Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)
ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE COURT)

28

I hereby answer the Complaint and all Cross-Complaints which have been filed as of this date, specifically those of Antelope Valley East-Kern Water Agency, Palmdale Water District & Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District and Waterworks District No. 40 of Los Angeles County. I do not intend to participate at trial or other proceedings unless ordered by the Court to do so, but I reserve the right to do so upon giving written notice to that effect to the Court and all parties. I own the following property(ies) located in the Antelope Valley:

476-052-08-01-1 475-171-14-00-0 476-052-09-00-5
476-052-08-01-1 475-171-14-00-0 476-052-09-00-5
474-220-15-00-3 / 3238-020-003-06-000
[Insert address and/or APN Number] 3 238-020-002-06-000

## GENERAL DENIAL

1. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Defendant and Cross-Defendant hereby generally denies each and every allegation set forth in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and the whole thereof, and further denies that Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant are entitled to any relief against Defendant and Cross-Defendant.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

### First Affirmative Defense

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

 The Complaint and Cross-Complaint and every purported cause of action contained therein fail to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant and Cross-Defendant.

#### Second Affirmative Defense

(Statute of Limitation)

3. Each and every cause of action contained in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, sections 318, 319, 321, 338, and 343 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)
ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE COURT)

1	Third Affirmative Defense
2	(Laches)
3	4. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action
4	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of laches.
5	Fourth Affirmative Defense
6	(Estoppel)
7	5. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action
8	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.
9	Fifth Affirmative Defense
10.	(Waiver)
11	6. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action
12	contained therein, is barred by the doctrine of waiver.
13	Sixth Affirmative Defense
14	(Self-Help)
15	7. Defendant and Cross-Defendant has, by virtue of the doctrine of self-help,
16	preserved its paramount overlying right to extract groundwater by continuing, during all times
17	relevant hereto, to extract groundwater and put it to reasonable and beneficial use on its property.
18	Seventh Affirmative Defense
19	(California Constitution Article X, Section 2)
20	8. Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's methods of water use and storage are
21	unreasonable and wasteful in the arid conditions of the Antelope Valley and thereby violate
22	Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution.
23	Eighth Affirmative Defense
24	(Additional Defenses)
2 <b>5</b>	9. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint do not state their allegations with sufficient
26	clarity to enable defendant and cross-defendant to determine what additional defenses may exist
27	to Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant's causes of action. Defendant and Cross-defendant therefore
28	reserve the right to assert all other defenses which may pertain to the Complaint and Cross-
	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE COURT)

1	Complaint.
2	Ninth Affirmative Defense
3	10. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
4	ultra vires and exceed the statutory authority by which each entity may acquire property as set
5	forth in Water Code sections 22456, 31040 and 55370.
6	Tenth Affirmative Defense
7	11. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
. 8	barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 19 of the California Constitution.
9	Eleventh Affirmative Defense
10	12. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
11	barred by the provisions of the 5th Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the
12	states under the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.
13	Twelfth Affirmative Defense
14	13. Cross-Complainants' prescriptive claims are barred due to their failure to take
15	affirmative steps that were reasonably calculated and intended to inform each overlying
16	landowner of cross-complainants' adverse and hostile claim as required by the due process claus
17	of the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendments of the United States Constitution.
18	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense
19	14. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
20	barred by the provisions of Article I Section 7 of the California Constitution.
21	Fourteenth Affirmative Defense
22	15. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are
23	barred by the provisions of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution.
24	Fifteenth Affirmative Defense
25	16. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants were permissively pumping at all
26	times.
27	Sixteenth Affirmative Defense
28	17. The request for the court to use its injunctive powers to impose a physical solution

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)
ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE COURT)

-
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

seeks a remedy that is in violation of the doctrine of separation of powers set forth in Article 3 section 3 of the California Constitution.

#### Seventeenth Affirmative Defense

18. Cross-Complainants are barred from asserting their prescriptive claims by operation of law as set forth in Civil Code sections 1007 and 1214.

## Eighteenth Affirmative Defense

19. Each Cross-Complainant is barred from recovery under each and every cause of action contained in the Cross-Complaint by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or unjust enrichment.

#### Nineteenth Affirmative Defense

20. The Cross-Complaint is defective because it fails to name indispensable parties in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 389(a).

#### Twentieth Affirmative Defense

21. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred from taking, possessing or using cross-defendants' property without first paying just compensation.

#### Twenty-First Affirmative Defense

22. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are seeking to transfer water right priorities and water usage which will have significant effects on the Antelope Valley Groundwater basin and the Antelope Valley. Said actions are being done without complying with and contrary to the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

# Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense

23. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants seek judicial ratification of a project that has had and will have a significant effect on the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin and the Antelope Valley that was implemented without providing notice in contravention of the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).

## Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense

24. Any imposition by this court of a proposed physical solution that reallocates the 5

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)
ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS (MODEL APPROVED BY THE COURT)

	$\ell$
1	water right priorities and water usage within the Antelope Valley will be ultra vires as it will be
2	subverting the pre-project legislative requirements and protections of California's Environmenta
3	Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 et seq.).
4	
5	WHEREFORE, Defendant and Cross-defendant prays that judgment be entered as
6	follows:
. 7	1. That Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant take nothing by reason of its Complaint or
8	Cross-Complaint;
9	2. That the Complaint and Cross-Complaints be dismissed with prejudice;
10	3. For Defendant and Cross-Defendant's costs incurred herein; and
. 11	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
12	1100
13	Dated: 1-26-, 2007 Signature
14	[Print name of party and/or attorney]
15	
16	(FILE IN LA CUDEDION COLUMN AND DOCK ON COLUMN WEDGEER . HOD T WY
17	[FILE IN LA SUPERIOR COURT AND POST ON COURT WEBSITE - FOR E-FILING
18	INSTRUCTIONS, PLEASE GO TO <u>WWW.SCEFILING.ORG/FAO</u> OR CONTACT GLOTRANS AT (510) 208-4775.]
19	na (510) 200-4775.j
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	ORANGE\IDLININ\32353.1
25	
26	
27	
28	