1	William J. Brunick, Esq. [SB No. 46289]  BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY PLC	
2	1839 Commercenter West San Bernardino, California 92408	
3	MAILING:	Exempt from filing fee pursuant to Gov't. Code Section 6103
4	P.O. Box 13130 San Bernardino, California 92423-3130	
5 6	Telephone: (909) 889-8301 Facsimile: (909) 388-1889	
7	E-Mail: bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com	
8	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant,	
9	ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATE	ER AGENCY
10		
11		
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
13		
14	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
15	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No.
16		<b>1-05-CV-049053</b> The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept.17
17	Included Actions:	ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a	WATER AGENCY'S REQUEST THAT JUDICIAL NOTICE BE TAKEN AT PHASE V TRIAL
19	corporation, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.	
20	BC325201;	Trial Date: February 10, 2014 Time: 9:00 a.m.
21	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a	Room: 1 (Los Angeles Superior Court)
22	corporation., Superior Court of California,   County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-	
24	348;	
25	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a	
26	corporation, vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation vs.	
27	Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668.	
28		

8

6

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

20

21

22

19

23 24

25

27

28

26

For the trial of this action, cross-complainant, the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK), pursuant to the provisions of Evidence Code sections 452 and 453, hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following documents and matters:

- Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando, dated January 26, 1979, attached as Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 2. MWD's "History and First Annual Report, Commemorative Edition," June 2011, pages 311-312, attached as Exhibit 2 hereto.
- Metropolitan Water District Act, Sections 133 and 135, copy attached as Exhibit 3 3. hereto.
- January 26, 1979 Judgment in City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando, copy attached as Exhibit 4 hereto.
- 5. City of Santa Maria Resolution No. 820509, attached as Exhibit 5 hereto.
- 6. City of Santa Maria's Resolution No. 90-31, dated March 20, 1990, attached as Exhibit 6 hereto.
- 7. City of Santa Maria's January 15, 1991, Resolution No. 91-12, attached as Exhibit 7 hereto.
- Santa Maria's September 3, 1991, Resolution No. 91-151, attached as Exhibit 8 hereto.
- Judgment After Trial entered on January 25, 2008, in Santa Maria Valley Water 9. Conservation District v. City of Santa Maria, et al., Santa Clara Superior Court Lead Case No. 1-97-CV-770214, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 9 hereto.
- 10. ULARA Watermaster Report for Water Year 1978-1979, attached as Exhibit 10 hereto.
- 11. July, 1962, Report of Referee in City of San Fernando, Vol. I, attached as Exhibit 11 hereto.
- July, 1962, Report of Referee in City of San Fernando, Vol. II, attached as Exhibit 12 12. hereto.
- 13. DWR Bulletin No. 181-69, Watermaster Service in ULARA for October 1, 1968 through September 30, 1969, attached as Exhibit 13 hereto.

1	14. March 18, 1977, Remand Procedure Order No. 1 in City of San Fernando, attached as	
2	Exhibit 14 hereto.	
3	15. AVEK Resolution No. R-91-12, dated February 13, 1991, attached as Exhibit 15 hereto.	
4	16. AVEK's cross-complaint filed in this action, attached as Exhibit 16 hereto.	
5	17. AVEK's Resolution No. R-08-14, dated June 24, 2008, attached as Exhibit 17 hereto.	
6	Courts may take judicial notice of "Regulations and legislative enactments issued by or	
7	under the authority of any public entity in the United States" (Evid. Code § 452, subd. (b)),	
8	and also of "[r]ecords of [] any court of this state" (Evid. Code § 452, subd. (d)). Additionally,	
9	moving party has certified copies of Exhibits 5, 6, 7 and 8, and will provide same at the trial. By	
10	this request, AVEK gives the Court and adverse parties sufficient notice and information to	
11	enable them to take judicial notice of the referenced records attached hereto.	
12	Dated: January 30, 2014 BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY	
13	10u.00.	
14	By: MILL	
15	WILLIAM J. BRUNICK / LELAND P. McELHANEY	
16	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE(VALLEY-EAST KERN	
17	WATER AGENCY	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
. 10		

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4 5

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Lam employed in the County of the San Bernardino State of Californ

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California 92408-3303.

On January 30, 2014, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: ANTELOPE VALLEY EAST KERN WATER AGENCY'S REQUEST THAT JUDICIAL NOTICE BE TAKEN AT PHASE V TRIAL in the following manner:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in the action of the *Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation*, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.

X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 30, 2014, at San Bernardino, California.

P. Jo Anne Quihurs