EXHIBIT "L"

Michael D. McLachlan (State Bar No. 181705)
LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL D. McLACHLAN, APC
10490 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 954-8270
Facsimile: (310) 954-8271
mike@mclachlanlaw.com

Daniel M. O'Leary (State Bar No. 175128)
LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY
10490 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 481-2020
Facsimile: (310) 481-0049
dan@danolearylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Richard Wood and the Class

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Lead Case No. BC 325201
RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; et al. Defendants.	Case No.: BC 391869 **RICHARD WOOD'S SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT** Date: August 11, 2014 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: Room 222, Mosk Courthouse

In Section II.C of their Case Management Conference Statement, District 40 and an unidentified group of other public water suppliers, ironically and rather hypocritically – in light of the objection interposed in the following paragraphs of this filing against Willis Class counsel for discussing settlement matters with the Court – identify for the Court the sole remaining issue of substance preventing settlement: D40's insistence that all other parties to the litigation bear exposure to class counsel fees. To be clear, this includes every party who *is not* a Defendant in *Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40*.

Depending up where one draws the arbitrary line on who should be made to pay or not pay for class counsel fees under the D40 plan, the suggestion by D40 is that many hundreds if not thousands of parties to the coordinated proceedings, who Richard Wood is not suing, should be subject to the legal fees under Section 1021.5.[1]

The Court has spoken very unequivocally on this issue, but apparently D40 did not listen. For example, in the context of the ruling on the issue of consolidation of the various actions, the Court stated:

> NOW, THE SAME IS TRUE WITH REGARD TO THE ISSUE THAT THE CLASS MEMBERS -- I SHOULD SAY THAT THE OBJECTORS WHO DO NOT WISH TO PAY ATTORNEY FEES TO THE CLASS ACTIONS LAWYERS BASED UPON THE FACT THAT THEY ARE NOT PARTIES TO THAT LAWSUIT, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT, ALSO.

---

[1] If one arbitrarily cut off the list of parties to this litigation at those represented by counsel, the list is massive. By way of example, attached as Exhibit 1 is a list developed by Class Counsel in 2012 attempting to list all such parties. That list is certainly not accurate today, and omits perhaps several dozen other parties.

NOTHING IN THIS ORDER IS INTENDED TO CREATE A SITUATION WHEREIN ANY PARTY IS LIABLE TO ANOTHER PARTY WHETHER FOR ATTORNEY FEES OR ANYTHING ELSE TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY HAVE NOT BROUGHT AN ACTION OR BEEN SUED BY THOSE OTHER PARTIES, AND THE ORDER HAS TO MAKE THAT ABUNDANTLY CLEAR.

(Hearing Transcript, February 5, 2010, pp. 5:25-6:8 (Consolidation Motion Hearing).)

The Court should remind D40 that the Court meant what it said in above-ruling, and that the attempts to evade it will not succeed.

D40 and the other smaller public water suppliers have, time and again over the past three years, refused any opportunity to resolve the class claims in a manner consistent with the Willis case, and instead have chosen to prolong the litigation. In consciously choosing this course of continued litigation with the Class, these water suppliers alone have caused the legal fees of class counsel to mount. In effect, after ordering everything on the menu, D40 now wants everybody else in the restaurant to pay the bill.

DATED: August 8, 2014

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL D. McLACHLAN
LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY

By:_____
Michael D. McLachlan
Attorneys for Plaintiff

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
ELECTRONIC FILING - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG
c/o Glotrans
2915 McClure Street
Oakland, CA94609
TEL: (510) 208-4775
FAX: (510) 465-7348
EMAIL: Info@Glotrans.com

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES (JCCP 4408) Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 Plaintiff, vs. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 Defendant. AND RELATED ACTIONS	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) Lead Case No.1-05-CV-049053 Hon. Jack Komar **PROOF OF SERVICE** **Electronic Proof of Service**

I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2915 McClure Street, Oakland, CA 94609.

The documents described on page 2 of this Electronic Proof of Service were submitted via the worldwide web on Fri. August 8, 2014 at 3:56 PM PDT and served by electronic mail notification.

I have reviewed the Court's Order Concerning Electronic Filing and Service of Pleading Documents and am readily familiar with the contents of said Order. Under the terms of said Order, I certify the above-described document's electronic service in the following manner:

The document was electronically filed on the Court's website, http://www.scefiling.org, on Fri. August 8, 2014 at 3:56 PM PDT

Upon approval of the document by the Court, an electronic mail message was transmitted to all parties on the electronic service list maintained for this case. The message identified the document and provided instructions for accessing the document on the worldwide web.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and

correct. Executed on August 8, 2014 at Oakland, California.

Dated: August 8, 2014

For WWW.SCEFILING.ORG

Andy Jamieson

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG

Electronic Proof of Service
Page 2

Document(s) submitted by Michael McLachlan of Law Offices of Michael D. McLachlan APC on Fri. August 8, 2014 at 3:56 PM PDT

1. Case Mgmt Statement: RICHARD WOOD'S SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT