EXHIBIT "K"

| 1 | JANET K. GOLDSMITH, State Bar No. 065959 KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | A Professional Corporation 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-4416 | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (916) 321-4500 Facsimile: (916) 321-4555 | | |
| 5 | CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, Los Angeles City Attorney RICHARD M. BROWN, General Counsel, Water and Power RAYMOND ILGUNAS, General Counsel, Los Angeles Exempt from Filing Fee Pursuant to Government Code Section 6103 | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | World Airports 1 World Way, Room 104 | | |
| 8 | Los Angeles, CA 90045-5803 | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES and LOS ANGELES WORLD AIRPORTS | | |
| 10 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | | |
| 12 | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | | |
| 13 | Coordination Proceeding | Case No. 105 CV 049053 | |
| 14 | ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES | Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 | |
| 15 | Los Angeles County Waterworks District | The Honorable Jack Komar | |
| 16 | No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. | Santa Clara Case No. Case No. 105 CV 049053 | |
| 17 | Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. | CITY OF LOS ANGELES' PROPOSAL CONCERNING FORM DISCOVERY | |
| 18 | Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of | | |
| 19 | Lancaster | Riverside County Superior Court Lead Case No. RIC 344436 | |
| 20 | Diamond Farming Co. v. City of | Case No. RIC 344668 Case No. RIC 353840 | |
| 21 | Lancaster | Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 325201 | |
| 22 | Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water District | Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV-254348 | |
| 23 | | Date: December 11, 2012 | |
| 24 | - | Time: 9:00 a.m. Room: Dept. 1 | |
| 25 | The City of Los Angeles has reviewed the Proposed Court Orders for Discovery for the | | |
| 26 | Phase 4 Trial submitted by the Public Water Suppliers ("PWS") through the law firm of Richards, | | |
| 27 | Watson & Gershon (Doc. No. 5335), and by Copa De Oro through the law firm of Bartkiewicz | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | -1- | |

CITY OF LOS ANGELES' STATEMENT 16 COURT ORDERED FORM INTERROGATORIES

and Shanahan (Doc. No. 5339) and submits the following comment for the Court's consideration in connection with those proposals.

While Assessor's Parcel Numbers ("APNs") are a convenient way to identify land owned by a specific party, they are irrelevant to the determination of whether water being applied to the land is a valid exercise of overlying groundwater rights. So long as the parcel overlies the groundwater basin, it is irrelevant whether the groundwater being applied to it is pumped from the same parcel. As California's courts have long recognized, the analogy between riparian and overlying groundwater rights is a very close one. (See W. A. Hutchins, The California Law of Water Rights (Calif. 1956) at 452-53; Hudson v. Dailey (1909) 156 Cal. 617, 628; Peabody v. Vallejo (1935) 2 Cal.2d 351, 372, 383 ["the California Supreme Court 'accorded to the underlying and percolating water right a status analogous to the riparian right.""].) Just as a water diverted from a surface stream pursuant to a riparian right need not be diverted on the riparian parcel itself (see Pabst v. Finmand (1922) 190 Cal. 124, 137-38; Hutchins, supra, at 248 – 49), water may be pumped from a groundwater basin for use on a different parcel so long as the parcel of use overlies the basin.

For this reason, the focus of the PWS proposal on the specific Assessor's Parcel ("AP") as the basis of extraction and use is too narrow. Some landowners, such as the City of Los Angeles, own multiple contiguous parcels as identified by APNs, and may extract water from a well on one AP for use on an adjoining or nearby AP. The proper scope of inquiry is the extent and nature of water use on property owned by a party, and on the description of the property on which the water is used. The Copa De Oro proposed discovery order more validly reflects this reality by requiring both identification of "the property or properties where pumping or water use occurred for each year reported" as well as "the . . . Assessor's Parcel Numbers of the parcels where the reported pumping or water use occurred". The flexibility of this description allows owners of property with multiple APNs to most accurately describe their pumping and water use.

Should the Court prefer the more detailed discovery order proposed by the PWS, the City of Los Angeles suggests that the term "parcel" as used in the interrogatories be defined as "a parcel identified by an Assessor's Parcel Number or multiple contiguous parcels so identified that

| 1 | are operated and farmed as a unit." Such a definition would allow farmers and water users who | |
|----------|---|--|
| 2 | operate property identified by several APNs but which is contiguous and operated or farmed as a | |
| 3 | unit to properly characterize their water extraction and use. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Dated: November 20, 2012. | |
| 6 | CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney | |
| 7 | Richard M. Brown, General Counsel, Water and Power Raymond Ilgunas, General Counsel, Los Angeles World Airports | |
| 8 | KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD | |
| 9 | A Professional Corporation | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | By Janet R. Holdsmith | |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES and LOS ANGELES WORLD AIRPORTS | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

PROOF OF SERVICE

I DECLARE THAT:

I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814.

On November 20, 2012, I served the attached CITY OF LOS ANGELES' Court Call Confirmation by posting the document to the Santa Clara Superior Court website www.scefiling.org. in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that this document was executed on November 20, 2012.

Lorraine Lippolis

1015289.1