1 2	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP CLAIRE H. COLLINS, SBN 233890 ccollins@hansonbridgett.com	
3	ROSSLYN HUMMER, SBN 190615 bhummer@hansonbridgett.com	
	MADISON D. DIZINNO, SBN 335052	
4	mdizinno@hansonbridgett.com 777 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4200	
5	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 395-7620	
6	Facsimile: (213) 395-7615	
7	Attorneys for BARREL SPRINGS PROPERTIES, LLC	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGEI	LES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
10		,
11	Coordination Proceeding	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No.
12	Special Title (Rule 3.550 (fka Rule 1550(b))	4408
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Santa Clara Case No. 2005-1-CV-049053 Los Angeles Superior Court
14	Including Consolidated Actions:	Case Nos. BC364553 and BC391869
15	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself	Assigned to the Hon. Jack Komar Santa Clara Superior Court
16	and all others similarly situated,	Santa Ciara Superior Court
17	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF LODGING AND LODGING OF
18	V.	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF OCTOBER 18, 2023, IN SUPPORT OF
19	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	MOTION FOR ACTION AND IMPLEMENTATION BY THE PEOPLE
20	DISTRICT NO. 40, et al.	CONCERN, INC. AS AGENT FOR BARREI SPRINGS PROPERTIES, LLC.
21	Defendants	[Lodged Concurrently with Closing Statement
22	RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	in Support of Motion for Action and Implementation]
23	Plaintiff,	Date: October 18, 2023
24	V.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Location: Dept. 3
25	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	Santa Clara Superior Court 191 North First Street
26	DISTRICT NO. 40, et al.	San Jose, CA 95113
27	Defendants.	
28	AND RELATED ACTIONS	
- 1	I .	

BARREL SPRINGS' NOTICE OF LODGING AND LODGING OF TRANSCRIPT

20078597.1

1	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:
2	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, The People Concern, Inc., as agent for Barrel Springs
3	Properties, LLC ("Barrel Springs") hereby lodges the Transcript of Proceedings of October 18,
4	2023.
5	
6	DATED: October 30, 2023 HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
7	
8	By: CLAIRE H. COLLINS
9	ROSSLYN HUMMER
11	MADISON D. DIZINNO Attorneys for BARREL SPRINGS PROPERTIES,
12	LLC
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

20078597.1

28

## DECLARATION OF ROSSLYN HUMMER

- I, Rosslyn Hummer, declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court. I am Counsel with Hanson Bridgett LLP, attorneys of record for The People Concern, Inc. and Barrel Springs Properties, LLC ("Barrel Springs"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could and would competently testify to the matters stated herein.
- My office engaged the services of Aptus Court Reporting to report the October 18,
   2023 Evidentiary Hearing.
- 3. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the Certified Transcript of Proceedings prepared Angela T. Kott, CSR NO. 7811.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 30th day of October, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

Rosslyn Hummer

# Transcript of Proceedings October 18, 2023

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases [JCCP No. 4408]



```
1
           SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 2
       FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
     Coordination Proceedings, ) Judicial Council
 3
     Special Title (Rule
                                  ) Coordination Proceeding
 4
     1550(b))
                                  ) No. 4408
 5
                                  ) Santa Clara Case No.
     ANTELOPE VALLEY
                                  ) 1-05-CV-049053
 6
     GROUNDWATER CASES
                                  ) Assigned to the Hon.
 7
                                  ) Jack Komar, Judge of the
                                  ) Santa Clara Superior
                                  ) Court
 8
     AND ALL RELATED
 9
                                  ) LASC Case No. BC 325201
     ACTIONS
10
11
12
                      EVIDENTIARY HEARING
13
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14
15
                  Wednesday, October 18, 2023
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
     REPORTED BY:
     ANGELA KOTT, CSR 7811
     JOB NO: 10129140
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR ANTELOPE VALLEY WATERMASTER:
3	PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA
4	BY: CRAIG A. PARTON, Attorney at Law 200 East Carillo Street, Fourth Floor Santa Barbara, California 93101
5	805.962.0011 cap@ppplaw.com
6	Capeppraw.Com
7	FOR THE PEOPLE CONCERN, INC. as Agent for BARREL SPRINGS PROPERTIES, LLC:
8	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
9	BY: ROSSLYN BETH HUMMER, Attorney at Law 777 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 4200
10	Los Angeles, California 90017 (213) 395-7620
11	Bhummer@hansonbridgett.com
12	
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	ROBERT PARRIS, Antelope Valley Watermaster Board Chair
15	JOHN MACERI, CEO of The People Concern
16	00
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	SESSIONS	
2		PAGE
3	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2023	
4	MORNING SESSION	5
5	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2023	
6	AFTERNOON SESSION	105
7	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
8	CHRONOLOGICAL	
9	WITNESSES:	PAGE
10	WARRIDINI MAGLADDIN (EGD. EUR. DI ATMETER)	
11	KATHRYN MACLAREN (FOR THE PLAINTIFF) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER	9
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARTON REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER	105 117
13	ARDEN WELLS (FOR THE PLAINTIFF)	150
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARTON	152 194 200
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER	200
16	ALPHA	
17	WITNESSES:	PAGE
18	KATHRYN MACLAREN (FOR THE PLAINTIFF)	0
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARTON	9 105
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER	117
21	ARDEN WELLS (FOR THE PLAINTIFF) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER	152
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARTON REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER	194 200
23		
24		
25		

1			FYHTRTTC	REFERENCED	
		TINDEY OF	гуптртір	KULUKUKU	
2	NO	•		ID EV	WD
3	5			63	
4	6			181	
5	10			182	
6					
7	15			10	
8	16			18	
9	20			22	
10	21			25	
11	22			142	
	30			63	
12	31			160	
13	36			172	
14	67			110	
15	70			195	
16	71			198	
17	77			47	
18					
19	78			111	
20	79			114	
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
Į					

1	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2023 9:06 AM
2	MORNING SESSION
3	00
4	THE COURT: All right. This is a motion by
5	the people designating themselves as the Agent for
6	Barrel Springs seeking to enforce a recommendation by
7	the Watermaster Engineer to authorize the water
8	production on their property.
9	There are a lot of questions here, obviously.
10	There's a lot of evidence that's been submitted by
11	both parties, but the request for the evidentiary
12	hearing presumably was for purposes of providing some
13	cross-examination I'm assuming that was the
14	reason of one of the Watermaster board members.
15	Is that accurate?
16	MS. HUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And let's have everybody
18	state their appearances for the record, just for the
19	purposes of the record.
20	MS. HUMMER: Rosslyn Hummer on behalf of The
21	People Concern as Agents of Barrel Springs Properties,
22	LLC, the moving party.
23	MR. MACERI: John Maceri, the CEO of The
24	People Concern.
25	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I did not hear you.

```
MR. MACERI: John Maceri, the CEO of The
 1
 2
     People Concern.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
 4
              MR. PARTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Craig
     Parton for the Antelope Valley Watermaster.
 5
 6
    with me the chair of the board, Rob Parris.
              And Kathy MacLaren is in the audience, Arden
 7
    Wells from Todd Groundwater by subpoena, and
 8
 9
    Mr. Joshua Montoya by subpoena.
10
              THE COURT: All right. There are a lot of
11
     issues here that are probably going to have to be
12
     addressed by counsel at some point. But at this
13
    point, let's take the witnesses, have them sworn, and
14
    proceed from there.
              MR. PARTON: Your Honor, one guick matter. I
15
16
     just talked to counsel before we started. And I think
17
    we have agreement on stipulating to the admissibility
     of all the exhibits. So I believe we have that
18
19
     agreement.
20
             MS. HUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
21
                          So stipulated?
             THE COURT:
22
              MS. HUMMER: So stipulated. Yes.
23
             MR. PARTON: I have two volumes to give to
24
     the clerk, if it is okay.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. In addition to that,
```

1 there's a request for judicial notice. 2 Is there any objection to that? 3 MS. HUMMER: It's our request for judicial 4 notice, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 6 MS. HUMMER: It's Barrel Springs Properties' request for judicial notice. We don't object to our 7 own request for judicial notice. 8 9 MR. PARTON: We have a request for judicial 10 notice that was filed on Friday. 11 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Well, you need to 12 review it and determine whether or not you have an 13 objection to any part of it. 14 MS. HUMMER: Okay. Will do. THE COURT: Okay. How do you want to proceed 15 16 here this morning? 17 MR. PARTON: Your Honor --18 THE COURT: Who is calling the witnesses? 19 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, Barrel Springs is 20 calling the witnesses. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MS. HUMMER: A couple of housekeeping 23 matters, Your Honor. I'd like to move under Evidence 24 Code 777 to exclude witnesses. THE COURT: I'm having a little trouble 25

1 hearing you. 2 MS. HUMMER: Oh, I'm sorry. 3 I'd like to move under 777 of the Evidence 4 Code to exclude witnesses, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MS. HUMMER: I'm particularly concerned about having Mr. Parris present while Ms. MacLaren is 7 testifying. 8 9 I understand he's here as the client 10 representative, but I think if he's planning to 11 testify, then he would need to step out while 12 Ms. MacLaren is testifying. 13 THE COURT: It's not my intent at this time 14 to exclude any witnesses. 15 MS. HUMMER: Understood. Thank you, Your 16 Honor. 17 MR. PARTON: Your Honor, Mr. Parris' 18 declaration is already an exhibit before the Court. 19 THE COURT: I've read it. 20 MR. PARTON: Okay. 21 MR. PARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed. Call 23 your first witness. 24 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, Barrel Springs 25 Properties calls Kathy MacLaren to the stand.

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. MacLaren, will
 2
     you come forward, please.
 3
              The oath will be administered to you by the
 4
     clerk.
              THE CLERK: Do you solemnly state under
 5
 6
     penalty of perjury that the testimony you will give in
     this matter will be the truth, the whole truth, and
 7
     nothing but the truth?
 8
 9
              THE WITNESS: I do.
10
              THE COURT: Have a seat and state your full
11
     name for the record, please.
12
              THE WITNESS: My name is Kathryn MacLaren.
13
              THE COURT: What is your address, please?
14
              THE WITNESS: 37784 Aquarius Circle,
     Palmdale, California 93552.
15
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Proceed, please.
17
              Kathryn MacLaren (for the Plaintiff),
18
                      called as a witness,
19
              was sworn and testified as follows:
20
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. HUMMER:
21
22
              Good morning, Ms. MacLaren.
          0.
23
          Α.
              Good morning.
          Q. You have in front of you a binder of
24
     exhibits. It's the white binder. We're going to be
25
```

1 referring to some of those exhibits in your testimony. 2 So when I ask you to look at a particular 3 exhibit, I'll give you the exhibit number, but if you 4 have any trouble finding what you're looking for, just let me know and we'll sort it. 5 6 Α. Okay. Could you please turn to Exhibit 15 in the 7 Q. white binder. 8 9 (Exhibit 15 received in evidence.) 10 THE COURT: Proceed. 11 BY MS. HUMMER: 12 Are you there, Ms. MacLaren? 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you recognize Exhibit 15? 0. 15 Α. Yes. 16 O. What is Exhibit 15? 17 Α. It is one of the pictures I have on my 18 Facebook page. 19 Is it only one picture? 0. 20 One picture -- there's a picture of me in a Α. 21 tunnel, a smaller picture of me and my husband, and a 22 picture of the Metrolink. 23 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, may I approach? 24 That's not what I have in my exhibits. 25 THE COURT: You know, I'm having real

1 difficulty hearing you. You're going to have to speak 2 up or into the microphone. 3 MS. HUMMER: I might have to sit -- Your 4 Honor, I might have to sit to reach the microphone, is 5 it okay? 6 THE COURT: You could be seated, if you wish. MS. HUMMER: Okay. Is this better, Your 7 Honor? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, it's better. 10 MS. HUMMER: Okay. Sorry about that. 11 Your Honor, Ms. MacLaren is describing an 12 exhibit that does not match what's in my book. 13 Might I approach to confirm that she has the 14 correct exhibit? 15 THE WITNESS: You said Exhibit 15, correct? 16 Exhibit 15. I'll show you, Exhibit 15. 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 THE WITNESS: And this is the picture that 19 I'm looking at. Right there. 20 THE COURT: All right. I have that. BY MS. HUMMER: 21 22 Q. Ms. MacLaren, going back to Exhibit 15, the 23 first picture that you described yourself in a tunnel, 24 that's not the picture you were referring to of you 25 and your husband, is it?

In that picture, like on Facebook, they have 1 2 these pictures, and then they have a little picture in 3 there. 4 So there's this bigger picture, and the 5 little picture right here. 6 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, move to strike as 7 nonresponsive. BY MS. HUMMER: 8 9 If you could just answer my question, please. Is the big picture on Exhibit 15 of you and 10 11 your husband? 12 I guess there's a large picture, and no, he Α. 13 isn't in that. In the smaller picture, which is part 14 of that big picture. 15 Is there another picture on the first page, 16 Ms. MacLaren? 17 Α. Yes. What does that picture show? 18 0. 19 Metrolink. Α. 20 Do you have a second page to Exhibit 15? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Does the second page include a picture? Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And what does that picture show? 25 Α. A picture of me.

1 Now, turning back to page 1 of Exhibit 15, if 2 you please, there's a section labeled "Intro" 3 underneath the big picture. 4 Α. Yes. And that information describes you. 5 0. 6 Is that correct? 7 A. Yes. MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I forgot to mention, 8 I'd like to be -- I plan to examine Ms. MacLaren under 9 10 Evidence Code 776. 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can you say that 12 again, please? 13 MS. HUMMER: I would like to examine 14 Ms. MacLaren under Evidence Code 776. 15 THE COURT: That is granted. 16 MS. HUMMER: Thank you. 17 BY MS. HUMMER: Q. Now, Ms. MacLaren, the description of 18 19 yourself says that you went to Herbert Hoover High 20 School. 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And Herbert Hoover High School is located in Q. 24 Glendale, correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1 Did you graduate from Herbert Hoover High 0. 2 School? 3 Α. Yes. When did you graduate? 4 0. 5 Α. I want to say '76. 6 Q. 1976? 7 Α. Yes. And then it also indicates on the next page 8 9 some information about your professional functions, 10 correct? 11 Does it show you as a director of Division 4 12 at Palmdale Water District? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. And underneath that it says studied at Los 15 Angeles Trade Technical College? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Did you take a degree from LA Trade Tech? 18 I got a labor study certificate. Α. 19 What is a labor study certificate? 0. 20 Α. It's 24 units in labor studies. 21 Have you taken any kind of a certificate in Q. 22 hydrogeology? 23 Α. No. 24 Have you taken any kind of a certificate in 25 geology?

1 Α. No. 2 Have you taken any geology classes? 0. Α. Yes. 4 Which geology classes did you take? 0. Just basic geology. 5 Α. 6 When did you take it? Q. In -- I think it was in high school. 7 Α. You took basic geology at Herbert Hoover High 8 0. 9 School? 10 Α. To the best of my recollection, I have taken 11 geology in some sort of a general ed. 12 Since becoming a member of the Antelope 0. 13 Valley Watermaster board, have you done any coursework 14 in hydrogeology? 15 Α. No. 16 Have you done any coursework in geology? 0. 17 Α. No. Have you tutored with any of the Watermaster 18 0. 19 engineering staff on hydrogeology? 20 Α. No. 21 Have you tutored with any of the Watermaster Q. 22 engineering staff on geology? 23 Α. No. 24 0. And currently you're the vice chairman, or 25 vice chairperson, of the Antelope Valley Watermaster

# board, correct? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And how long have you been the vice 4 chairperson? I think two -- two years, maybe a little bit 5 longer. COVID kind of side -- kicked in the middle of 6 7 there. Q. So is it fair to say that you became vice 8 chairperson before COVID? 9 10 I don't -- I don't recollect. 11 Q. Well, certainly since COVID has waned and 12 people are heading back into the office, you've been 13 the vice chairperson. 14 Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Now, we're here today to talk about the 17 Barrel Springs property. Is Barrel Springs property, is the property located in the Palmdale Water District 18 19 service area? 20 A. It -- we have a serviceability letter saying that it is. 21 22 MS. HUMMER: Move to strike as nonresponsive, 23 Your Honor. It's a yes or no question. 24 THE COURT: I'm not going to strike that at 25 this point. Keep going.

1	BY MS. HUMMER:
2	Q. Do you serve on the Palmdale Water District
3	board?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So is it your understanding, apart from the
6	serviceability letter, that the Barrel Springs
7	property is in the Palmdale Water District service
8	area?
9	A. I think it borders borderlines. That's
10	why I answered the way I did the first time.
11	So I think it's we would be servicing it,
12	but I think that it partially is in LA County.
13	Q. So your testimony is that part of the
14	property you believe is within the boundaries of
15	Palmdale Water District and part of it is with out
16	of those boundaries?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Does Palmdale Water District service
19	properties other properties that are on the
20	borderline like that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And how is the decision taken for Palmdale to
23	provide the utility service as opposed to the county?
24	A. I'm not sure how that is decided.
25	Q. Would you please turn to Exhibit 16.

(Exhibit 16 received in evidence.) 1 2 BY MS. HUMMER: 3 Have you seen Exhibit 16 before, 0. 4 Ms. MacLaren? 5 Α. Yes. What is Exhibit 16? 6 0. Agenda for Palmdale Water District board of 7 Α. directors meeting for Monday, March 27th, 2023. 8 Now, isn't it true that at the March 27th, 9 10 2023, meeting of the Palmdale Water District board, 11 the Barrel Springs property was on the agenda? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And that's agenda item number 7.5, correct? Q. 14 Α. Yes. On page 2. Did the Palmdale Water District 15 16 board approve the letter of intent that was presented 17 as item number 7.5 --18 A. No. 19 Q. -- on the agenda? 20 Could you please wait for me to finish my questions before you answer. I really don't want us 21 22 to talk over each other because I want the court 23 reporter to get a good record. 24 A. I apologize. 25 Thank you. Did you vote on agenda item 7.5? Q.

1 Α. Yes. 2 How did you vote? 0. Α. Yes. 4 Yes, as in you approved the letter of intent? 0. 5 Α. Yes. And that letter of intent was for the purpose 6 0. of modifying a prior agreement between Palmdale Water 7 District and Barrel Springs Properties, LLC, was it 8 9 not? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And the prior agreement related to something Q. 12 called the Palmdale ditch, which runs partially across 13 the Barrel Springs property, correct? 14 Α. Yes. And is the Palmdale ditch also referred to as 15 16 Bear Creek in some of the documents? 17 Α. Yes. So Palmdale Water District had a prior 18 19 agreement regarding the ditch that required it to 20 cover the ditch, did it not? 21 I would say yes, but it was with a -- it Α. 22 wasn't with this current project. So the Palmdale ditch being covered in 23 O. Oh. 24 the Barrel Springs property was not related to Barrel

Springs Properties' new production application?

1	A. Let me think about what you're asking.
2	The Sevills [sic] had had a discussion before
3	about needing to cover that in a previous and I
4	don't know if there was a project, but they had had
5	previous conversations before my time on the board.
6	Q. And when you say the "Sevills," you mean the
7	Sevillas?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And are you familiar with Carol Sevilla?
10	A. I am not with her personally.
11	Q. So let me make sure that I understand your
12	testimony.
13	Your testimony is that the agreement to cover
14	Palmdale ditch was entered into by Palmdale Water
15	District and the Sevilla family?
16	A. Yes. And I that's why I'm saying I'm not
17	sure if it was an in stone agreement or more of a
18	discussion.
19	I don't know if it had ever gotten finalized.
20	Q. When you say "finalized" and "in stone," do
21	you mean signed and agreed to and completed?
22	A. Correct. That was before I was on the board.
23	So I did not review those documents.
24	Q. If the prior agreement wasn't signed and
25	fully executed, why did agenda item 7.5 get presented

### to the Palmdale Water District board?

- A. I seem to recollect that they came to the Watermaster and we sent that back for them to bring it to the Palmdale Water District to work on -- work on this.
- Q. What does covering the Palmdale ditch have to do with the new production application?
- A. The concern to have the open area ditch be -having construction or any kind of stuff running into
  it. It's just a very small earthened dam with no
  protection.
- Q. Do you know what the terms are of the letter of intent?
- A. The letter of intent is that you're intending to do a project. So that is your letter of intent of what you are going to -- what is your intent in this project and this action.
- Q. So I want to be very careful about using the term "project."
- Are you using the term "project" in the sense of a CEQA project?
- A. I'm talking about project in the sense of Barrel Springs Farms is a project that was brought before, and this discussion was on protection of the Palmdale ditch.

Do you know whether Palmdale finalized an 1 2 agreement over protection of the Palmdale ditch with 3 Barrel Springs Properties? 4 Well, we did the letter of intent. Do you know whether Palmdale Water District 5 6 finalized an agreement with Barrel Springs Properties over the Palmdale ditch? 7 I don't know if I've seen a finalized copy. 8 Α. I don't know if that was brought to us in any form at 9 10 the Watermaster. 11 Why would an agreement between Barrel Springs Q. 12 Properties and Palmdale Water District relating to the 13 Palmdale ditch be brought to the Watermaster? 14 I don't think that I've seen a finalization. Q. Would you please turn to Exhibit 20 in the 15 exhibit binder. 16 17 (Exhibit 20 received in evidence.) 18 BY MS. HUMMER: 19 Are you there? 0. 20 Α. Yes. 21 What is Exhibit 20, Ms. MacLaren? Q. 22 Consideration and possible action on Α. 23 agreement with Barrel Springs Farms for the protection 24 of the Palmdale ditch.

Q. And is there a letterhead on the top of

Exhibit 20?
A. The "Palmdale Water District Board
Memorandum."
Q. What is the date of this board memorandum?
A. April 5th, 2023.
Q. And then there's another date at the top, is
there not?
A. April 10th, 2023.
Q. And April 10th, 2023, was the board meeting
of the Palmdale Water District, correct?
A. I wouldn't be able to say that right off the
top of my head. I would check it before I just
answered, but I see April 10th, 2023.
I don't see that it's saying that that
unless maybe it's in here somewhere else.
Q. Do you doubt that the Watermaster strike
that.
Do you doubt that the Palmdale Water District
board happened on April 10th, 2023?
A. You know what, excuse me, it does say
April 10, 2023, and then board meeting under that.
So I'm assuming that is made to say
April 10th, 2023, with the board meeting under that,
so I'm pretty looking at it that way, now that I'm
looking at it like that, I feel pretty confident there

Q.

1 was a board meeting on April 10th, 2023. 2 And agenda item number 7.4 for that board 3 meeting, which is Exhibit 20, deals with entering into 4 an agreement between Palmdale Water District and Barrel Springs Farms, correct? 5 6 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, we're having an 7 alarm. It's an earthquake alarm, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 9 MS. HUMMER: We all just got an earthquake 10 alarm on our phones. That's what the buzzing was. 11 THE COURT: All right. Do you understand the 12 question? 13 THE WITNESS: Go ahead and say the question 14 again, please. BY MS. HUMMER: 15 16 Q. Does agenda item number 7.4, which is 17 Exhibit 20, deal with an agreement between Palmdale Water District and Barrel Springs Farms about 18 19 undergrounding or covering the Palmdale ditch? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Do you know if this agreement was approved? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Was it approved? 24 Α. Yes.

Now, if you could turn to Exhibit 21, please.

(Exhibit 21 received in evidence.) 1 2 BY MS. HUMMER: 3 What is Exhibit 21, Ms. MacLaren? 4 A. Minutes of the regular meeting of the board of directors of the Palmdale Water District, 5 April 10th, 2023. 6 Q. And if you could turn, please, to page 4 in 7 Exhibit 20. The numbers are in the middle at the 8 bottom of each page. 9 10 That's where the outcome of agenda item 7.4 11 is addressed, is it not? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And it shows that it was approved 0. 14 unanimously, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And you were one of the people who voted for 0. it, correct? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Because you sometimes go by the name 20 MacLaren-Gomez, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Do you know if Palmdale Water District had 23 budgeted for the cost of undergrounding the ditch? 24 We just looked at the budget. I don't 25 remember specifically a line item for that.

1 THE WITNESS: Is there something I can add to 2 that, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Say that again. 4 THE WITNESS: Is there something I can add to 5 that? 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 7 THE WITNESS: Is there something I can add to that? 8 9 THE COURT: Just answer the question the best 10 you can. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 BY MS. HUMMER: 13 So Ms. MacLaren, I'd like to shift to your 14 role as a board member of the Antelope Valley 15 Watermaster right now. 16 We've already had testimony that you're the 17 vice chairperson, correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 How long have you served on the Antelope 20 Valley Watermaster board? 21 A. Off the top of my head, I do not -- I don't 22 know. 23 Q. Has it been more than three years? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So -- strike that.

1	Now, the Watermaster board has seats
2	allocated based on various criteria, correct?
3	THE COURT: Has allocated what?
4	MS. HUMMER: Seats, Your Honor.
5	BY MS. HUMMER:
6	Q. There are board seats that are designated for
7	certain stakeholders, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And you represent the public producers? Let
10	me withdraw the question. It's a Southern California
11	term.
12	You represent public water suppliers,
13	correct?
14	A. Yes. That's what was throwing me off, the
15	producers as opposed to public water suppliers.
16	Q. Understood. There's a lot of terminology.
17	And if you don't understand my question, let me know
18	and I'll try to ask a better one.
19	And you understand that your duties on the
20	Antelope Valley Watermaster board are governed by the
21	judgment and physical solution entered by the Court,
22	correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. When was the last time you read the judgment
25	and physical solution?

1 Not the full judgment, but I read parts of 2 the judgment if there's something that comes up on the 3 agenda or in such cases where I have a question. 4 Q. Did you reread portions of the judgment and 5 physical solution, I'm sorry, before the Antelope Valley Watermaster considered and voted on Barrel 6 Springs Properties' new production application? 7 Α. I had to --8 9 THE COURT: You mean the standards for 10 determining whether or not water production could 11 occur? 12 MS. HUMMER: Yes. The language in the 13 judgment and physical solution that deals with new 14 production application. 15 THE COURT: The judgment aren't there. 16 MS. HUMMER: Yes, there are, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. So you want to know if that's what she read? 18 MS. HUMMER: Yes, Your Honor. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 THE WITNESS: Not right before that meeting, 22 no. 23 BY MS. HUMMER: And when you mentioned the word "that 24 25 meeting," which meeting are you referring to?

1 The August meeting. Α. 2 August of 2023? 0. Α. Excuse me, April. 4 April of 2023? 0. 5 Α. Yes. Did you review the judgment and physical 6 solution standards applicable to new production 7 applications before the January Antelope Valley 8 Watermaster board meeting? 9 10 I don't remember if there was anything in our 11 packet on that. 12 Now, in addition to the judgment and physical 13 solution, the Watermaster board has promulgated rules 14 and regulations, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Have you reviewed those rules and regulations 0. 17 as they relate to new production applications? 18 Α. Numerous times. 19 When was the last time you looked at the 20 rules and regulations regarding new production 21 applications? 22 Probably a month or so ago. Α. 23 Did you look at the rules and regulations 24 regarding new production applications before the 25 January Antelope Valley board meeting?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Before the January 2023 Antelope Valley
3	Watermaster board meeting?
4	A. I said I've reviewed it several times over
5	the course, so some of those times were definitely
6	before January.
7	Q. Let me rephrase.
8	Did you review the rules and regulations as
9	they relate to new production applications before the
10	January board meeting because you were having a board
11	meeting?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Same question: Did you review the rules and
14	regulations regarding new production applications
15	before the April board meeting, April 2023, because
16	you were having a board meeting?
17	A. I think I did. I was doing a lot of
18	research. I do believe that I moved on something that
19	had that language.
20	Q. Did you discuss your research with anyone
21	before the April 26, 2023, board meeting?
22	A. I discussed it numerous times with my general
23	manager.
24	Q. Who is your general manager?
25	A. Dennis LaMoreaux.

1 Dennis LaMoreaux is the general manager of 2 Palmdale Water District, isn't he? 3 Α. Yes. He's also the chair of the advisory 4 board. But he's not the general manager of the 5 Antelope Valley Water District, is he? Watermaster, 6 I'm sorry. 7 8 Α. No. So you discussed the Antelope Valley 9 10 Watermaster rules and regulations with Dennis 11 LaMoreaux in his capacity as the chair of the advisory committee. 12 13 Is that correct? 14 As a public water supplier, since I represent the public water suppliers, when I have questions or I 15 16 do research, I do -- we do have meetings usually 17 either the week before or the third Monday with all 18 the public water suppliers. 19 And that is before the Antelope Valley 20 Watermaster board meeting, correct? 21 Α. Correct. Did you ever exchange e-mails with anybody 22 23 ahead of the Antelope Valley Watermaster board 24 meetings with any of the producers? 25 Α. I don't recollect doing -- I don't know if

Α.

I've ever done any, but I usually don't. I usually 1 2 verbally talk to people. 3 So if you have a question, you will call 4 someone and ask them over the phone a question? 5 Yes. Or meet them in person. Are you -- is the Antelope Valley Watermaster 6 board and the -- strike that. 7 Is the Antelope Valley Watermaster back in 8 operation with people present in the office every day? 9 10 Is the -- let me see if I understand your 11 question. 12 Is the Antelope Valley Watermaster, like, 13 open every day for people to have discussions? 14 No, let me rephrase. 0. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Is the Antelope Valley Watermaster board --0. 17 strike that. Are the Antelope Valley Watermaster offices 18 19 open in the sense that employees are coming into work 20 physically? No. Because it's not an office like that. 21 Α. 22 So how do you have a physical conversation 0. 23 with folks at the Antelope Valley Watermaster if 24 there's no office?

We have Zoom meetings and we've had in-person

meetings at either -- usually Quartz Hill Water 1 2 District. 3 0. So you use another office? 4 Α. One of the water suppliers. Do you recall talking with Mr. LaMoreaux and 5 6 potentially others about the Barrel Springs Properties' new production application? 7 Α. 8 Yes. And when did you have that conversation with 9 0. 10 him? 11 At the public water suppliers meeting. I 12 don't know the date off the top of my head. Q. Was this the meeting before the April 13 14 Antelope Valley Watermaster board meeting? We had a meeting prior to every Watermaster 15 16 meeting. Was your discussion with Mr. LaMoreaux about 17 the new production application by Barrel Springs 18 19 Properties at the meeting before the April 2023 AV 20 Watermaster board meeting? Yes. As well as others. 21 Α. 22 I'm sorry. I don't know what you mean by 0. 23 "others"? 24 The other public water suppliers. I 25 represent 13 public water suppliers, and as well as

- who attends that meeting is Russ Bryden. He attends
  that meeting. The cities attend that meeting.

  General managers attend that meeting.
  - Q. Did you have any discussions with the public water producers -- strike that -- public water suppliers before the January 2023 Antelope Valley Watermaster meeting?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you discuss Barrel Springs Properties at that meeting?
- 11 A. We discuss every agenda item, yes. It's like 12 a briefing.

We do a similar -- we take the agenda and we go over each item kind of as a briefing to have any -- any questions or discussions so that we don't -- we even have our attorneys attend so that they would help us with the language and if we're understanding the language correctly.

So we're having the legal and ourselves to have a -- usually a very lengthy meeting and discussion.

- Q. So was this meeting part of the research you did prior to the April Antelope Valley Watermaster board meeting?
- A. I heavily research anything I do before I go

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- to any briefings or any meetings. So if I'm having questions on anything, that I'm able to ask those questions and get clarification if I feel I need clarification.
  - Q. Did you make a list of your questions about the Barrel Springs Properties' new production application?
  - A. I have -- I do a lot of things on writing.

    Like, I'll write on -- I'll take papers. I'm kind of old school. I take papers and I'll be writing questions, different things like that.
  - I usually put notes on my iPad. I'll just put bullet points, notes. A lot of times I'll use my iPad in taking pictures of something that I want to research or look at later.
  - Q. Did you take notes about your questions regarding the new production application for Barrel Springs Properties before the meeting that happened in April ahead of the Antelope Valley Watermaster board meeting?
    - A. I had a lot of questions, yes.
- Q. Did you take notes regarding your questions?
- 23 A. Yes.
  - Q. Where are those notes today?
- 25 A. In the trash.

1	Q. You don't keep your notes?
2	A. I do keep my notes, but my husband is a
3	person that doesn't ask me when he decides to clean.
4	Q. So when did the notes that you took with your
5	questions regarding Barrel Springs Properties, when
6	did those get discarded by your husband?
7	A. I definitely don't have the date when they
8	got discarded, but I know I went through and looked
9	through things looking for other notes.
10	Q. Was it before you signed your declaration in
11	this case that they were discarded?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So it was also before you the Antelope
14	Valley Watermaster received my Public Records Act
15	request?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. So in connection with Barrel Springs
18	Properties' new production application, you voted no,
19	correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And there's no written record or transcript
22	of the April 26th, 2023, Antelope Valley Watermaster
23	board meeting, is there?
24	A. I wish there was.
25	Q. There isn't one, correct?

1	A. To my understanding.
2	Q. Is that standard practice to not have a
3	recording?
4	A. I guess it is for the Watermaster.
5	Q. You don't know as vice chairperson of the
6	Antelope Valley Watermaster what the standard practice
7	is regarding public meetings?
8	A. We have never discussed if we were going to
9	record meetings or closed sessions. I don't ever
10	recollect that being a discussion.
11	Q. Now, at the meeting, the Watermaster board
12	meeting on April 26, 2023, you voted no, correct?
13	A. Yes.
<b>14</b>	Q. And, but you didn't explain your no vote to
15	anyone, did you?
16	A. I gave bullet points of the things that I
17	when I wrapped up because I was the chair that day. I
18	did give bullet points of some of the things that I
19	felt were reflected in our water engineer's packet.
20	So in me reading it and understanding and
21	listening again to her presentation, I and some of
22	the discussion, I brought up brought up several
23	points that were like bullet points. I didn't go into
24	the long things which I do read all the hydrologist

notes, and there's a lot of terminology and words,

- that if I was to explain further than those bullet
  notes, it would be very hard for a layperson to say,
  you know, what those deposits are called, you know, to
  elaborate.
  - Q. So this bullet point list, when you were wrapping up the meeting, included bullet points relating to the Barrel Springs Properties' new production application?
  - A. They were my reasons for why I was unable to give a "Yes" vote.
  - Q. Are those bullet points now memorialized in your declaration?
  - A. What is memorialized in my declaration is more of what happened after we met again, thinking that we were able to have a conversation and maybe work together on finding how some of those questions could be answered.

One of my things that was very important to me, that in the fact that we need all five to vote, one of the things when I knew there were things that were very complex to me, I was looking forward to asking for the possibility of doing the test well.

But that was already taken off -- taken basically off because of the prior discussion that Barrel Springs gave before that vote.

	Transcript of Proceedings Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases [JCCP No. 4
1	Q. Isn't it true, Ms. MacLaren, that the idea of
2	the test well was considered and rejected by the
3	Watermaster Engineer is not being something that the
4	rules and regulations or the judgment allowed the
5	Watermaster to require?
6	A. So my understanding of our whole thing that
7	Mr our Judge has done, I look at the I look a
8	little bit different at the judgment than my other
9	board members.
10	I look at the judgment of what my Watermaster
11	Engineer is giving to me is information that I can
12	decipher, and whether I still have the discretion
13	to not agree with that or feel that there's still
14	complex issues that have not been answered to the

important decision that I have researched and tried to 16

understand, that I did not feel that all my questions 17 were being answered where I could give a "Yes" vote 18

degree I need when I am taking this as a very

without the test well.

That was going to be one of my things, but I did get an opportunity to do that.

- 0. Ms. MacLaren?
- Α. Yes.

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. It's your testimony that you have discretion to overrule the Watermaster Engineer's determination

on whether or not a test well can be required.

# Is that your testimony?

A. If I think I understand the reason for the board, is that we are to look at that, but that is not to make the final decision. That is why we have board members to make that decision.

So yes.

Q. And you have that discretion to require a test well even though the Watermaster Engineer, in concert with counsel to the Watermaster, made the determination that a test well could not be required for Barrel Springs Properties.

# Is that your testimony?

A. So part of what you said in your question, you mentioned about the test well. I'm saying my discretion is to be able to vote no if I do not believe upon a shadow of a doubt that I'm causing harm to the basin.

This is something that is a very, long, hard fought thing that we have all gone through, and these decisions cannot be taken lightly.

Q. Where in the judgment and physical solution does it say you have to have evidence beyond a shadow of a doubt before you can approve a new production application?

1	A. I don't believe that says that in the
2	judgment. That was my wording.
3	Q. And have you strike that.
4	As part of your preparation for the
5	April 26th meeting, did you talk to Phyllis Stanin?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Why not?
8	A. If I had questions from her, I would have
9	spoke with her.
10	Q. Your testimony was that you had questions
11	about the Barrel Springs Properties' application ahead
12	of the April 26 meeting, correct?
13	A. I don't necessarily say that the application
14	itself I had questions about.
15	Q. But you did not talk to Phyllis Stanin, did
16	you?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you know who she is?
19	A. Yes. The Watermaster our Watermaster
20	Engineer. Yes.
21	Q. And isn't it Ms. Stanin who prepared the
22	findings for the board report, determining that no
23	material injury would arise from the new production
24	application submitted by Barrel Springs Properties?
25	A. Yes.

1 And you disagree with that determination, 2 that's your testimony? 3 I wouldn't necessarily, I disagree with it. 4 I felt that the way it was worded, it's not 5 necessarily a simple I agree to and you disagree. It's not -- it's not a black-and-white issue. 6 But you didn't talk to her ahead of the 7 Q. meeting to understand it, did you? 8 9 I totally understood it. If I didn't 10 understand it, I would have asked a question. 11 In the back of the white binder, 0. 12 Ms. MacLaren, just to make things simpler, is a copy 13 of your declaration. 14 Can you find that for me, please. Actually, I'm sorry. Your declaration is part of the Antelope 15 16 Valley Watermaster board declaration. And I don't believe that is in the exhibit binder. 17 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, could we go off the 18 19 record a moment while I find a copy of that for 20 Ms. MacLaren? 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 (Off the record) 23 THE COURT: Yes. We're on the record. 24 BY MS. HUMMER: 25 Q. Ms. MacLaren, you have in front of you now

1 the declaration that you signed on August 29th, 2023, 2 in connection with the dispute with Barrel Springs 3 Properties, correct? 4 I signed it on August 29th, correct. 5 0. Do you recognize your declaration? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And that's your signature on the last page on page 5, correct? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Did you review the Watermaster's opposition 11 brief before you signed your declaration? 12 If you're talking about --Α. 13 Ms. MacLaren, I really don't want you referring to your own notes. We have exhibits. 14 Please look at the exhibits. 15 16 Okay. I'm not sure exactly what it's called. Α. 17 So when you're saying those things, and because I'm 18 supposed to answer correctly, I just would like to 19 make sure that what you're saying is the same thing I 20 would be looking at. That's all. 21 I agree, Ms. MacLaren. That's why you need 0. 22 to ask me if you don't understand the question. 23 So do you know what the opposition is? What

Page 43

The opposition is what -- is in the court

does that term mean to you?

Α.

24

25

1 documents, that you guys are opposing what we would be 2 It would be the opposition. 3 I read the court documents, yes, that were 4 sent to me. I just want to make sure we have a clear 5 0. 6 record. So Barrel Springs Properties filed a motion, 7 8 correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And that motion said basically we disagree 11 with the Watermaster board's decision and we would 12 like Judge Komar to review it, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. The Watermaster board then filed a response to Barrel Springs Properties' motion, correct? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 That's the document I'm referring to, is the Watermaster board's opposition to Barrel Springs 18 19 Properties' motion. 20 A. Yes. 21 Did you read the opposition? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Before you signed your declaration? Q. 24 Α. I read it, but I don't know if -- if there 25 was something in there that I was supposed to do

1 different before I read my -- signed my declaration. 2 Move to strike as nonresponsive. 0. I'm not trying not to respond. I'm just --Α. 4 is there a different way you want to ask me the 5 question? 6 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, may I have a ruling 7 on my motion to strike as nonresponsive? THE COURT: Motion is denied. 8 9 MS. HUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 BY MS. HUMMER: 11 Ms. MacLaren, did you read the opposition Q. 12 filed by the Antelope Valley Watermaster board before 13 you signed your declaration on August 29th? 14 I had read it, yes. Α. Did you read it in final form? 15 0. 16 I'm assuming that I read the final form. Α. Ι 17 don't know if there was anything changed. 18 So if you'd take a look at your declaration, 0. 19 please. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Look at paragraph 1. Are you there? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Could you look, please, at line 6 and 7? Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 And line 6 and 7 say, "All capitalized terms Q.

not defined herein have the same definition as set 1 2 forth in the opposition." 3 Do you see that? 4 Α. Okay. When you signed your declaration, did you 5 understand that terms in your declaration were 6 matching the terms in the opposition? 7 So what are the capitalized terms? 8 Α. That's my question of you. 9 0. 10 Did you understand that the capitalized terms 11 used in the declaration were the same as the 12 capitalized terms used in the opposition? 13 Α. I'm not quite sure. 14 So you didn't check the capitalized terms in 15 the declaration against those in the opposition, did 16 you? 17 A. No. Did I go back and look up -- no. THE COURT: What specific terms were 18 19 capitalized that she's referring to? 20 THE WITNESS: That's what I'm trying to ask 21 her, what were those capitalized terms that she's 22 talking about. 23 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, paragraph 3 refers 24 to --25 THE COURT: I don't have a copy of that

declaration in front of me. 1 2 MS. HUMMER: Oh, I'm sorry. 3 MR. PARTON: I will get the Court a copy. 4 THE WITNESS: If I could get a water? 5 THE COURT: Thank you. What's the number of 6 the declaration? 7 MS. HUMMER: It's Exhibit 77, Your Honor. (Exhibit 77 received in evidence.) 8 9 THE COURT: 77. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you so much. 11 THE COURT: Do you want to give me a line and 12 page number? 13 MS. HUMMER: Yes, Your Honor. Page 2 of the declaration, line 16, defines "Application" as one of 14 the capitalized terms. 15 16 Line 18 defines "Project." 17 In addition, paragraph 2, line 12 on page 2 defines "Palmdale Water District." 18 19 And line 6 on page 2 defines "Opposition." 20 THE COURT: All right. Ask your question of the witness. 21 22 BY MS. HUMMER: 23 Q. So my question, Ms. MacLaren, is did you go 24 back and figure out what these capitalized terms meant 25 in the opposition before you signed your declaration?

1	A. So now I'm beginning to understand what
2	you're meaning by that, because the different things
3	that you brought up are the legal wording that you're
4	talking in in my declaration?
5	Q. No. My question is different.
6	My question is, did you verify the terms as
7	they were defined in the opposition, the capitalized
8	terms, before you signed your declaration which used
9	those same terms?
10	A. So I did not look so I would say no, I did
11	not look at those terms as to that.
12	But these are my terms and my way of
13	explaining it in my declaration.
14	Q. Did you write your declaration?
15	A. I constructed that with Craig.
16	Q. Did you write your declaration?
17	A. Did I write it in this form like this?
18	Q. Did you write the words that appear on the
19	page in your declaration?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Who wrote them?
22	A. Craig.
23	Q. After you received strike that.
24	After Craig wrote the words in your
25	

1	correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did you get a copy of those words and review
4	them?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you make any changes?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What did you change?
9	A. There were some typos.
10	Q. Anything else?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Did you delete anything from the words that
13	Craig Parton gave you in the draft declaration?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did you add anything?
16	A. I don't think I did.
17	Q. At the time you signed your declaration on
18	August 29th, 2023, had you seen a draft of Mr. Parris'
19	declaration?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Did you discuss your declaration with
22	Mr. Parris?
23	A. We didn't discuss our declarations. We were
24	in conversation together when we had this overall talk
25	about this.

1	Q.	Was that "overall talk about this" you
2	were mot	ioning toward your declaration.
3		Is that correct?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	That's what you mean by "this"?
6	Α.	Yes, my declaration.
7	Q.	Was that overall talk about your declaration
8	before y	ou signed it?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Where were you when you reviewed your draft
11	declarat	ion?
12	Α.	Palmdale Water District.
13	Q.	Was anyone with you?
14	Α.	Daniel Henry.
15	Q.	Who is Daniel Henry?
16	Α.	My assistant, administrative assistant.
17	Q.	How long did you spend reviewing your draft
18	declarat	ion?
19	Α.	Before I went to the Watermaster for I
20	mean, th	e water district to print it out, I an hour
21	or two.	
22	Q.	So let me understand this. You reviewed the
23	draft de	claration on the screen of a computer.
24		Is that correct?
25	Α.	I looked at it on my iPad.

1	Q. On your iPad. Okay.
2	Can you make changes on your iPad to a draft
3	declaration?
4	A. I do have a pen.
5	Q. Did you make changes to this draft
6	A. I did not.
7	Q. You did not. You testified a moment ago that
8	there were typos in the draft declaration?
9	A. I gave them verbally when I talked
10	discussed it on the phone with Craig.
11	Q. So you reviewed your draft declaration on the
12	screen and your testimony is that was for an hour
13	or two hours of time, correct?
14	And then you said you went to the district to
15	print it out.
16	Is that correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did you print out the draft declaration?
19	A. I printed out yes. And then at the same
20	time, we were on the phone with Craig going over this,
21	and then he made changes so that it would reflect
22	those changes.
23	Q. After your draft declaration was changed
24	following your telephone call with Mr. Parton, did you
25	review it again?

1 Α. Yes. 2 When did you do that? 0. 3 Right after the -- it was printed with the 4 corrections before I signed it. Q. Was it the same day that you discussed the 5 changes? 6 7 Α. Yes. Was there anybody else in that discussion of 8 the changes besides you and Mr. Parton? 9 10 Not in, per se, the discussions, but my 11 administrative assistant was in the room. 12 Was with you at the time, correct? 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Anyone else? 15 Α. No. 16 No one else on the phone? 0. 17 Α. That I know of. I'd like you to look, please, at paragraph 3 18 0. 19 of your declaration, which is page 2, lines 15 to 22. 20 I'd like you to focus in particular, 21 Ms. MacLaren, on lines 20 to 22 where you state, "And 22 what has transpired since April 26, 2023, that 23 confirms to me that my no vote was amply supported by 24 the facts and substantial evidence in the record." 25 Do you see that?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did I read that correctly?
3	A. "Was amply supported by the facts and
4	substantial evidence in the record."
5	Q. Did I read the text of your declaration
6	correctly?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. You testified a little while ago that at the
9	end of the Antelope Valley Watermaster board meeting
10	on April 26, 2023, you provided bullet points to sum
11	up what had happened, correct?
12	Do you recall that testimony?
13	A. It was summed up before we took our vote.
14	Q. You summed up the bullet points, not at the
15	end, but before the vote.
16	Is that your testimony?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Are those bullet points that you summed up
19	before the board voted in your declaration?
20	A. Not the exact verbiage I said, but some of
21	them are similar concerns, my concerns over whether or
22	not this well will be able to pump and provide.
23	Q. Okay. So that's an interesting concern.
24	You voted no in part because you were
25	concerned that there might not be any water when

# Barrel Springs sunk its well?

- A. Not in the -- no.
- Q. You were concerned that there might be water and then there later on might not be water from the well.

#### Was that your concern?

- A. I can kind of tell you what my concern is, but the way you're wording it is not how I interpreted it.
- Q. Okay. Could you please explain what you meant by water from the well, water in the well?
- A. My concern is -- is if -- I don't believe there is evidence showing that this well, if it were to fail, would not harm our basin or harm others who have wells.
- In some of the documentation that they had given, it showed that there may not be the needed pressure in -- and that wherein lies some differences that I had because you guys had someone give information about other wells there, about only being able to get 20 gallons per minute.

And so some of the papers that you had from your people did not align with what our engineer had or discussed. So that was concerning to me.

As well as the fact that there was a well

1 that was brought up that I don't know even to this 2 day, is that well something that we did get to look 3 at, or is it the same well that they are talking about 4 here. 5 So there was a well brought up and there was 6 underlying concerns of things that were not matching There were just things that I saw in this Barrel 7 Springs application from your expert that did not line 8 9 up with what our experts were saying and gave me 10 uncertainty. There was uncertainty. 11 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I know we're pushing 12 up against time for taking a break, but I wonder if I 13 could --14 THE COURT: Say that again, please. 15 MS. HUMMER: I know we're pushing up the time 16 at which we need to take a break for the court 17 reporter. 18 But I would like to ask a couple more 19 questions about this issue of the well before we do 20 that. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 BY MS. HUMMER: 23 So Ms. MacLaren, isn't it true that the Watermaster Engineer determined that there were no 24

wells in the vicinity that were likely to be affected

### by Barrel Springs Properties' proposed well?

A. I think in having discussions with Phyllis, that that -- that may not necessarily be true, that there is a well that we don't have the information on.

And that was part of what, in our discussions with them, that we were asking for other things, that Phyllis had made it very clear that we don't have all the information that we could use to safely and further demonstrate yes or no, if this well would work.

#### Q. What do you mean by "this well would work"?

A. Well, what really concerns me is we have a similar situation already on another street where we don't have the tie-in where there's a well.

And it has 52 mobile homes on it. Their well has gone dry. We have now been working with the Department of Water Resources for several years to consolidate that. Those people living in those homes now are on trucked water.

So we need to be sure. And I would like to have more information on all the wells around there. So we were actually hoping in talking and working -- and I'm all about let's figure this out. Let's sit down. Let's talk about it. Let's brainstorm.

But it has to be where we're all working

1	together	to	make	this	happen,	to	make	sure	there	is	nc
2.	mistakes	mad	de.								

Our basin is clean. And it's all we have.

We're a closed basin. So we make a mistake on a well

or doing something like that, it could be catastrophic

for our whole area. It is not something I take

lightly.

And I do believe there could have been more information that we could have got. And that's why, in all seriousness, we put that back on to bring it for us -- forward to us again, to look at this again and ask those questions and work with Barrel Springs, but they didn't take that opportunity.

Q. Ms. MacLaren, I have one more question before we take our morning break. And that is, you testified that you were concerned that if the well failed, it would harm the basin.

### Do you recall that testimony?

- A. Yes. And I'm very concerned that I would be the water district overtaking that to make sure that those people that we put out there are not living in a substandard area, that we already have people in our water district now.
- Q. Ms. MacLaren, how does the well failing harm the groundwater basin?

I don't know that I said we would know that 1 it would necessarily fail. It may just not have 2 3 enough water. When --4 Q. So my question stands. 5 Α. Okay. How would the well not having enough water 6 0. harm the basin? 7 Because when wells get too low and wells get 8 low in that area -- which we've already had problems 9 10 from illegal marijuana growers. And many of our 11 farmers have come to us and say that it draws up other 12 sediments and things out of there and it can lead 13 to -- I know you're looking confused. Maybe -- am I 14 not explaining it correctly? 15 But failure of wells that get too low cause 16 subsidence that causes the area to subside. 17 0. Didn't the Watermaster Engineer determine that subsidence was not a concern for this well? 18 19 It's not a straight yes or no question. I 20 feel there was -- to the best of their knowledge, 21 there was -- there was some things saying no. But I 22 still was concerned about that. 23 I wasn't taking it, and I felt that doing a 24 test well or doing other things in that area could

have gave us more information so we could be ensured

```
1
     that the decision we are making is the correct
 2
     decision.
 3
          Q. You used the word "ensured." Do you mean
 4
     that Barrel Springs Properties would ensure the
 5
    Watermaster?
 6
          A. No. I'm using the word ensured like further,
     further -- not -- you can't guarantee. You can't
 7
     ensure, but it would make me feel more comfortable if
 8
     I had that data to look at in looking at giving the
 9
10
     go-ahead for this production application.
11
              MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I think this might
12
    be a good place to take a break.
              THE COURT: You'd like to take a break now?
13
14
              MS. HUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Let's be in recess
15
16
     for about ten minutes.
17
             (Recess taken 10:23 a.m. - 10:35 a.m.)
              THE COURT: Let's resume with the witness.
18
19
     BY MS. HUMMER:
20
          Q. Ms. MacLaren, when we left off before the
21
     break, you were testifying about your concerns about
22
     the Barrel Springs Properties' new production
23
     application in relation to wells in the area.
24
              Do you recall that testimony?
25
          Α.
              Yes.
```

1 And in your declaration, if you look at 2 paragraph 6, line 7, you articulate that, correct, 3 under C, the potential impact on other wells in the 4 vicinity? 5 Do you see that? It's page 3, line 8 -sorry, line 7, Your Honor. 6 7 Α. Yes. What do you mean by "vicinity"? 8 A. Vicinity to me is I would say, yeah, I didn't 9 10 give, like, a two- or three-mile vicinity. So on the 11 diagrams that it shows --12 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I would ask 13 Ms. MacLaren not to refer to her own notes when she's 14 testifying. THE COURT: I'll let her finish her answer. 15 16 MS. HUMMER: She's looking at her own notes, 17 Your Honor. 18 THE WITNESS: No. Actually, I'm looking at 19 your Geosyntec. 20 MS. HUMMER: Those are your own notes taken 21 that you brought with you, correct? And that's not --22 THE COURT: Why don't you look at what she's 23 looking at? Why don't you step up and look at it. 24 MS. HUMMER: Okay. May I approach, Your 25 Honor? Thank you.

THE WITNESS: It's your geological and 1 2 hydrological study which is showing the locations of 3 the property with several wells. 4 THE COURT: Well, why don't you not finish your answer until she's returned to her seat. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. MS. HUMMER: I think it would be better if we 7 found it in the exhibits because it would be better 8 9 for the record, because this document is in the 10 exhibits. 11 So let me find that document, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: If you want to say something for 13 the record, please do. 14 MS. HUMMER: I'll speak up. Your Honor, I think it would be best if we found this document in 15 16 the exhibits, because we'll have a clean record. 17 And this document Ms. MacLaren is referencing is in the exhibits. So I'd ask your indulgence while 18 19 I find it and we can get it. 20 THE COURT: If there's a document that is not 21 in the exhibits, let's mark it for identification. 22 All right? 23 BY MS. HUMMER: 24 Q. Can I see the rest of your notes? 25 Α. That's my declaration. This is the new.

