	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE & MALLOR DAVID L. OSIAS (BAR NO. 91287) MARK J. HATTAM (BAR NO. 173667) 501 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, California 92101-3541 Telephone: (619) 233-1155	Y LLP
4 5	Facsimile: (619) 233-1158 E-Mail: dosias@allenmatkins.com mhattam@allenmatkins.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Defendant	
7	SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC (Named in error as Del Sur Ranch, LLC)	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT C	DF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF LO	S ANGELES
11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
13 14	CASES Included Actions:	ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	RANCH LLC
16	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201	
17 18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	
19	Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	
20	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	
21	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside,	
22	Consolidated Actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
23		
24	Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency,	
25	Cross-Complainant,	
26	V.	
27	Palmdale Water District; et al.,	
28	Cross-Defendants.	
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	ANSWER TO CROSS-C	COMPLAINT BY

658502.01/SD

Cross-Defendant SPC Del Sur Ranch LLC ("Del Sur"; misnamed as Del Sur Ranch, LLC), 1 answers Cross-Complainant Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency's ("Cross-Complainant") 2 3 Cross-Complaint on file in JCCP No. 4408 as follows: 4 ADMISSIONS AND DENIALS 5 Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 431.30 and any other applicable law, Del Sur admits and denies every material allegation of the Cross-Complaint as follows, with 6 each numbered paragraph below corresponding to the same number in the Cross-Complaint. 7 8 1. Del Sur admits that a judicial determination is sought by Cross-Complainant, and 9 that water is vital to the health, safety, and welfare of the persons and entities in the service area of 10 the District. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this 11 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 2. 12 Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 13 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 14 3. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 15 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 16 3.[sic] Del Sur admits it owns land in the area roughly described as the "Basin," and that it 17 has rights to use groundwater. As to the rest of the named entities, Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 18 Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 19 4. 20 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 5. 21 22 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 23 6. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 24 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 25 7. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 26 paragraph, and denies them on that basis. 27 8. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this 28 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law

658502.01/SD

ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC

9. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

3 10. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
4 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

11. As to the first sentence, Del Sur admits it claims an overlying right to pump water,
and may have other water rights as well. As to other parties, and as to the remaining allegations,
Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies
them on that basis.

9 12. As to the first sentence, Del Sur admits it claims an overlying right to pump water,
10 and may have other water rights as well. As to other parties, and as to the remaining allegations,
11 Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this paragraph, and denies
12 them on that basis.

13 13. Del Sur admits that the Cross-Complainant is making the asserted claim, but lacks
14 sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies
15 them on that basis.

16 14. Del Sur admits that the Cross-Complainant is making the asserted claim, but lacks
17 sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies
18 them on that basis.

19 15. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this20 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

21 16. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
22 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

23 17. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
24 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

25 18. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
26 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

27 19. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
28 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law

658502.01/SD

ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC

20. Del Sur admits that the Cross-Complainant is making the asserted claim, but lacks
 sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies
 them on that basis.

4 21. Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs
5 for this incorporation paragraph.

6 22. As to Del Sur, it admits the allegations of this paragraph. As to all other parties,
7 Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph,
8 and denies them on that basis.

9 23. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
10 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

11 24. Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs
12 for this incorporation paragraph.

13 25. Del Sur admits it claims a right to water in the Basin. Del Sur lacks sufficient
14 knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this paragraph, and denies them on that
15 basis.

16 26. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
17 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

18 27. Del Sur contends this paragraph contains no factual assertions, but simply alleges
19 conclusions of law. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the factual allegations of
20 this paragraph (if any), and denies them on that basis.

21 28. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
22 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

23 29. Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs
24 for this incorporation paragraph.

25 30. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
26 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

27 31. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
28 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law

658502.01/SD

ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC

32. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

3 33. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
4 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

34. Del Sur admits the Cross-Complainant seeks the stated judicial determination.

6 35. Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs
7 for this incorporation paragraph.

8 36. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
9 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

10

5

37. Del Sur admits the Cross-Complainant alleges what it claim to allege.

38. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

13 39. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
14 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

15 40. Del Sur admits the Cross-Complainant seeks the stated judicial determination.

16 41. Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs
17 for this incorporation paragraph.

18 42. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this19 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

20 43. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
21 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

44. Del Sur incorporates all its admissions and denials from all preceding paragraphs
for this incorporation paragraph.

44 45. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
25 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

26 46. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
27 paragraph, and denies them on that basis.

