1	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California		
2	TOM GREENE		
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ		
4	Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General		
4	MICHAEL L. CROW Deputy Attorney General		
5	State Bar No. 70498		
6	VIRGINIA CAHILL Deputy Attorney General		
7	State Bar No. 099167 1300 I Street		
	P.O. Box 944255		
8	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 327-7856		
9	Fax: (916) 327-2319		
10	Michael.Crow@doj.ca.gov; Virginia.Cahill@doj.ca.gov		
	Attorneys for Defendant State of California;		
11	Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy; 50 th District Agricultural Association		
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
15	Coordination Proceeding Special title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination	
16	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES:	Proceeding No.: 4408	
17		Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053	
18	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.	CASE MANAGEMENT	
	Diamond Farming Co.	CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
19	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.: BC 325 201	OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA; SANTA MONICA	
20		MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY; 50 TH	
21	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL	
	Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No.: S-1500-CV-254-348	ASSOCIATION	
22		Date: February 17, 2006	
23	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: D-1, Room 534	
24	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District	•	
25	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, CAse Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344	Location: Los Angeles Superior Court, 111 North Hill Street	
	436, RIC 344 668	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
26			
27	The State of California, the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, and the State		
28	of California 50 th District Agricultural Association (collectively, State Parties) have been name		
Case Management Conference Statement of State of California; Santa Monica Mountains Conserva			
	District Agricultural Association	a informed information Conservation, 50	
	II		

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

as Cross-Defendants in the Cross-Complaint filed by Rosamond Community Services District, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, City of Lancaster; City of Palmdale, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill District and California Water Service Company. State Parties submit the following case management statement.

State Parties Own Land Overlying the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin

The State is still investigating the extent of its ownership of land overlying the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. Given the vagueness of the cross-complaint's description of the boundaries of the Basin, it is not possible at this time for the State to give a precise figure of the number of parcels or the amount of acreage involved in the State's ownership. The State agencies which own land within the Basin include but are not necessarily limited to: the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy; the 50th District Agricultural Association; the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation; the Department of Parks and Recreation; the State Lands Commission; the Department of Water Resources; the Department of Transportation (CALTRANS); the California Highway Patrol; Department of the Military; Department of Veteran's Affairs; Office of State Architect.

State Parties Do Claim Overlying Rights to the Native Water of the Basin and Rights to Water Imported by Them for Use on Their Properties

The State is still investigating the extent of its use of water on its properties overlying the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. The State Parties and possibly other State agencies have pumped water for use on one or more of their properties, are currently pumping water for use on one or more of their properties, and may in the future pump water for use on one or more of their properties. The State Parties and other State agencies, if any, owning land overlying the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin hold overlying rights to the native waters of the basin. Under Civil Code section 1007, there can be no prescription against the State's water rights.

In addition, one or more of the State Parties and possibly other State agencies are importing or purchasing imported water from the State Water Project for use on their properties,

and are entitled to that water, and to any return flow from that water that reaches the basin and augments the water in the basin.

Pleadings, Exhibits and Reports Previously Filed in Any of The Coordinated Cases Should be Made Available to Newly-Named Parties

It is apparent from other Case Management Conference Statements filed in these actions that this litigation has been proceeding for many years, and that there were a few days of trial regarding the boundaries of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. In order to avoid disadvantage to newly-named parties, the pleadings, exhibits, transcripts and other documents filed in the earlier stages of the proceedings should be made conveniently available to all parties. Preferably, they should be scanned and posted on the case web site, or e-mailed upon request to the requesting party. If this is not feasible, as in the case of oversized exhibits, examination of the documents in the court file should be made as convenient as possible. While some existing parties are urging the court to proceed as quickly as possible, it is equitable to give newly-named parties sufficient time to review what has gone before, and to have existing reports and exhibits examined by their own experts, if necessary.

State Parties Agree that a Determination of the Boundaries of the Basin Should Constitute the First Phase of the Proceedings

State Parties agree that a determination of the boundaries of the basin and any subbasins should be made in a first phase of the proceedings. As stated above, information already filed on this topic should be made available to all parties.

State Parties Understand that the Cross-Complaint filed on January 18, 2006 Replaces the Complaint filed in Los Angeles County on November 29, 2004

State Parties have been informed by counsel for Cross-Complainants that the Cross-Complaint filed on January 18, 2006 replaces the Complaint filed in Los Angeles County on November 29, 2004 and served on Doe defendants during the fall of 2005 as the pleading to

i		
1	which responsive pleadings are to be filed. It is our understanding that the Court will establish a	
2	date for responsive pleadings at the Case Management Conference.	
3	Dated: January 25, 2006	
4	Respectfully submitted,	
5	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California	
6	TOM GREENE Chief Assistant Attorney General	
7 8	J. MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General	
9	VIRGINIA CAHILL Deputy Attorney General	
10	Mold & Com	
11		
12	MICHAEL L. CROW Deputy Attorney General	
13	Attorneys for Attorneys for Defendant State of California; Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy; 50 th District Agricultural	
14	Conservancy; 50 th District Agricultural Association	
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT COURIER

Case Name: Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases: Included Actions: Los Angeles Superior

Court Case BC 325 201; Superior Court of Kern Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Superior Court of Riverside Case Nos. RIC 253 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668;

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No.: 4408

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is: 1300 I Street, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, California 94244-2550.

On <u>January 25, 2006</u>, I served the CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA; SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY; 50th DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL ASSOCIATION by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid with the addressed as follows:

Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles County Courthouse 111 North Hill IStreet Los Angeles, CA 90012-3014 Honorable Jack Kumar Santa Clara County Superior Court 191 North First Street, Department 17C San Jose, Ca 95113

Chair, Judicial Council of California Administrative office of the Courts Attn: Appellate and Trial Court Judicial Services (Civil Case Coordination) 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on January 25, 2006, at Sacramento, California.

Judy A. Dickey	Janty G. Dickey
Declarant	Signature