1	THE COURT: No conversations going on here.
2	MS. HUMMER: Thank you. Your Honor, it
3	appears Ms. MacLaren's notes are bits and pieces of
4	exhibits that are in the record.
5	So I think maybe the best way to proceed is
6	to ask her to identify with precision what she's
7	looking at, and then we can tie it to the exhibit for
8	Your Honor to facilitate your review of the testimony.
9	THE COURT: You want to ask your question.
10	BY MS. HUMMER:
11	Q. So Ms. MacLaren, you were looking at a
12	diagram, correct, when you were talking about
12	diagram, correct, when you were carking about
13	vicinity wells in the vicinity?
13	vicinity wells in the vicinity?
<b>13</b>	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and
<b>13</b> 14 15	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of
13 14 15 16	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of the project vicinity.
13 14 15 16	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of the project vicinity.  So it showed me the site boundaries of where
13 14 15 16 17	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of the project vicinity.  So it showed me the site boundaries of where the project was, where the proposed well location
13 14 15 16 17 18	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of the project vicinity.  So it showed me the site boundaries of where the project was, where the proposed well location would be, all the existing wells with locations that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of the project vicinity.  So it showed me the site boundaries of where the project was, where the proposed well location would be, all the existing wells with locations that are proximate, and then also it shows the Antelope
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	vicinity wells in the vicinity?  A. Yes. I was looking at the geological and hydrological setting diagram of the geological map of the project vicinity.  So it showed me the site boundaries of where the project was, where the proposed well location would be, all the existing wells with locations that are proximate, and then also it shows the Antelope Valley groundwater basin boundaries.

Q. Ms. MacLaren, is that a document prepared by

```
1
     Geosyntec?
 2
          Α.
              Yes.
              MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, this document that
 3
 4
     Ms. MacLaren is referring to is included in Exhibit 5.
               (Exhibit 5 received in evidence.)
 5
 6
              MS. HUMMER: And Exhibit 5 is an amalgamation
     of an agenda for the advisory committee followed by
 7
     the agenda for the Watermaster board and the agenda
 8
 9
     packet as it relates to the new production application
10
     that's before Your Honor this morning.
11
              THE COURT: All right.
12
              MR. PARTON: Do you have a page number?
13
              MS. HUMMER: The Geosyntec report starts on
14
    page 29, and these numbered pages are in the upper
15
     right-hand corner.
16
              MR. PARTON: Thank you.
17
     BY MS. HUMMER:
              So Ms. MacLaren, just to make sure we have a
18
19
     clear record, could you please turn to Exhibit 5 in
20
     the white binder.
              And then go to Exhibit 30. The numbers are
21
22
     on the upper right.
23
               (Exhibit 30 received in evidence.)
24
              THE WITNESS: So you said to go to Exhibit 5.
25
     ///
```

1	BY MS. HUMMER:
2	Q. Correct.
3	A. Number 30?
4	Q. Page 30. The numbers are in the upper
5	right-hand corner.
6	A. Thanks. Yes. That's the same one that I'm
7	referring to. And since you asked me what vicinity I
8	was looking in, and I don't recall it saying if that
9	was a five-mile radius, a ten-mile I don't remember
10	anything stating other than streets that were, you
11	know that they were bound by these specific
12	streets.
13	Q. Do you know the standard the Watermaster
14	Engineer uses for evaluating whether it needs to look
15	at a well in the vicinity of a new production
16	application?
17	A. No.
18	Q. So you don't know how far away the
19	Watermaster looks?
20	A. It may have been stated, and probably in
21	their reports, but off the top of my head, no.
22	Q. So you don't know as you look at Exhibit 5,
23	page 30 which is part of the Geosyntec analysis
24	whether the Watermaster looked at these wells shown on
25	Figure 1 in the Geosyntec report?

1	A. To make a better answer, I would have liked
2	to then have this note here, as well their analysis to
3	make that determination. Because right now I'm
4	looking at this, and you're asking me the question,
5	and if I was anywhere else and I needed to do that, I
6	would need to be able to then look at the analysis to
7	really give you a fair answer.
8	Q. The Watermaster Engineer made her findings on
9	January 11th, 2023, correct?
10	A. I don't have that date right in front of me.
11	I will take your word for it.
12	Q. And you testified that you reviewed her
13	findings, correct?
14	A. Yes. I did review the findings.
15	Q. And the Watermaster Engineer does not address
16	all the wells shown on Figure 1 in the Geosyntec
	arr the werrb blown on righte i in the deobynees
17	report and those findings, does she?
18	report and those findings, does she?
18 19	report and those findings, does she?  A. I don't think that that's necessary to review
18 19 <b>20</b>	report and those findings, does she?  A. I don't think that that's necessary to review all the wells.
18 19 <b>20</b>	report and those findings, does she?  A. I don't think that that's necessary to review all the wells.  Q. But you're concerned about the wells in the
17 18 19 20 21 22	report and those findings, does she?  A. I don't think that that's necessary to review all the wells.  Q. But you're concerned about the wells in the vicinity as a basis for voting no?

There was different information, and I'm sure

- Arden could explain that better, but I saw
  inconsistencies in -- in like this geological and
  hydrological setting from what was discussed.
  - Q. So is it your position that you voted no because you distrusted the Watermaster Engineer's findings?
  - A. I would definitely not say that I distrusted. In things like this, there's different opinions, I do believe, because something -- until it exactly happens, and even then.

So I think there's different opinions. I would say your opinion from your expert was definitely different than their opinion. And my opinion in reviewing both, this is complex -- these are very complex issues. Everything to do with this is not just a yes or no. It's very complex.

- Q. What did you do to resolve these complexities between January 11, 2023, and April 26, 2023?
- A. I had lots of different conversations. I used to be a planning commissioner, so I reviewed some of the projects that were around this area.

There was a very big project that was further north to this, right off to the side. It was supposed to be a big college -- Antelope Valley College. I forgot what they called it. And it had houses and

1	everything.	And	so	I	know	there	was	а	lot	of
2	   discussion	there								

And other times there were other people looking to do things in this general vicinity. So I was very aware of the fact of the fault line and different complexities. And so I have many friends. I do many things in our community, so know commercial Realtors, planning commissioners, lots of different people.

So I would have to have conversations with them about this project, what they see. And so a lot of people were, like, asking me, I don't -- like why are they picking this project to do, you know, this area, when we have so many vast areas of farming that might be a lot easier than where this project is.

- Q. Ms. MacLaren, that concern is a concern for someone who's on the planning commission, right?
  You're raising planning concerns?
- A. I was giving you a background of how I made my decision.
  - Q. By thinking about planning issues?
- A. No. By utilizing my background. And you asked who did I discuss with. That's where that came into, who did I talk to.
  - Q. Ms. MacLaren, you testified by declaration,

1	and also here before the break, that part of the							
2	problem was that you were open and willing to work							
3	with Barrel Springs, but Barrel Springs didn't engage							
4	with you and was difficult about giving you							
5	information.							
6	Do you recall that testimony?							
7	A. After the first meeting, I Mr. Maceri came							
8	up to me at the Palmdale Water District and graciously							
9	asked if I had any questions, I could contact him.							
10	I did not have questions for him. I did not							
11	contact him.							
12	Q. When was that meeting at the Palmdale Water							
13	District?							
14	A. I'm thinking that was the one that we							
15	referenced to earlier, and I don't remember the date							
16	right off the top of my head.							
17	Q. Was it the meeting at which you approved the							
18	undergrounding of the Palmdale ditch agreement?							
19	A. I do believe that was that meeting.							
20	Q. That was April 10, 2023, does that sound							
21	right?							
22	A. Yes, that sounds right.							
23	Q. So you didn't have any questions for							
24	Mr. Maceri between April 10th and April 26th, 2023?							
25	A. Another thing that came across to my head is							

1	since we are new on our Watermaster board, I don't
2	know what the protocol is with meeting somebody and
3	I I didn't have questions necessarily for him.

- Q. Ms. MacLaren, you've served on multiple boards, have you not?
- A. Yes. And usually on those boards, when a person that's doing a project, they will contact the city or they would contact the general manager and say that they would like to sit down and have a discussion with the board member or the planning commissioner or -- that's usually how it's been done.
- Q. So I'm trying to understand your testimony, because I heard you testify that when Mr. Maceri offered, graciously offered, to give you information at the Palmdale Water District meeting which was April 10th, you didn't have any questions for him.

And then I also heard you testify that you weren't sure what the rules were regarding conversations with him.

Were you unsure whether you could speak to Mr. Maceri?

- A. Not necessarily. But I usually feel when you're making a decision on a project, that you should do it probably through the agency.
  - Q. If you met with Mr. Maceri in your capacity

1	as vice chair of the Antelope Watermaster, that would
2	not be through the Watermaster agency?
3	A. If he wanted to meet with me and have asked
4	for a meeting through an agency as opposed to
5	personally coming up and speaking to me, that would
6	have made me feel more comfortable, yes.
7	Q. Did Mr. Maceri reach out to you by e-mail
8	after the April 10th, 2023, meeting?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And you never responded to his e-mails, did
11	you?
12	A. No.
13	Q. And your testimony here today is that you
14	didn't respond because you had no questions, correct?
15	A. I'm not saying that there isn't there
16	isn't questions, but I was did not feel comfortable
17	taking a private meeting with no other people there.
18	Q. So you assumed from Mr. Maceri's e-mails
19	offering to provide you information, that you were
20	going to be meeting one-on-one.
21	Did he say that in those e-mails?
22	A. I would have to go back and look at how that
23	verbiage was. The point is, I did not take the
24	meeting.
25	That did not mean nor do I have any personal

	Transcript of Proceedings Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases [JCCP No. 44
1	reason for not taking a meeting or any distaste for
2	what they do, none of that. None of that weighs in
3	this.
4	Q. So why were you uncomfortable with the idea
5	of meeting with Mr. Maceri?
6	A. Sometimes I get an uncomfortable feeling, and
7	I usually go with that uncomfortable feeling. And
8	it's usually better, especially in cases like this, if
9	you're having a one-on-one conversation with somebody,
LO	it becomes a he said/she said. And I usually don't
L1	put myself in those positions.
L <b>2</b>	Q. And again, you were assuming that if you met
L3	with Mr. Maceri, it would only be one-on-one?
L4	A. It may have been an assumption.
L5	Q. And you didn't contact him to clarify and
L6	say, hey, could we have a meeting with my general
L7	manager present and your staff or my staff, did you?
L8	A. No. That's why we were we had that
L9	meeting after the meeting to sit down and discuss.
20	And that's when I felt because right after the

And we both decided, well, let's have a meeting with them. Let's sit down and hear them out. Let's ask questions or ask things that we need to

meeting, I contacted Rob and told him I had taken a no

Then we discussed it.

21

22

23

24

25

vote.

- 1 | clarify, making more information available.
- 2 And we then -- and also we then spoke with
- 3 | our -- Phyllis and Arden and everything to -- to just,
- 4 | you know, kind of brainstorm. How can we get down to
- 5 | the bottom of this? How can some of the things we do
- 6 | could reassure me where the decision might have ended
- 7 | up a different decision.
- Q. Ms. MacLaren, isn't it true that the
- 9 Watermaster Engineer's findings were made in
- 10 January 2023?
- 11 A. I think, and I don't know when there were
- 12 | alternate ones from there. Like I said, I don't like
- 13 | to just answer unless I look at something so I make
- 14 | sure that I'm answering your correct -- and it's not
- 15 | distrust of you, but I'm just saying --
- 16 Q. Please turn to Exhibit 5 to the front of the
- 17 exhibit. Look at page number 14 in the upper
- 18 | right-hand corner.
- 19 A. Okay. So yes, that does say January 11,
- 20 | 2023.
- 21 Q. And it's true, Ms. MacLaren, that the
- 22 Watermaster Engineer's findings as set forth in this
- 23 January 11, 2023, letter to Mr. Parris have not
- 24 changed, correct?
- 25 A. What do you mean it didn't change?

1	Q. The Watermaster Engineer has not revised its
2	letter of January 11 of 2023?
3	THE WITNESS: Arden, have you made any
4	BY MS. HUMMER:
5	Q. Ma'am.
6	A. Oh, I can't ask that.
7	There may I see this letter here, but I
8	thought there was additional ones given to us.
9	And do you have the agenda for the April
10	meeting that's showing that that's the same letter?
11	Q. Yes. Could you please turn to I'm sorry.
12	It's not part of Exhibit 5. We'll get back to that.
13	My question to you is, if you had questions
14	and concerns about the project, why didn't you reach
15	out to Barrel Springs between April 11 and sorry,
16	between January 11 and April 26 about the project?
17	A. I don't believe those are those are more
18	of like hydrological questions. I don't believe that
19	they necessarily could answer those in-depth
20	questions, that even not I I don't answer.
21	Those are more expert things that we're
22	making decisions on.
23	Q. Isn't it the role of the Watermaster Engineer
24	to evaluate the impact a new well will have on the
25	basin by looking in part at the hydrogeology?

1 Yes. And I did look at the hydrogeology. Α. 2 So what are the other questions that you're 0. 3 talking about? 4 So it's not so much questions. But if you want me to, I could go through -- through this letter 5 6 and tell you many of my concerns and different things that I saw in --7 But what did you do about those concerns 8 after you saw this letter dated January 11, 2023? 9 10 I had discussions with -- in our producers 11 meetings, with attorneys, people that had been 12 involved with this adjudication for 20-plus years. Ι 13 had --14 But you had no discussions with the 15 Watermaster Engineer about the findings? 16 I didn't -- I did not question what I saw Α. 17 on -- on here. 18 If you were concerned about the Watermaster 19 Engineer's findings, would it make sense to go back to 20 the Watermaster Engineer and say, hey, you didn't take 21 care of this, you didn't address this, explain this to 22 me? 23 You didn't do that. 24 Because it's not something that you can 25 address. It's more things that we don't have.

- Q. Give me an example of what you don't have.
  - A. We don't have data from surrounding wells.

    We don't have data -- we have some data, but it looks like, for whatever the deposits are called, that you possibly could not recharge in that area because of the -- I want to say the word accuvial [sic] underlining --
    - Q. Ms. MacLaren, isn't it --
- 9 MR. PARTON: Your Honor, can she complete her 10 response?
- THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Finish
  your answer.
  - THE WITNESS: So it's not a matter of having the question. All the information that I need is here. And there are many things that are left kind of open-ended or that we are assuming that it will not cause this or what the other effects are.
  - I took many times reading that, researching any words, what those deposits look like, what that means. And I was concerned because pumping out of that area, it -- it looks like there might not be a way to put the water back in that area to re -- sufficiently recharge that area.

BY MS. HUMMER:

Q. Ms. MacLaren, where in the judgment and

### physical solution does it say that recharge has to go back at the location of the well?

A. I'm not saying that's what the judgment says. I'm saying as a person who is in charge of the health of the basin, you should be concerned where wells are put if there cannot be sufficient recharge, because subsidence is something that is a very serious, serious issue.

Subsidence can cause other wells to have issues and fail. It can cause other homes to have issues. It can cause roads to have issues.

There's so many complexities to everything in there, and it wasn't -- it wasn't -- I think you're trying to portray it as, because I can't have any questions about it that I -- I didn't do my due diligence.

And I assure you, and I assure you, Judge, that I have done my due diligence in looking at everything, taking -- many, many years -- I've been involved with this for many, many years. Working to get us even to a point where we were able to make the Watermaster to have -- have this ability to keep our basin's health.

You know, I don't know what else to say. In retrospect, there are probably things I could have

- done differently. I could have maybe possibly asked someone to reach out to him.
  - And -- but this is the way I handled it this time. And, too, it's difficult because you can't necessarily talk to your other board people for Brown Act violations and things like that.

But I assure you, I did do my due diligence.

And the fact that you're questioning why I didn't have questions -- I had questions. I always listen. I was always open. This was definitely not a decision I made before listening to all and every bit of testimony.

And then I even opened myself up to inviting back and let's sit down and delve into this and find a way to make this work.

- Q. Isn't it true, Ms. MacLaren, that when you invited Barrel Springs to come meet with you after the April 26 meeting, that you at that meeting refused to explain why you voted no?
- A. It's -- what I'm trying to say to you, it's not I'm refusing. I'm giving you many, many -- and reasons. It may just not be the way you would like my reasons to be given. I don't know -- I don't know where the disconnect is.
  - Q. Ms. MacLaren, at the meeting on May 25th,

2023,	that	was	held	at		is	it	<b>AVEK</b>	or	<b>AVAK?</b>
-------	------	-----	------	----	--	----	----	-------------	----	--------------

- A. AVEK.
- Q. AVEK headquarters, you did not provide the Barrel Springs Properties team that came to that meeting with any reasons for your no vote.

### Isn't that the case?

A. We were there to find -- discussing -- the no vote already happened. We are here to focus on what we need to do to look at to do something different.

Like I said, I may have made different choices than I did now. But I also understand from what I'm going through with you today, that no matter what I said, I need to be very calculated in what I put out there for this exact reason.

Because if it goes to court, you need to be very precise in what you do. And that's what I chose to do. I chose to be precise, not give answers out of emotion. Not give answers without having the documentation there so that I was assured of my wording, my text and everything that I portrayed, because this is such an important decision.

This is so important that I did not want to put any of that out there.

Q. Isn't it true that at the May 25 meeting you were asked by the Barrel Springs team what information

1	you needed in order to be able to rule favorably on
2	the new production application?
3	A. In kind of like what you're saying to me, is
4	I'm not a hydrologist, so how could I answer some of
5	these or make a decision? Kind of the same thing.
6	If and they those questions that I
7	needed there were not questions that I needed to
8	ask that were relevant to my decision. They could not
9	answer the questions the questions and the reasons
10	I took the vote I did cannot simply be could not
11	have been answered by asking them a question.
12	My decision wasn't made on how many people
13	were going to be up there or what kind of homes they
14	were going to be living in, or any of those things.
15	Those those were none none of the things. The
16	things had to do with wells in the area, the things I
17	put in my declaration.
18	I don't feel those were things that could be
19	answered without further things that we needed to do.
20	Q. I'd like to go back to the producers meeting,
21	the public suppliers meeting that you had prior to the
22	April 26th Watermaster board meeting.
23	You recall testifying about that meeting,

25 A. Yes.

correct?

24

And in that meeting you consulted with 1 2 Dennis LaMoreaux and others about the Barrel Springs 3 Properties' new production application? 4 Α. Yes. And you received input from those individuals 5 0. in that meeting? 6 7 Α. Yes. Do you know if any of the individuals at that 8 meeting provided input to you, had reviewed the new 9 10 production application, this analysis, the evaluation, the calculations that were all submitted to the 11 12 Watermaster Engineer? 13 So the person who leads the meeting is -- I'm 14 trying to think of -- her name is called Claudia Bolanos. And I think I -- her exact title is -- it 15 16 may be my memory at the moment -- but yes, the --17 everyone that's there is -- I didn't personally ask 18 them, "Did you read everything?" 19 General managers and things that were tasked 20 with it, the attorneys that have been working on this 21 for 20 years. 22 So in my discussion I brought up to them and 23 we discussed our engineer's report, and I told -- I 24 told them that there were things that were still

concerning to me that we not necessarily can answer.

1	And after a very long and lengthy discussion
2	about many items, I had said we've decided that we
3	were going to listen again in person because this
4	was a letter to the report and see if that report
5	cleared up any of the things that we were looking at
6	on paper.
7	Because sometimes you can read something on
8	paper, and when someone then goes in to explain it,
9	you know, it takes a different context in your mind
10	and leads you to look at it a little bit different.
11	So then after that report, many of the same
12	things, uncertainties, were still there after
13	listening to that report.
14	Q. Okay. I'd like you to focus on your
15	declaration again, please, which is Exhibit 77 in the
16	black binder.
17	A. Okay. Oh, 77 in this binder. Let me close
18	this binder because it's getting a little small up
19	here.
20	All right.
21	Q. Do you have Exhibit 77 in front of you?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Please turn to page 3, lines 15 through 19,
24	which is paragraph 7.
25	Specifically on line 17 you testify by

declaration that you believed that the findings incorrectly concluded that the projects were -- strike that -- would not cause material injury, and that's line 17 and 18.

#### Do you see that?

A. Yes. So -- so these -- these issues were identifiable in the findings which I believe incorrectly concluded that the project would not cause material injury.

So in those findings, I had felt that the findings left open areas of concern that could not be answered in those findings.

So using the word "identifiable," maybe you're picking on that word because it isn't a black and white written out saying that there would be -- caused -- so they were saying the material injury, they felt that there could be no material injury.

But in the same time in many of those paragraphs, there were like "buts" and "ands" and openings and other areas that I interpreted to mean -- and that is something I identified as why I believed on those facts with those open-ended, not very clear things, why I felt I could not vote yes.

THE COURT: Ms. MacLaren, why don't you look at the document itself and tell us what it was about

```
1
     the document that you felt caused you to have your
 2
     reservations.
 3
              THE WITNESS: Okay. What number is her --
 4
              MS. HUMMER: It's Exhibit 5 in the white
    binder.
 5
 6
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Is it --
 7
              THE COURT: It's Exhibit 5, Groundwater
             In particular, I'd like you to look at
 8
    Report.
 9
    page 4, the last paragraph on that page.
10
              THE WITNESS: Here it is. Page 4.
11
              MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, where are we? I
12
     didn't hear where we are in Exhibit 5.
13
              THE COURT: Page 4, the last paragraph.
14
              Ms. MacLaren, maybe you can tell us what it
15
    was about that paragraph that caused you to have your
16
    doubts as to whether you should approve this proposed
17
    pumping or not.
18
              THE WITNESS: Okay. So it's saying that the
19
     report is complete and is determined to have
    negligible material injury based on the --
20
21
              THE COURT: You have to read more slowly if
22
    you're going to read.
23
              THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. So it's the
24
     "however."
25
              "However, given the local hydrological
```

1 uncertainty." 2 Right there it says that there's uncertainty. 3 And they are recommending that the Watermaster require 4 the applicant to conduct an aquifer test on the new well for an improved understanding, which is what 5 6 we're saying here. 7 We don't totally understand everything -- of all of our aguifer conditions, all of our wells, we 8 9 don't understand, including the lithological data, 10 construction information and test results. All these 11 things should be provided to the Watermaster. 12 That is a good thing right there. And kind 13 of this is how I did before my vote. If I were to sum 14 up before we vote and what we're talking about here, given the local hydrological uncertainties, there's 15 16 uncertainties. It says it right there. 17 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, there's a lot packed into this paragraph. Maybe we can take things one at 18 19 a time? 20 THE COURT: Say it again. 21 MS. HUMMER: There's a lot packed into this 22 paragraph on page 4. Maybe we can take things one at 23 a time? 24 THE COURT: Well, maybe we can ask her a 25 question.

Did you think this was an unconditional 1 2 recommendation to grant the permit from the 3 Watermaster Engineer? 4 THE WITNESS: So meaning, I took this to mean that -- I still can vote no even though it is saying 5 6 they thought it was negligible material injury, that it is my job to take this information. 7 And as a board, we're supposed to look at it 8 and still make a determination. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 11 BY MS. HUMMER: 12 Ms. MacLaren, isn't it true that even though 0. 13 the Watermaster Engineer in concert with counsel 14 determined that a test well would not be required, 15 that Barrel Springs Properties agreed at the hearing 16 on April 26, 2023, to do an aquifer test? 17 Α. I think they did agree on that, on doing an 18 aguifer test. 19 So that basis for saying no -- that basis for 20 voting no on the application went away, correct? 21 A. Okay. Like I'm saying, let me -- sorry, but 22 I was trying to finish. 23 I would feel more comfortable having that 24 right in front of me. I can do my best to recollect. There has been a lot since that date and a lot even 25

- 1 just going through today that I don't want to answer 2 anything quickly or how something might be spun to 3 give a not correct answer to that. So do you know that Barrel Springs Properties 4 agreed to conduct an aquifer test? 5 6 At this moment, I wouldn't doubt your word. MR. PARTON: Your Honor, I would just object 7 8 as vague as to time when this aquifer test was 9 supposed to be done. 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, I think we need 11 more information about the conversation concerning 12 that, so including when these conversations occurred. 13 THE WITNESS: Right. 14 BY MS. HUMMER: 15 Isn't it the case that that statement was 16 made at the April 26, 2023, meeting, that Barrel Springs Properties would do -- would agree to do an 17 aguifer test? 18 19 I believe I answered that because I am not 20 sure. I would love to answer that, but --21 THE COURT: If you don't know the answer, say 22 you don't know.
  - THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't know.
- 24 BY MS. HUMMER:

25

Q. One of the other concerns you have about the

feet?

25

1	Barrel Springs Properties' new production well was how
2	the water was going to be used, correct?
3	A. How the water was going to be say again.
4	Q. Used?
5	A. So in looking at it to how you guys how it
6	was calculated, the water in the application seemed to
7	be a different way than our Watermaster calculates it
8	and/or as other people calculate the water.
9	So yes, I was concerned about the amount that
10	they said that they would need for the domestic use.
11	I did notate that they were very did their
12	due diligence and would like a Leed Platinum rating on
13	what they are doing. And I understand all those
14	things about LEED Platinum and the conservation memo,
15	things like that.
16	It still left me with questions if that could
17	possibly be the correct amount for the amount of
18	people.
19	Q. Do you know which amount Barrel Springs
20	Properties used to calculate the domestic water use of
21	the project?
22	A. I know that they were asking for ten acre
23	feet.
24	Q. Do you know how they arrived at ten acre

A. Actually, I had in here, like a calculation.

It was on some theory. I can't remember the name of

the theory off the top of my head that they used. Let

me try and find it.

I don't know if this is the right one, aquifer properties based on driller's report. That one was on calculated drawdown. I might have it somewhere in my notes.

But I couldn't tell you right off the top of my head exactly how they did that calculation, but I know we discussed it in our meetings. And I think we even discussed it with Phyllis over the phone after that meeting on the calculations. And we came to the fact that they could -- and that was after the meeting -- possibly do it within the ten acre feet that they were saying, with all those measures and everything.

But the day that I took that vote, there was uncertainty.

Q. So you believe there was uncertainty in whether or not the calculation was sufficient to supply the domestic water needs of the project even though the Watermaster Engineer had determined that the project could be approved -- that the new production application could be approved?

1 So I think that's what I stated in -- yes. 2 So you also sat on the Palmdale Water 0. 3 District board, correct? 4 Α. Yes. Do you know the figure that Palmdale Water 5 6 District uses to determine domestic water use per household? 7 Α. 55. 8 9 55 what? 0. 10 Α. Gallons. 11 Per? Isn't it 55 gallons per day? Q. 12 Yes. And I think that was used in this Α. 13 calculation. I have it here, but --14 Q. So is it 55 gallons per day per household, or 15 55 gallons per day per person? 16 Right now, my -- I'm going to just say I don't know at the moment. 17 18 But it is --0. 19 I'm not going to answer you, because I feel 20 like I'm get bombarded a little bit. It's giving me a little bit of anxiety. And those are definitely 21 22 questions that I normally would know, but I have a lot 23 going on through my head. And like I said, if you 24 wanted me to wait, I could probably find it in there. 25 But as I testified here, there were -- there

1 were concerns if that would be enough water for the 2 amount of people. 3 Now, the recommendation for approval by the 4 Watermaster Engineer in the board agenda packet contemplated that the 120 acre feet for which Barrel 5 Springs was applying was going to be the maximum, 6 7 correct? 8 Α. Yes. So they weren't going to be given permission 9 10 to go over the numbers they calculated in their new 11 production application, correct? 12 Yes. And I think that was addressed Α. 13 somewhere in the application, saying something, that 14 they may not even need that amount. May not 15 necessarily use that amount. 16 Okay. So I'm trying to understand this. 0. You're concerned that the calculation for the domestic 17 18 water use portion of the --19 You brought up the whole 120, and that was 20 for everything that they were talking about. 21 I understand your testimony. I have a Q. 22 question. 23 Your testimony is that you were concerned 24 that the ten acre feet allocation to domestic water

use might be insufficient, correct?

1 A. Correct.

- Q. And your testimony also is that the new production application was for a maximum of 120 acre feet, correct?
- A. Well, actually, they -- they don't -- we don't use that wording in there. We say the estimated annual pumping for the new well would be 120 acre feet per year, well capacity assumed 150 gallons per minute.

