1 2	SUE ELLEN WOOLDRIDGE Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division								
3	R. LEE LEININGER								
4	United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division								
5	999 18 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 945 Denver, Colorado 80202								
6	Phone: 303/312-7322 Fax: 303/312-7379								
7	Attorneys for Federal Defendants								
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
9	COUNTY OF LOS AN	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES							
10	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	<ul><li>Judicial Council Coordination</li><li>Proceeding No. 4408</li></ul>							
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES								
12	Included actions:	) STATEMENT OF ISSUES )							
13	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.	) Date: February 17, 2006 ) Time: 9:00 a.m.							
14	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201	) Dept.: 1							
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.	) )							
16	Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	ý )							
17	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	)							
	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.	) )							
18	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case nos. RIC 353 840, RIC	) )							
19	344 436, RIC 344 668	) )							
20	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES, et al.	) )							
21	Cross-Complainants,	) )							
22	v.	)							
23	DIAMOND FARMING CO., et al.	) )							
24	Cross-Defendants.	<i>)</i> )							
25									
26	Pursuant to the Minute Entry dated February 17,								
27	America submits the following list of issues in advance	of the Informed Issues Conference							
28	scheduled for March 24, 2006:								

1	1.	How will all potential water right claimants be identified?				
2		a. How will service on all potential water right claimants be effected?				
3	2.	What should be the form of model pleadings?				
4		a. Should parties already joined be re-served with model pleadings?				
5	3.	What should be the form of a simple Complaint and Answer for dormant (small				
6		landowner) parties?				
7	4.	What are the geographical boundaries of the Antelope Valley (AV) water basin?				
8		a. What, if any, sub-basins exist within the AV basin and what are their boundaries?				
9		b. What, if any, perched aquifers exist within the AV basin that may be suitable for				
10		groundwater extraction?				
11	5.	What is the jurisdictional boundary of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication?				
12	6.	Are the AV water basin and sub-basins in a state of overdraft today?				
13		a. If yes, how long has this condition existed? Which aquifers are most severely in				
14		overdraft? What groundwater extraction is contributing to the most severe				
15		overdraft?				
16	7.	Has overdraft of the AV water basin resulted in land surface subsidence within the AV?				
17		a. If so, where?				
18		b. Where is the subsidence most pronounced?				
19	8.	If this Court is asked to restrict groundwater extraction, should such restriction be based				
20		on:				
21		a. The safe yield of the AV water basin.				
22		b. A correlative rights calculation using acreage owned overlying the basin.				
23		c. A prescriptive rights calculation based on proven historical usage.				
24		d. A reasonable and beneficial use concept.				
25	9.	What constitutes (or defines) safe yield in the AV water basin?				
26	10.	What is the nature and scope of the Federal Reserved Water Rights belonging to the				
27		United States and asserted on behalf of all Department of Defense installations within the				
28		AV?				

- 11. Is water banking a feasible enterprise within the AV water basin?
  - a. If yes, will this Court order the preservation of the rights to any water banked in the AV water basin exclusively to those parties making such deposit and enjoin withdrawal by all others?
  - b. In conjunction with water banking for subsequent withdrawal, will this Court order recharge of the aquifers found to be the most severe overdraft condition in order to prevent or delay further surface subsidence within the AV?

Respectfully submitted this \_\_\_/7 day of March, 2006.

Attorney
U. S. Department of Justice

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

T	T	in	da	$\mathbf{C}$	Sh	ıım	arc	1	deci	lare
Ι.	1.	ш	ua	U.	ЭH	ulli	all	1.	uec.	iai e

I am a resident of the State of Colorado and over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is U.S. Department of Justice, Environmental and Natural Resources Section, 999 - 18<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 945, Denver, Colorado 80202.

On March 20, 2006, I caused the foregoing documents described as STATEMENT OF ISSUES, to be served on the parties via the following service::

X	BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the documents(s) listed above to the Superior Court of the State of California County of Los Angeles website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	BY MAIL AS FOLLOWS (to parties so indicated on attached service list): By placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as indicated on the attached service list.
	BY OVERNIGHT COURIER: I caused the above-referenced document(s) be delivered to FEDERAL EXPRESS for delivery to the above address(es).
	Executed on March 20, 2006, at Denver, Colorado.
	/s/ Linda C. Shumard Linda C. Shumard Legal Support Assistant