LAW OFFICES OF

- 3. In response to the Watermasters' request for information regarding how much both sets of Zamrzlas planned to pump in the future, Mr. Brumfield provided the requested information. Only after discussions regarding water production amounts and the intervention process had begun, did the Watermaster then claim the Zamrzlas were Small Pumper Class members. That was, in fact, the first knowledge we had of the existence of a Small Pumper Class. On November 21, 2018 the Watermaster sent two compliance letters to us. On January 22, 2019, the Watermaster invoiced us for the year 2018 in the amount of \$273,165. This invoice was based on an error wherein the Watermaster believed the *estimate* of 650 acre-feet of future water production was the actual produced amount for 2018. Mr. Brumfield clarified to the Watermaster that the actual 2018 production amount was estimated to be less than 50 acre-feet for each of the Zamrzla parties. On March 18, 2019 we produced documents demonstrating the actual combined 2018 production amount totaled 93.75 acre-feet.
- 4. Thereafter, Mr. Brumfield engaged in negotiations with the Watermaster in an attempt to resolve the discrepancies concerning the 2018 production amount and settle the issue. The Watermaster refused to withdraw the \$273,165 invoice, despite it being clearly erroneous. On May 16, 2019 Mr. Brumfield emailed the Watermaster to request corrected and separate invoicing for each Zamrzla party, explaining that the 2018 invoice was inaccurate as to the Zamrzlas production, and requesting that the Watermaster board review and correct the invoice. On June 26, 2019 the Watermaster sent a "Final Notice" letter to me. The Watermaster finally responded to Mr. Brumfield's May 16, 2019 email more than three months after it was sent, on August 20, 2019, claiming various data and aerial photography shows the Zamrzlas pumped more than they claimed.
- 5. On September 5, 2019, eight months after generating the inaccurate invoice the Watermaster produced a memorandum from their water engineer which attempted to validate the Watermaster's inaccurate invoice and claimed the Zamrzlas produced 570 acre-feet in 2018. As a result of this erroneous report by the Watermaster's engineer, the Zamrzlas hired expert Dr.Jan Hendrickx. On October 22 and 25, 2019 Dr. Hendrickx inspected the fields referenced by the

- 6. On October 28, 2021 the Watermaster moved for monetary, declaratory, and injunctive relief against the Zamrzlas. This motion was the first time the Watermaster acknowledges its error, now claiming the Zamrzlas owe only \$28,755 based on their own reported 2018 pumping. Notwithstanding this admission of error, the invoice for \$273,165 remains publicly posted to the Watermaster's website, despite numerous requests that it be withdrawn.
- 7. The Zamrzlas opposed the Watermaster's motion. The Watermaster filed a reply brief. Four hearings were held as to the Watermaster's claims against us: December 10, 2021, January 25, 2022, February 18, 2022, and March 4, 2022.
- 8. We welcomed that opportunity to meet and confer with the Watermaster to reach an agreement regarding our water entitlement. The Watermaster represented to the Court that it would meet and confer with us to reach an agreement. However, we continued meet and confer attempts, to no avail as the Watermaster later claimed it had no authority to reach an agreement.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct of my own knowledge, and if called to do so, could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.

Executed on this 26th day of October, 2022 at Lancaster, California.