Which that was part of the analysis that gave me concern, because it looked like we weren't -- you weren't going to be able to get that gallons per minute on that site.

- Q. Okay. We can come back to that. I just want to focus on what the application sought and what would have been approved if you had voted yes.
- A. And that's an estimated annual pumping of 120 acre feet per year.
- Q. And you just testified a moment ago that you read in the papers that the Barrel Springs Properties' project wasn't sure that it would use 120 acre feet per year, correct?
- A. I should maybe take that back until I find out in what context that was used, because that may not be an accurate statement.

25

1	Q. Have you as a board, has the AV Watermaster
2	as a board given Todd Groundwater feedback critical of
3	their performance of their services as a Watermaster
4	Engineer?
5	A. I don't think that we have addressed it and
6	said that we were critical. I know that we and
7	this is with all of our board members have had a
8	discussion where we discuss in how they give us the
9	information, because I think many of my board members
10	thought that what they gave us, we had to vote on what
11	they gave us.
12	And they would like to have a little more
13	wiggle room. So it seems a little more consistent
14	than how it kind of looks right now.
15	She's saying there's no problem, but we're
16	saying there's still concerns and uncertainties.
17	So we have had that dialogue, and I wouldn't
18	say we're critical of them. It's kind of like talking
19	like a hydrologist speaking to a layperson is, you
20	know you're going to use different terminology.
21	You're going to use different things.
22	So I don't think we're critical. I think
23	that we've had different discussions on how we could

work better, and so that we could understand each

other a little bit better.

1	And then when we have things like this, I
2	think we learned a lot from what has transpired with
3	this with this whole process here on ways we could
4	do better, the way the the way Todd Groundwater
5	could give us information. How us and directors can
6	look at things differently.
7	Q. Does the judgment and physical solution that

10 A. Yes.

Valley --

8

9

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

Q. I haven't asked my question yet.

governs the adjudicated basin in the Antelope

Does that judgment and physical solution authorize the Watermaster Engineer to make findings with wiggle room about material injury to the basin?

MR. PARTON: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

17 THE COURT: Sustained.

18 BY MS. HUMMER:

- Q. Ms. MacLaren, you just testified that you would prefer to have findings and determinations from the Watermaster Engineer made with a little more wiggle room.
- A. Maybe I'll retract using "wiggle room" in that context, since it's going to be portrayed in that way.

That isn't that was one of the words that
was used in our discussion. But now that you're
saying it and how it sounds, that probably isn't a
good terminology.

I just will go back to saying that we need to further communicate so that we are understanding where they are coming from and how it could help us make the decision.

But the definite thing we learned from that was some of them thought they did -- and that's where that came in -- that they didn't have wiggle room also to vote no with me.

Now, after we've had the ruling by him and reminding us that they do understand, that just like me, that they could have voted no also.

- Q. "They" being the Watermaster Engineer?
- A. Other directors.

### Q. Including directors that weren't at the hearing?

A. The other ones that weren't at the hearing -yes, in our overall discussion, we have had
discussions with other ones that were not at the
hearing, for them to understand that the way this is
set up is we take the information, we utilize it to
the best of our ability, but still we as board members

- have the discretion, have the ability to disagree with the findings and do like I concluded that day and voted no.
  - Q. But isn't it true, Ms. MacLaren, that your no vote requires some backup information, some evidence to support it?
- 7 MR. PARTON: Objection. Calls for a legal 8 conclusion.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 BY MS. HUMMER:

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. I want to come back to the January to April timeframe. I don't think we have clear testimony on this.
  - What did you do between the January meeting where the Watermaster Engineer presented its findings, finding no material injury, and April 26th to allay concerns or find out information or do what you needed to do in order to be able to -- to be able to approve the new production application?
  - A. I drove up to the site. I talked to commercial Realtors in our area. I talked to vice mayors of Lancaster, which I represent. Talked to city managers of Palmdale that I represent.
- I talked to everybody in our -- in our group
  that -- which is Waterworks, Quartz Hill Water

- District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Little Rock, White Farms, I think. And there's a few other small agencies.
  - Did a lot of research. Looked into the prior records of the other college projects and different things that went on in that area. I sit on other boards in -- that are like the AV -- it's the Antelope Valley Economic Development -- and the E is the entity -- Enterprise.
  - I just stepped off being the president of that. So we're working regionally to look at everything. So there's quite a different amount of people that I work with on different boards that come from all walks of life.

So I had numerous conversations with different people asking different questions.

- Q. What input did the City of -- the vice mayor of the City of Lancaster give you about the new production application?
- A. He was -- he was concerned as well as other community members are concerned.
  - Q. What was he concerned about?
- A. Well, we're concerned about our region and we just want to make sure that we're able to take care of everybody in our region. We want to make sure we have

25

	, maioripe or a reason poor in the reason poor in t
1	good the best transportation. We want to make sure
2	we have wraparound services.
3	Q. So the vice mayor of Lancaster was concerned
4	that the new production for the Barrel Springs
5	property would impact regional issues related to
6	transportation?
7	A. I didn't say that. I said I spoke with the
8	mayor of Lancaster, like, talking to him.
9	Q. What were his concerns about the new
10	production application?
11	A. I don't necessarily think that he looked at
12	the application. It was more it wasn't a technical
13	conversation that I had with him.
14	Q. You listed a lot of people you talked to.
15	Did you have technical conversations with any
16	of those people about the application?
17	A. I would say they weren't technical
18	conversations, no.
19	Q. So you also testified that you were worried
20	about the findings because you thought there were
21	"buts" and "ands" and "ifs" relating to the technical
22	issues before you as a Watermaster board member.
23	Why were you talking to all these other
24	people about nontechnical issues if your concerns

about the Watermaster Engineer's analysis was

#### technical?

A. Okay. So you asked me what did I do from January to then, and just like I didn't ask him questions, I was just telling you -- and let me rephrase it so that they'll take some of this -- however you're putting it.

I sit on many different boards and a community activist. So you asked me what did I do from here to then. I would say I did nothing of a technical in those conversations with those people that swayed my decision.

That's probably a better way to answer that, because otherwise it sounds like a long list. But when you asked me the question what did I do, I was thinking more along what I do.

Because I'm on quite a different array of boards in the community and I'm very active in -- and you know, in conversations I might have had brought this up. But definitely nothing technical.

So I'll leave those out of it.

- Q. So is it fair to say that the research you did between January and April 26th didn't include research into calculations of gallon per minute, for example?
- 25 A. I think that, yes, I read over several

- things. Like your things here, I've looked back on
  this numerous -- numerous times and things being
  brought up.
  - Q. What research did you do outside the four corners of the new production application and the agenda report?
  - A. I researched all kinds of different water -see, excuse me, because I'm not using the correct
    terminology, because in Barrel Springs in that meeting
    they said they were using some sort of water process.
  - Q. That meeting was after you voted no. I'm talking about between January and April, right, before you voted no.
    - A. Right.
    - Q. What research did you do, technical research?
  - A. What I was trying to say is there was some water processes that they brought up that were mentioned that I saw in here.
  - So I researched the regenerative agriculture. I asked my general manager about that.
  - I had researched The People Concern. I knew about their other facilities, in fact, his Kensington project. We were in talks with them in another capacity about -- back then. I know about that project. It's very successful.

L	And so there was research done on anything
2	that I looked in there and I had I had
3	questioned questions on. I drove to the site,
1	which then I realize it's very high up from that.

One of the other concerns that I even said in the meeting of the Palmdale Water District of covering our ditch -- which I didn't get to add earlier -- which there isn't necessarily a line item. We've gotten \$22 million worth of grants to be able to cover that.

So that was something that you were asking about, our budget, if it was in a line item, so --

# Q. What did you research about -- strike that. What research did you do to investigate your concerns about contamination of the aqueduct?

A. So that's not so much research as it is -which I started to say that, and I did get
sidetracked, on the fact that until it's covered, if
there were to be any construction done up there, we
would necessarily want to have good compliance to make
sure nothing runs down into that -- into our ditch.

## Q. I wasn't asking about the ditch. I'm asking about the aqueduct.

A. Well, our ditch goes directly to our Palmdale Water District, which has water pumped into and goes

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- out of, into the aqueduct. We have our Little Rock dam, which we have an open liner kind of thing that runs on down through.
  - And we have our Lake Palmdale, and that feeds into that. That's where our treatment facility is.

We also are connected right to the aqueduct where we can take water in and move water out. So this is all in that general area.

Q. So my question is specific.

In your declaration at paragraph 6, which is page 3 of Exhibit 77, at line 7 and 8, item D as in David, you express that you were concerned that the findings did not adequately address the proximity of the state aqueduct to the project and potential contamination of state water project water from build-out of the project.

- A. Yes.
- Q. So you had that concern in addition to the ditch, correct?
- A. I should have not used the ditch, but the ditch is like right there which feeds into the aguifer. But, yes.
  - Q. So isn't this concern a planning issue,
    Ms. MacLaren?
- 25 A. No.

25

1 The Watermaster is charged with ensuring that 2 construction doesn't generate dust to contaminate an 3 open ditch? 4 Α. The Watermaster is concerned in approving a project that can, because of its proximity and being 5 6 up on the hill and everything runs downhill, would be a concern because of our aquifer, and our aquifer is 7 open too. It's not covered. 8 Q. Ms. MacLaren, the project that the 9 10 Watermaster board is evaluating and considering is the dropping of a new well and the production of 120 acre 11 12 feet from that well. 13 Isn't that the project? 14 I believe that -- that -- even though it is the project, if you look at what you're applying for, 15 16 it gives us the definition of a lot of things that 17 you're doing there. So being that this is -- so it's talking 18 19 about a well. 20 There's going to be row crop vegetable 21 gardens. I don't know if they are organic, if they 22 are going to be using contaminants that can go down 23 there.

```
1
              So there's -- it's not just the well for the
 2
     application. It's a much broader thing that I was
 3
     looking at and considering.
 4
              MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, my examination of
     Ms. MacLaren at this juncture is complete.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: No further questions?
 7
              MS. HUMMER: No further questions.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay.
 9
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
              THE COURT: Well, it's about eight minutes to
10
11
    noon. I suppose we should take our noon recess.
12
              THE WITNESS: Should I leave these?
13
              MR. PARTON: Leave them.
14
              THE COURT: Just leave everything there. You
15
    may step down.
16
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17
              THE COURT: You have some further
18
     examination, Mr. Parton?
19
              MR. PARTON: Yes. Yes, I will have some
20
     questions.
21
              THE COURT: Do you want to do that after
22
     lunch?
23
              MR. PARTON: Absolutely.
              THE COURT: Okay. Fine. All right. Let's
24
     take an hour for lunch.
25
```

```
1
              MS. HUMMER: Come back at just before 1:00,
 2
     Your Honor?
 3
              THE COURT: I'd like to resume as quickly as
 4
     we can. I don't know how many other witnesses, if
 5
     any, there are going to be. I know there is going to
     be some argument. That I would have to deal with.
 6
 7
              So let's come back around 1:00.
 8
              MS. HUMMER: Very good, Your Honor.
 9
10
          (Lunch recess taken 11:49 a.m. - 1:05 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	WEDNESDA	Y, OCTOBER 18, 2023 1:05 PM
2		
3		AFTERNOON SESSION
4		00
5		THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. We
6	can have	Ms. MacLaren back on the stand.
7		MR. PARTON: Yes, thank you.
8		THE COURT: Ms. MacLaren, you're still under
9	oath.	
10		THE WITNESS: Yes.
11		CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. P	ARTON:
13	Q.	Good afternoon, Ms. MacLaren.
14	A.	Good afternoon.
15	Q.	Very briefly, I wanted to have you recollect
16	or refre	sh a recollection about the boards that you
17	serve on	•
18		It's Palmdale Water District, right?
19	A.	Correct.
20	Q.	Is that an elected position or an appointed
21	position	?
22	Α.	That is elected.
23	Q.	And you've also been on the planning
24	commissi	on on Palmdale?
25	Α.	Yes. The City of Palmdale planning

1	commissioner.
2	Q. Is that elected or appointed?
3	A. That is appointed by it used to be
4	appointed by the mayor. Now it's the whole city
5	council.
6	Q. How long have you been in that position?
7	A. I did two terms there.
8	Q. Okay. And you're the vice chair of the
9	Antelope Valley Watermaster, correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. In your positions as planning commissioner,
12	the City of Palmdale Water District and other boards,
13	have you reviewed technical reports before?
14	A. Yes. Many times.
15	Q. And you've analyzed technical issues before,
16	correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And you say "many times." How many would you
19	estimate, technical reports for the planning
20	commission, the water district and the Antelope Valley
21	Watermaster have you reviewed?
22	A. So at least maybe 1- or 200.
23	Q. When did you strike that.
24	The Todd findings of January 11, 2023, you're
25	familiar with those, right?

1 Α. Yes. 2 When did you first review them? 0. Α. For the January 20th? 4 Yes. 0. Usually back in that time, the third Monday 5 6 of the month and when we get -- whenever we get the packet, I always read through the packet and then I 7 will study anything that I need to study in 8 preparation for the briefing with the public water 9 10 suppliers. 11 So the January 25, 2023, board meeting of the 0. 12 Antelope Valley Watermaster, was the report from Todd 13 Groundwater, the findings as to Barrel Springs, 14 contained in that agenda? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And you -- to the best of your recollection, 0. 17 that's the first time you reviewed it, correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And then you had approximately three months, 20 right, until you voted on it? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And during that three months, did you read 0. 23 the report again? I'm going to say ad nauseam. 24 Α. 25 Yes, I read it and researched and

1 contemplated on different things after reading it. 2 And then the vote was taken on April 26th, 0. 3 2023? 4 Α. Yes. With respect to the new production 5 application of Barrel Springs, right? 6 7 That is correct. Α. Okay. What else -- I understood from your 8 testimony you talked to people about the Barrel 9 10 Springs application and you've testified to that. 11 You spent -- is that correct? 12 Yes. Α. 13 And you spent how many hours reviewing the Q. 14 report itself? 15 Α. I would say ten hours. 16 Okay. And what else did you do to satisfy 0. 17 yourself with respect to that report of Todd Groundwater on January 11, 2023? 18 19 Yeah, I would say that I had conversations 20 with my manager and city managers both, because they 21 are engineers. 22 So what I might look at in one way, even 23 though, you know, that's through Palmdale Water 24 District and not the Watermaster, they still are 25 engineers and, you know, they could answer some other

1	questions in my mind. I think they are a good source,
2	as well as knowing all the issues, like the proximity
3	to the aquifer and different things like that.

Q. And my understanding from your testimony is that you drove to the Barrel Springs site.

## Is that right?

- A. Yes. I drove there two times just specifically to look at that. I have a four-wheeler and I have a Jeep and that is like one of the areas that I usually frequent.
- I live on 40th Street now, and that's usually a way that I go up into that area when I take a nice Jeep drive for relaxation.
- Q. Let me shift gears for a moment. I want you -- the topic is the advisory committee to the Antelope Valley Watermaster.

## Do you understand that the advisory committee is set up in the judgment?

- A. Yes. I understand the importance of the advisory committee. And not always do we still find exactly the way they vote, but you must take everything that they look at into very serious -- you seriously have to look at what they are saying and what they are feeling.
- 25 And I think that's one way that -- it's one

1 way that this adjudication works and has been helping, 2 is because they have a say, you know, whether they are 3 a small pumper or an overlier. A lot of times they've looked at us like, public water suppliers, like we 4 5 don't care necessarily, you know, about their wants 6 and needs or the way they look at it. So that's why the board members represent 7 different things. And then you have that advisory 8 9 committee. And I know they -- they were guite 10 confused on which way to go with that. There's quite 11 a few extensions. 12 And so it comes by a slim margin that there 13 was a lot more people not really sure either. I 14 believe there was uncertainty in -- in the way they took, you know, the information. 15 16 Can you turn in the black binder in front of 17 you to Exhibit 67, page 29. (Exhibit 67 received in evidence.) 18 19 THE WITNESS: 67. 20 BY MR. PARTON: And Exhibit 67 is what? Can you identify it 21 0. 22 for us, first? 23 Yes. So that's the consideration of the 24 possible action on the new production, and it's during

the Barrel Springs with a vote of three "Yes," one

"No," and five "Abstain." 1 2 Okay. But this is in relation to the 0. 3 advisory committee, not the full board, correct? 4 Α. Correct. And you know that by looking at page 27? 5 0. 6 Α. Yes. The first page indicates --7 Q. Yeah, this is the agenda. 8 Α. 9 This is the advisory committee agenda? 0. 10 Α. Report. 11 Report, okay. There was a motion and a Q. 12 second and a vote, three "Yes," one "No," five 13 "Abstain." 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 In your experience with the board of the 0. 17 Antelope Valley Watermaster, have you ever seen as 18 divisive of a vote amongst the advisory committee on 19 any issue? 20 A. Never. 21 I want to have you turn to Exhibit 78. Q. 22 (Exhibit 78 received in evidence.) 23 BY MR. PARTON: 24 Exhibit 78 is an e-mail to Claire Collins 25 from myself dated May 25, 2023.

Tell me when you see that. Exhibit 78. 1 2 Yes. And you want me on page 1 of that? Α. 3 Q. Page 1 of that. 4 First of all, May 25, what was significant about that day? 5 6 Α. May 25. Sorry. I think you've testified that there was a 7 Q. settlement conference on that day, correct? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. Okay. 11 In all actuality, this is what we discussed Α. 12 right after that meeting. 13 O. And who is "we"? 14 It was Matt Knudson was there, Rob Parris, yourself, and myself. 15 16 Q. Okay. And as a result, what did -- what were 17 you trying to accomplish by this e-mail from me to 18 Claire Collins dated May 25, 2023? 19 MS. HUMMER: Objection. The e-mail is not 20 from Ms. MacLaren, so I don't know how she could 21 accomplish an e-mail being sent by Craig Parton. 22 MR. PARTON: Claire Collins -- this is an 23 e-mail from Craig Parton to Claire Collins. 24 THE COURT: Well, why don't you lay a further 25 foundation as to how she would have been affected by

1	it.
2	BY MR. PARTON:
3	Q. Okay. You had a discussion with Mr. Knudson,
4	Mr. Parris, myself and you on May 25, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And had there been a meeting of the parties
7	before this?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And the result of that meeting, did the group
10	take any action with respect to directing a letter be
11	sent to the representative from Barrel Springs?
12	A. Yes. After discussing among ourselves, as
13	well as talking with Phyllis Stanin, we compiled some
14	things that we would like to put forward to Barrel
15	Springs to do a reconsideration of their application.
16	And so we went over several different things.
17	And these were the items that we all agreed upon that
18	we wanted them to give us some more information to
19	help us reconsider their application.
20	Q. And this letter purports to request and ask
21	Barrel Springs if they would consider a resubmittal of
22	their application.
23	Is that right?
24	A. Yes. And we were we were hoping with
25	that, that we were opening up being welcome to, you

1 know, written comments, anything that they could give 2 us on these subjects in advance of the meeting so that 3 we could even, you know, refine the matter and have 4 that information even before we have the meeting for the reconsideration. 5 6 So I thought it was a really good way to try to open it up and giving them every opportunity to 7 answer some of these questions. 8 And did you approve of the form of the 9 10 letter, Exhibit 78, before it was sent to Barrel 11 Springs' --12 A. Yes. 13 -- counsel? Okay. Q. 14 And is this letter -- was it responded to? 15 MS. HUMMER: Objection. It's not a letter. 16 BY MR. PARTON: 17 0. Was this e-mail responded to? 18 I don't think we got an e-mail response from Α. 19 them. 20 Q. Well, look at Exhibit 79, Ms. MacLaren. (Exhibit 79 received in evidence.) 21 22 BY MR. PARTON: 23 It's an e-mail -- purports to be an e-mail from Craig Parton to Claire Collins dated Friday 24 25 June 2, more than a week after Exhibit 78 was sent.

1	And it purports to request a response to the
2	May 25th letter, right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And is it your understanding that as of
5	June 2 there had been no response?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And are you aware of when a response, if
8	ever, was received?
9	A. I'm not sure if I don't think there was a
10	response. And I know we went to get ready to go to
11	the meeting in which then I found out that they would
12	not be attending they would not be further
13	interested in submitting those information.
14	Q. So you have an understanding that initially
15	the Watermaster reentered or resubmitted had an
16	agenda item on the June 25th board meeting to
L7	reconsider the Barrel Springs application?
18	A. Yes. Rob promising that he would make sure
19	that that got on the agenda.
20	Q. And what is your understanding of what
21	happened with that matter?
22	A. That they felt that they had already
23	basically in other words, they weren't answering
24	those questions and that they were sticking by what

they originally thought the conclusion is of our

1	Watermaster Engineer.
2	And so they didn't feel any necessary means
3	to try to give us more information, supply us any new
4	reports or further have dialogue over this, which I
5	feel is it's just a shame.
6	I think we could have avoided maybe going to
7	court and things could have been looked at and we
8	could have got the information and we wouldn't be in
9	this position that we're at right now, I think.
10	Q. Do you have an understanding of whether the
11	board was directed by Barrel Springs to remove the
12	request for reconsideration from the agenda for the
13	June meeting?
14	A. No. I think that we were, even during the
15	meeting, thinking that there was a possibility that
16	they may call in. And, you know, put anything,
17	something, you know, on the record, but
18	Q. Did they call in?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Did they appear at the board meeting?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did they communicate that they wanted
23	anything done to resubmit to the board?
24	A. No.
25	MR. PARTON: Thank you, Your Honor. No

1 further questions. 2 MS. HUMMER: Redirect, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Go ahead. 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. HUMMER: Ms. MacLaren, please turn back to Exhibit 78, 6 which is the e-mail from Craig Parton to Ms. Collins 7 dated May 25, 2023, at 6:46 p.m. 8 Do you have it? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 And it lists questions for which you wanted Q. 12 answers, 1 through 7. 13 Do you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Wasn't the time to get those questions before 16 you went to the board in April 26, 2023? 17 Α. Say that again. Wasn't the time to get answers to these 18 19 questions before you went to hear this matter at the 20 April 26, 2023, board meeting? 21 Those were not necessarily -- those -- these Α. 22 questions are what came out of having discussion of the uncertainties and in discussing with our 23 Watermaster Engineer actually finding out more 24 25 information, like finding out how they felt.

	Transcript of Proceedings Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases [JCCP No. 44
1	And at that time, they even let us know their
2	uncertainty or how by having these things answered,
3	how it would be a wealth of information for our
4	Watermaster to have, because we rely on reports from
5	the USGS service.
6	We're trying to make our own model, because
7	we don't even have that, which can model all the areas
8	of where the water is going and what they are doing.
9	And so these are I don't feel I didn't do
10	my due diligence, in other words, by not knowing these
11	questions.
12	These questions came about by having other
13	discussions and opening up communications with our
14	engineer, as well as other things, so that we could
15	come up with ways that if they were to answer these
16	questions, it could make a big difference on if we

But it brought up the fact -- and they admitted that there were things that we could do, you know, small community water systems.

Ms. MacLaren, you testified about going Q. back -- taking the Barrel Springs new production application back to the board in June.

could determine that we could pass this.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you recall that testimony?

Taking back the --Α.

25

1 Reconsidering the new production application 2 of Barrel Springs Properties at the June Watermaster 3 board meeting -- you just testified about that? 4 Α. Yes. And it was your testimony that you didn't 5 know that Barrel Springs Properties was not going to 6 appear at that hearing and did not, in fact, intend 7 there to be a reconsideration until the moment of the 8 hearing when they didn't show up, correct? 9 10 I -- to the best of my recollection. Α. 11 Okay. So if the Watermaster Engineer and you Q. 12 all got together and the items 1 through 7 on 13 Exhibit 78 reflect the Watermaster Engineer's 14 concerns, why didn't the Watermaster withdraw the January 11, 2023, findings and issue new findings 15 16 addressing these points ahead of the June 23rd, 2023, 17 meeting? 18 Because some of these questions on here are 19 things that they would look at, and we were hoping 20 that we could get, like, whether replacement water is 21 capable of recharging the pertinent project area. 22 All these things that we are asking, most of 23 it is gathering more information so they could make a

and say, even if I had some uncertainty, it might have

different recommendation -- or I could measure this

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 made my uncertainties less uncertain where I would 2 feel comfortable.
  - Q. It's true, to today, that the Watermaster Engineer has not withdrawn the January 11, 2023, findings, correct?
  - A. I don't think they have, but I don't -- I don't necessarily know that just because they haven't put out something different, doesn't mean that any of these questions aren't any relevancy to getting answered.
    - Q. We'll get to the questions in a minute.
  - Two members of the Watermaster engineering staff submitted declarations in connection with this motion, correct?
    - A. I'm not sure.
  - Q. So you don't know that the Watermaster

    Engineer and the person, which is Arden Wells,

    submitted a declaration in support of AV Watermaster's

    opposition to my client's motion?
    - A. I have not read her declaration.
  - Q. And you don't know that Ms. Stanin also submitted a declaration in support of your opposition to my client's motion, correct?
- A. I will say that at this point, I don't recollect. It could have been something.

Q. So you wouldn't know whether either one of
those declarations withdrew or carved back or
otherwise proposed amendments to the January 11, 2023,
findings letter?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Okay. Let's go through these requests.

Item number two, whether replacement water is

Item number two, whether replacement water is capable of recharging the pertinent project area.

We discussed this this morning. Where in the judgment and physical solution is a requirement set forth that recharged water be recharged in the basin and the location of the well?

- A. I believe that there are things that we should be taking into consideration for us knowing, and I don't know that they could put every fine detail asking for every single thing, but I definitely know that it is very pertinent whether or not you can recharge where you're doing a project in a well that is removing water from our ground table.
- Q. The new production application form that the Watermaster board has approved, which is tailored to comply with the judgment of physical solution, doesn't require an analysis of replacement water to be replaced at the location it is extracted from, does it?

So maybe this is something we should ask to 1 2 be considered and put in, because it definitely is 3 something that is very pertinent to our aquifer. Q. But as of right now, it's not a requirement, 4 5 correct? 6 Α. I quess not. And it wasn't a requirement in April 2023 7 Q. either, correct? 8 9 Α. I quess not. 10 Or January 2023? 0. 11 A. Probably not. 12 Q. Or September 2022 when the application was 13 submitted? 14 I would quess not. Α. Okay. Now, number three, we spent some time 15 16 talking about project impact on wells within the 17 vicinity of the project area. What is "vicinity"? 18 19 So the vicinity, which I learned today in 20 having this discussion, the vicinity we don't have -the Watermaster Engineer does not have a definition of 21 22 what vicinity is. 23 So a vicinity for each project can and will 24 be different. Q. What was the standard the Watermaster used 25

L	for "vicinity" in processing	Barrel Springs
2	2 Properties' new production ap	plication?

- A. If they used one specifically to this, off the top of my head, I don't know what they used. But
- Q. So you cannot testify that wells in the vicinity using the standard the Watermaster Engineer established for "vicinity" as applied to the Barrel Springs Properties -- as applied to the location proposed for the Barrel Springs Properties' well, you cannot testify that that was not considered, correct?
- A. I can -- I can state that in discussing this after the meeting with all those people that I named and talking to Phyllis, that this was a relevant question to ask.
- Q. Your discussions after the meeting with Phyllis on May 25, 2023, were not part of the record on which you made your decision on April 26, 2023, were they?
- A. No. So if that is something that the Judge doesn't feel that I should have put in there, we were just trying to give an opportunity, open up questions, open up dialogue to do our due diligence and giving every opportunity to this applicant.
  - Q. And you have no explanation for why item

1	number seven on Exhibit 78 is still included when
2	Barrel Springs Properties had already indicated to the
3	Watermaster that it would do a test well?

- A. I do remember something being brought up, but in the middle of that aquifer being discussed, it was amidst very contentious questioning of Arden, very -- almost even -- I think there were threats about doing a round of litigation. And so it was all mixed in there.
- Q. Your testimony today is that there were threats made at the April 26th, 2023, AV Watermaster board hearing about threats of litigation?
- A. I think I recollect that being said. And very -- very aggressive testimony and questioning of Arden, and being brought up about having to do a test well.

But there is no record of that, because in thinking about that, I wanted to look back on that.

But since we don't have the verbal recollection, I would say I -- I do definitely know that it was very contentious, argumentative, and I felt that there were hammering of our engineer.

- Q. Isn't it true that the hammering was coming from board members and not Barrel Springs Properties?
  - A. Nope.

1	Q. Your testimony here today is that Barrel
2	Springs Properties was asking Arden Wells questions
3	about her presentation on the new production
4	application?
5	A. I don't I don't think that our board
6	members were hammering them. I feel Barrel Springs
7	was hammering our engineer.
8	Q. So let me ask it again.
9	Your testimony here today is at this hearing,
10	that was Barrel Springs Properties' new production
11	application was being considered, Barrel Springs
12	Properties was questioning Arden Wells?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. This purported questioning of Arden Wells,
15	did it occur before or after the vote?
16	A. Before.
17	Q. And this purported threat of litigation, did
18	that occur before or after the vote?
19	A. Before.
20	Q. Now, Ms. MacLaren, you also testified that
21	you testified about some disappointment in the fact
22	that Barrel Springs Properties did not ask for
23	reconsideration of its new production application.
24	Is that a fair characterization?
25	A. I felt like we, number one, opened up a very

- long time to sit down and have a conversation, which
  there was very little. They came and left in

  minutes.
  - After that we were kind of like amazed at, here they are, they want to do this and everything, and it didn't seem like there was any willingness to really engage with us, problem solve, throw out ideas.
  - And then after, we sat back and thought, okay -- especially for Rob, because he wasn't there, he really felt like no matter what, he wanted to hear it again.
  - And that's when we sat down, talked to the engineer, went over things of concern, and we compiled this, which we thought this was very important information that we could use to reconsider Barrel Springs.
  - Q. It's not really up to Rob whether or not it gets reconsidered. The applicant has to agree to reconsideration, does it not?
  - A. Yes. That's true. Rob is who puts it on the agenda.
  - Q. And the physical judgment, the physical solution, allows Barrel Springs Properties to make a motion to this Court to enforce its rights under the judgment.

