1 2	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE & MALLORY LLP DAVID L. OSIAS (BAR NO. 91287) MARK J. HATTAM (BAR NO. 173667)			
3	501 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, California 92101-3541			
4	Telephone: (619) 233-1155 Facsimile: (619) 233-1158			
5	E-Mail: dosias@allenmatkins.com mhattam@allenmatkins.com			
6	Attorneys for Defendant			
7	SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC (erroneously sued herein as DEL SUR RANCH LLC)			
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF LO	OS ANGELES		
11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408		
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053		
13	CASES	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY		
14	Included Actions:	DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC		
	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.			
16	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201			
17	Los Angeles County Waterworks District			
18 <u></u>	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348			
20	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster			
21	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.			
22	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Consolidated Actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,			
23	RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668			
24				
25	Defendant SPC Del Sur Ranch LLC ("SPC Del Sur") (erroneously sued herein as Del Sur			
26	Ranch LLC) answers Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40's ("District") Complaint on			
27	file in Case No. BC325201, as amended to add SPC Del Sur, as follows:			
28				
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	639509.01/SD	IDANT CDC DEL CUE DANCH LLC		
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFEN	NDANT SPC DEL SUR KANCH LLC		

ADMISSIONS AND DENIALS

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 431.30 and any other applicable law, SPC Del Sur admits and denies every material allegation of the Complaint as follows, with each numbered paragraph below corresponding to the same number in the Complaint.

- 1. SPC Del Sur admits that a judicial determination is sought by Plaintiff, and that water is vital to the health, safety, and welfare of the persons and entities in the service area of the District. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 2. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 3. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 4. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 5. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 6. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 7. SPC Del Sur admits that the District is making the asserted claim, but lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
 - 8. SPC Del Sur admits the allegations of this paragraph.
 - 9. SPC Del Sur admits the allegations of this paragraph.
- 10. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 11. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

	12.	SPC Del Sur admits that land subsidence can be caused by groundwater pumping,
out la	cks suffi	cient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them
on tha	nt basis.	

- 13. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 14. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 15. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 16. paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 17. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 18. As to the first sentence, SPC Del Sur admits it claims an overlying right to pump water, and may have other water rights as well. As to other parties, and as to the remaining allegations, SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 19. paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 20. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 21. paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
 - 22. SPC Del Sur admits the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 23. SPC Del Sur admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 24. paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

28

639509.01/SD

27

25

26

- 25. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 26. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 27. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 28. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 29. SPC Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs for this incorporation paragraph.
- 30. As to the argument that the California Supreme Court has upheld the existence of certain prescriptive water rights, SPC Del Sur contends this is simply a conclusion of law. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the factual allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 31. As to SPC Del Sur, it denies the allegations of this paragraph. As to all other parties, SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 32. As to SPC Del Sur, it admits the allegations of this paragraph. As to all other parties, SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
 - 33. SPC Del Sur admits the District seeks the stated judicial determination.
- 34. SPC Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs for this incorporation paragraph.
- 35. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
- 36. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

639509 01/SD

639509.01/SD

28

639509.01/SD

1	65.	SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this		
2	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.			
3	66.	SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this		
4	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.			
5	67.	SPC Del Sur admits the District seeks the stated judicial determination.		
6	68.	SPC Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding		
7	paragraphs for this incorporation paragraph.			
8	69.	SPC Del Sur contends this paragraph contains no factual assertions, but simply		
9	alleges conclus	ions of law. SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the factual		
10	allegations of the	his paragraph (if any), and denies them on that basis.		
11	70.	SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this		
12	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.			
13	71.	SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this		
14	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.			
15	72.	SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this		
16	paragraph, and	denies them on that basis.		
17	73.	SPC Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this		
18	paragraph, and	denies them on that basis.		
19	74.	SPC Del Sur admits the District seeks the stated judicial determination.		
20				
21	ANI	AS FOR ITS AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO THE COMPLAINT,		
22	<u>DE</u>	L SUR ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:		
23				
24		FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
25		(Failure To State A Cause Of Action)		
26	The Complaint and each of the alleged causes of action therein fail to state facts sufficient			
27	to constitute a cause of action.			
28				

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law

639509.01/SD

1	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Failure To Reasonably Use Water)	
3	The District has not reasonably used water as required the California Constitution and the	
4	Water Code.	
5	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	(CEQA Non-Compliance)	
7	The District did not comply with CEQA prior to engaging in the activities at issue in the	
8	Complaint.	
9	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	(Groundwater Management Plan)	
11	The District did not comply with California requirements as to groundwater management	
12	plans prior to filing this action.	
13	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	(Indispensable Parties)	
15	The District has not named all parties to this action who are necessary and indispensable to	
16	the action.	
17	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
18	(Actions Within Rights)	
19	The Complaint and each of the alleged causes of action therein fail due to SPC Del Sur	
20	having duly acted within its rights as to the matters stated in the Complaint.	
21	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
22	(Superior/Senior Water Right)	
23	SPC Del Sur's water rights are superior and senior to, and take precedence over, any rights	
24	asserted in the Complaint.	
25	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
26	(<u>Estoppel</u>)	
27	The District engaged in conduct and activities by reason of which it is estopped from	
28	asserting any claims, damages, or seeking other relief stated in the Complaint.	
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	639509.01/SD ————————————————————————————————————	

1	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
2	(<u>Waiver</u>)		
3	By its acts and omissions, the District has waived any and all causes of action asserted in		
4	its Complaint.		
5	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6	(Mitigation)		
7	The District has failed to take adequate steps to mitigate, alter, reduce, or otherwise		
8	diminish the damages, if any, with respect to the matters alleged in its Complaint.		
9	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
10	(Conduct Justified)		
11	Any conduct of SPC Del Sur in regard to the matters alleged in the Complaint, if it		
12	occurred, was justified; and, as a result, District is barred from any recovery thereon.		
13	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14	(<u>Unclean Hands</u>)		
15	District is barred by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands from obtaining the relief		
16	requested in its Complaint.		
17	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
18	(Statute of Limitations)		
19	The Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein are barred by the applicable		
20	statutes of limitation.		
21	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	(Additional Affirmative Defenses)		
23	SPC Del Sur reserves the right to assert additional defenses in the event that such would be		
24	appropriate.		
25	WHEREFORE, SPC Del Sur prays for judgment as follows:		
26	1. That the District take nothing by virtue of its Complaint;		
27	2. That SPC Del Sur's water rights be determined as superior and senior to all those		
28	claimed by other parties;		
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	639509.01/SD		
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC		

1	2 For attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein, as allowed by law; and		
2	3. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein, as allowed by law; and, For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper		
3	4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
3 4	Dated: January 17,	2006	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE &
5	Dated. January 17,	, 2000	MALLORY LLP/
6			
7			By: MARK J. HATTAM
8			Attorneys for Defendant SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	620500 01/05		-10-
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC		

VERIFICATION 1 2 3 I have read the foregoing ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT SPC DEL 4 SUR RANCH LLC and know its contents. 5 I am an authorized representative of Standard Pacific Corp., a Delaware Corporation, the managing member of SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, which purchased Del Sur Ranch 12/29/04 from DEL SUR RANCH LLC, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. The 8 matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief; and, as to those matters, I am informed and 10 believe that they are true. 11 12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the 13 foregoing is true and correct. 14 Executed on January 18, 2006, at Bakersfield, California. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law

28

639509.01/SD VERIFICATION