	II .		
1	William J. Brunick, Esq. [State Bar No. 46289] Leland P. McElhaney, Esq. [State Bar No. 39257]		
2	BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY PLO 1839 Commercenter West San Bernardino, California 92408-3303	C '	
3		Exempt from filing fee pursuant to	
4	MAILING: P.O. Box 13130	Gov't. Code Section 6103	
5	San Bernardino, California 92423-3130		
6	Telephone: (909) 889-8301 Facsimile: (909) 388-1889 E-Mail: bbrunick@bmklawplc.com Imcelhaney@bmklawplc.com		
7			
8	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant,		
9	ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
11			
12	TOX THE GOOK TO EGO ?	ANGLED GENTIAL DIGITAGE	
13	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408	
14		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
15	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053	
16		The Honorable Jack Komar, Dept.17	
17	Included Actions:	ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER AGENCY'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT	
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a corporation, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.		
19		D 1 0 1 1 1 0015	
20	BC325201;	Date: September 4, 2015 Time: 1:30 p.m.	
21	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company, a corporation., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254- 348;	Via Courtcall	
22			
23			
24	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation, vs. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Company, a corporation vs. Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668.		
25			
26			
27			

28

28 | \\\

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK) submits the following statement for the Case Management Conference scheduled for September 4, 2015 and, also, in preparation for the next phase of trial scheduled to commence on September 28, 2015.

I.

#### STATEMENT

During the next phase of trial, AVEK will present evidence regarding the following matters:

## 1. The Proposed Physical Solution.

Charles Binder (C.E., P.E.), will provide expert opinion testimony that the proposed Physical Solution will benefit the Antelope Valley groundwater Basin and, over time, will bring the Basin into balance. Mr. Binder will be available for such testimony on October 2, 5, 6, 7, 8 or 9, 2015. It is anticipated that the direct examination of Mr. Binder will last approximately three hours, or less. As the Santa Margarita River Watershed Watermaster and Engineer, on September 29, 2015, Mr. Binder will be engaged in federal court in San Diego, California, in the *U.S.A. v. Fallbrook Public Utility District* matter.

### 2. Reasonable and Beneficial Use.

Mojave Basin Watermaster, Robert Wagner (P.E.) or David H. Peterson (California certified Engineering Geologist and Hydrogeologist) will provide expert opinion testimony as to the reasonable and beneficial use of groundwater and in lieu water used on AVEK owned properties and, also, by other stipulating parties on properties owned or leased by them, during the years 2000 through 2004, 2011 and 2012. It is anticipated that the direct examination of Mr. Wagner or Mr. Peterson will last approximately two hours, or less. Mr. Wagner will be available for such testimony on October 12, 2015.

Should such be necessary on the same issue, Mr. Thomas Barnes also will be available and called to testify regarding the groundwater pumping and water usage on AVEK owned properties.

# 

## Right to Return Flows from Imported Water.

In consideration of the other stipulating parties' agreement to the proposed Judgment and Physical Solution and conditioned thereon, AVEK has agreed in effect to relinquish/transfer its claimed entitlement and right to most, but not all, of the return flows derived from the SWP water it brings into the Basin, to wit:

5.2.2 **Water Imported Through AVEK**. The right to Produce Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK belongs exclusively to the Parties identified on Exhibit 8, attached hereto . . . *All Imported Water Return Flows from water imported through AVEK and not allocated to Parties identified in Exhibit 8 belong exclusively to AVEK, unless otherwise agreed by AVEK. . .* 

(Proposed Judgment and Physical Solution, pp. 25-26; italics added.)

Accordingly, the stipulating parties' right to return flows is an integral part of the proposed Judgment and Physical Solution. To prove-up that right and, particularly, to establish AVEK's rights to the return flows from SWP water it causes to be brought into to the Basin which are not allocated to the other parties identified in Exhibit 8 (as provided for in paragraph 5.2.2 of the proposed Judgment and Physical Solution), AVEK will offer the testimony of its General Manager, Dan Flory (California Professional Engineer, and formerly Principal Engineer and Executive Manager for the California Department of Water Resources).

It is anticipated that the direct examination of Mr. Flory will last approximately two hours, or less. Mr. Flory will be available to provide such testimony and evidence on September 28, 29, 30, and on October 1, 5, 6, 7, 8 or 9, 2015.

Dated: September 1, 2015

BRUNICK, MCELHANEY & KENNEDY

By:\_\_\_

WILLIAM J. BRUNICK LELAND P. McELHANEY

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant, ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN

WATER AGENCY

## PROOF OF SERVICE

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	1
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

26

27

28

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

I am employed in the County of the San Bernardino, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1839 Commercenter West, San Bernardino, California 92408-3303.

On September 1, 2015, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN WATER ÄGENCY'S CASE MANAGEMENT **CONFERENCE STATEMENT** in the following manner:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE AS FOLLOWS by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara website in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408, Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053.

X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on September 1, 2015, at San Bernardino, California.