

William J. Brunick, Esq. [SB No. 46289]
BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY PLC
1839 Commercenter West
San Bernardino, California 92408-3303

MAILING:
P.O. Box 13130
San Bernardino, California 92423-3130

Telephone: (909) 889-8301
Facsimile: (909) 388-1889
E-Mail: bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant,
ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

*Exempt from filing fee pursuant to
Gov't. Code Section 6103*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT**

Coordination Proceeding
Special Title (Rule 1550(b))

**ANTELOPE VALLEY
GROUNDWATER CASES**

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding
No. 4408

**Santa Clara Case No.
1-05-CV-049053**
The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept.17

Included Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District
No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a
corporation, Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles, Case No.
BC325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District
No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a
corporation., Superior Court of California,
County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-
348;

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a
corporation, vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond
Farming Company, a corporation vs.
Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of
California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.
RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668.

**NOTICE OF JOINDER AND JOINDER
OF ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN
WATER AGENCY IN RICHARD
WOOD'S PROPOSED CASE
MANAGEMENT ORDER**

Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: TBD

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2 Please take notice that the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency hereby joins in
3 Richard Wood's Proposed Case Management Order for Phase V and VI trials regarding the
4 scope of trial. Such joinder is based upon statements by the Court as to ownership of Return
5 Flows and appropriate percentages relating thereto at recent Case Management Conferences.

6 In addition, the Court in its Statement of Decision in Phase Three Trial, stated:

7 "It should not be assumed that the safe yield management number
8 may not change as climate circumstances and pumping may change,
9 or as the empirical evidence based on experience in managing the
10 basin suggests it is either too high or too low."


11 The percentage relating to Return Flows was not decided in Phase III and should be
12 decided either in the Phase V trial or the upcoming physical solution phase of trial.

13
14 Dated: September 30, 2013

BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY

15
16
17 By: William J. Brunick
18 WILLIAM J. BRUNICK
19 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant,
20 ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN
21 WATER AGENCY
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8


P. JoAnne Quihuis