1 2	G. Richard Green, State Bar No. 61335 GREEN & MARKER 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1495	
3	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-0406	
	Telecopier: (310) 201-0461	
4	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants HOOSHPACK	
5	and RENASSIANCE PERINATAL MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION (ROE 2335	
6		
7	CLIDEDIAD COLIDA OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8		Y OF LOS ANGELES
9	FOR THE COUNTY	Y OF LOS ANGELES
10	ANDELODE WALLEY OR OLD DAY ADDR	
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER (CASES)	Judicial Council Consolidation No. 4408
12	Included Actions:	For filing purposes only: Santa Clara County Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
13	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.) Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar
14	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC325201	ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	CROSS-DEFENDANTS HOOSHPACK DEV INC AND RENASSIANCE PERINATAL
16	40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	MEDICAL GROUP PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ("ROE 2335)
17	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of)
18	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale	
19	Water Dist, Riverside County Superior Court Consolidated	
20	Action actions Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
21		
22		
23	Cross-Defendants HOOSHPACK DEV I	NC (whose proper name is HOOSHPACK
24	DEVELOPMENT, INC. and ROE 2335 (RENA	ISSANCE PERINATAL MEDICAL GROUP
25	PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, and each of	of them, here respond to the within Cross-
26	Complaint on file herein and admit, deny and all	ege as follows:
27		
28		
		1
		ination No. 4408; Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 SHPACK DEV INC & ROE 2335 (RENASSIANCE)
	п	

Water District & Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Service District and Waterworks District No. 40 of Los Angeles County. We, and each of us, do not intend to participate at trial or other proceedings unless ordered by the Court to do so, but we, and each of us, reserve the right to do so upon giving written notice to that effect to the Court and all parties. We, and each of us, own the following properties located in the Antelope Valley and as more fully stated on Exhibit "A" consisting of four (4) pages and identifying three (3) specific properties owned by Cross-Defendant Roe 2335 (Renassiance) and sixteen (16) specific properties owned by Cross-Defendant Hooshpack, attached hereto and incorporated herein, in full, by this reference.

GENERAL DENIAL

1. These answering defendants, and each of them, deny each of the allegations in Cross complaint's Cross-complaint on file herein, each purported cause of action, each paragraph in each purported cause of action, and each and every part thereof; and, these answering defendants, and each of them, deny that the Cross-complainant was injured or damaged in the sum or sums alleged, or to be alleged, or in any other sum or sums whatsoever, pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* Section 431.30(d).

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense (Failure to State a Cause of Action)

 The complaint and Cross-Complaint and every purported cause of action contained therein fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any cause of action against these answering Cross-Defendants, and each of them.

Second Affirmative Defense

(Statute of Limitations)

3. Each and every cause of action contained in the Cross-Complaint is barred, in whole or in part by the applicable statute of limitations, including, but not limited to, sections 318, 319, 321, 338 and 343 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

- 5	
Pre-A	Third Affirmative Defense
\$75 minutes	(Laches)
3	 The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action
4	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of laches.
5	Fourth Affirmative Defense
6	(Estoppei)
7	The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action
CO CO	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.
9	Fifth Affirmative Defease
0	(Waiver)
and and	 The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action
2	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of walver.
3	Sixth Affirmative Defense
4	(Self-Help)
5	 Defendant and Cross-Defendant has, by virtue of the doctrine of self-help,
6	preserved its paramount overlying right to extract groundwater by continuing, during all times
7	relevant hereto, to extract groundwater and put it to reasonable and beneficial use on its property
8	Seventh Affirmative Defense
9	(California Constitution Article X, Section 2)
0.	 Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's methods of water use and storage are
1	unreasonable and wasteful in the arid conditions of the Antelope Valley and thereby violate
22	Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution.
23	Eighth Affirmative Defense
24	(Additional Defenses)
25	9. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint do not state their allegations with sufficient
26	clarity to enable defendant and cross-defendant to determine what additional defenses may exis
27	to Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's causes of action. Defendant and Cross-defendant therefor
28	reserve the right to assert all other defenses which may pertain to the Complaint and Cross-
	Antelogo Valley Groundwater Casts (JCCP 4408) ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE COURT)

