1 2 3 4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP B. Richard Marsh (SBN 23820) Daniel V. Hyde (SBN: 63365) 221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 250-1800 Facsimile: (213) 250-7900	
5	ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.	
6	Anne J. Schneider (SBN: 72552) Christopher M. Sanders (SBN: 195990)	
7	Peter J. Kiel (SBN: 221548) 2015 H Street	
8	Sacramento, California 95814-3109 Telephone: (916) 447-2166 Facsimile: (916) 447-3512	
9	Attorneys for Defendants County Sanitation Dist	ricts Nos 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
10	Theorie jo for Berendants County Summeron Bise	rices (vos. 1) and 20 of 200 ringeles county
11	GUDEDIOD COUDT	
12	SUPERIOR COURT	
13	COUNTY OF I	OS ANGELES
14	Coordination Proceeding	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No.
15	Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	4408
16	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: Judge: Honorable Jack Komar
17	Included Actions:	
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	ANSWER OF COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS NOS. 14 AND 20 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO CROSS- COMPLAINT OF TEJON RANCHCORP, ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER AGREEMENT ASSOCIATION AND ALL
19		
20		
21		
22		SUBSEQUENTLY FILED CROSS- COMPLAINTS
23	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	
24	Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	
25	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos.	
26		
27	RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668.	
28		

1	Cross-defendants, County Sanitation District Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County
2	(hereafter "Districts"), hereby answers the cross-complaint of Tejon Ranchcorp, the Antelope
3	Valley Groundwater Agreement Association, and all cross-complaints hereafter filed that name
4	either or both of the Districts as a cross-defendant.
5	
6	GENERAL DENIAL
7	
8	1. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Cross-defendants hereby
9	generally deny each and every allegation set forth in the Cross-Complaint, and the whole thereof
10	and further deny that Cross-Complainant is entitled to any relief against Cross-defendant.
11	
12	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
13	
14	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	2. The Cross-Complaint and every purported cause of action therein fail to allege facts
16	sufficient to constitute a cause of action against the Districts.
17	
18	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	3. The Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, are barred by the
20	doctrine of waiver.
21	
22	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	4. The Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, are barred by the
24	doctrine of laches.
25	
26	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	5. The Cross-Complaint, and each and every cause of action therein, are barred by the
28	doctrine of estoppel.

- -

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. The Districts have a paramount right against all other parties, in accordance with California Water Code section 1210, to the recycled water produced by the Districts' water reclamation plants. This right shall remain in effect until this right is sold or the water abandoned.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. The Districts have a right to extract groundwater from the Basin for reasonable and beneficial use on the Districts' properties, and this right is prior and paramount to Public Water Suppliers' claims to extract and use groundwater from the Basin for non-overlying (appropriative) use and is correlative with all other overlying groundwater rights.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. The Districts' recycled water has reached the Basin through various means including percolation of return flows, and may seek to store recycled water in the future through the use of recharge basins or other facilities. The Districts have a right to store this water in the Basin, a paramount right against all other parties to this water, and a paramount right against all other parties to recapture this water or an equivalent amount.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. In California Water Code section 13550, et seq., the California Legislature finds and declares that the use of potable domestic water for nonpotable uses, including industrial and irrigation uses, is a waste or an unreasonable use of water if recycled water of adequate quality and at a reasonable price is available, and meets all statutory conditions as determined by the State Water Resources Control Board. The Districts contend that they are now and will in the future make substantial quantities of recycled water of adequate quality and reasonable price available for nonpotable uses in the Antelope Valley. The Districts are informed and believe and on that basis allege that the availability and use of recycled water directly and significantly

1	affects the Basin and must be fully taken into account in the adjudication of all rights to water is		
2	the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin.		
3			
4	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
5	10. The Districts have, pursuant to the doctrine of "self help," preserved their right to extract		
6	groundwater from the Basin by pumping groundwater during all relevant time periods for		
7	reasonable and beneficial use on the Districts' properties.		
8			
9	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
10	11. The Cross-Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein, in whole or part, are		
11	barred by the applicable statutes of limitation, including but not limited to section 318, 319, 321		
12	337, 338, 339, 342 and 343 of the Code of Civil Procedure.		
13			
14	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
15	12. The Cross-Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein are barred by the failure to		
16	join indispensable and necessary parties.		
17			
18	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
19	13. All the groundwater extracted by the Districts from the Basin is devoted to public use.		
20	As a result of this dedication to public use, the Cross-Complainant cannot obtain any judicial		
21	relief that will in any way restrain or prevent the Districts from exercising their rights to extract		
22	groundwater from the Basin.		
23			
24	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
25	14. The Districts reserve the right to assert additional defenses or to amend this Answer as		
26	may be appropriate.		
27	<u>PRAYER</u>		
28	WHEREFORE, Districts pray for Judgment as follows:		

28

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I declare that:	
3	I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California. I am over the age of	
4	eighteen years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is ELLISON,	
5	SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, L.L.P.; 2015 H Street; Sacramento, California 95814-3109; telephone	
6	(916) 447-2166.	
7	On March 2, 2007, I served the County Sanitation Districts' Answer of County Sanitation	
8	Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles County to Cross-Complaint of Bolthouse Properties,	
9	LLC by electronic posting to the Santa Clara Superior Court E-Filing website,	
10	http://www.scefiling.org/cases/casehome.jsp?caseId=19.	
11	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this	
12	declaration was executed on March 2, 2007, at Sacramento, California.	
13		
14	Patty Slomski	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		