

1 DAVID LEVENTHAL, Esq., State Bar No. 156531  
2 **LEVENTHAL LAW FIRM**  
3 18565 Soledad Canyon Road, Suite 300  
4 Santa Clarita, California, 91351  
5 Telephone: 661-251-1000  
6 Facsimile: 661-251-4700

7  
8 Attorneys for Movant/Intervenor  
9 BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

Coordination Proceeding  
Special Title (Rule 1550(b))

**ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
CASES**

Including Consolidated Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.  
Diamond Farming Co.; Superior Court of California,  
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.  
Diamond Farming Co.; Superior Court of California,  
County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254348;

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster;  
Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster; Diamond  
Farming Co. V. Palmdale Water Dist.; Superior Court  
of California, County of Riverside, consolidated  
actions, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC  
344668;

AND RELATED ACTIONS.

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053  
Assigned to the  
Honorable Jack Komar  
Department 17C

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO  
INTERVENE IN JUDGMENT;**

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES;**

**DECLARATIONS OF AMY JIMENEZ AND  
DAVID LEVENTHAL (ATTORNEY FOR  
MOVANT) IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Hearing Date:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

TO BE SET BY COURT

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Jack Komar

**[Hearing to be conducted by Courtcall]**

1 TO THE HONORABLE JACK KOMAR, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT, ALL INTERESTED  
2 PARTIES, ALL PERSONS REQUESTING NOTICE, AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF  
3 RECORD:

4 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on \_\_\_\_\_, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. or as soon as  
5 the Santa Clara County Superior Court [for above-entitled Court located at 111 N Hill St Los Angeles CA]  
6 may hear the matter, Moving Party BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ will and hereby does move  
7 the Court for an order granting it leave to intervene in this Action and thereby become a Party to the  
8 December 23, 2015 Judgment and Physical Solution “(Judgment”) in the above-captioned Antelope  
9 Valley Groundwater Adjudication.

10 The general grounds for granting this Motion are as follows:

11 1. Section 20.9 of the Judgment provides that “[a]ny Person who is not a Party or successor to  
12 a Party and who proposes to ... acquire a Production Right ... is required to seek to become a Party  
13 subject to this Judgment through a noticed motion to intervene in this Judgment prior to commencing  
14 Production.” This language applies to Movant because it is not presently a named Party, and it seeks to  
15 acquire Production Rights.

16 2. Movant has filed a New Production Application with the Antelope Valley Watermaster.  
17 The Watermaster Engineer made a finding that no material injury would occur, and said Application was  
18 unanimously approved by the Watermaster, subject only to Movant becoming a party to the Judgment, via  
19 Intervention.

20 3. In addition to the above-noted reasons and procedures that were anticipated and  
21 incorporated into the Judgment itself; all of the requirements for both mandatory *and* permissive  
22 intervention (as set forth in Code of Civil Procedure Section 387) are also present in this case; thereby  
23 providing triplicate cause to grant this Motion to Intervene.

24 This Motion is based on the Declarations of Amy Jimenez and David Leventhal, and the  
25 Memorandum of Point and Authorities, all of which are attached hereto; the Judgment itself (which  
26 specifically authorizes the filing of this Motion); all other pleadings and documents filed in this Action;  
27  
28

1 together with any additional evidence and legal argument which may be presented at or prior to the  
2 hearing of this Motion.  
3

4 Respectfully submitted,

5 LEVENTHAL LAW FIRM

6 /s/ David Leventhal

7 By DAVID LEVENTHAL, Esq.

8 Attorney for Movant/Intervenor

9 BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This Motion stems from a routine “New Production Application” that has been filed with the Antelope Valley Watermaster.<sup>1</sup> The Application has been approved by the Watermaster, subject to Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez intervening into this Action and becoming a Party to the Judgment.

This Motion is filed pursuant to Section 20.9 of the Judgment, which specifies that [a]ny Person who is not a Party or successor to a Party and *who proposes to ... acquire a Production Right ...* is required to seek to become a Party subject to this Judgment though a noticed motion to intervene in this Judgment prior to commencing Production.” The foregoing language is applicable in the instant case, because Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez (“BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ”) proposes to acquire a Production Right via its approved New Production Application; thereby placing it neatly into the category of persons that were specifically expected to intervene into this Action, and thereby become one of the Parties bound by the Judgment.

**II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

**A. Identity of the Moving Party.**

Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez are the owners of certain real property commonly known as Los Angeles County Assessor Parcel Number 3277-026-011 (the “Property”). The Property and all water rights derived therefrom are subject to the terms and conditions of the Adjudication.

**B. Procedural Background.**

On December 3, 2015, this Court entered Judgment in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases; Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408. The Judgment incorporates by reference the “Physical Solution”; which sets forth the factual and procedural history of this case, and a comprehensive ruling for allocation and administration of water and water rights in the Antelope Valley.

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms in this Motion and supporting documents have the same meanings as those set forth in the Judgment and/or the Physical Solution.

1 The Court adopted the Physical Solution “as the Court’s own physical solution” and declared that it is  
2 binding upon all Parties as part of the Judgment.

3 The Judgment specifically contemplates that new production rights would be requested and  
4 desirable for the community. In this regard, Section 18.5.13 sets forth detailed procedures and standards  
5 for the application, consideration, and granting of new production rights. As set forth in detail below,  
6 Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez has complied with and satisfied all of the criteria for the granting of  
7 new production rights.

8 **C. Factual Background.**

9 On March 19, 2025, Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez filed a New Production Application with  
10 the Watermaster.

11 Full and proper notice of the foregoing New Production Application was provided to all Parties  
12 via: (i) email from the Watermaster to all Parties that have provided an email address, plus all non-  
13 parties that have requested notice of applications and proceedings; (ii) posting the Watermaster Board  
14 Agenda, which included the subject New Production Application, on the Watermaster website; and (iii)  
15 posting the Watermaster Board Agenda on the bulletin board in the lobby of the Watermaster offices.

16 ***No objections to this New Production Application were filed by any Party to the Judgment, nor by any***  
17 ***other member of the public.***

18 The New Production Application was processed and evaluated by the Watermaster  
19 administrators and the Watermaster Engineer, in accordance with all the requirements set forth in the  
20 Judgment. In this regard, the Watermaster administrators and the Watermaster Engineer determined and  
21 confirmed that, to the extent required under the circumstances of this particular application:

- 22 a. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez paid the required fees;
  - 23 b. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided a written summary describing the  
24 proposed quantity, sources of supply, season of use, purpose of use, place of use, manner of  
25 delivery, and other pertinent information;
  - 26 c. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided the maps showing the location of the  
27 new production;
- 28

1           d.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided details regarding the proposed new well  
2 design, estimated annual pumping, and agreed to install a meter in accordance with Watermaster  
3 Rules and Regulations; and a statement that once the well is installed, Bart Chielens and Amy  
4 Jimenez will provide permits, specifications, and well-log reports, pump specifications and  
5 testing results, and water meter specifications;

6           e.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided written confirmation that it has  
7 obtained all necessary entitlements and permits from federal, state, county, and local  
8 governments;

9           f.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided written confirmation that it has  
10 complied with all applicable laws and regulations;

11           g.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided its water conservation plan;

12           h.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided an analysis of the economic impact that  
13 the new production would have on the Basin and other producers in the subarea;

14           i.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided an analysis of the physical impact of  
15 the new production would have on the Basin and other producers in the subarea;

16           j.       Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided a written statement from a licensed  
17 engineer, signed by a California licensed and registered professional civil engineer with expertise  
18 in groundwater hydrology, determining that the new production will not cause “material injury”,  
19 as defined in the Judgment.  
20

21           During its review of the foregoing, the Watermaster administrators and the Watermaster  
22 Engineer analyzed, accepted, and adopted the foregoing documents and information provided by Bart  
23 Chielens and Amy Jimenez as accurate and sufficient, and therefore recommended to the Watermaster  
24 Board of Directors that the New Production Application be granted.

25           On April 23, 2025, at its regular monthly meeting, the New Production Application was  
26 considered and ***unanimously approved by the Watermaster Board.*** In this regard, the Watermaster  
27 unanimously adopted *Resolution No. R-25-18, Approving Application for New Production With*  
28

1 *Requirement to Intervene Pursuant to the Terms of the Judgment With Specified Conditions.* Among  
2 other things, the Watermaster resolved and determined as follows:

3  
4 WHEREAS, the Watermaster Engineer has reviewed all the applications listed on  
5 attached Exhibit A [including the application of Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez] and has  
6 made the appropriate findings, including that the applicant has a right to produce  
7 groundwater under the Judgment or otherwise agrees to purchase replacement water, that  
8 all conditions for new production are met under the Judgment and the Rules and  
9 Regulations [of the Watermaster], and that no material injury will result from the  
10 proposed production; and

11  
12 WHEREAS, the Watermaster Board has considered and adopts the findings and  
13 recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer and is prepared to approve the application  
14 listed on Exhibit pursuant to any conditions recommended by the Watermaster Engineer  
15 and so noted on Exhibit A.

16 ...

17  
18 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Watermaster Board unanimously  
19 approves the applications for new production or new point of extraction of those Parties  
20 or Persons whose names and information are listed on attached Exhibit A to this  
21 Resolution as being consistent with the terms of the Judgment and applicable Rules and  
22 Regulations.

23 As a condition of final approval, the Watermaster also requested, and BART CHIELENS AND  
24 AMY JIMENEZ agreed, to intervene as a Party to the Judgment.

25 BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ therefore filed the instant Motion to Intervene in the  
26 Judgment. As noted above, *the Watermaster has stipulated to BART CHIELENS AND AMY*  
27 *JIMENEZ's intervention into the Judgment.*

### 28 **III. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

#### **A. The Judgment Specifically Provides for Intervention by Parties Who Propose to Acquire a Production Right.**

When the Physical Solution was drafted and adopted, the Court anticipated that it would  
inevitably be necessary to include additional persons as named Parties to the judgment. The Court  
therefore provided the mechanism to achieve this result, via Section 20.9 of the Judgment, which  
provides as follows:

1  
2 **20.9 Intervention After Judgment.** Any Person who is not a Party or successor to  
3 a Party and *who proposes to ... acquire a Production Right* ... is required to seek to  
4 become a Party subject to this Judgment though a noticed motion to intervene in this  
5 Judgment prior to commencing Production. Prior to filing such a motion, a proposed  
6 intervenor shall consult with the Watermaster Engineer and seek the Watermaster's  
7 stipulation to the proposed intervention. ... Thereafter, if approved by the Court, such  
8 intervenor shall be a Party bound by this Judgment." (*Emphasis added*).  
9

10 The foregoing language is applicable in the instant case, because BART CHIELENS AND AMY  
11 JIMENEZ proposes to "acquire a Production Right"; thereby placing it neatly into the category of  
12 persons that were specifically expected to intervene into this Action, and thereby become a Party bound  
13 by the Judgment.  
14

15 Intervention is proper under Section 20.9 of the Judgment, because the Watermaster Board has  
16 approved the subject transaction, and confirmed that the transaction causes no Material Injury.  
17 Additionally, the Watermaster emailed notice of the New Production Application to all Parties and other  
18 interested persons, and posted notice of the proposed transaction on its website and bulletin board, and  
19 no Party nor any member of the public objected thereto.  
20

21 Since Movant is one of the exact categories of persons that the Court and all Parties expected to  
22 intervene, and its proposed transaction is proper and has been approved by the Watermaster, Movant  
23 respectfully requests that this Court enter an order granting this motion to intervene.  
24

25 **B. Intervention is Necessary and Appropriate Under C.C.P. Section 387.**

26 BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ intervention is also necessary and appropriate under  
27 *California Code of Civil Procedure* Section 387. Section 387 provides that a Court *shall* permit a  
28 nonparty to intervene in an action or proceeding when that party claims an interest relating to the  
property that is the subject of the action, when the disposition of the action may impair or impede that  
person's ability to protect that interest, and when that interest is not adequately represented by an  
existing party. A Court *may* also permit intervention upon timely application by nonparty that has an  
interest in the subject matter of the litigation that may be affected, when the intervention will not enlarge  
the issues in the litigation and when the reasons for the intervention outweigh any opposition by the  
parties presently in the action. (Cal Code Civ. Proc. § 387 subd. (d); *US Ecology, Inc. v. State of*



1 *California* (2001) 92 Cal App.4<sup>th</sup> 113, 139; *Timberidge Enterprises Inc. v. City of Santa Rosa* (1978) 86  
2 Cal.App.3d 873, 881.)