```
1
              Does it not?
 2
              MR. PARTON: Objection. It calls for a legal
 3
     conclusion.
 4
              THE COURT: That's more a statement than a
 5
     question.
 6
     BY MS. HUMMER:
          Q. Ms. MacLaren, is there anything in the
 7
     physical judgment and physical solution that prohibits
 8
 9
     Barrel Springs Properties from applying to the Court
10
     by motion after its new production well application
11
     was turned down?
12
              MR. PARTON: Objection. Calls for a legal
13
     conclusion.
14
              THE COURT: Well, the answer is no.
15
              MR. PARTON: That's true, too.
16
              MS. HUMMER: Correct. The answer is no.
17
     BY MS. HUMMER:
              So, Ms. MacLaren, I still don't understand if
18
19
     you had all of these concerns about the proposed well
     and all of these worries that were outside the scope
20
21
     and beyond the scope of the analysis done by the
22
     engineer, that you didn't raise these issues with the
23
     engineer between January and April, that's four
24
     months, why is it you didn't address these concerns
25
     before the April meeting?
```

- 1 Because all of these concerns are just not 2 black and white, like a simple guestion. 3 Yeah, they are not black and white because 4 they don't come out of the judgment and physical solution, do they? 5 6 MR. PARTON: Objection. Argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. It's argumentative. 7 BY MS. HUMMER: 8 Q. Ms. MacLaren, do these requirements that 9 10 you've listed here, that Mr. Parton listed in 11 Exhibit 78, come out of the judgment and physical 12 solution? 13 I don't think on here we characterized this 14 as these are things that need to be answered per the 15 judgment. 16 These are simply our compilation of questions 17 that we wanted to ask and things that we were hoping 18 that Barrel Springs could do some of the things that 19 would help us assure that this well is going to be 20 able to take care of those people that are put there 21 and be able to do and function like The People Concern 22 would like. 23 I want to turn our questioning to the
  - advisory committee.
- You testified that the advisory committee has

1	an important role in the functioning of the
2	Watermaster.
3	Is that correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And that the Watermaster board endeavors to
6	take questions and concerns raised by the advisory
7	committee seriously?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And you also testified that that's in fact
10	what happened here, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. So did you take into consideration that the
13	advisory committee had looked at the new production
14	application in January?
15	A. Am I you're asking me if I know for sure
16	they did?
17	Q. I'm asking if you took that into
18	consideration?
19	A. Yes. I took into consideration that they
20	would have looked at this.
21	Q. But are you sure that they did or you don't
22	know?
23	A. I really can't speak for them.
24	THE COURT: Do you know whether the advisory
25	committee considered the application and made a

Α.

recommendation to the board? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. That I heard of from --3 I'm sorry. I wasn't getting it from her. 4 Yes, because they give us a written report 5 which is in our agenda. And a lot of times our engineer -- whether it's Arden or Phyllis -- after 6 they go through their testimony, they usually remind 7 us what it was, whether or not the advisory board said 8 9 ves or no. 10 And I, myself, when I do it, I have several 11 different piles. I usually have that to the side so 12 that I always look at what they said for each item 13 that is before me. 14 BY MS. HUMMER: 15 Q. As part of your research and preparation for 16 the April 26th, 2023, meeting, did you discuss the new 17 production application for Barrel Springs Properties with Mr. Calandri of the advisory committee? 18 19 Mr. Calandri and -- before what date? Α. 20 Before April 26, 2023. Q. 21 I'm thinking that I -- I don't recollect. Α. Have you ever discussed the Barrel Springs 22 0. 23 Properties new production application with 24 Mr. Calandri?

In closed session, yes.

Did you ever discuss with Mr. Calandri his 1 2 position that Barrel Springs Properties would have to prepay 20 years' worth of replacement water costs in 3 4 order to get approval of its new production 5 application? 6 MR. PARTON: Objection to the extent it's part of closed session. It would be privileged. 7 8 THE COURT: Again? 9 MR. PARTON: To the extent the answer calls 10 for revealing the confidences in a closed session, 11 we'd object, Your Honor. 12 But if she had the conversation outside of 13 closed session, she obviously is free to respond. 14 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, facts don't become privileged just because they happened to come up in a 15 16 closed session. THE COURT: I don't know what was closed and 17 what wasn't, so I'm going to overrule the objection at 18 19 this point. 20 MS. HUMMER: Should I re-ask the question, 21 Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: Well, somebody needs to establish 23 the context in which the objection relates to. 24 Quite frankly, I'm a little concerned about 25 staying focused on her decision as opposed to

1 conversations she may have had with other people about 2 any part of this, unless you can establish some 3 relevance of it. 4 MS. HUMMER: I understand. I agree we need to focus on Ms. MacLaren's decision-making. But she's 5 testified that she talked to all these different 6 people as part of her doing her research and due 7 diligence. So that's why I've gotten into this line 8 9 of questioning. 10 If Your Honor would like me to move on, I will move on. 11 12 THE COURT: There's no question that we do 13 have a report from the Watermaster Engineer that is 14 somewhat equivocal in terms of the data upon which the 15 recommendation is based. 16 That seems to me, that gives rise to a 17 serious question for the board member as to whether or 18 not they can endorse the recommendation from their 19 employee. 20 And so far, we've not heard any other 21 evidence as to what's going to fill in the blanks, and 22 there are a lot of blanks. 23 So you might address that. 24 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, Ms. MacLaren has 25 testified that she didn't talk to the engineer.

1	THE COURT: She read the report, and based
2	upon the report, she's testified that she had
3	reservations about the data that was missing and what
4	impact that would have on the aquifer, which the board
5	obviously has a responsibility to address.
6	MS. HUMMER: Understood, Your Honor.
7	BY MS. HUMMER:
8	Q. Ms. MacLaren, let's focus on Exhibit 78 where
9	the list of concerns about data gaps, shall we call
10	them, is discussed.
11	Do you have that in front of you?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did you do anything to double-check the
	Q. Did you do anything to double-check the arithmetic on item number one?
14	
<b>14</b> 15	arithmetic on item number one?
<b>14</b> 15 16	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but
14 15 16 17	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but we did talk to Phyllis. And I don't remember actually
14 15 16 17	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but we did talk to Phyllis. And I don't remember actually if she did calculations right there in discussing it,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but we did talk to Phyllis. And I don't remember actually if she did calculations right there in discussing it, but we did I didn't personally take my own
14 15 16 17 18	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but we did talk to Phyllis. And I don't remember actually if she did calculations right there in discussing it, but we did I didn't personally take my own calculations.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but we did talk to Phyllis. And I don't remember actually if she did calculations right there in discussing it, but we did I didn't personally take my own calculations.  Q. Did you obtain recalculations of the water
14 15 16 17 18 19	arithmetic on item number one?  A. I personally did not take a calculation, but we did talk to Phyllis. And I don't remember actually if she did calculations right there in discussing it, but we did I didn't personally take my own calculations.  Q. Did you obtain recalculations of the water use calculations that were included in the new

questions, but I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  and Arden was on there  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  but I

- don't remember if we verbally said okay, let's

  calculate this, look at how many people we know, so --
  - Q. So you were concerned about this before the data gap, but you did not instruct the Watermaster Engineer to recalculate anything, correct?
  - A. It's not so much that we were asking for her to calculate it, because even if she did calculate it, it doesn't mean that that's the only way to calculate it.

That's what we're saying, that your calculations by your experts may have calculated it different than our engineer in taking with things -- whether they were doing water conservation things for LEED Platinum. All those things were taken and can be construed differently.

There's different ways to do the water calculations, I believe.

Q. So here's why I'm confused. If you were concerned that there was a question about the calculations and perhaps a question about the methodology used to make those calculations, wouldn't you want to do an independent calculation so you could compare the answers and decide whether or not you were -- your concerns about the calculations submitted by Barrel Springs were a problem?

	ranscript of Proceedings Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases [JCCP No.
1	A. So these were questions that we were sending
2	over to you, the Barrel Springs applicant, which then
3	too, if it went before us, you guys would have gave
4	your testimony on how those calculations were done.
5	We probably would have had our engineer
6	waiting on that. She would have gave her
7	calculations. Then still, I would have to look over

both of them and feel certain that the way those 8

9 calculations were done would be correct in the way

10 they were done.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I would still -- but this is why we were asking these questions. None of these things -- they weren't there for us to ask. This was after everybody left.

These were questions that we were hoping to get more clarification on.

- You didn't ask these questions before April 26th, 2023.
- Those questions were outlined in the report that I read and I still felt that there was uncertainty.
- Your testimony is that the engineer's report takes issue with the calculations of water use. That's your testimony?
- 24
- I would have to stop and go back and read 25

1	exactly what they said at that time. Like I said,
2	these were compiled with looking at what our engineer
3	had already given us, the information that I took back
4	from the meeting of the 26th, any questions that Rob
5	had that he wasn't there for, and trying to decide

I think you keep asking the same question, and I'm not trying to be hard. I don't know how I can clarify it more for you except that these are pertinent questions that we compiled together to try to be able -- where there's holes, like he stated, and open areas of uncertainty, that some of those gaps could be filled in by answering these questions.

- Q. Ms. MacLaren, did Barrel Springs Properties ever ask for reconsideration of its new production application?
  - A. I don't know.

what else we need to look at.

- Q. So these questions you're asking are presupposing that there's a reconsideration application on the agenda for June?
- A. So I think it was a good will gesture, that after that meeting we sent these questions to let them know we would be willing. I know numerous times Rob said that he was willing to put it on the agenda for reconsideration numerous times in the conversations.

1	Q. Ms. MacLaren, are you familiar with the
2	expression "moving the goalposts"?
3	A. Moving the goalposts would mean like and I
4	don't know if you're saying it to me, like I'm trying
5	to just move it further along or not answer.
6	Q. My question is, are you familiar with that
7	expression?
8	A. Yes, I am.
9	Q. And what's your understanding of that
10	expression? What does it mean?
11	MR. PARTON: Your Honor, argumentative,
12	relevance.
13	THE COURT: I think it is argumentative.
14	BY MS. HUMMER:
15	Q. Ms. MacLaren, these questions that are on
16	Exhibit 78 were not asked in September of 2022, in
17	October of 2022, in November of 2022, in December of
18	2022, in January of 2023, or any time before the
19	Watermaster board voted on April 26th, correct?
20	A. Those questions those items were not
21	brought forward to me before that January meeting.
22	Q. The Watermaster Engineer was able to make its
23	findings without having these questions answered,
24	correct?
25	A. They yes. They made a recommendation and

- findings, but if there's -- it's not black and white like that.
  - Q. And so my statement to you -- my question about moving the goalpost, you can understand how Barrel Springs receiving a list like this, say, well, the whole application process has changed. Now we have this whole set of new things that we're supposed to address, some of which we've already addressed.

So if these issues were so critical and so important and you did all this research and thinking and analysis and talking to a blizzard of people before April 26th, why didn't these questions apart from the test well come up?

- A. That wasn't up to me to do.
- Q. Except it was, because Mr. Maceri reached out to you and said, "Please contact me," twice, "if you have any questions," and you didn't do it.
- A. These questions -- some of these questions I don't know. I don't even think these questions would be something that I would ask him necessarily today, because I don't even know if he would be able to -- I don't know if he could write off that calculate -- the water calculations.

Like I said to you, I may have done things differently. But I assure you that I do not feel that

- 1 | I let anyone down by not taking a one-on-one meeting.
- Q. No one was talking about a one-on-one meeting, Ms. MacLaren.
  - A. Well, we can say that now. There's no -- how could I not know there's a one-on-one meeting?
  - Q. Isn't it true that that e-mail -- those two e-mails that Mr. MacLaren [sic] sent to you were addressed to you and --
    - A. You said "Mr. MacLaren."
  - Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Maceri -- were sent to you, Ms. MacLaren, and Mr. Bryden, correct?
  - A. Yes. I had not been told that I need to answer to those kind of e-mails. It is a person that is putting a project before you.

And once again, what we're going through right now, I am not putting myself in the position to be having discussions, that if you do not take the right way or you do not answer the correct way or say something, that it could lead to litigation like we're in now.

So no, I did not take that meeting.

Q. If the e-mails requesting a meeting or offering to answer any further questions were directed to both you and Mr. Bryden, why would you assume that they would be one-on-one?

question.

23

24

25

1	A. I answered your question to the best of my
2	ability. And I gave you a reason why I didn't feel
3	comfortable, nor did I feel there would be relevant
4	information that would make a make a choice in me
5	making a different decision.
6	Q. Did you know that Mr. Parris had recommended
7	that Mr. Maceri reach out to you and Mr. Bryden to
8	discuss any questions you had about the application?
9	A. I do think that if Rob, our chairman, had
10	been contacted or made that, he would have told me,
11	"Kathy, I have made a suggestion that you that he
12	reach out to you." I think somebody would have let me
13	know that.
14	And I don't know if this is able to ask
15	questions back, but did Mr. Maceri reach out to any
16	other directors and meet with them? Why only me?
17	Q. Ms. MacLaren, in this setting, it is my
18	prerogative to ask questions of you, not answer
19	questions.
20	I've already explained to you that the e-mail
21	from Mr. Maceri was not just addressed to you, but was
22	also addressed to Mr. Bryden. So that answers your

MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, pardon me for a moment. I'm trying to find the exhibit number for the

1 e-mail so that we can get it in front of Ms. MacLaren, 2 because I think that will assist things. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 (Off the record) THE COURT: Let's make sure the record is 5 6 clear for the people who are on the phone. 7 We're in the middle of examination of Ms. MacLaren and she's being examined by Ms. Hummer 8 and on behalf of the moving party. If -- I'm not sure 9 10 how this is going to connect with people on Court 11 Call, to what extent they may have objections or 12 further questions, but we're not going to do that 13 until after the examination by Ms. Hummer has been 14 completed. 15 MS. HUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor. I wanted 16 to actually address that point. The folks that have dialed in who are 17 interested in this matter from the Barrel Springs 18 Properties' side are just observers. They couldn't 19 20 participate in the hearing as observers if they 21 weren't physically present, except through Court Call. 22 So they're not going to be asking questions 23 or making any objections. 24 THE COURT: Well, there will be the ability 25 to have a complete transcript of these proceedings

upon request. So let's proceed. 1 BY MS. HUMMER: 3 Okay. Ms. MacLaren, please turn to Exhibit 22 in the white binder. 4 (Exhibit 22 received in evidence.) 5 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, the white binder. Hold on. BY MS. HUMMER: 7 8 Q. Are you there? 9 A. Yes. 10 So I'd like you to turn to the last page of 11 Exhibit 2, please. It actually starts on the bottom 12 of the first page. I apologize. 13 What is the first e-mail in this chain? 14 Α. On the bottom of the --15 0. On the bottom of the first page. 16 Bottom of the first page. From John Maceri, Α. 17 sent Wednesday -- so it looks to Russ and me. 18 I'm sorry. I did not remember that. 19 What's the date? Wednesday, what? 0. 20 A. April 5th, 2023. 21 And if you turn the page, have you read the Q. 22 text? 23 Α. Hm-hmm. 24 Now, if you look at the second paragraph in 25 the e-mail, the one that starts "We have resubmitted

1 our application." 2 Do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And it says it's going to go to the Watermaster Advisory Committee on the 19th. 5 6 Do you see that? 7 Α. Yes. And then the next sentence says, "We very 8 much appreciate the opportunity to meet with you via 9 10 Zoom or in person if you prefer in advance of the 11 meeting to answer any questions you may have." 12 Α. Yes. 13 And it's your testimony you don't remember 14 receiving this e-mail? No, I didn't say I didn't remember seeing the 15 16 e-mail. I did not remember it being directed to 17 Director Bryden as well as myself. If you turn to the first page, there's a 18 19 follow up e-mail, correct? 20 There's -- pardon me? Α. 21 Q. A follow up e-mail. The first e-mail in 22 Exhibit 22 dated April 12, 2023. 23 Α. Yes. 24 So that's a follow-up e-mail to the April 5th 25 e-mail, is it not?

Yes. But it's all like shows -- rolling up 1 Α. 2 from one to the other, so --3 0. And to whom is the --4 A. Forwarded. To whom is the April 12th e-mail addressed? 5 0. 6 To Russ Bryden and me. Α. Q. And we've already -- you've already testified 7 you didn't meet with Mr. Maceri or anyone from his 8 9 staff? 10 No. Asked and answered. Α. 11 MS. HUMMER: No further questions, Your 12 Honor. 13 MR. PARTON: No further questions. THE COURT: Mr. Parton? 14 15 MR. PARTON: No further questions. 16 THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you 17 very much. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 19 THE COURT: Now, Ms. Hummer, do you intend to 20 call any other witnesses? MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I think we need to 21 22 talk with the Court about what would be most helpful 23 to the Court. 24 Mr. Maceri is here and prepared to testify. In addition, based on records I received in 25

1	response to a Public Records Act request from the
2	Watermaster last week, I asked that the engineering
3	staff be here, and they are here as well.
4	THE COURT: You're talking about engineering
5	staff from Todd?
6	MS. HUMMER: Correct, Your Honor. Ms. Wells
7	is here, and I believe Ms. Stanin is here as well.
8	THE COURT: Well, it seems to me that the
9	record is pretty clear as to what the concerns were
10	and what the reason was, at least from Ms. MacLaren,
11	why she voted the way she did.
12	And certainly what happened after that or
13	even before that is also pretty clear.
14	There's from what I've heard from the
15	evidence, there's an absence of a lot of information
16	that was not contained not known by the engineer at
17	the time the report was prepared, which led to the
18	conclusion that they came to.
19	Where we go from there, the issue here is
20	whether or not the board acted within its powers and
21	within the confines and the limits of the judgment in
22	making its decision with regard to this application.
23	Okay.
24	There are questions that I have in my own
25	mind about the status of Barrel Springs, as either a

1 small pumper class member or a non-pumper class 2 member, the Willis class versus the Wood class. 3 That's not been answered yet. 4 It's been suggested that Barrel Springs at 5 one point was a small pumper class member, but that 6 that property, as I understood it, was sold. 7 MS. HUMMER: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: As well as the water rights to 8 9 that property. 10 MS. HUMMER: The well that qualified Barrel 11 Springs Properties as a small pumper class member was 12 north of the property that is now in escrow with The 13 People Concern. 14 THE COURT: Yeah, but it's not part of the 15 same property. 16 MS. HUMMER: Correct. It was severed. 17 THE COURT: It was sold. MS. HUMMER: It was severed off and sold 18 19 separately along with the well. 20 THE COURT: And who purchased that property 21 is bound by the prior determination, that it was a 22 small pumper class member. 23 MS. HUMMER: Correct. 24 THE COURT: It has nothing to do with the 25 current application, which is a totally separate piece

