William J. Brunick, Esq. [SB No. 46289] 1 BRUNICK, McELHANEY, BECKETT & KENNEDY PLC 1839 Commercenter West San Bernardino, California 92408-3303 3 Exempt from filing fee pursuant to MAILING: Gov't. Code Section 6103 P.O. Box 13130 4 San Bernardino, California 92423-3130 5 (909) 889-8301 Telephone: (909) 388-1889 Facsimile: 6 E-Mail: bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com 7 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, 8 ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KÉRN WATER AGENCY 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT 12 13 Coordination Proceeding Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) 14 No. 4408 15 ANTELOPE VALLEY Santa Clara Case No. GROUNDWATER CASES 1-05-CV-049053 16 The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept.17 17 Included Actions: ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY'S TRIAL SETTING 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District CONFERENCE STATEMENT No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a 19 corporation, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. April 17, 2012 Date: 20 BC325201; Time: 9:00 a.m. Room: 1515 (Los Angeles) 21 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a 22 corporation., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-23 348; 24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a 25 corporation, vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation vs. 26 Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. 27 RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668.

28

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK) hereby submits its Trial Setting 1 Conference Statement for the hearing on April 17, 2012 in Department 1515 of the Los Angeles 2 County Superior Court: 3 Subject to the development of an acceptable physical solution by the parties, and approval 4 by principals or their elected representatives a settlement may be achieved in this case. Justice 5 Robie has established an April 30, 2012 meeting in Sacramento to begin the development of the 6 physical solution with his participation. 7 As the Court previously noted, an evidentiary hearing is needed to prove-up each party's 8 entitlement to the share of the native safe yield allocated to that party predicated upon that party's pumping history, i.e., confirming that during the applicable time period each party has 10 pumped an amount equal to or greater than the amount to be allocated to that party. To that end, 11 the Court may consider appointing a Special Master to receive evidence and make findings 12 thereon, subject to final approval of the Court. 13 Dated: April 10, 2012 BRUNICK, MCELHANEY, BECKETT& KENNEDY 14 15 16 17 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KÉRN 18 WATER AGENCY 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO}

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California.

On April 10, 2012, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY'S TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE STATEMENT on the interested parties in this action served in the following manner:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in the action of the *Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation*, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.

X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 10, 2012, at San Bernardino, California.

P. Jo Anne Quihuis