28

658502.01/SD

	1	47. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
	2	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
	3	48. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
4	4	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
	5	49. Del Sur lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations of this
	6	paragraph, and denies them on that basis.
	7	
	8	
·	9	AND AS FOR ITS AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO THE CROSS-COMPLAINT,
	10	DEL SUR ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:
	11	
	12	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	13	(Failure To State A Cause Of Action)
	14	The Cross-Complaint and each of the alleged causes of action therein fail to state facts
	15 ⁻	sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
	16	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	17	(Failure To Reasonably Use Water)
	18	The Cross-Complainant has not reasonably used water as required the California
19		Constitution and the Water Code.
	20	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	21	(CEQA Non-Compliance)
	22	The Cross-Complainant did not comply with CEQA prior to engaging in the activities at
	23	issue in the Cross-Complaint.
	24	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	25	(Groundwater Management Plan)
	26	The Cross-Complainant did not comply with California requirements as to groundwater
	27	management plans prior to filing this action.
	28	
Allen Matkins Le Gamble & Mallory attorneys at law	ck LLP	-6- 658502.01/SD ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY

۰,

	1	
1	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Indispensable Parties)	
3		
4		
5	5 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	6 (Actions Within Rights)	
7	The Cross-Complaint and each of the alleged causes of action therein fail due to Del Sur	
8	having duly acted within its rights as to the matters stated in the Cross-Complaint.	
9	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	(Superior/Senior Water Right)	
11	Del Sur's water rights are superior and senior to, and take precedence over, any rights	
12	asserted in the Cross-Complaint.	
13	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	(<u>Estoppel</u>)	
15	The Cross-Complainant engaged in conduct and activities by reason of which it is estopped	
16	from asserting any claims, damages, or seeking other relief stated in the Cross-Complaint.	
17	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
18	(Waiver)	
19	By its acts and omissions, the Cross-Complainant has waived any and all causes of action	
20	asserted in its Cross-Complaint.	
21	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
22	(Mitigation)	
23	The Cross-Complainant has failed to take adequate steps to mitigate, alter, reduce, or	
24	otherwise diminish the damages, if any, with respect to the matters alleged in its Cross-Complaint.	
25	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
26	(Conduct Justified)	
27	Any conduct of Del Sur in regard to the matters alleged in the Cross-Complaint, if it	
28	occurred, was justified; and, as a result, Cross-Complainant is barred from any recovery thereon.	
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	-7- 658502.01/SD ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC	

.

1	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	2 (<u>Unclean Hands</u>)	
3	Cross-Complainant is barred by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands from obtaining the	
4	relief requested in its Cross-Complaint.	
5	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	(Statute of Limitations)	
7	The Cross-Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein are barred by the applicable	
8	statutes of limitation.	
9	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	(<u>Takings</u>)	
11	The Cross-Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein are barred by virtue of the	
12	fact that the claims made therein would constitute an uncompensated takings in violation of the	
13	State and Federal Constitutions.	
14	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
15	(Additional Affirmative Defenses)	
16	Del Sur reserves the right to assert additional defenses in the event that such would be	
17	appropriate.	
18	WHEREFORE, Del Sur prays for judgment as follows:	
19	1. That the Cross-Complainant take nothing by virtue of its Cross-Complaint;	
20	2. That Del Sur's water rights be determined as superior and senior to all those	
21 claimed by other parties;		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
_ 28		
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP altomeys at law	-8- ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY	
	658502.01/SD ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC	

1	3. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein, as allowed by law; and,
2	4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
3	
4	Dated: October 3, 2006 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE & MALLORY LLP
5	
6	Ву:
7	MARK J. HATTAM Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
. 8	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC
9	
10 [.]	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attorneys at law	-9-
	658502.01/SD ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC

1	VERIFICATION	
2		
3	I have read the foregoing ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINT BY CROSS-	
4	DEFENDANT SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC and know its contents.	
5	I am an authorized representative of Standard Pacific Corp., a Delaware Corporation, the	
6	managing member of SPC DEL SUR RANCH LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,	
7	which purchased Del Sur Ranch 12/29/04 from DEL SUR RANCH LLC, and am authorized to	
8	make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. The	
9	matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to those	
10	matters which are stated on information and belief; and, as to those matters, I am informed and	
11	believe that they are true.	
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the	
13	foregoing is true and correct.	
14	Executed on September <u>29</u> , 2006, at Bakersfield, California.	
15	57	
16	Alup	
17	DALE F. CASEY	
18		
. 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP attomeys at law		
	658502.01/SD	