on the service list attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 29, 2006, at Sacramento, California.

Suzanne Stewart

849688.1 1351.7

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD

1	EXHIBIT "A"		
2	70 1 4 TT T	A44	
3	Robert H. Joyce Law Offices of Lebeau Thelen, LLP 5001 East Commerce Center Drive,	Attorneys for Diamond Farming Company	
4	Suite 300 P.O. Box 12092		
5	Bakersfield, CA 93389-2092 bjoyce@lebeauthelen.com,		
6	DLuis@lebeauthelan.com		
7	Douglas J. Evertz Stradling, Yocca, Carlson & Rauth	Attorneys for City of Lancaster	
8	660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 Newport Beach, CA 92660-6522		
9	devertz@sycr.com		
10	James L. Markman Richards Watson & Gershon	Attorneys for City of Palmdale	
11	P.O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059		
12	jmarkman@rwglaw.com		
13	Steve R. Orr Bruce G. McCarthy	Attorneys for City of Palmdale	
14	Richards Watson & Gershon 355 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor		
15	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101 sorr@rwglaw.com		
16	Michael Fife	Attorneys for Eugene B. Nebeker on behalf	
17	Hatch and Parent 21 East Carrillo Street	of Nebeker Ranch, Inc., Bob Jones on behalf of R&M Ranch, Inc., Forrest G. Godde and	
18	Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2782 <u>mfife@hatchparent.com</u>	Steve Godde, Gailen Kyle on behalf of Kyle & Kyle Ranch, Inc. and John Calandri	
19		on behalf of Canandri/Sonrise Farms, collectively known as the Antelope Valley	
20		Groundwater Agreement Association ("AGWA")	
21	Richard Zimmer	Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, Inc.	
22	Clifford & Brown 1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900		
23	Bakersfield, CA 93301 rzimmer@clifford-brownlaw.com		
24	Julie A. Conboy	Attorneys for Department of Water and	
25	Department of Water and Power 111 North Hope Street	Power	
26	P.O. Box 111 Los Angeles, CA 90012		
27	Julie.Conboy@ladwp.com		
28	849688.1 1351.7	- 2 -	
z,		AND OR OND VICE	

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	Wayne K. Lemieux Lemieux & O'Neill	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation
2 3	2393 Townsgate Road, Suite 201 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Wayne@Lemieux-oneill.com	District and Palm Ranch Irrigation District
4	Thomas Bunn	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District and
5	Lagerlof, Senecal, Bradley, Gosney & Kruse 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor	Quartz Hill Water District
6	Pasadena, CA 91101-4108 <u>TomBunn@lagerlof.com</u>	
7	Henry Weinstock	Attorneys for Tejon Ranch
8	Nossaman, Guthner, Knox, Elliott LLP 445 South Figueroa Street, 31st Floor	
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071 hweinstock@nossaman.com	
10	ffudacz@nossaman.com	
11	John Tootle	Attorneys for City of Palmdale Attorneys for California Water Service
12	California Water Service Company 3625 Del Amo Boulevard, Suite 350	Company
13	Torrance, CA 90503 jtootle@calwater.com	
14	Eric Garner Best, Best & Krieger, LLP	Attorneys for Los Angeles County
15	P.O. Box 1028 Riverside, CA 92502-1028	Waterworks District No. 40 and Rosamond Community Services District and on behalf
16	ELGarner@bbklaw.com Lynda.Serwy@bbklaw.com	of City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palmdale Water District and Quartz Hill Water District
17	JVDunn@bbklaw.com kkeefe@bbklaw.com	water District
18		
19	Raymond G. Fortner, Jr. County Counsel	
20	Frederick W. Pfaeffle, Sr. Deputy Counsel Office of County Counsel	
21	County of Los Angeles 500 West Temple Street	
22	Los Angeles, CA 90012 fpfaeffle@counsel.co.la.ca.us	
23	Chair, Judicial Council of California	
24	Administrative Office of the Courts Attn: Appellate & Trial Court Judicial	
25	Services (Civil Case Coordination)	
26	455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102	
27	,	
28		

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW 849688.1 1351.7

- 3 -

- 1		
4000	Honorable Jack Komar	
2	Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara 191 North First Street, Dept. 17C	
3	San Jose, CA 95113	
4	Daniel V. Hyde Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith, LLP	
5	221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012	
6	hyde@lbbslaw.com	
7		
8	Lee Leininger	Attorneys for Edwards Air Force Base,
9	Environment and Natural Resources Division Department of Justice 999 – 18 th Street, Suite 945	United States Department of the Air Force
10	Denver, CO 80202	
11	Lee.leininger@usdoj.gov Judy.Tetreault@usdoj.gov	
12	Debra W. Yang	
13	United States Attorney's Office Central District of California 300 North Los Angeles Street	
14	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
15	Alberto Gonzales	
16	United States Attorney General Department of Justice	
17	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001	
18	washington, DC 20330-0001	
19	John Slezak, Esq.	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles
20	Iverson, Yoakum, Papiano & Hatch One Wilshire Boulevard., 27 th Floor 624 S. Grand Avenue	Department of Water and Power
21	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Jslezak@iyph.com	
22	Janet K. Goldsmith	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles
23	Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 th Floor	Department of Water and Power
24	Sacramento, CA 95814-4417	
25	jgoldsmith@kmtg.com	
26		
27		
28		
~	849688.1 1351.7	4 -

Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & GIRARD Attorneys At Law