good .	Complaint.	
2		Ninth Affirmative Defense
3	10.	The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
4		exceed the statutory authority by which each entity may acquire property as set
5		Code sections 22456, 31040 and 55370.
6		Tenth Affirmative Defense
7	11. 7	The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
90		ovisions of Article 1 Section 19 of the California Constitution.
9		Eleventh Affirmative Defense
O	12.	the prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
And and	barred by the pr	ovisions of the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the
2		14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.
3		Twelfth Affirmative Defense
4P	13. (cross-Complainants' prescriptive claims are barred due to their failure to take
S Common	affirmative step	s that were reasonably calculated and intended to inform each overlying
6		oss-complainants' adverse and hostile claim as required by the due process clause
7	of the 5th and 14	th Amendments of the United States Constitution.
00		Thirteenth Affirmative Defense
9	14. T	he prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
O	barred by the pr	ovisions of Article 1 Section 7 of the California Constitution.
getaggicza		Fourteenth Affirmative Defense
2	15. 7	he prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
3	barred by the pr	ovisions of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution,
4		Fifteenth Affirmative Defense
5	16.	he governmental entity Cross-Complainants were permissively pumping at all
6	times.	
7		Sixteenth Affirmative Defense
DO CONTROLLER	17. 1	he request for the court to use its injunctive powers to impose a physical solution
CHRISTIANICATION	ANSWER TO	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE CYNTISTS)

28

seeks a remedy that is in violation of the doctrine of separation of powers set forth in Article 3 section 3 of the California Constitution.

Seventeenth Affirmative Defense

 Cross-Complainants are barred from asserting their prescriptive claims by operation of law as set forth in Civil Code sections 1007 and 1214.

Eighteenth Affirmative Defense

19. Each Cross-Complainant is barred from recovery under each and every cause of action contained in the Cross-Complaint by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or unjust confehment.

Nineteenth Affirmative Defense

 The Cross-Complaint is defective because it fails to name indispensable parties in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 389(a).

Twentieth Affirmative Defense

21. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred from taking, possessing or using cross-defendants' property without first paying just compensation.

Twenty-First Affirmative Defense

22. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are seeking to transfer water right priorities and water usage which will have significant effects on the Antelope Valley Groundwater basin and the Antelope Valley. Said actions are being done without complying with and contrary to the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pab.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense

23. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants seek judicial ratification of a project that has had and will have a significant effect on the Antelope Valley Groundwster Basin and the Antelope Valley that was implemented without providing notice in contravention of the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense

24. Any imposition by this court of a proposed physical solution that reallocates the

1	water right	nt priorities and water usage within the Antelo	ope Valley will be ultra vires as it will be
2	subverting	g the pre-project legislative requirements and	protections of California's Environmental
3	Quality A	act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).	
4			
5	W.	HEREFORE, Cross-Defendants, and each of	of them here Answering, pray that judgment
6	be entered	d as follows:	
7	1.	That Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant take	nothing by reason of its Complaint or
8		Cross-Complaint;	
9	2.	That the Complaint and Cross-Complaints	be dismissed with prejudice;
10	3.	For Defendant and Cross-Defendants' cost	s incurred herein; and
11	4.	For such other and further relief as the Cou	art deems just and proper.
12			
13	Da	Pated: September 28, 2015	GREEN & MARKER
14		/-	011
15			G. Richard Green Attorneys for Cross-Defendants
16		aı	looshpack Dev Inc nd Renassiance Perinatal Medical Group
17		P	rofessional Corporation (Roe 2335)
18			
19		-	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			T .
28			
20			

Renaissance PMG Properties in Antelope Valley (100 percent owned)

	Parcel #	Address	regal pescription
ъ	3088-001-005	VAC/238 ST (DRT)/CA AQDUCT	LOT COM AT SW COR OF SEC 27 T 5N R 8W TH, N TO A
		Mount Waterman ca 93544	LINE PARALLEL AND CONCENTRIC WITH AND DIST S AT
*			R/A AND RADIALLY 30 FT
			FROM A LINE WHICH BEARS S 84¢33'56" E, SEE MAPBOOK
			FOR MISSING PORTION, SEC 27 T 5N R 8W
2	3089-028-023	VAC/VIC AVE W8/238 STE BLACK	COM AT W 1/4 COR OF SEC 27 T 5N R 8W TH S 356.72 FT
		BUTTE CA 93591	TH S 86¢50'26" E 1003.82 FT TH S 84¢59'08" E1272.61 FT TH
			N 81¢28'07" E TO E LINE OF
			SEE MAPBOOK FOR MISSING PORTION, SEC 27 T 5N R 8W
ω	3033-010-050	VAC/VIC AVE U4/213 STE BLACK	NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF
		BUTTE CA 93591	SEC 17 T 5N R 8W

