1 2 3 4	ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P Anne J. Schneider (SBN: 72552) Christopher M. Sanders (SBN: 195990) 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, California 95816 Telephone: (916) 447-2166 Facsimile: (916) 447-3512	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103
5	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants County Sant County	itation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los Angeles
6 7		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA GELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
8		
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
11	Included Actions:	CLASS ACTION
12	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.	Santa Clara Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053  ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:
13	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201	Judge: Honorable Jack Komar
14	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	OBJECTIONS TO BOLTHOUSE NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF EXPERT PETE LEFFLER
15 16	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	Date: August 3, 2010 Time: 9:00 a.m.
17	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	Place: Lagerlof, Senecal, Gosney & Kruse 301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor
18	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.	Pasadena, California 91101
19	Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353	
20	840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
21		
22		
23	111	
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	_e

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS NOS. 14 AND 20 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY ("Cross-Defendants") object to Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. Notice of Deposition of Expert Peter Leffler dated July 16, 2010 ("Deposition Notice"), as follows:

Cross-Defendants object to the Deposition Notice on the grounds that it calls for information outside the scope of the expert designation. Mr. Leffler has been designated by the Public Water Suppliers for the limited purpose of providing expert testimony and rebuttal testimony regarding characteristics of bedrock surrounding the Antelope Valley and potential flows of groundwater through those materials into the Basin. Nothing in this objection, however, limits the breadth or scope of future designation of this expert by Cross-Defendants, should this designation be made.

## SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Cross-Defendants object to the following document requests as enumerated in the Notice of Deposition.

- 1. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 2. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 3. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 4. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 5. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 6. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.

- 7. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 8. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 9. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 11. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 12. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.
- 13. Cross-Defendants object to this document request to the extent it is outside the scope of the expert designation and calls for information protected by the attorney work product doctrine.

Dated: July 26, 2010 ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.

By:

CHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS Attorneys for Cross-Defendants

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.; 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400; Sacramento, California 95816; telephone (916) 447-2166.

On July 26, 2010, I served the attached *Objections to Bolthouse Notice of Deposition of Expert Peter Leffler* by posting the document to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in the regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 26, 2010, at Sacramento, California.

Patty Slomski