3 In the instant case, both of the above tests are satisfied. Mandatory intervention is applicable  
4 because: (i) BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ claims an interest in the water Production Rights  
5 which are the subject of the New Production Application; (ii) intervention is presently deemed necessary  
6 by the Watermaster for the parties to transfer, own, and/or use the Production Rights; and (iii) no current  
7 party represents the interests of the Movant.

8 Permissive intervention is also applicable because: (i) Movant claims an interest in the water  
9 Production Rights which are the subject of the New Production Application; (ii) intervention will not  
10 enlarge, alter, impair, nor in any way affect the issues in the litigation (since the litigation is entirely  
11 resolved); and (iii) the reasons for intervention are to comply with the Judgment (which specifically  
12 contemplates that new parties would intervene), and to comply with conditions required by the  
13 Watermaster (that the parties intervene).

14 The intervention statute is designed to promote fairness and to ensure maximum involvement by  
15 all responsible, interested, and affected parties. *Mary R. v. B. & R. Corp* (1983) 149 Cal.App.3d 308,  
16 314. The statute “should be liberally construed in favor of intervention.” *Lindelli v. Town of San*  
17 *Anselmo* (2006) 139 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1499, 1505. The Judgment, which controls, recognizes these principles  
18 through Sections 20.9, which expressly provide for intervention *after* entry of the Judgment in order to  
19 account for persons who “propose to ... acquire a Production Right” after the date of the Judgment.

20 **C. Movant Has Complied with the Requirements of the Judgment.**

21 As required by Section 20.9 of the Judgment, Movant has consulted with the Watermaster  
22 Engineer and obtained the Watermaster’s stipulation to Movant’s proposed intervention. Movant has also  
23 presented evidence that it proposes to “acquire a Production Right”; which is precisely one of the  
24 categories of persons contemplated to intervene into the action and become a “Party” to the Judgment.  
25 Lastly, Movant has properly and duly served this Motion in accordance with Section 20.7 of the Judgment  
26 by e-filing on the Court’s website.  
27  
28

1 **IV. PRAYER**

2 Movant respectfully requests that this Court grant its Motion to intervene and thereby become a  
3 Party bound by the Judgment, pursuant to Section 20.9 of the Judgment.

4 Respectfully submitted,

5 LEVENTHAL LAW FIRM

6 /s/ David Leventhal

7 By DAVID LEVENTHAL, Esq.

8 Attorney for Movant

9 BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ

DECLARATION OF AMY JIMENEZ

I, AMY JIMENEZ, declare as follows:

1. BART CHIELENS and myself are the owners of certain real property commonly known as Los Angeles County Assessor Parcel Number 3277-026-011 (the "Property"). The Property and all water rights derived therefrom are subject to the terms and conditions of the Adjudication.

2. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could competently testify thereto.

3. I have custody of originals of the documents attached hereto, which I have personally reviewed. In my capacity as a Custodian of Records, I hereby state that the documents attached hereto constitute writings compiled or prepared in the regular and ordinary course of business of BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ. As to those documents compiled by or received from others, I hereby state that such records were placed in the proper files of BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ at or near the time of receipt by a person employed by BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ who had a duty to so act. As to those documents prepared by BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ, I state from my own knowledge that each record or document was prepared by a person employed by BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ, at or near the time of the act or event of which they are a record. I further state from my own knowledge that each such record or document prepared by BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ was prepared by a person employed by BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ who had personal knowledge of the event being recorded and who had a duty to so act.

4. On March 19, 2025, Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez filed a New Production Application with the Watermaster.

5. Full and proper notice of the foregoing New Production Application was provided to all Parties via: (i) email from the Watermaster to all Parties that have provided an email address, plus all non-parties that have requested notice of applications and proceedings; (ii) posting the Watermaster Board Agenda, which included the subject New Production Application, on the Watermaster website; and (iii) posting the Watermaster Board Agenda on the bulletin board in the lobby of the Watermaster

1 offices. ***No objections to this New Production Application were filed by any Party to the Judgment,***  
2 ***nor by any other member of the public.***

3 6. The New Production Application was processed and evaluated by the Watermaster  
4 administrators and the Watermaster Engineer, in accordance with all the requirements set forth in the  
5 Judgment. In this regard, the Watermaster administrators and the Watermaster Engineer determined and  
6 confirmed that, to the extent required under the circumstances of this particular application:

- 7 a. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez paid the required fees;
- 8 b. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided a written summary describing the proposed  
9 quantity, sources of supply, season of use, purpose of use, place of use, manner of delivery,  
10 and other pertinent information;
- 11 c. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided the maps showing the location of the new  
12 production;
- 13 d. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided details regarding the proposed new well  
14 design, estimated annual pumping, and agreed to install a meter in accordance with  
15 Watermaster Rules and Regulations; and a statement that once the well is installed, Bart  
16 Chielens and Amy Jimenez will provide permits, specifications, and well-log reports, pump  
17 specifications and testing results, and water meter specifications;
- 18 e. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided written confirmation that it has obtained all  
19 necessary entitlements and permits from federal, state, county, and local governments;
- 20 f. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided written confirmation that it has complied with  
21 all applicable laws and regulations;
- 22 g. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided its water conservation plan;
- 23 h. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided an analysis of the economic impact that the  
24 new production would have on the Basin and other producers in the subarea;
- 25 i. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided an analysis of the physical impact of the new  
26 production would have on the Basin and other producers in the subarea;
- 27  
28

1 j. Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez provided a written statement from a licensed engineer,  
2 signed by a California licensed and registered professional civil engineer with expertise in  
3 groundwater hydrology, determining that the new production will not cause “material  
4 injury”, as defined in the Judgment.

5 7. During its review of the foregoing, the Watermaster administrators and the Watermaster  
6 Engineer analyzed, accepted, and adopted the foregoing documents and information provided by Bart  
7 Chielens and Amy Jimenez as accurate and sufficient, and therefore recommended to the Watermaster  
8 Board of Directors that the New Production Application be granted.

9 8. On April 23, 2025, at its regular monthly meeting, the New Production Application was  
10 considered and ***unanimously approved by the Watermaster Board.*** In this regard, the Watermaster  
11 unanimously adopted *Resolution No. R-25-18, Approving Application for New Production With*  
12 *Requirement to Intervene Pursuant to the Terms of the Judgment With Specified Conditions.* Among  
13 other things, the Watermaster resolved and determined as follows:

14 WHEREAS, the Watermaster Engineer has reviewed all the applications listed on  
15 attached Exhibit A [including the application of Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez] and has  
16 made the appropriate findings, including that the applicant has a right to produce  
17 groundwater under the Judgment or otherwise agrees to purchase replacement water, that  
18 all conditions for new production are met under the Judgment and the Rules and  
19 Regulations [of the Watermaster], and that no material injury will result from the  
20 proposed production; and

21 WHEREAS, the Watermaster Board has considered and adopts the findings and  
22 recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer and is prepared to approve the application  
23 listed on Exhibit pursuant to any conditions recommended by the Watermaster Engineer  
24 and so noted on Exhibit A.

25 ...

26 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Watermaster Board unanimously  
27 approves the applications for new production or new point of extraction of those Parties  
28 or Persons whose names and information are listed on attached Exhibit A to this  
Resolution as being consistent with the terms of the Judgment and applicable Rules and  
Regulations.

9. As a condition of final approval, the Watermaster also requested, and BART CHIELENS  
AND AMY JIMENEZ agreed, to intervene as a Party to the Judgment.

1           10.     BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ therefore filed the instant Motion to Intervene  
2 in the Judgment. As noted above, *the Watermaster has stipulated to BART CHIELENS AND AMY*  
3 *JIMENEZ's intervention into the Judgment.*

4           11.     I am aware that the Watermaster's approval of these transactions is conditioned upon  
5 BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ intervening to become a Party to the Judgment.

6           12.     BART CHIELENS AND AMY JIMENEZ desires to intervene into this Action and  
7 become a Party to the Judgment herein, and I respectfully request that this Court enter an Order to that  
8 effect.

9  
10           I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing  
11 is true and correct.

12           Executed on April 30, 2025, at Lancaster, California.

13  
14                               /s/ Amy Jimenez  
15                               Amy Jimenez  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before this Court, and as such, I am the lawyer for Movant Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could competently testify thereto.