```
1
     of property.
 2
              Is that correct?
 3
              MS. HUMMER: Yes. It is now, Your Honor.
 4
     And I thought we had clarified this at our first
    hearing, that the motion should not have proceeded
 5
 6
     under the small pumper class, because we cleared up
 7
     the confusion over that issue.
              So it's strictly under the Willis class.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. That helps.
              So at this point, this is an application to
10
11
    begin pumping?
12
              MS. HUMMER: Correct.
              THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sure it's an
13
14
     important application. I don't mean to suggest not.
              There are no political consequences here that
15
16
     anybody has presented any evidence about, so I'm
17
     assuming that this is purely based upon the
18
     application to begin pumping and a determination,
19
     whether it's right or wrong, by the Watermaster to
20
     deny the application?
              MS. HUMMER: I'm not sure that we can say
21
22
     that there are no political considerations, Your
23
     Honor.
24
              THE COURT: There's none that the Court is
25
     concerned about. I've certainly heard no evidence
```

that there is. And I don't think that would be in a 1 2 proper approach, in any event, given this procedure. 3 The aguifer has been found to be an 4 overdraft. 5 MS. HUMMER: Correct, Your Honor, I 6 understand. 7 THE COURT: There's no question about that. The question is, and there's been a physical solution 8 that's been created by in part stipulation by 9 10 90 percent of the parties to this litigation. The 11 Court has adopted that as its own physical solution. 12 It is embodied in a judgment. The judgment 13 has been appealed. It has been affirmed. The Supreme Court has denied review. So we're bound by the 14 15 judgment and its terms. 16 And the question is whether or not the 17 Watermaster board acted properly in denying the application to start new pumping by the moving party 18 19 in this case. 20 MS. HUMMER: We agree, Your Honor. That's 21 the question, whether the decision was taken in 22 conformity with the requirements that the Watermaster 23 has to follow, which is set forth in the judgment and 24 physical solution. THE COURT: It's not the decision of the 25

1 Watermaster Engineer as to whether or not the petition 2 or application should be approved. That's entirely up 3 to the Watermaster, and it must follow the standards 4 set forth in the judgment. MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, we agree with that 5 6 statement as well. 7 THE COURT: So this hearing is de novo in terms of whether or not it has done so. 8 9 I've heard one witness so far. I've received 10 a large number of pages of declarations from various 11 other witnesses who are not called here, and those 12 will be seriously considered. And the matter will be 13 deemed submitted after you have concluded your 14 presentation of evidence. 15 And I certainly appreciate the fact that this 16 is an important issue for the moving party, and I 17 don't know what the consequences are to them of this 18 being decided one way or the other, but that's 19 irrelevant. 20 What is relevant is only whether or not the 21 judgment is complied with in terms of the standard 22 that the Watermaster must use to evaluate these 23 matters. 24 So I don't know what else you want to do here this afternoon, but I'm certainly willing to hear any 25

other evidence that you might want to produce that is relevant to those issues.

MS. HUMMER: Well, I think, Your Honor,
Ms. MacLaren has established by her testimony that she
makes an independent judgment. And we don't disagree
with that, not at all. Our point is that the judgment
was not based on proper grounds.

And her testimony has established that she, prior to voting in April, did not figure out some of these complex issues that relate to calculation and recharge, right, these issues. Not the neighborhood association's concern about the property being redeveloped, the issues that the engineer has to figure out.

I don't know whether the Court would benefit from my questioning the engineers with live testimony. Their declarations are pretty skinny and don't say a lot of things. And the records that were produced by the Watermaster Engineer in response to my PRA request reveal some communications by Ms. MacLaren to the engineers that differ from Ms. MacLaren's testimony here this morning.

So there may be some benefit in the short examination of the one engineer who actually presented the item at the board on April 26th. And so if Your

1 Honor thinks that that would be helpful, that's what I 2 would recommend that we do. 3 THE COURT: That's fine. Call your next 4 witness. MS. HUMMER: Barrel Springs Properties calls 5 6 Arden Wells. 7 THE COURT: Stop right there and raise your 8 right hand, please. 9 THE CLERK: Do you solemnly state under 10 penalty of perjury that the testimony you shall give 11 in this matter shall be the truth, the whole truth, 12 and nothing but the truth? 13 THE WITNESS: I do. 14 THE CLERK: Can you please state your name for the record. 15 16 THE WITNESS: Arden Alana Wells, A-r-d-e-n, 17 A-1-a-n-a, W-e-1-1-s. THE COURT: All right. Have a seat and state 18 19 your -- restate your name for the record, as well as 20 your business address. 21 THE WITNESS: My name is Arden Alana Wells. 22 And we just changed offices, so I do not know Todd 23 Groundwater's address off the top of my head. But I 24 can provide my personal address. THE COURT: Okay. Please spell your last 25

1 name. THE WITNESS: Oh, W-e-l-l-s. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 4 ARDEN WELLS (for the Plaintiff), called as a witness, 5 6 was sworn and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HUMMER: 8 9 Good afternoon, Ms. Wells. 0. 10 Α. Good afternoon. 11 You are a registered geologist, correct? Q. 12 I am a professional geologist licensed Α. Yes. 13 by the California Board of Engineers, Land Surveyors 14 and Geologists. And you work for Todd Groundwater, correct? 15 16 Yes, ma'am. Α. 17 And one of your responsibilities at Todd 18 Groundwater relates to processing new production 19 applications for the Antelope Valley Watermaster, 20 correct? 21 I am an associate geologist with Todd 22 Groundwater and I serve on the Watermaster Engineer 23 team at Todd Groundwater. Q. Who else is on that team? 24 25 Α. Currently Phyllis Stanin and Maureen Reilly

- and Mike Maley, Michael Maley. And also, we have our GIS analyst, Michael Wottrich as well.
  - Q. And when you first started working on the Barrel Springs Properties new production application, you were taking over from an engineer who was retiring, were you not?
  - A. So we first received the application on October 4th of 2022. And at the time Kate White, who was our senior engineer on our team, she was in the process of retiring.
  - So she retired at the end of 2022. And I was kind of shadowing her for several years and assisting with the applications.
  - Q. And when you started working with Ms. White, you actually hadn't finished getting your qualification as a professional geologist, correct?
    - A. Yes, ma'am. That is correct.
  - Q. So you earned it in the process of -- at the same time that you were working on this application, correct?
  - A. Yes. The California Board of Engineers, Land Surveyors and Geologists requires five years of experience as defined by the board in order to take your final examination to receive your credentialing.
    - Q. So you hit that five-year milestone and you

1	took the exam and you passed, correct?
2	A. Yes, that is correct. Thank you.
3	Q. Congratulations.
4	A. Thank you very much.
5	Q. So one of the things that you did in
6	processing the Barrel Springs Properties' new
7	production application, is you did an initial analysis
8	of the application, is that not right?
9	A. Yes, ma'am. I was the first person to review
10	the application on the Watermaster Engineer team.
11	Q. And after you did your initial review, did
12	you send it to Ms. White?
13	A. I believe in your exhibits there's an e-mail
14	that I wrote to Ms. White and Ms. Stanin, and I also
15	cc'd Mr. Maley on it, and it kind of states I've
16	had some back and forth with Angel Fitzpatrick from
17	the staff. And I had some questions answered.
18	So I begun my review. But it's a bit more
19	challenging than I initially expected because of the
20	high level of hydrogeologic uncertainty.
21	Q. And some of that hydrogeologic uncertainty is
22	driven by the fact that the project, the well, is
23	located in the fault zone for the San Andreas Fault,
24	correct?

A. Yes. Correct.

1	Q. Did you review the judgment and physical
2	solution before you started evaluating the new
3	production application by Barrel Springs Properties?
4	A. Yes. Prior to my work beginning, working on
5	that Watermaster Engineer team, I read through the
6	judgment carefully. And then I know with this
7	application, I revisited the sections that referred to
8	material injury, as well as new production
9	applications, as well as the rules and regulations.
10	Q. And by "rules and regulations" you're
11	referring to the Watermaster's adopted and approved
12	rules and regulations?
13	A. Yes. That is correct.
14	Q. And did you also review the new production
15	application itself, the form and the documents that
16	were submitted for compliance with the requirements
17	for new production application?
18	A. Yes, ma'am.
19	Q. Now, isn't it true, Ms. Wells, that every new
20	production application that you process is different
21	from the last?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And part of that is just the physical reality
24	of where the wells are being drilled, correct?
25	A. Yes.

1 And the amount of water that is expected to 2 be extracted from the aquifer, correct? 3 Α. That is correct. 4 And so you have to do an independent analysis of each individual application for new production, 5 correct? 6 Yes. For each application we conduct a 7 Α. material injury analysis -- and it's big M, big I, in 8 the judgment, I believe. 9 10 And so even though you have to conduct a 11 individualized material injury analysis for each 12 application, the standard for what you have to 13 consider in determining whether or not there will be 14 material injury is the same, is it not? The standard is defined in the judgment, but 15 Α. 16 the level of detail by which we must delve into can 17 also depend on the volume that is requested, the location, the proximity to by wells, and the 18 19 groundwater conditions in the basins as reported in 20 our annual reports and the available data. Are those variables set forth in the rules 21 Q. 22 and regulations? 23 I am not sure off the top of my head. Α. 24 0. Is there a guidance document that Todd

Groundwater uses that says when we're considering this

1	kind of well for this location, for this amount of
2	water, we delve into additional analysis?
3	A. I would not say that there is a particular
4	guidance document that has been developed outside of
5	the rules and regulations in the judgment.
6	Q. Would it be fair to say that it's a
7	geologist's best practices that you're implementing in
8	making your determination of material injury?
9	A. Yes, ma'am, I believe that is fair.
10	Q. Now, one of the issues that we've heard about
11	today is that there's a concern that there's
12	insufficient data, right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. You don't have a complete dataset for you to
15	do a thorough detailed analysis of this particular new
16	production application, correct?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. But nonetheless, a finding was made that upon
19	payment of replacement water, there would be no
20	material injury, correct?
21	A. Yes, that is correct. And we recognized in
22	the application that there was a higher level of
23	uncertainty than we typically run into in most
24	applications.

We felt that was important for the board to

1 know.

- Q. Part of why there was a higher level of uncertainty was the location of the proposed well, correct?
  - A. Yes, the geologic study.
- Q. Because in that area, there aren't a lot of other wells, are there?
- A. There are not. We have very little data about the local subsurface condition. And because it is in the fault zone, there are sort of -- there's a high potential for somewhat isolated alluvial pockets among the bedrock.

And we don't know if the fault in that area is acting as a partial or full hydrogeologic barrier.

- Q. So the data are not available to you, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And they are not not available because Barrel Springs failed to provide them to you, correct?
- A. Well, there is one well that was included, and I believe the map provided by Geosyntec in the application that Barrel Springs submitted that Phyllis Stanin and Kate White did request information about, I believe to Mr. Larson, and we did not receive information about that well.

1	And so we did state in our analysis that			
2	there was a referenced well in the application that we			
3	could not identify a home associated with it.			
4	Q. Isn't it the case that in your final report,			
5	your January 11, 2023, report, the concerns about that			
6	well were addressed by obtaining information from			
7	Palmdale Water District?			
8	A. I would like to review what was written in			
9	the report, if that is okay.			
10	Q. Would you turn to Exhibit 5 in the white			
11	binder, please.			
12	A. Yes.			
13	Q. There isn't actually pagination in Exhibit 5.			
14	It's the Todd Groundwater letterhead document.			
15	Have you found it?			
16	A. Which page is it on?			
17	THE COURT: Page 4.			
18	THE WITNESS: On page 4, okay. Yes.			
19	BY MS. HUMMER:			
20	Q. So where in this analysis, this January 11			
21	analysis, is the question of this well raised by			
22	Geosyntec addressed?			
23	I think if you look at the bottom of page 3			
24	and top of page 4, do you think that's where it's			
25	addressed, Ms. Wells?			

1 It says on the bottom paragraph of Yes. 2 page 3, "The closest wells to the Barrel Springs 3 Properties are likely domestic wells that serve 4 several homes north and west of the property. The analysis suggested the closest well was located on a 5 6 parcel about 0.25 miles or about 1,300 feet north of 7 the proposed well location north of the California aqueduct. However, the parcel appears to be 8 undeveloped, no homes, according to County records and 9 10 satellite imagery." I do believe that is the well that Ms. White 11 12 and Ms. Stanin were referring to in their 13 conversations. But I am not sure because that was the 14 conversation initially between them that I did not participate in, but I heard about through Phyllis. 15 16 Okay. So could you please turn to Exhibit 31 0. in the white binder. 17 18 (Exhibit 31 received in evidence.) 19 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 31. 20 BY MS. HUMMER: 21 Do you recognize Exhibit 31? Q. This is an e-mail that I received from 22 Α. Yes. 23 Phyllis. 24 0. And it's an e-mail regarding the Barrel 25 Springs Properties' new production application,

## 1 correct? 2 Yes, ma'am. It is part of this. Α. 3 0. And what is the date of this e-mail? 4 Α. The date of the e-mail was Wednesday, January 11, 2023. 5 6 So the same day that Exhibit 5, Todd 0. Groundwater's findings were made? 7 8 Α. Hm-hmm, yes. 9 0. Yes? Correct. Okay. 10 Could you take a look at the bottom of the 11 first bullet point, the last sentence of that bullet 12 point, could you read it aloud into the record, 13 please? 14 Α. "We learned from TWD that the wells to the north and northwest are served by the District and 15 16 would not have domestic wells to impact." Q. Are these wells -- do these wells refer to 17 that one well that you mentioned in the findings? 18 19 Α. It may. 20 You're not sure? 0. 21 I am not sure. Α. 22 Turn back to Exhibit 5, please. Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. Do you have something to stick in your book 25 to have a placeholder to 31 so you can flip back

1	easily?					
2	A. Oh, I have a little sticky note. I'll put it					
3	there. 31. Okay.					
4	Q. Now, in Exhibit 5, in addition to the					
5	January 11 Todd Groundwater findings, there are some					
6	other documents immediately after it. There is a map.					
7	Do you have that?					
8	A. Yes. I created this map.					
9	Q. Figure 1, correct?					
10	A. Yes. That is correct.					
11	Q. And then you have another drawing, map,					
12	labeled Figure 2.					
13	Did you create this as well?					
14	A. I created the first draft, and Michael					
15	Wottrich, our GIS analyst, finalized this.					
16	Q. Now, does Figure 2 show the well that's					
17	approximately 1,300 feet away from the proposed Barrel					
18	Springs well?					
19	A. It does not. We did not have information					
20	about that well. It is in the Geosyntec report.					
21	Q. You didn't know exactly where it was?					
22	A. No. We looked up information. I believe I					
23	looked at a mapping application tool by DWR and I					
24	could not find the well.					
25	Q. Does that happen a lot in California, that					

## 1 DWR's record of wells is incomplete? 2 Their online application tool often is, yes. Α. 3 THE COURT: Let me make a suggestion here. 4 We've been in session now for an hour and a half. I think we should give the reporter about a 5 6 ten-minute break. Okay. So just a short recess. 7 (Recess taken 2:31 p.m. - 2:40 p.m.) THE COURT: We're back in session. 8 The witness is on the stand, still under oath. 9 10 BY MS. HUMMER: 11 So Ms. Wells, when we left off we were Q. 12 turning our attention to the Geosyntec report that is 13 included in Exhibit 5. 14 Do you have that in front of you? 15 Α. I can pull this up. 16 It's past the two maps in the new production 0. 17 application. Did you find it? 18 19 On page 29, correct? Α. 20 I also would just like to say that I can 21 provide the Todd Groundwater address, if that is 22 necessary for the record. 23 O. Your business address? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Yeah. Go ahead.

1 It is 1301 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 320, 2 Alameda, California 94501. 3 So turning to the Geosyntec report that was 4 attached to the new production application for Barrel Springs Properties, would you please look at what 5 Geosyntec has labeled in its internal pagination as 6 7 page 3? 8 Α. I'm on page 3. Now, is the top paragraph the paragraph that 9 10 Geosyntec mentions this well that you were not able to 11 locate? 12 Α. I --13 I'm sorry. I misspoke. Q. 14 Α. Okay. Yeah, it's confusing. 15 Q. 16 I am not entirely sure, but I believe the Α. 17 well might be the one that is shown on page 2 of the Geosyntec report, which is shown right above the 18 19 Barrel Springs property. 20 In the Figure 2? 0. 21 Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, Figure 2 on page 2. Α. 22 And it's the little blue dot right above the 0. 23 aqueduct, correct? 24 A. I believe so. 25 THE REPORTER: Can we get them to mute?

1	(Discussion off the record)
2	THE WITNESS: And I'd like to clarify. In
3	the e-mail sent by Kate White on November 17th, she
4	does ask Mr. Larson, "The Geosyntec report shows wells
5	on the well completion report on Figure 2 at the
6	vicinity of the project site, but its locations are
7	approximate with no scale and no additional
8	information is provided."
9	So I can confirm that these are the wells
10	that Kate White and Phyllis Stanin were interested in
11	learning more about.
12	BY MS. HUMMER:
13	Q. And it's your testimony that you didn't get
	z inia io b your cobonnent ond you aren o get
14	additional information about these wells from Barrel
14	additional information about these wells from Barrel
14 15	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?
<b>14 15</b> 16	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional
14 15 16 17	additional information about these wells from Barrel  Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs.
14 15 16 17	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs.  Q. And it's also your testimony that you
14 15 16 17 18	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs.  Q. And it's also your testimony that you yourself were not able to locate information about
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs.  Q. And it's also your testimony that you yourself were not able to locate information about these wells, correct?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs.  Q. And it's also your testimony that you yourself were not able to locate information about these wells, correct?  A. Correct.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs, correct?  A. Correct. I did not receive additional information about these wells from Barrel Springs.  Q. And it's also your testimony that you yourself were not able to locate information about these wells, correct?  A. Correct.  Q. So it may be that you were not given

1 MR. PARTON: Objection. Calls for 2 speculation. 3 THE COURT: I'll overrule. 4 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question, 5 please? BY MS. HUMMER: 6 It's possible that the reason you weren't 7 Q. given additional information about these wells from 8 Barrel Springs is that no data were available to 9 10 Barrel Springs to give to you? 11 Am I allowed to speculate about what I would 12 do if I worked for Barrel Springs and was helping 13 prepare? 14 THE COURT: Just answer the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. It is -- well, the well 15 16 locations are included in a report provided by Barrel 17 Springs. BY MS. HUMMER: 18 19 But no additional data was provided after the 20 Watermaster Engineer requested it, correct? That's 21 your testimony? 22 Correct. We were not given information from Α. 23 Barrel Springs in regards to these wells. 24 And you yourself were not able to locate information about those wells, correct? 25

1	A. Correct.			
2	Q. So my question is, it's possible the reason			
3	you didn't get additional information about the wells			
4	from Barrel Springs is that Barrel Springs itself was			
5	not able to locate that information, correct?			
6	A. Yes, that is possible.			
7	Q. Now, in connection with this motion practice,			
8	you signed a declaration, correct?			
9	A. Correct.			
10	Q. And if you turn to I think it's in the			
11	back of the white exhibit binder is where we put all			
12	the declarations.			
13	Do you see your declaration there?			
14	A. Is it under "AVWM Declarations"?			
15	Q. No. That's the declarations of the board			
16	members. You're called out by name.			
17	A. Oh, I see, "Wells Declaration."			
18	Q. Yeah. Do you recognize your declaration?			
19	A. Yes.			
20	Q. And on page 3, that's your signature?			
21	A. Yes, ma'am. That is my signature.			
22	Q. And at the time you executed this			
23	declaration, you did so under penalty of perjury under			
24	the laws of the State of California?			
25	A. Yes, I did.			

1	Q. So you understood that you were testifying in				
2	this declaration and that you needed to testify				
3	truthfully, correct?				
4	A. Yes. What I say here is truthful.				
5	Q. Now, did you draft this declaration?				
6	A. No. The Watermaster counsel wrote the first				
7	draft of the declaration.				
8	Q. And then it was sent to you for review?				
9	A. Yes.				
10	Q. Did you make any changes?				
11	A. I did.				
12	Q. How extensive were the changes?				
13	A. I do not remember exactly what my changes				
14	were, but they were not extensive.				
15	Q. What were what was the nature of the				
16	changes you made to the draft declaration?				
17	A. I think there was a sentence that I removed				
18	because there was so much uncertainty in this case.				
19	Q. There was a sentence included in the draft				
20	declaration that you took out?				
21	A. Yes.				
22	Q. Because of uncertainty?				
23	A. I don't recall what it was, but I think it				
24	was a strong statement in some direction, and I didn't				
25	want to make a strong statement in whatever that				

1 sentence was about. 2 Okay. So your testimony is that it was 0. 3 perhaps more strongly worded than you thought 4 comfortable stating a fact? 5 Α. Yes, ma'am. 6 And you removed that sentence? Q. Α. I believe so. 7 Did you take anything else out? 8 0. 9 A. I don't recall. 10 Q. Did you add anything? 11 A. I don't believe so. 12 Q. Now, I'd like to direct your attention to 13 item number seven. 14 Α. Item number seven. Are these the e-mails 15 between --16 Q. I'm sorry. Paragraph 7 in your declaration. 17 I apologize. 18 Okay. Okay. Yes. 19 Now, in this paragraph you are mentioning 20 that the -- strike that. 21 The Barrel Springs Properties' new production 22 application was pulled off the December agenda, 23 correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. And in fact, in 2022 there was a combined

1	November and December meeting of the Watermaster				
2	board, correct?				
3	A. Yes. In early December there was a meeting,				
4	and there was not a meeting in November or late				
5	December.				
6	Q. And by this declaration you're testifying				
7	that Mr. LaMoreaux pulled the Watermaster pulled				
8	the new production application from the Watermaster				
9	Engineer's agenda, correct?				
10	A. I'm declaring that he e-mailed Matt Knudson				
11	to request that the application be delayed until the				
12	property's complete background is taken into full				
13	consideration.				
14	Q. And that happened, didn't it?				
15	A. Yes.				
16	Q. Okay. Mr. LaMoreaux doesn't work for the				
17	Watermaster, does he?				
18	A. No.				
19	Q. Did you ever learn what it was that needed to				
20	be taken into consideration by Mr. LaMoreaux?				
21	A. I believe it is related to the serviceability				
22	letter.				
23	Q. Do you know what part of the serviceability				
24	letter?				
25	A. I do not.				

of your exhibits.

And isn't it the case, Ms. Wells, that the 1 2 serviceability letter from Palmdale Water District in 3 this new production application was revised? 4 A. I am unsure. Now, it fell to you to present the new 5 production application to the April advisory committee 6 meeting, correct? 7 Yes, ma'am. That is correct. 8 And it also fell to you to present to the 9 10 water board -- sorry, the Watermaster board on 11 April 26, correct? 12 Yes. That is correct. Α. 13 Did you take notes at that meeting on Q. 14 April 26th? Yes, I did. And I included it in the Public 15 Α. 16 Records Act request. 17 Q. And those notes were taken on an iPad. 18 Is that correct? 19 Incorrect. They were taken on my computer on Α. 20 the -- I believe the One Note app. And so I didn't 21 know how to directly send it without sending all of 22 my -- every project information. 23 So I took screenshots of those notes and I 24 submitted them in the PRA. And I believe they are one

1 So let's turn to that exhibit, please. 2 Okay. Can I apologize for any typos. I was Α. 3 watching the screen and then trying to type, and it's 4 a bit embarrassing. 5 0. I didn't see any typos. 6 That's generous. Α. Exhibit 36, please. 7 Q. (Exhibit 36 received in evidence.) 8 9 MS. HUMMER: I will represent to you, 10 Ms. Wells, and to the Court that upon receipt of the 11 Watermaster's Public Records Act request, Your Honor, 12 we Bates numbered the documents, so that is what --13 the legend refers to AVWM PRA, page 2454. 14 BY MS. HUMMER: 15 Q. Do you see that at the bottom of the page, 16 Ms. Wells? A. Yes, I do. 17 18 Q. Apart from that legend, do you recognize 19 Exhibit 36? 20 Α. This includes my notes from the Yes. meeting. This also includes notes from closed 21 22 session. 23 I want to talk to you about everything above 24 question water quality model. Is that in closed session? 25

1 Where it says "private" and downward was --2 those two sentences were from closed session. And the 3 water quality model was open session. 4 So the two lines that say "private" and the one below it are from closed session. 5 6 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I don't see in these two lines any reference to any legal advice or 7 anything other than facts. 8 9 So I believe that it's appropriate for me to 10 question Ms. Wells on these documents. 11 THE COURT: Go ahead. 12 MR. PARTON: It's fine, Your Honor. I think 13 it's clear what's closed session and --14 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Parton? MR. PARTON: It's fine for her to examine her 15 16 on the facts of this document. We have no problem. BY MS. HUMMER: 17 Q. So Ms. MacLaren is the "Kathy" you mentioned 18 19 here. 20 Is that correct? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 And is the "private" your way of referencing 0. 23 closed session? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. That is correct. 25 Q. That's your signal that it's a closed

					_
ď	$\Delta$	20	7 /	nc	7
	_			ノエエ	•

- A. Yeah.
- Q. So Ms. MacLaren is saying that she felt her testimony would be required?
  - A. Yes. That is what I heard.
- Q. And that is the testimony you heard here this morning in this courtroom, right?
- A. I believe that's referring to -- and I can't promise that I'm recalling this 100 percent correctly -- but she believed initially that there would be a test well prior to the approval of the application as opposed to after the approval of the application.
  - Q. And that wasn't the case, was it?
- A. No. No. We said that as a recommendation from the Watermaster Engineer to the board, we would require an aquifer test after approval in order to provide information about the well's production, as well as providing the Watermaster Engineer with geological and aquifer parameter information for that area because there's such a high level of uncertainty.
- Q. And it's true, is it not, that Barrel Springs
  Properties agreed to do that, to share the well data
  with the Watermaster?
- A. Yes. After sending a letter from Claire

- Collins, who I believe stated that they would not -
  they would -- I think, from my recollection, that

  y'all would fight having a test well be required prior

  to the approval of the application.
  - Q. Right. So there's a difference between requiring the test well before the application is acted upon and having the test well done after the application is approved.
  - A. Yes, that is correct. And we asked our counsel to discuss that prior to the board meeting.
  - Q. And in fact, the conclusion of your analysis prior to the board meeting was that you could not -- there was no basis to require a test well prior to approval, correct?
  - A. That is not necessarily applicable for every case, but in this case, we felt that because there was the -- and correct me if I'm saying this wrong,

    Mr. Parton -- but the addendum to the rules and regulations that stated that the application could be revoked if we found additional data that supported that there could be material injury, we -- our recommendation to the board was to have the test well as a condition of approval, but have that aquifer test after approval.

1	recommendation to the board. What the Watermaster				
2	says, does not go. It's up to the board.				
3	Q. Did you explain the addendum to the rules and				
4	regulations and the test well after approval to				
5	Ms. MacLaren in closed session?				
6	A. Prior to our presentation about the				
7	information related to the application, Mr. Parton				
8	made a statement to the board.				
9	Q. What do you recall Mr. Parton saying to the				
10	board?				
11	MR. PARTON: Your Honor, this is closed				
12	session material. I object on a privileged grounds.				
13	MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, this isn't closed				
14	session. This is prior to the presentation to the				
15	board for approval.				
16	THE WITNESS: This was prior in the board				
17	meeting.				
18	THE COURT: Mr. Parton, tell me about the				
19	privilege.				
20	MR. PARTON: My understanding is this is				
21	about to discuss my communications with the board in				
22	closed session.				
23	Maybe I'm wrong. Can you clarify?				
24	THE WITNESS: I do believe that there was a				
25	brief statement made by counsel prior to discussing				

1 the application in open session. 2 MR. PARTON: In open session. I withdraw my 3 objection. 4 THE COURT: All right. Proceed. BY MS. HUMMER: 5 So what do you recall Mr. Parton saying 6 before you presented the new production application 7 for Barrel Springs Properties? 8 9 I think this is a relatively new addendum to 10 the R and Rs -- I'm sorry, the rules and regulations. 11 And so he did just say that the application could be 12 revoked if there is information about material injury. 13 Q. Do you mean the application, or do you mean 14 the right to pump water? Can you clarify the difference? 15 16 Q. Well, I'm confused. If the application is 17 approved that grants Barrel Springs Properties the right to sink a well and pump water, correct? 18 19 Within the bounds of the rules and 20 regulations. And so what could be withdrawn in the amended 21 0. 22 rules and regulations was the right to pump water from 23 that well. 24

Is that what you're saying?

I believe so. But I think this is a legal Α.

- question, so I'm not -- I don't want to, you know, say
  with full confidence, because I think there are other
  people here who could interpret that better than me.
  - Q. You apply the rules and regulations in completing your analysis in new production applications, correct?
  - A. Yes, we do. I will note that I believe that the January 11th application was written prior to this amendment to the rules and regulations.
  - Q. Yeah. So in January, this new rules and regulation didn't exist?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. By the time you go back to the board in April, there's a new rule and regulation.
  - And Mr. Parton made a statement to the board prior to you presenting the new production application for consideration by the board, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
  - Q. And the presentation was to the effect that, if data were revealed from the aquifer test that demonstrated that there was in fact a possibility of material injury, a likelihood of material injury to the aquifer, the well -- Barrel Springs would not be allowed to proceed to extract water from the well, correct?

1 I believe so. Α. 2 So you would shut down the well, correct? 0. 3 Α. I believe so. I don't quite know what the 4 process would look like. I'd like to direct you to the second line in 5 the "private" in your notes from the meeting on 6 April 26th. 7 "Felt concern that small system would be a 8 9 liability." 10 Do you see that? 11 I do, yes. Α. 12 Did Ms. MacLaren elaborate on what she meant 0. 13 by a small system being a potential liability? 14 Α. Briefly. 15 0. What did she say? 16 I believe that there was the concern that if Α. 17 the community ran out of water, then it would be on the shoulders of some of the public water systems to 18 19 consolidate and bear the cost of that. 20 What do you mean by "consolidate"? 0. 21 I don't know if I'm representing her words Α. 22 correctly, but my thought is that if -- kind of, as 23 she alluded to, with some -- a mobile home park in the 24 basin. And I don't know if this is a direct 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- comparison, but if there's a community water system
  that cannot provide safe drinking water to the people
  who depend on it, in some cases in California that
  smaller system is consolidated into a larger system so
  that there can be clean water for the people who live
  there.
  - Q. But isn't it true, Ms. Wells, that there's no community water system required for the Barrel Springs property?
  - A. I do not know. I will say, when Ms. MacLaren expressed this, I thought, "Huh, that's a really good way -- interesting thing to look at," and also, I recognize it's not my role as Watermaster Engineer to think about those issues. It seems like something for the board to think about.
  - Q. So returning to your declaration -- we're looking at Ms. Waxman's declaration. Referring you to paragraph 6 in your declaration on the second page?
    - A. Yes. I'm looking at that now.
  - Q. So this is where you discuss the fact that
    Ms. White, also of Todd Groundwater, has asked David
    Larson for some additional information.
    - Do you see that?
    - A. Yes. I see that.
  - Q. And that's on line 6, page 3 of the

1	declaration, Your Honor.
2	And you also say that Mr. Larson responded
3	with a memo dated November 30, 2022, on line 4.
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And then you have a sentence that says, "The
7	'response memo'" in quotes "response" and "memo" in
8	quotes "largely ignored most of the questions
9	opposed by the Watermaster Engineer.
10	Do you see that?
11	A. Yes, I see that.
12	Q. Now, Mr. Larson didn't provide well data for
13	that one well that Geosyntec plotted.
14	What was the other information that he
15	largely ignored?
16	A. So that references e-mails from Kate White to
16 17	A. So that references e-mails from Kate White to David Larson on November 21st, 2022.
17	David Larson on November 21st, 2022.
17 18	David Larson on November 21st, 2022.  So let me see if I can pull up those e-mails.
17 18 <b>19</b>	David Larson on November 21st, 2022.  So let me see if I can pull up those e-mails.  Q. Well, hang on a second. Let's use the
17 18 <b>19</b> <b>20</b>	David Larson on November 21st, 2022.  So let me see if I can pull up those e-mails.  Q. Well, hang on a second. Let's use the exhibits that we have.
17 18 <b>19</b> <b>20</b> 21	David Larson on November 21st, 2022.  So let me see if I can pull up those e-mails.  Q. Well, hang on a second. Let's use the exhibits that we have.  A. It's somewhere between Exhibit 6 and 11, is
17 18 <b>19</b> <b>20</b>	David Larson on November 21st, 2022.  So let me see if I can pull up those e-mails.  Q. Well, hang on a second. Let's use the  exhibits that we have.  A. It's somewhere between Exhibit 6 and 11, is this e-mail, I believe.

1 Exhibit 10. 2 (Exhibit 10 received in evidence.) 3 BY MS. HUMMER: 4 So Exhibit 10 is, starting on page 1, that's Ms. White's e-mail to David Larson, correct? 5 A. Yes. I believe this is the e-mail that 6 refers to. 7 And she's asking for information, correct? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 And your declaration at paragraph 6 is 11 testifying that he largely ignored her request for 12 information? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And my question to you is, other than the plotting of the well location and data about that 15 16 well, what information did he fail to provide? 17 Α. Would you like me to take the time to review his memo in order to identify that? 18 19 Q. Well, you signed a declaration that says he 20 didn't provide the information when you signed the declaration. 21 22 Did you verify that he had largely ignored 23 the request? 24 I think by failing to provide the well 25 information to me, that is largely ignoring the

1	request.
2	Q. But there wasn't any other thing that he
3	failed to provide, correct?
4	A. I would need to review his declaration again
5	to double-check that.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. Would you like me to do that?
8	Q. His memo, you mean?
9	A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. Please, excuse me.
10	Q. So at the time you signed this declaration,
11	you didn't go back and double-check his November 30
12	memo, did you, before you wrote that he largely
13	ignored Ms. White's request?
14	A. I do remember reading through his memo on the
15	day that I signed my declaration.
16	Q. To verify the accuracy of the declaration?
17	A. Yes. And I did identify that there was
18	information that was not provided.
19	Q. Why not list it?
20	A. I guess I thought that it was not necessary
21	to list it.
22	Q. Okay. Now, you also in your declaration at
23	paragraph 5 talk about getting data that you requested
24	on October 5.

So paragraph 4 you talk about asking for

- 1 information on October 5.
- A. Yes. One day after we received the application.
  - Q. Correct. And then you testify, starting on line 23 in paragraph 5, that you didn't get the responses until October 11.

### Do you see that?

- A. Yes. That is correct.
- Q. And is it your position as you sit here today that six days to provide answers to your questions was tardy?
- A. It depends on your definition of "tardy."

  If -- in order to have the application before the board for the October meeting, we needed to have the complete application to the Watermaster staff by October 13th.
- And in cases with a simple straightforward application, that would not be enough time. Two days would not be enough time to fully prepare the application after receiving a complete -- information that would make the application complete.
- Q. But isn't it true, Ms. Wells, that Ms. Stanin didn't have the bandwidth due to the press of other matters to actually do the analysis in time to get it on the October agenda?

the delays.

1	A. Yes. This is an application that had so much
2	uncertainty, that it required more time than normal
3	for the review. And less than two days was not enough
4	time for Phyllis to give it her due diligence I'm
5	sorry, Ms. Stanin to give it her due diligence.
6	Q. And in fact, you didn't take it to the board
7	in December, did you?
8	A. We did not, but that was due to delays that I
9	believe are stated in my declaration related to
10	Mr. Dennis LaMoreaux wanting to take the time to have
11	the new serviceability letter.
12	Q. You don't know what Mr. LaMoreaux's concerns
13	were?
13	
14	A. I do not. But I know Phyllis was very
	A. I do not. But I know Phyllis was very frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the
14	-
14 15	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the
14 15 16	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the Watermaster Engineer for delaying the application by
14 15 16 17	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the Watermaster Engineer for delaying the application by three months.
14 15 16 17	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the Watermaster Engineer for delaying the application by three months.  And for those who know Phyllis, she is a very
14 15 16 17 18	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the Watermaster Engineer for delaying the application by three months.  And for those who know Phyllis, she is a very easy going person, but I have never seen her more
14 15 16 17 18 19	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the  Watermaster Engineer for delaying the application by three months.  And for those who know Phyllis, she is a very easy going person, but I have never seen her more upset.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 <b>21</b>	frustrated when later Barrel Springs blamed the Watermaster Engineer for delaying the application by three months.  And for those who know Phyllis, she is a very easy going person, but I have never seen her more upset.  Q. So your testimony is that Phyllis Stanin

And one of the factors turns out that it 1 2 wasn't Ms. Stanin or Todd Groundwater --3 A. Correct. 4 Q. -- or -- well, hang on. I haven't asked the 5 question yet. 6 Α. Oh, I'm sorry. It wasn't Ms. Stanin or Todd Groundwater who 7 Q. pulled the agenda from the December meeting. It was 8 9 LaMoreaux, correct? 10 I believe that it was related to Palmdale 11 Water District. 12 So it could be the case that Barrel Springs 0. 13 Properties maybe didn't know that Mr. LaMoreaux was intermeddling in the Watermaster's agenda? 14 I don't think that --15 Α. 16 MR. PARTON: Objection. Calls for 17 speculation. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 THE WITNESS: I do not --20 THE COURT: Wait for the next question. 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Thank you. BY MS. HUMMER: 22 23 O. Ms. Wells, it could be that based on what 24 Barrel Springs Properties knew, it could have been --25 the appearance could have been to them that the delays

1 were being caused by the Watermaster. 2 That's possible, right? 3 MR. PARTON: Objection. Calls for 4 speculation. THE COURT: It does. Sustained. 5 6 BY MS. HUMMER: Did Mr. LaMoreaux ever speak to Ms. Stanin, 7 0. do you know, about why he pulled it from the agenda? 8 9 Α. I do not know. 10 Now, even though there were these delays and 0. 11 the new production application was pulled from the 12 agenda, didn't go in October or December, it went in 13 January, but any consideration was continued, correct? 14 Α. I believe so. 15 And that was because there were questions 16 that came out of the advisory committee meeting, 17 correct? 18 I don't recall 100 percent sure, but I do not 19 believe that the advisory committee had a strong 20 stance on this application in the January advisory 21 committee meeting. 22 But I did not attend that meeting. 23 You did not attend the January advisory 24 committee meeting? 25 Α. Correct.

24

25

1	Q. Did you attend the January board meeting?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. So you were at the board meeting, and
4	the new production application was not ruled upon.
5	There was no vote taken, correct, on January?
6	A. Correct. Phyllis gave a presentation to
7	provide more information to the board. And we
8	discussed it, but there was no vote.
9	Q. And that presentation was based in part on
10	your January 11 findings, correct?
11	A. Correct. What I recall from the presentation
12	is that it gave a summary of the project and it
13	included some information about what the aquifer
14	conditions tend to look like in the San Andreas Fault
15	zone in Antelope Valley and why there was such a high
16	level of uncertainty on this application that
17	surpassed what we typically have in most of the
18	applications that we consider.
19	Because as the Watermaster Engineer, we felt
20	that the board needed to know that we did say that
21	material injury, as defined by the judgment, appeared
22	to be negligible. But that was made with this limited

Q. And between January when there was all this

information that we had and there was a much higher

level of uncertainty for this application than most.

1	uncertainty and April, you didn't have additional
2	information, correct?
3	A. No, ma'am. We did not change our letter.
4	And on the April meeting, I gave the exact
5	same presentation with the same slides that Phyllis
6	gave.
7	Q. And the Watermaster Engineer to today has not
8	revoked the January 11th findings, correct?
9	A. We have not. With the information that we
LO	have, we still stand by what we said, taking into
L1	account the high level of uncertainty.
L2	I would also note that
L3	MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, you know, these
L4	addenda when there's no question pending are getting a
L5	little out of hand.
L6	THE COURT: All right. Just in order for the
L7	record to be very clear, don't spontaneously decide to
L8	explain something that's not before you. Wait for a
L9	question.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Sometimes it's real hard to be
22	quiet.
23	THE WITNESS: I really love hydrogeology.
24	BY MS. HUMMER:
25	Q. I have a confession to make. I do, too.

1 Excellent. You're in good company. Α. 2 So Ms. Wells, did you ever discuss the Barrel 0. 3 Springs Properties' new production application with 4 Ms. MacLaren prior to the April 26th board meeting? 5 Α. I did not. Did you ever discuss with Ms. MacLaren what 6 to ask the -- strike that. 7 Did you ever discuss with Ms. MacLaren after 8 9 the April 26th Watermaster board meeting, and not in 10 closed session, about information you would like to 11 see in order for the Watermaster board to reconsider 12 Barrel Springs' new production application? 13 Α. I did not. 14 Did anybody ever tell you that Barrel Springs was asking for reconsideration of its new production 15 16 application? 17 Α. I think Phyllis brought it up in conversation 18 with me. 19 But you never actually presented on an agenda 20 item of reconsideration of the new production 21 application, did you? 22 A. After April? 23 Q. After April? 24 A. No, I did not. Q. And Ms. Stanin didn't either? 25

Α.

Yes, ma'am.

1 No, she did not. Α. 2 MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I'd just like to 3 check a couple things, but I think my questioning 4 might be completed. THE COURT: Go ahead. 5 6 BY MS. HUMMER: Do you recall telling Ms. Stanin that the 7 Q. April 26 board meeting was tense? 8 9 Those are the words I used in an e-mail Α. Yes. 10 that I sent to Ms. Stanin. 11 And why did you use the word "tense"? Q. 12 It was the subject of debate. Α. 13 Did you also use the expression "hot seat"? Q. 14 I did, yes. Α. And in fact, you used the expression "hot 15 0. 16 seat" in a text message to Mr. Montoya, correct? 17 Α. Yes. So you felt you were a little bit on the hot 18 19 seat when you were presenting the item? 20 Α. That is correct. In the advisory committee 21 meeting, that was my first time as a licensed 22 professional geologist ever presenting before a board 23 without a senior staff member there. 24 0. It's a little nerve-racking, correct?

1	Q. Now, you when you presented to the board	
2	on April 26th, there was some discussion this morning	
3	that you were being asked questions about the new	
4	production application.	
5	Do you recall hearing that testimony?	
6	A. Can you repeat?	
7	Q. Let me rephrase.	
8	A. Okay.	
9	Q. When you presented the new production	
10	application on April 26th to the Watermaster board,	
11	did anyone ask you questions about the application?	
12	A. Yes. Several people asked me questions, but	
13	I do not remember exactly who. I know Ms. MacLaren	
14	asked me some questions, but I think other people did	
15	as well.	
16	Q. So I want to be very precise about this.	
17	When you were asked questions, were those questions	
18	asked of you before the board voted?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And they were asked in open session, everyone	
21	could hear the questions and your answers, correct?	
22	A. That is correct.	
23	Q. And you recall Ms. MacLaren asking you	
24	questions?	
25	A. Yes.	

1	Q. Do you recall anybody from the audience
2	asking you questions?
3	A. I don't remember.
4	Q. Do you recall anybody from Barrel Springs
5	Properties asking you questions?
6	A. I don't remember.
7	Q. Would it be usual for an applicant to ask the
8	presenter questions about the application?
9	A. "Usual," I don't recall other circumstances
10	where that has happened.
11	Q. It's normally the board members who ask
12	questions, correct?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Did anybody else ask questions, maybe the
15	board administrator?
16	A. I'm unsure if there are typically input from
17	audience members or advisory committee members.
18	Q. So you remember being questioned, you
19	remember Ms. MacLaren asking you questions before the
20	vote was taken, and you remember some others, but you
21	don't remember how many and who it was exactly,
22	correct?
23	A. Correct. I was a bit anxious.
24	Q. Now, do you did you feel when you were
25	being questioned that you were being interrogated in

1	an oppressive way?
2	A. I I'll reuse my phrase. I felt as if I
3	was in the hot seat. But it's hard for in my
4	memory to know if that was just how I felt, or if I
5	was being asked questions in an intimidating manner.
6	Q. Do you feel that any of the questions you
7	were asked were inappropriate?
8	A. No. They were related to the application.
9	Q. And you were able to answer them to the best
10	of your ability?
11	A. To the best of my ability.
12	MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I have no further
13	questions for this witness.
14	MR. PARTON: Just a few questions, Your
15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Parton.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. PARTON:
19	Q. Ms. Wells, you in response to a question
20	from Ms. Hummer, you began to answer about you how you
21	would have done it if you had been Barrel Springs'
22	engineer.
23	What was that in reference to?
24	A. If I were working for Barrel Springs, I would
25	have contacted Geosyntec and requested the either a

reveals other reasons --

1 spreadsheet of the wells that were included in their 2 map or issued file of the wells. 3 Did you ever receive that information? 4 A. No, sir. You mentioned the memorandum of 5 November 30th, 2022, which is -- I believe is attached 6 to your declaration. Exhibit Number 70. 7 (Exhibit 70 received in evidence.) 8 BY MR. PARTON: 9 10 Do you think if you peruse this, you could 11 discern whether there are more than the reason of the 12 proximity of another well, an aquifer test, that were 13 concerns that you had? 14 Is it -- I'm sorry. Is this referring to the 15 Geosyntec report, or is it the report that was an 16 exhibit to my declaration by David Larson? 17 0. The so-called memo by David Larson. 18 Α. Okay. Dated November -- well, we think it's 19 20 November 30th, 2022. 21 On -- and you are asking -- can you repeat Α. 22 your question? 23 Q. Yeah. You reference this, that if you had 24 more time, you'd be able to discern whether this memo

1	A. Yes.
2	Q for the delay.
3	Do you have a chance now to take a look at
4	that?
5	A. Yes. I did recently get to take a look at
6	it, and I did identify some miscalculations, as well
7	as, I would say, some conclusions that are out that
8	are kind of outside the realm of typical professional
9	practices.
10	Q. This is in reference to Mr. Larson's
11	memorandum that is attached to your declaration as
12	Exhibit 70, right?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And what miscalculations did Mr. Larson, his
15	memorandum, contain?
16	A. Notably in section E, that's on page 4 of the
17	internal pages for this declaration, he says that to
18	meet the demand of 40,067 gallons per day, a pump
19	would be required to run at 27.82 gallons per minute.
20	And he says that the 42 gallons per day
21	refers to the 120 acre feet per year. But I
22	calculated what the gallons per day would be for
23	120 acre feet per year and I came up with
24	107,129.1 gallons per day.
25	And I also would, in my professional practice

- 1 when advising somebody to have a production model, I 2 would say as -- under ideal conditions, you could 3 reasonably assume that your well is pumping about 4 50 percent of the time. So that would put the required pumping rate 5 6 at about 150 gallons per minute as opposed to the 27.82 gallons per minute. 7 And then that kind of ties to the Geosyntec 8 9 report, which assumes 25 to, I believe, 47 feet of 10 drawdown in a nearby well, depending on the 11 hydrogeologic conditions for 20 gallons per minute for 12 a well. 13 When realistically, it would probably be 14 about a 150 gallon per minute pumping rate. And the Theis equation linearly connects the pumping rate (Q) 15 16 to the drawdown. 17 So we would expect that to be -- what's 150 divided by 20 -- that's 7.5 times lower than what is 18 19 shown in the Geosyntec estimate, with their assumed
  - Q. When did you last read Exhibit 70 that led you to find this apparent miscalculation?
    - A. That was Exhibit 70, Mr. Larson's report?
    - Q. Yes.

aquifer conditions.

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, I last read it this morning, but

1 yesterday I identified this miscalculation in this 2 report. And it jogged my memory, because of the 3 advisory committee meeting, a representative from 4 Barrel Springs, who I think was Mr. Larson, mentioned the pumping rate of 30 gallons per minute, and the 5 word kind of latched onto that. 6 7 But then I left the advisory committee meeting and I kind of did my calculations, and I said, 8 9 "Huh, that would be about 150 gallons per minute." 10 And, but that doesn't align with what the 11 original application said, because the high end of 12 their pumping estimates in the well diagram, they 13 included 150 gallons per minute. 14 So you know, I didn't rewrite the letter because of that, because it was in the application. 15 16 But it does make me think about how much more the drawdown would be. 17 And Phyllis in the application -- in the 18 19 letter that she wrote, she said -- if we can refer to 20 the Todd Groundwater original application for a Remind me what exhibit that is. 21 second. 22 The January 11, 2023, is --Q. 23 Α. January 11 --24 Q. It's part of Exhibit 71, beginning on page 8. (Exhibit 71 received in evidence.) 25

1	THE	WITNESS:	Okay.	Yes, I	do r	recall	that
2	somewhere in	this, Phy	llis wr	rote	okay,	yes.	
3	On r	page 4 of	Todd Gr	coundwat	er's	analvs	sis i

On page 4 of Todd Groundwater's analysis in the second paragraph, you know, she was already way ahead of me on this. And it is in our original letter. In order to produce 120 acre feet per year, the well would need to pump 74.4 gallons per minute on a continuous basis.

And that's a correct calculation, but a continuous basis for a well, 365/24 hours a day is an unreasonable thing to recommend for a client.

So I always recommend 150 gallons per minute for a pumping rate.

- Q. Did you discuss this miscalculation with any of your professional colleagues at Todd Groundwater?
- A. Yes. Actually, Chad Taylor, who is a principal hydrogeologist and one of the other vice presidents at Todd Groundwater -- and the other, let me rephrase, Phyllis as the other vice president -- he pointed out that miscalculation to me yesterday.
- Q. Did he -- did he agree with your analysis that you just gave?
- A. Correct. And he is the one who advised me after the advisory committee meeting on the percentage of active pumping that he would recommend to a client

1 with a production well, saying that 50 percent of the 2 time, it's reasonable to expect the well to be 3 pumping, and 50 percent off. 4 Q. So you haven't brought this to the attention 5 of Mr. Larson yet? 6 I have not had any personal communication with Mr. Larson except for he may have been present at 7 board meetings and advisory committee meetings that I 8 9 was present at. 10 Q. What is the fundamental problem in his 11 calculation? What is the wrong -- or are the wrong 12 data that he's using? 13 Let me refer -- look at that real quick. 14 I believe that it is his conversion of acre 15 feet per year to gallons per day. 16 That seems to be the fundamental problem? 0. 17 Α. Related to this issue, yes. 18 MR. PARTON: Okay. No further questions, 19 Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Anything further? 21 MS. HUMMER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. HUMMER: 24 So this analysis, picking apart the 25 November 30, 2022, memo from Mr. Larson to find

1 errors, that didn't happen before the findings were 2 made on January 11, 2023, did it? 3 Α. I do not know the degree to which Ms. White 4 and Ms. Stanin analyzed and assessed the letter. So the search for errors in Mr. Larson's 5 analysis occurred after there was some back and forth, 6 shall we say, in the advisory committee meeting? 7 8 MR. PARTON: Argumentative, Your Honor. Objection. 9 10 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer the 11 question, if you can. 12 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? 13 BY MS. HUMMER: 14 Q. You didn't go to look at Mr. Larson's calculations until after there was some discussion 15 16 about gallons per day calculations at the advisory 17 committee meeting, correct? Yes. Yes. That would be --18 19 That was the April advisory committee 0. 20 meeting, right? Yes. I did not review his calculations until 21 Α. 22 after that meeting. 23 And you didn't review them between that 24 meeting and the board meeting on April 26, did you? 25 Α. I did do my own calculations between those

1 meetings.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. But you didn't present evidence or -- you didn't present these calculation errors at the April 26th board meeting, did you?
- A. I did not think it was necessary to point out his errors, but I'm pretty sure I did mention that we believed the well would pump at about 150 gallons per minute in order to produce 120 acre feet per year.
- Q. So in fact, the errors in Mr. Larson's calculation had no impact on the Watermaster Engineer's findings in the January 11th, 2023, letter?
- A. No, it did not. Well, I would say that

  Phyllis stated the correct pumping rate, if you assume

  constant pumping in the letter.

So we did not feel it necessary to call out another person's errors.

- Q. So after you discovered that Phyllis Stanin had used a constant pumping rate in the January 11 letter, you didn't revise the January 11 letter to reflect what you believe is a more appropriate rate of 50 percent?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. And today, again, the January 11th findings, still stand, correct?
- 25 A. Correct. With the pumping rate that Phyllis

