	*			
3 4 5 6	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY DAVID L. OSIAS (BAR NO. 91287) MARK J. HATTAM (BAR NO. 173667) MICHAEL J. HOLMES (BAR NO. 199311) 501 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, California 92101-3541 Telephone: (619) 233-1155 Facsimile: (619) 233-1158 E-Mail: dosias@allenmatkins.com mhattam@allenmatkins.com mholmes@allenmatkins.com	7 & NATSIS LLP		
7	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Defendant SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC			
8				
9				
. 10	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA		
. 11	COUNTY OF LO	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
•				
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408		
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053		
14				
15	Included Actions:	CONDITIONAL NON-OPPOSITION TO PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' PROPOSALS FOR CLASS DEFINITIONS		
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	AND METHOD OF NOTICE		
17	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201			
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District			
19	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern,			
20	Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348			
21	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster			
22	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.			
23	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Consolidated Actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,			
	RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668			
24	AND DELATED CDOSS ACTIONS			
25	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.	•		
26	Defendant and Cross-Defendant SPC Del Sur Ranch LLC ("SPC") hereby submits this			
27	conditional non-opposition to Public Water Suppliers' Proposals for Class Definitions and Method			
28	of Notice ("Class Proposal"), as follows:			
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble	-1-			
Mallory & Natsis LLP	CONDITIONAL NON-OPPOSITION T PROPOSALS FOR CLASS DEFINITION	TO PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' ONS AND METHOD OF NOTICE		

As newly defined, the class proposed by Public Water Suppliers would exclude any party that has been or will be named and served in these coordinated proceedings. Further, all class members are allowed to opt out of the class to retain separate representation. Proposal, p. 3.

So long as SPC would be excluded from the proposed class (or allowed to opt out), and allowed to retain its own counsel in these proceedings, SPC does not oppose Public Water Suppliers' Class Proposal. Although incorrectly named as "Del Sur Ranch," SPC was served as a defendant and cross-defendant in this action. SPC filed an Answer to the Complaint by Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 on January 25, 2006; the Cross-Complaints by nearly all of the Public Water Suppliers, including Rosamond Community Services District, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, Palmdale Water District; City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Quartz Hill Water District, Little Rock Creek Irrigation District, and California Water Service Company on February 22, 2006; and the Cross-Complaint by Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency on October 2, 2006. Therefore, it appears that SPC would be excluded from the class as currently proposed.

If SPC would not be excluded, SPC would wish to opt out of the proposed class if it is certified. By opting out, SPC would not file a separate claim or obtain independent relief in a different action before a different court. Rather, SPC would remain a party and continue to be represented by its own counsel in this action.

Accordingly, so long as SPC would be excluded from any class and/or allowed to opt out of any class, SPC does not oppose Public Water Suppliers' Class Proposal. SPC hereby respectfully requests in advance that it be excluded from the proposed class and instead be permitted to continue to appear in this action through its own counsel.

Dated: April 5, 2007

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP

By: William of same

MICHAEL J. HOLMES, Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Defendant SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC

LAW OFFICES Alien Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY FOR PARTY (NAME AND ADDRESS)	
MARK J. HATTAM (BAR NO. 173667) ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 501 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, CA 92101-3541 Telephone: (619) 233-1155 Facsimile: (619) 233-1158	
SHORT CASE TITLE Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	
Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Defendant SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC	JCCP No. 4408 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053

PROOF OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I am employed in the county of San Diego, state of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 501 West Broadway, 15th Floor, San Diego, California 92101-3541.

On April 5, 2007, I served on the designated recipients listed in the SCEFiling system the within:

• CONDITIONAL NON-OPPOSITION TO PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' PROPOSALS FOR CLASS DEFINITIONS AND METHOD OF NOTICE

(VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL) by posting the documents listed above to Santa Clara Superior Court website: www.scefiling.org regarding the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

Executed on April 5, 2007, at San Diego, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the above is true and correct.

		, ,	
Cynthia Sandoval		asalia tollas	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$
(Type or print name)	,	(Signature)	1