3. On April 22, 2024, I reviewed the website of the Antelope Valley Watermaster, which includes the Agenda Packet for the April 23, 2025 Watermaster Board Meeting. Within that Agenda Packet are the documents presented and considered by the Watermaster Engineer and the Watermaster Board, and its findings and related Resolutions in connection with Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez's New Production Application; all of which are collectively attached hereto as Exhibit A and are incorporated herein by this reference. Among other things, the Watermaster Board Resolution states that:

WHEREAS, the Watermaster Board has considered and adopts the findings and recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer and is prepared to approve the application listed on Exhibit pursuant to any conditions recommended by the Watermaster Engineer and so noted on Exhibit A.

1 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Watermaster Board unanimously  
2 approves the applications for new production or new point of extraction of those Parties  
3 or Persons whose names and information are listed on attached Exhibit A to this  
4 Resolution as being consistent with the terms of the Judgment and applicable Rules and  
Regulations.

5 4. As a condition of final approval, the Watermaster also requested, and BART CHIELENS  
6 AND AMY JIMENEZ agreed, to intervene as a Party to the Judgment.

7  
8 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing  
9 is true and correct.

10 Executed on April 30, 2025, at Santa Clarita, California.

11 /s/ David Leventhal.  
12 DAVID LEVENTHAL  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



## **RESOLUTION NO. R-25-18**

### **APPROVING APPLICATIONS FOR NEW PRODUCTION WITH REQUIREMENT TO INTERVENE PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE JUDGMENT WITH SPECIFIED CONDITIONS; ATTACHED EXHIBIT A**

WHEREAS, the Antelope Valley Watermaster, formed by the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases Final Judgment (“Judgment”), Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 signed December 23, 2015, is to administer the Judgment; and

WHEREAS, a process for considering and approving applications for new production is set forth in the Judgment and in the Rules and Regulations unanimously adopted by the Board pursuant to Resolution No. R-20-12; and

WHEREAS, the Watermaster Engineer is authorized under the Judgment to recommend to the Watermaster Board that application for new production be denied or approved, and that approval may be pursuant to certain conditions such as payment of a replacement water assessment; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the terms of the Judgment, the Watermaster Engineer is required to make certain findings and to consider, investigate and recommend to the Watermaster Board denial or approval, or approval with certain conditions, of these applications consistent with the terms of the Judgment; and

WHEREAS, the Watermaster Engineer has reviewed all the applications listed on attached Exhibit A and has made the appropriate findings, including that the applicant has a right to produce groundwater under the Judgment or otherwise agrees to purchase replacement water, that all conditions for new production are met under the Judgment and the Rules and Regulations, and that no Material Injury will result from the proposed production; and

WHEREAS, Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez are not a Party to the Judgment, and as such Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez may not produce water until they successfully intervene as Parties to the Judgment; and

WHEREAS, in consultation with the Watermaster General Counsel, the Watermaster Engineer has reviewed the Application and, if the Board chooses to approve the Application, recommends that approval be subject to the following conditions, as noted on Exhibit A:

- (1) Bart Chielens and Amy Jimenez must file a motion to intervene as a Party to the Judgment no later than thirty (30) days after the date of this Resolution;
- (2) the proposed new production shall be of no force or effect until has successfully intervened as a Party to the Judgment;
- (3) nothing in this Resolution shall be construed as precedent or authority for any non-Party to receive a Production Right without first intervening in the Judgment.

WHEREAS, the Watermaster Board has considered and adopts the findings and recommendations of the Watermaster Engineer and is prepared to approve the application listed on Exhibit A pursuant to any conditions recommended by the Watermaster Engineer and so noted on Exhibit A.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Watermaster Board unanimously approves the applications for new production or new point of extraction of those Parties or Persons whose names and information are listed on attached Exhibit A to this Resolution as being consistent with the terms of the Judgment and applicable Rules and Regulations.

**I certify that this is a true copy of Resolution No. R-25-18 as passed by the Board of Directors of the Antelope Valley Watermaster at its meeting held on April 23, 2025, in Palmdale, California.**

Date: 4-23-25

ATTEST: Patricia Rose  
Patricia Rose – Secretary

Robert Parris  
Robert Parris, Chairperson

**Exhibit A Attachment to  
Resolution No. R-25-18**

**APPROVING APPLICATIONS FOR NEW PRODUCTION  
PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE JUDGMENT**

<b>APN#</b>	<b>Amount Requested</b>	<b>Use of Well</b>	<b>Subarea</b>	<b>Applicant/Property Owner</b>
3277-026-011	1 AFY	Domestic	West Antelope	Bart Chielens & Amy Jimenez