Hooshpack Development, Inc. Properties in Antelope Valley

				The state of the s
PARCEL MAP AS PER BK 176 P 1-3 OF P	75	VAC/COR AVE D (PAV)/175 STW(NOG)	3238-006-006	ω
M LOT 4		FAIRMONT CA 93536		
PARCEL MAP AS PER BK 176 P 1-3 OF P	75	VAC/COR AVE D(PAV)/175 STW(NOG)	3238-006-005	2
M, LOT 3		FAIRMONT CA 93536		
PARCEL MAP AS PER BK 176 P 1-3 OF P	75	VAC/COR AVE D (PAV)/175 STW(NOG)	3238-006-004	ы
	ownership			
Legal Description	Percent of	Address	Parcel #	No

EXHIBIT "A" TO HOOSHPACK & RENASSIANCE (ROE 2335) ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT

10 3039-022-057 VAC/AVE	3039-022-057		VILLA	9 3039-003-002 VAC//	VILLA	8 3039-003-001 VAC//			CA 93534	7 3116-020-055 VAC/S		FAIRN	6 3238-006-013 VAC/0		FAIRN	5 3238-006-012 VAC/0		FAIRN	4 3238-006-011 VAC/(FAIRN	
	343	T/VIC 136TH STE SUN VILLAGE	VILLAGE CA 93543	VAC/AVE T(PAV)/VIC LONGVIEW RD SUN 1	VILLAGE CA 93543	VAC/AVE T(PAV)/VIC LONGVIEW RD SUN 1			34	VAC/SIERRA HWY/VIC AVE E12 CALICHE 1		FAIRMONT CA 93536	VAC/COR AVE D (PAV)/175 STW(NOG)		FAIRMONT CA 93536	VAC/COR AVE D (PAV)/175 STW(NOG)		FAIRMONT CA 93536	VAC/COR AVE D (PAV)/175 STW(NOG) 75	FAIRMONT CA 93536	
		100		100		100				100			75			75			75		
SEC 12 T 5N R 10W	VI) OT	NE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF NW 1/4 (EX OF	SEC 1 T 5N R 10W	E 1/2 OF SW 1/4 OF	SEC 1 T 5N R 10W	W 1/2 OF SW 1/4 OF	T 8N R 12W	NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF SEC 27	AND THAT POR W OF SIERRA HWY OF	E 1/2 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4	LOT 12	3	PARCEL MAP AS PER BK 176 P 1-3 OF P	LOT 11	3	PARCEL MAP AS PER BK 176 P 1-3 OF P	LOT 10	3	PARCEL MAP AS PER BK 176 P 1-3 OF P	M LOT 5	

									14											13		12	
									3033-010-054											3033-010-046		3033-010-040	
								(DRT)/AVE U (D BLACK BUTTE CA 93591	VAC/COR LARGO VISTA										CA 93591	VAC/VIC AVE U4/213 STE BLACK BUTTE	BUTTE CA 93591	VAC/223 STE(DRT)/VIC AVE T BLACK	CA 93591
		Magazi Seri Tu		11 - 16-22					100											100		100	
PORTION	SEE MAPBOOK FOR MISSING	S 78¢	R/A 50 FT FROM A LINE WHICH BEARS	NE AT	TO A LINE PARALLEL WITH AND DIST	SD SEC	T 5N R 8W TH W ON E AND W C/L OF	SEC 18	*LOT COM AT SE COR OF NE 1/4 OF	OFC LS I UN R SW	PORTION	SEE MAPBOOK FOR MISSING	S 78¢	R/A 50 FT FROM A LINE WHICH BEARS	NE AT	TO A LINE PARALLEL WITH AND DIST	SD SEC	T 5N R 8W TH W ON E AND W C/L OF	18	LOT COM AT NE COR OF SE 1/4 OF SEC	SEC 8 T 5N R 8W	NE 1/4 OF	SEC 12 T 5N R 9W

EXHIBIT "A" TO HOOSHPACK & RENASSIANCE (ROE 2335) ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT