1	William J. Brunick, Esq. [SB No. 46289] BRUNICK, McELHANEY& KENNEDY	PLC					
2	1839 Commercenter West San Bernardino, California 92408-3303						
3	MAILING: P.O. Box 13130	Exempt from filing fee pursuant to Gov't. Code Section 6103					
4	San Bernardino, California 92423-3130						
5	Telephone: (909) 889-8301						
6	Facsimile: (909) 388-1889 E-Mail: bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com						
7	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY						
9							
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT						
12							
13	Coordination Proceeding	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding					
14	Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	No. 4408					
15	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053					
16		The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept.17					
17	Included Actions:	NOTICE OF JOINDER AND JOINDER					
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a	OF ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY IN RICHARD					
19	County of Los Angeles, Case No.	WOOD'S PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER					
20	BC325201;						
21	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a						
22	corporation., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: TBD					
23	348;	1					
24	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a						
25	corporation, vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation vs.						
26	Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.						
27	RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668.						
28							

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Please take notice that the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency hereby joins in Richard Wood's Proposed Case Management Order for Phase V and VI trials regarding the scope of trial. Such joinder is based upon statements by the Court as to ownership of Return Flows and appropriate percentages relating thereto at recent Case Management Conferences.

In addition, the Court in its Statement of Decision in Phase Three Trial, stated:

"It should not be assumed that the safe yield management number may not change as climate circumstances and pumping may change. or as the empirical evidence based on experience in managing the basin suggests it is either too high or too low."

The percentage relating to Return Flows was not decided in Phase III and should be decided either in the Phase V trial or the upcoming physical solution phase of trial.

Dated: September 30, 2013

BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

PROOF OF SERVICE

ı	•	•	١	١
	ú	d	,	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California 92408-3303.

On September 30, 2013, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: NOTICE OF JOINDER AND JOINDER OF ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY IN RICHARD WOOD'S PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER on the interested parties in this action served in the following manner:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in the action of the *Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation*, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.

X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on September 30, 2013, at San Bernardino, California.

P. Jo Mine Quihuis