```
1
     listed, I think she gets her point across quite well,
 2
     that the drawdown would be greater than the Geosyntec
 3
     letter -- or I'm sorry, the Geosyntec memo states,
 4
     assuming the same aguifer conditions that they assumed
     in the letter.
 5
          Q. And you don't know as you sit here today
 6
    whether Mr. Larson discussed these calculations with
 7
    Ms. White and updated her on some of the numbers?
 8
 9
             I do not know.
         Α.
10
              MS. HUMMER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Anything further,
12
    Mr. Parton?
13
              MR. PARTON: Nothing further, no.
14
              THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
15
              THE WITNESS: You're welcome, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. What next?
              MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, would it be all
17
     right if I confer with my client briefly?
18
19
              THE COURT: Yes, of course.
20
              MS. HUMMER: Thank you.
21
                       (Off the record)
22
              MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, we're resting.
23
    We're not calling any further witnesses.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. You are resting your
    motion?
25
```

```
1
              MS. HUMMER: Yes, well, theoretically. We're
 2
    not going to call any other witnesses in this
 3
     evidentiary hearing, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Parton?
 4
 5
             MR. PARTON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
 6
              Just to reiterate, all our Exhibits 1 to 80
     are stipulated as admissible.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Yes.
 9
              MR. PARTON: Thank you.
10
             MS. HUMMER: And Your Honor, I think there's
11
     the matter of the Watermaster's RJN from October 13th
     and the exhibits to the RJN. We don't have any
12
13
    particular objection to them, I'm not sure how helpful
14
     they are, but we don't object.
              MR. PARTON: Yeah. Our Exhibit 68, 69 -- 67,
15
16
     68, 69, and 71, I think they are all judicially
    noticeable.
17
18
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. How do you
19
    want to proceed at this point?
20
              Do you wish to make final statements on your
21
    motion in the opposition? Do you want to do it in
22
    writing? How do you want to proceed?
             MS. HUMMER: Your Honor, I think it would be
23
24
    more fruitful to file written closing statements once
25
    we have the transcript, because there was a lot of
```

testimony today. And I think all of us could benefit 1 2 from having the transcript and making our closing 3 argument essentially via paper. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Parton, how do you feel about that? 5 6 MR. PARTON: We'd be willing to submit now, but if the Court's inclined to entertain further 7 briefing, we'll certainly comply. 8 9 THE COURT: I'd like to give counsel every 10 opportunity on both sides to fully present their case. 11 And if you think you want to do a written memorandum, 12 I'll permit you to do that. 13 When would you have that ready? 14 MS. HUMMER: It depends on when I can get the 15 transcript, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Sorry? 17 MS. HUMMER: It depends on when I can get the transcript. So I can do it within seven days of 18 19 receiving the transcript. I just don't know when 20 that's going to be available to us. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So what do you think? 22 THE REPORTER: Up to you. I could get it to 23 you by tomorrow, if you needed it. 24 MS. HUMMER: Okay. So then --25 THE COURT: Let me just look at the calendar

1	here.
2	I suspect you could have the transcript by
3	the 25th?
4	THE REPORTER: You let me know and I can get
5	it to you any time.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's have the
7	transcripts by the 25th. And I would like a closing
8	memorandum from moving party by the 30th and an
9	opposition from Mr. Parton by November 3rd.
10	And the matter will be deemed submitted at
11	that point.
12	MS. HUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	Now we have a couple of housekeeping matters
14	regarding my motions to strike declarations and my
15	objections to evidence.
16	Do you want to reserve ruling on those after
17	we submit our closing the closing papers?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MS. HUMMER: Okay.
20	MR. PARTON: Your Honor, can I ask for just a
21	couple of more days on the opposition instead of the
22	3rd of November to the 6th of November?
23	THE COURT: November 6th?
24	MR. PARTON: November 6th.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

```
1
              MR. PARTON: I appreciate that.
 2
              THE COURT: What date did I ask for the
 3
     closing brief?
 4
              MS. HUMMER: The 30th, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.
 6
              MR. PARTON: Thank you.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Should we have
     anything else to talk about this afternoon? I don't
 8
     think so. Okay.
 9
10
              MS. HUMMER: I don't think so, Your Honor.
11
    We do have a logistical issue with the exhibits.
12
              Would you like us to take them back? How
13
     would you like us to handle all these exhibits?
14
              THE COURT: Well, it's going to be very
15
     difficult given the volume of exhibits offered and
16
     submitted.
17
              I think we'll just hold onto them at this
18
    point.
19
              MS. HUMMER: Okay. Very good, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: And it will become part of the
21
    record.
22
              MS. HUMMER: Okay.
23
              MR. PARTON: Thank you.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. So if there's nothing
25
     else, let's recess for the evening.
```

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

```
MS. HUMMER:
 1
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
                           Thank you.
              MR. PARTON:
              MR. PARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Maybe I should say adjourned.
 4
 5
       (Whereupon, the proceedings adjourned at 3:40 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
                              --000--
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	
5	I, ANGELA T. KOTT, a Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing
7	proceedings were taken in shorthand by me, at the time
8	and place therein stated, and that the said
9	proceedings were thereafter reduced to typewriting, by
10	computer, under my direction and supervision;
11	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
12	attorney for either or any of the parties nor in any
13	way interested in the event of this cause, and that I
14	am not related to any of the parties thereto.
15	
16	DATED: October 19, 2023.
17	
18	
19	angele Sott
20	ANGELA T. KOTT, CSR #7811
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# **Transcript of Proceedings**

ranscript of Proceeding	JS Ant	elope valley Groundwate	ei Cases [JCCF NO. 440
	159:5,20 161:5	l	<b>23</b> 184:5
\$	162:5 181:21 184:6	2	<b>23rd</b> 119:16
	188:10 198:22,23		
<b>\$22</b> 100:9	201:2 202:18,19	<b>2</b> 18:15 47:13,17,19	<b>24</b> 14:20
	<b>11:49</b> 104:10	52:19 114:25 115:5	<b>2454</b> 172:13
(	11th 65:9 178:8 189:8	142:11 162:12,16	<b>25</b> 78:24 107:11
	202:11,23	164:17,20,21 165:5	111:25 112:4,6,18
(Q) 197:15	<b>12</b> 47:17 143:22	<b>20</b> 22:15,17,21 23:1	113:4 117:8 123:17
		24:3,17 25:8 52:21	197:9
-	<b>120</b> 90:5,19 91:3,7,	54:21 80:21 131:3	<b>25th</b> 77:25 115:2,16
	18,21 102:11	197:11,18	206:3,7
<b>000</b> 5:3 105:4	196:21,23 199:6 202:8	<b>20-plus</b> 74:12	<b>26</b> 30:21 37:12 41:12
<b>000</b> 208:9		<b>200</b> 106:22	52:22 53:10 66:18
200.0	<b>12th</b> 144:5	<b>2022</b> 122:12 137:16,	73:16 77:18 85:16
	<b>13</b> 33:25	17,18 153:8,11	86:16 117:16,20
	<b>1301</b> 164:1	169:25 181:3,17	123:18 130:20
<b>0.25</b> 160:6	<b>13th</b> 184:16 204:11	195:6,20 200:25	171:11 191:8 201:24
0.23 100.0		<b>2023</b> 5:1 18:8,10	<b>26th</b> 36:22 41:5 68:24
	<b>14</b> 72:17	23:5,8,9,13,19,21,23	79:22 95:16 98:22
1	<b>15</b> 10:7,9,14,16	24:1 25:6 29:2,4	108:2 124:11 130:1
4 40-4 45-04 04-05	11:15,16,22 12:10,	30:2,15,21 33:19	135:18 136:4 137:19
<b>1</b> 13:1 45:21 64:25 65:16 112:2,3	20 13:1 52:19 81:23	34:6 36:22 37:12	138:12 150:25
117:12 119:12 162:9	126:3	43:1 49:18 52:22	171:14 179:7 190:4
182:4 204:6	<b>150</b> 91:8 197:6,14,17	53:10 65:9 66:18	9 192:2,10 202:4
	198:9,13 199:12	68:20,24 70:8 72:10,	<b>27</b> 111:5
<b>1,300</b> 160:6 162:17	202:7	20,23 73:2 74:9 78:1	<b>27.82</b> 196:19 197:7
<b>1-</b> 106:22	<b>16</b> 17:25 18:1,3,6	85:16 86:16 105:1 106:24 107:11	<b>27th</b> 18:8,9
<b>10</b> 23:21 68:20 182:1,	47:14	108:3,18 111:25	l '
2,4	<b>17</b> 81:25 82:4	112:18 117:8,16,20	<b>29</b> 63:14 110:17
<b>100</b> 174:9 187:18		119:15,16 120:4	163:19
	<b>17th</b> 165:3	121:3 122:7,10	<b>29th</b> 43:1,4 45:13
<b>107,129.1</b> 196:24	<b>18</b> 5:1 47:16 82:4	123:17,18 124:11	49:18
<b>10:23</b> 59:17	105:1	130:16,20 135:18	<b>2:31</b> 163:7
<b>10:35</b> 59:17	<b>19</b> 81:23	137:18 142:20	<b>2:40</b> 163:7
<b>10th</b> 23:8,9,13,19,23	<b>1976</b> 14:6	143:22 159:5 161:5 198:22 201:2 202:11	
24:1 25:6 68:24	<b>19th</b> 143:5		3
69:16 70:8		<b>20th</b> 107:3	
<b>11</b> 66:18 72:19,23	<b>1:00</b> 104:1,7	<b>21</b> 24:25 25:1,3	<b>3</b> 46:23 52:18 60:5
73:2,15,16 74:9	<b>1:05</b> 104:10 105:1	<b>21st</b> 181:17	81:23 101:11 159:2
106:24 108:18		<b>22</b> 52:19,21 142:4,5	160:2 164:7,8
119:15 120:4 121:3		143:22	167:20 180:25
		175.22	

