1 2 3 4 5	William J. Brunick, Esq. [SB No. 46289] BRUNICK, McELHANEY & BECKETT 1839 Commercenter West, P.O. Box 6425 San Bernardino, California 92412-6425 Telephone: (909) 889-8301 Facsimile: (909) 388-1889 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATE	Exempt from filing fee pursuant to Gov't. Code Section 6103
6	ANTELOTE VALLET-EAST KERN WATE	ER AGENC I
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
9		
10 11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
12 13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept.17
114 115 116 117 118 119 20 221 222 223 224	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a corporation, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC325201; Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a corporation., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation, vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation vs. Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668.	ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY'S CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT Date: January 9, 2009 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 1
26		

Pursuant to this Court's MINUTE ORDER AFTER THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE on November 25, 2008, the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK) hereby submits the following narrative Case Management Statement.

I. <u>FUTURE PHASE(S) OF TRIAL</u>

At the Case Management Conference on November 25, 2008 the Court discussed future phases of trial. The Court proposed that Phase III of the trial shall be for the determination of safe yield and overdraft.

II. <u>FEDERAL RESERVED RIGHT</u>

It is suggested that in addition to determination of safe yield and overdraft in Phase III, the trial should also include a determination of whether the United States has a federal reserve right in the Antelope Valley groundwater basin as determined by the Court. Secondly, if such a right exists, what is the quantified amount. Such a determination may expedite potential resolution to the litigation and allow the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency to initiate a planning process with the Air Force Base.

Dated: January 2, 2009

BRUNICK, McELHANEY & BECKETT

By: WILLIAM I BRUNICK

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN

WATER AGENCY

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO}

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California.

On January 2, 2009, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY'S CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT on the interested parties in this action served in the following manner:

XX BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in the action of the *Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation*, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.

X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 2, 2009, at San Bernardino, California.

P. Jo Anne Quihuis