## ding

Transcript of Proceed
<b>30</b> 63:21,23 64:3,4,23 181:3 183:11 198:5 200:25
<b>30th</b> 195:6,20 206:8 207:4
<b>31</b> 160:16,18,19,21 161:25 162:3
<b>320</b> 164:1
<b>36</b> 172:7,8,19
<b>365/24</b> 199:10
<b>37784</b> 9:14
<b>3:40</b> 208:6
<b>3rd</b> 206:9,22
4
4 14:11 25:7 83:9,10, 13 84:22 159:17,18 24 181:3 183:25 196:16 199:3
<b>40,067</b> 196:18
<b>40th</b> 109:11
<b>42</b> 196:20
<b>47</b> 197:9

<b>40th</b> 109:11		
<b>42</b> 196:20		
<b>47</b> 197:9		
<b>4th</b> 153:8		
5		
5 43:8 63:4,5,6,19,24 64:22 72:16 73:12 83:4,7,12 159:10,13 161:6,22 162:4 163:13 183:23,24 184:1,5		
<b>50</b> 197:4 200:1,3 202:21		
<b>52</b> 56:15		
<b>55</b> 89:8,9,11,14,15		

s Ant	el
<b>5th</b> 23:5 142:20 143:24	
<b>6</b> 45:23,25 47:19 60:2 101:10 180:18,25 181:21,23,24 182:10	
<b>67</b> 110:17,18,19,21 204:15	!
<b>68</b> 204:15,16	
<b>69</b> 204:15,16	
<b>6:46</b> 117:8	
<b>6th</b> 206:22,23,24	
7	۱
<b>7</b> 45:23,25 60:2,6 81:24 101:11 117:12 119:12 169:16	
<b>7.4</b> 24:2,16 25:10	
<b>7.5</b> 18:13,17,25 20:25 197:18	
<b>70</b> 195:7,8 196:12 197:21,23	
<b>71</b> 198:24,25 204:16	
<b>74.4</b> 199:7	
<b>76</b> 14:5	
<b>77</b> 47:7,8,9 81:15,17, 21 101:11	
<b>776</b> 13:10,14	
<b>777</b> 7:24 8:3	'
<b>78</b> 111:21,22,24 112:1 114:10,25 117:6 119:13 124:1 128:11 133:8 137:16	
<b>79</b> 114:20,21	

8
<b>8</b> 60:5 101:11 198:24 <b>80</b> 204:6
9
90 148:10 93552 9:15 94501 164:2 9:06 5:1
Α
A-L-A-N-A 151:17  A-R-D-E-N 151:16  a.m. 59:17 104:10  ability 76:22 94:25    95:1 140:2 141:24    194:10,11  able 23:11 35:2 38:15    40:16 53:22 54:21    65:6 76:21 79:1    91:12 95:18 96:24    100:9 128:20,21    136:11 137:22    138:21 140:14    164:10 165:19    166:24 167:5 194:9    195:24
absence 145:15
Absolutely 103:23
Abstain 111:1,13  accomplish 112:17,  21
account 189:11 accuracy 183:16

accurate 5:15 91:25
accuvial 75:6
acre 87:22,24 88:15 90:5,24 91:3,7,18,21 102:11 196:21,23 199:6 200:14 202:8
Act 36:14 77:6 145:1 171:16 172:11
acted 145:20 148:17 175:7
acting 158:14
action 21:17 22:22 110:24 113:10
active 98:17 199:25
activist 98:8
actuality 112:11
<b>ad</b> 107:24
<b>add</b> 26:1,4,7 49:15 100:7 169:10
addenda 189:14
<b>addendum</b> 175:18 176:3 177:9
addition 6:25 29:12 47:17 101:18 144:25 162:4
additional 73:8 157:2 165:7,14,16,23 166:8,19 167:3 175:20 180:22 189:1
address 9:13 65:15 74:21,25 101:13 127:24 132:23 133:5 138:8 141:16 151:20,23,24 163:21,23
addressed 6:12 25:11 90:12 92:5 138:8 139:8 140:21, 22 144:5 159:6,22,

Index: 30-addressed

178:24

#### **Transcript of Proceedings**

Anto	el
agenda 18:7,11,13, 19,25 20:25 24:2,16 25:10 28:3 34:11,13 63:7,8 73:9 90:4 99:6 107:14 111:8,9 115:16,19 116:12 126:21 130:5 136:20,24 169:22 170:9 184:25 186:8, 14 187:8,12 190:19	
Agent 5:5	
Agents 5:21	
aggressive 124:14	
<b>ago</b> 29:22 51:7 53:8 91:19	
<b>agree</b> 39:13 42:5 43:21 85:17 86:17 126:18 132:4 148:20 149:5 199:21	
<b>agreed</b> 20:21 85:15 86:5 113:17 174:23	
agreement 6:17,19 19:7,11,19 20:13,17, 24 22:2,6,11,23 24:4,17,21 68:18	
agriculture 99:19	
ahead 24:13 31:23 35:19 41:11 42:7 55:21 75:11 85:10 117:3 119:16 163:25 173:11 191:5 194:16 199:5	
Alameda 164:2	
<b>Alana</b> 151:16,21	
alarm 24:7,10	
align 54:23 198:10	

allay 95:16

allocated 27:2,3

allowed 39:4 166:11

<b>allows</b> 126:23
alluded 179:23
alluvial 158:11
<b>aloud</b> 161:12
alternate 72:12
amalgamation 63:6
amazed 126:4
amended 177:21
amendment 178:9
amendments 121:3
amidst 124:6
amount 87:9,17,19 90:2,14,15 96:12 156:1 157:1
amply 52:23 53:3
analysis 64:23 65:2,6 80:10 91:10 97:25 121:23 127:21 138:11 154:7 156:4, 8,11 157:2,15 159:1, 20,21 160:5 175:11 178:5 184:24 199:3, 21 200:24 201:6
analyst 153:2 162:15
<b>analyzed</b> 106:15 201:4
and/or 87:8
<b>Andreas</b> 154:23 188:14
ands 82:19 97:21
<b>Angel</b> 154:16
Angeles 14:15
animals 102:24
<b>annual</b> 91:7,17 102:24 156:20

answer 12:9 18:21 26:9 43:18 60:15 61:5 65:1,7 72:13 73:19,20 75:12 79:4, 9 80:25 86:1,3,20,21 89:19 98:12 108:25 114:8 118:15 127:14,16 131:9 137:5 139:13,18,23 140:18 143:11 166:14 194:9,20 201:10 answered 17:10 23:13 38:17 39:14, 18 79:11,19 82:12 86:19 118:2 120:10 128:14 137:23 140:1 144:10 146:3 154:17 answering 72:14 115:23 136:13 **answers** 78:17,18 117:12,18 134:23 140:22 184:10 192:21 **Antelope** 6:5 15:12, 25 26:14,19 27:20 28:5 29:8,25 30:2 31:6,9,19,23 32:6,8, 12,16,18,23 33:14 34:6,23 35:19 36:13, 22 37:6 42:15 45:12 53:9 62:20 66:24 70:1 93:8 96:7 106:9,20 107:12 109:16 111:17 152:19 188:15 anxiety 89:21 **anxious** 193:23 anybody 31:22 52:8 147:16 190:14 193:1,4,14

**apart** 17:5 138:12

172:18 200:24 apologize 18:24 142:12 169:17 172:2 app 171:20 apparent 197:22 appealed 148:13 appear 48:18 116:20 119:7 appearance 186:25 appearances 5:18 appeared 188:21 appears 62:3 160:8 applicable 29:7 175:15

applicant 84:4 123:24 126:18 135:2 193:7 application 19:25

21:7 28:7,14 33:7,18 35:7,17 36:18 38:8 40:25 41:11,13,24 47:14 55:8 59:10,23 63:9 64:16 79:2 80:3,10 85:20 87:6 88:25 90:11,13 91:3, 15 95:19 96:19 97:10,12,16 99:5 103:2 108:6,10 113:15,19,22 115:17 118:23 119:1 121:20 122:12 123:2 125:4, 11,23 127:10 129:14,25 130:17,23 131:5 133:22 136:16.20 138:6 140:8 143:1 145:22 146:25 147:10,14, 18,20 148:18 149:2 153:4,7,19 154:7,8, 10 155:3,7,15,17,20 156:5,7,12 157:16, 22 158:22 159:2

160:25 162:23 163:2,17 164:4 169:22 170:8,11 171:3,6 174:12,13 175:4,6,8,19 176:7 177:1,7,11,13,16 178:8,16 184:3,13, 15,18,20,21 185:1, 16 187:11,20 188:4, 16,24 190:3,12,16, 21 192:4,10,11 193:8 194:8 198:11, 15,18,20

applications 29:8,17, 21,24 30:9,14 152:19 153:13 155:9 157:24 178:6 188:18

applied 123:8,9

apply 178:4

**applying** 90:6 102:15 127:9

appointed 105:20 106:2,3,4

appreciate 143:9 149:15 207:1

approach 10:23 11:13 60:24 148:2

appropriate 173:9 202:20

approval 90:3 131:4 174:11,12,17 175:4, 14,23,24 176:4,15

**approve** 18:16 40:24 83:16 95:18 114:9

approved 19:4 24:21, 23 25:13 68:17 88:24,25 91:16 121:21 149:2 155:11 175:8 177:17

approving 102:4

approximate 165:7 approximately 107:19 162:17

**April** 23:5,8,9,13,19, 21,23 24:1 25:6 29:3,4 30:15,21 33:13,19 34:23 35:19 36:22 37:12 41:5,12 52:22 53:10 66:18 68:20,24 69:16 70:8 73:9,15, 16 77:18 79:22 85:16 86:16 95:11, 16 98:22 99:12 108:2 117:16,20 122:7 123:18 124:11 127:23,25 130:16,20 135:18 137:19 138:12 142:20 143:22,24 144:5 150:9,25 171:6,11, 14 178:14 179:7 189:1,4 190:4,9,22, 23 191:8 192:2,10 201:19,24 202:4

Aquarius 9:14

aqueduct 100:15,23 101:1,6,14 160:8 164:23

aquifer 84:4,8 85:16, 18 86:5,8,18 88:6 101:22 102:7 109:3 122:3 124:5 133:4 148:3 156:2 174:17, 20 175:23 178:20,23 188:13 195:12 197:20 203:4

**Arden** 6:7 66:1 72:3 73:3 120:17 124:6, 15 125:2,12,14 130:6 133:25 151:6, 16,21 152:4

area 16:19 17:8 21:8 57:6,22 58:9,16,24 59:23 66:21 67:14 75:5,21,22,23 79:16 95:21 96:6 101:8 102:25 109:12 119:21 121:8 122:17 158:6,13 174:21

areas 67:14 82:11,20 109:9 118:7 136:12

argument 104:6 205:3

argumentative

124:21 128:6,7 137:11,13 201:8

arithmetic 133:14

**array** 98:16

arrived 87:24

articulate 60:2

asked 42:10 64:7 67:23 68:9 70:3 77:1 78:25 93:11 98:2,8, 14 99:20 137:16 144:10 145:2 175:9 180:21 186:4 192:3, 12,14,17,18,20 194:5,7

asking 20:1 38:22 56:6 65:4 67:12 79:11 87:22 96:16 100:11,22 119:22 121:16 125:2 129:15,17 134:6 135:12 136:7,18 141:22 182:8 183:25 190:15 192:23 193:2,5,19 195:21

assessed 201:4

**assist** 141:2

assistant 50:16 52:11

assisting 153:12 associate 152:21 associated 159:3 association's 150:12 **assume** 139:24 197:3 202:13 assumed 70:18 91:8 197:19 203:4 **assumes** 197:9 **assuming** 5:13 23:22 45:16 71:12 75:16 147:17 203:4 assumption 71:14 **assure** 76:17 77:7 128:19 138:25 **assured** 78:19 attached 164:4 195:6 196:11 attend 34:2,3,16 187:22,23 188:1 attending 115:12 attends 34:1 attention 163:12 169:12 200:4 attorneys 34:16 74:11 80:20 audience 6:7 193:1, 17 August 29:1,2 43:1,4 45:13 49:18 authorize 5:7 93:13 AV 33:19 92:1 96:7 120:18 124:11 available 72:1 156:20 158:15,18 165:24 166:9 205:20

**AVAK** 78:1 **AVEK** 78:1,2,3 avoided 116:6 **AVWM** 167:14 172:13 aware 67:5 115:7 В back 11:22 13:1 16:12 21:3 32:8 42:11 46:17 47:24 57:10 70:22 73:12 74:19 75:22 76:2 77:14 79:20 91:14, 23 94:5 95:11 99:1, 24 104:1,7 105:6 107:5 117:6 118:22, 23.25 121:2 124:18 126:8 135:25 136:3 140:15 154:16 161:22,25 163:8 167:11 178:13 183:11 201:6 207:12 background 67:19,22 170:12 backup 95:5 bandwidth 184:23 **Barrel** 5:6,21 7:6,19

8:24 16:17 17:6 18:11 19:8,13,24 21:23 22:3,6,11,23 24:5,18 28:6 33:6,18 34:9 35:6,17 36:5,17 38:7,25 40:12 41:11, 24 43:2 44:7,15,18 54:1 55:7 56:1 57:12 59:4,22 68:3 73:15 77:17 78:4,25 80:2 85:15 86:4,16 87:1, 19 90:5 91:20 97:4 99:9 107:13 108:6,9 109:5 110:25

113:11,14,21 114:10 115:17 116:11 118:22 119:2.6 123:1,8,10 124:2,24 125:1,6,10,11,22 126:15,23 127:9 128:18 130:17,22 131:2 134:25 135:2 136:14 138:5 141:18 145:25 146:4.10 151:5 153:4 154:6 155:3 158:18,22 160:2,24 162:17 164:4,19 165:14,17, 24 166:9,10,12,16, 23 167:4 169:21 174:22 177:8,17 178:23 180:8 185:15 186:12,24 190:2,12, 14 193:4 194:21,24 198:4

based 27:2 83:20 88:6 132:15 133:1 144:25 147:17 150:7 186:23 188:9

**basic** 15:5,8

**barrier** 158:14

**basically** 38:24 44:10 115:23

basin 40:18 54:14 57:3,4,17,25 58:7 62:21 73:25 76:5 93:8,14 121:11 179:24

**basin's** 76:23

**basins** 156:19

**basis** 65:21 85:19 175:13 199:8,10

**Bates** 172:12

bear 19:16 179:19

becoming 15:12

bedrock 158:12 began 194:20 beginning 48:1 155:4 198:24 begun 154:18 behalf 5:20 141:9

believe 6:18 17:14 30:18 40:17 41:1 42:17 54:12 57:8 66:9 68:19 73:17,18 82:7 86:19 88:20 102:14 110:14 121:13 134:17 145:7 154:13 156:9 157:9 158:21,24 160:11 162:22 164:16,24 169:7.11 170:21 171:20,24 173:9 174:8 175:1 176:24 177:25 178:7 179:1, 3,16 181:22,25 182:6 185:9 186:10 187:14,19 195:6 197:9 200:14 202:20

**believed** 82:1,21 174:10 202:7

**benefit** 150:15,23 205:1

best 15:10 26:9 58:20 61:15 62:5 85:24 94:25 97:1 107:16 119:10 140:1 157:7 194:9.11

better 11:7,9 27:18 61:7,8 65:1 66:1 71:8 92:24,25 93:4 98:12 178:3

beyond 40:23 127:21

**big** 12:10,14 13:3 66:22,24 118:16 156:8

Index: assisting-big

bigger 12:4

binder 9:24,25 10:8
22:16 42:11,17
63:20 81:16,17,18
83:5 110:16 142:4,6
159:11 160:17
167:11

bit 16:5 39:8 77:11 81:10 89:20,21 92:25 154:18 172:4 191:18 193:23

**bits** 62:3

black 81:16 82:14 110:16 128:2,3 138:1

black-and-white 42:6

blamed 185:15

**blaming** 185:23

blanks 132:21,22

blizzard 138:11

**blue** 164:22

board 5:14 6:6 15:13 16:1 17:3 18:7,10,16 20:5,22 21:1 23:2,4, 9,19,21,23 24:1,2 25:4 26:14,20 27:1, 6,20 29:9,13,25 30:3,10,15,16,21 31:4,20,23 32:7,16 33:14,20 34:24 35:19 36:23 37:11 39:9 40:4,5 41:22 42:16 44:14 45:12 53:9,19 63:8 69:1,10 77:5 79:22 85:8 89:3 90:4 92:1,2,7,9 94:25 97:22 102:10 107:11 110:7 111:3, 16 115:16 116:11, 20,23 117:16,20 118:23 119:3 121:21 124:12,24 125:5 129:5 130:1,8 132:17 133:4 137:19 145:20 148:17 150:25 152:13 153:21,23 157:25 167:15 170:2 171:10 174:16 175:10,12,22 176:1,2,8,10,15,16, 21 178:13,15,17 180:15 184:14 185:6 188:1,3,7,20 190:4, 9,11 191:8,22 192:1, 10,18 193:11,15 200:8 201:24 202:4

**board's** 44:11,18

**boards** 69:5,6 96:7, 13 98:7,17 105:16 106:12

Bolanos 80:15

bombarded 89:20

book 11:12 161:24

borderline 17:20

borderlines 17:9

borders 17:9

bottom 25:9 72:5 142:11,14,15,16 159:23 160:1 161:10 172:15

**bound** 64:11 146:21 148:14

**boundaries** 17:14,16 62:17,21

**bounds** 177:19

**brainstorm** 56:24 72:4

**break** 55:12,16 57:15 59:12,13,21 68:1 163:6

**brief** 43:11 176:25 207:3

**briefing** 34:12,14 107:9 205:8

briefings 35:1

**briefly** 105:15 179:14 203:18

bring 21:3 57:10

broader 103:2

brought 21:23 22:9, 13 37:22 48:3 55:1,5 60:21 80:22 90:19 98:18 99:3,17 118:18 124:4,15 137:21 190:17 200:4

**Brown** 77:5

**Bryden** 34:1 139:11, 24 140:7,22 143:17 144:6

**budget** 25:24 100:12

budgeted 25:23

**build-out** 101:16

**bullet** 35:13 37:16,18, 23 38:1,5,6,11 53:10,14,18 161:11

**business** 151:20 163:23

buts 82:19 97:21

**buzzing** 24:10

С

**Calandri** 130:18,19, 24 131:1

**calculate** 87:8,20 134:2,7,8 138:22

**calculated** 78:13 87:6 88:7 90:10 134:11

196:22

calculates 87:7

**calculation** 88:1,10, 21 89:13 90:17 133:15 134:22 150:10 199:9 200:11 202:3,10

**calculations** 80:11 88:13 98:23 133:17, 19,21 134:11,17,20, 21,24 135:4,7,9,23 138:23 198:8 201:15,16,21,25 203:7

calendar 205:25

California 9:15 27:10 152:13 153:21 160:7 162:25 164:2 167:24 180:3

call 8:22 32:3 51:24 116:16,18 133:9 141:11,21 144:20 151:3 202:15 204:2

**called** 9:18 19:12 38:3 43:16 66:25 75:4 80:14 149:11 152:5 167:16

**calling** 7:18,20 203:23

**calls** 8:25 93:15 95:7 127:2,12 131:9 151:5 166:1 186:16 187:3

capable 119:21 121:8

**capacity** 31:11 69:25 91:8 99:24

**capitalized** 45:25 46:8,10,12,14,19,21 47:15,24 48:7

care 74:21 96:24

#### **Transcript of Proceedings**

	•	•	•	•
	110:5 128:20	challenging 154:19	Claudia 80:14	comfortable 59:8
	careful 21:18	chance 196:3	clean 36:3 57:3 61:16	70:6,16 85:23 120:2 140:3 169:4
	carefully 155:6	<b>change</b> 49:8 72:25	180:5	coming 32:19 70:5
	<b>Carol</b> 20:9	189:3	clear 44:5 56:7 63:19	94:7 124:23
	carved 121:2	<b>changed</b> 45:17 51:23 72:24 138:6 151:22	82:22 95:12 141:6 145:9,13 173:13	comments 65:23
	case 36:11 78:6 86:15 148:19 159:4 168:18 171:1 174:14 175:16 186:12 205:10	changes 49:6 51:2,5, 21,22 52:6,9 168:10, 12,13,16 characterization 125:24	189:17 cleared 81:5 147:6 clerk 6:24 9:4,5 151:9,14 client 8:9 185:22,23	114:1 commercial 67:7 95:21 commission 67:17 105:24 106:20
	cases 28:3 71:8 180:3 184:17	characterized 128:13	199:11,25 203:18	commissioner 66:20
	catastrophic 57:5	charge 76:4	client's 120:19,23	69:10 106:1,11
	cause 58:15 75:17	charged 102:1	close 65:23 81:17	commissioners 67:8
	76:9,10,11 82:3,8 caused 82:16 83:1,15	<b>check</b> 23:12 46:14 191:3	closed 37:9 57:4 130:25 131:7,10,13, 16,17 172:21,25	committee 31:12 63:7 109:15,17,20 110:9 111:3,9,18
	187:1	choice 140:4	173:2,5,13,23,25	128:24,25 129:7,13, 25 130:18 143:5
	causes 58:16	choices 78:11	176:5,11,13,22	171:6 187:16,19,21,
	causing 40:17	chose 78:16,17	190:10	24 191:20 193:17
	<b>cc'd</b> 154:15	Circle 9:14	closest 160:2,5	198:3,7 199:24 200:8 201:7,17,19
	<b>CEO</b> 5:23 6:1	circumstances 193:9	closing 204:24 205:2 206:7,17 207:3	communicate 94:6
	<b>CEQA</b> 21:21	cities 34:2	<b>Code</b> 7:24 8:4 13:10,	116:22
	certain 27:7 135:8	city 69:8 95:23 96:1,	14	communication
	certainly 16:11 145:12 147:25	17,18 105:25 106:4, 12 108:20	colleagues 199:15	200:6
	149:15,25 205:8 certificate 14:18,19,	Claire 111:24 112:18, 22,23 114:24 174:25	college 14:15 66:24 96:5 Collins 111:24	communications 118:13 150:20 176:21
	21,24 <b>Chad</b> 199:16	<b>clarification</b> 35:3,4 135:16	112:18,22,23 114:24 117:7 175:1	<b>community</b> 67:7 96:21 98:8,17
	<b>chain</b> 142:13	clarified 147:4	combined 169:25	118:20 179:17
	chair 6:6 31:3,11 37:17 70:1 106:8 chairman 15:24	clarify 71:15 72:1 136:9 165:2 176:23 177:15	come 9:2 58:11 77:17 91:14 95:11 96:13 104:1,7 118:15	180:1,8  company 190:1  compare 134:23
	140:9	class 146:1,2,5,11,22	128:4,11 131:15	comparison 180:1
	chairperson 15:25	147:6,8	138:13	compilation 128:16
	16:4,9,13 26:17 37:5	classes 15:2,4	comes 28:2 110:12	compiled 113:13
J	1	İ	İ	İ

126:13 136:2,10 complaining 185:22	73:14 74:6,8 86:25 90:1 92:16 95:17	Congratulations 154:3	contacted 71:21 140:10 194:25
<b>complete</b> 75:9 83:19	97:9,24 100:5,15 119:14 127:19,24	<b>connect</b> 141:10	contain 196:15
103:5 141:25 157:14 170:12 184:15,20,21	128:1 129:6 133:9 134:24 145:9 159:5	connected 101:6	<b>contained</b> 107:14 145:16
completed 20:21	185:12 195:13	43:2 120:13 167:7	contaminants 102:22
141:14 191:4	<b>concert</b> 40:10 85:13	connects 197:15	contaminate 102:2
completing 178:5 completion 165:5	<b>concluded</b> 82:2,8 95:2 149:13	consequences 147:15 149:17	<b>contamination</b> 100:15 101:15
<b>complex</b> 38:21 39:14 66:14,15,16 150:10	conclusion 93:16 95:8 115:25 127:3,	conservation 87:14 134:13	contemplated 90:5 108:1
<b>complexities</b> 66:17 67:6 76:12	13 145:18 175:11 conclusions 196:7	consider 113:21 156:13 188:18	contentious 124:6,21
compliance 100:20	condition 158:9	consideration 22:22	93:24 131:23
155:16	175:23	110:23 121:14 129:12,18,19	continued 187:13
complied 149:21	conditions 84:8 156:19 188:14	170:13,20 178:17	continuous 199:8,10
comply 121:22 205:8	197:2,11,20 203:4	187:13	conversation 32:22
computer 50:23 171:19	<b>conduct</b> 84:4 86:5 156:7,10	considerations 147:22	33:9 38:15 49:24 71:9 86:11 97:13
<b>concern</b> 5:21,24 6:2 21:8 53:23 54:6,7,12	confer 203:18 conference 112:8	considered 28:6 39:2 122:2 123:11 125:11	126:1 131:12 160:14 190:17
58:18 67:16 82:11 91:11 99:21 101:18,		129:25 149:12	conversations 20:5 62:1 66:19 67:10
23 102:7 126:13 128:21 146:13	confession 189:25 confidence 178:2	<b>considering</b> 102:10 103:3 156:25	69:19 86:12 96:15 97:15,18 98:10,18
150:12 157:11	confidences 131:10	consistent 92:13	108:19 132:1 136:25
179:8,16	confident 23:25	consolidate 56:18	160:13
<b>concerned</b> 8:6 53:25 54:3 57:16,19 58:22	confines 145:21	179:19,20	conversion 200:14
65:20,22 74:18	<b>confirm</b> 11:13 165:9	consolidated 180:4	<b>copy</b> 22:8 42:12,19 46:25 47:3 49:3
75:20 76:5 87:9	confirms 52:23	constant 202:14,18	corner 63:15 64:5
90:17,23 96:20,21, 22,23 97:3 101:12	conflicting 65:22	constructed 48:15	72:18
102:4 131:24 134:3,	conformity 148:22	construction 21:9 84:10 100:19 102:2	corners 99:5
19 147:25  concerning 54:24 80:25 86:11	confused 58:13 110:10 134:18 177:16	construed 134:15	correct 11:14,15 13:6,24 14:10 16:1, 14 18:13 19:13
<b>concerns</b> 53:21 55:6 56:12 59:21 67:18	confusing 164:15 confusion 147:7	<b>contact</b> 68:9,11 69:7, 8 71:15 138:16	20:22 23:10 24:5 25:14,17,20 26:17 27:2,7,8,13,22 29:14

31:13,20,21 36:19, 25 37:12 41:12 43:3, 4,8 44:8,12,15 49:1 50:3,24 51:13,16 52:12 53:11 59:1 60:2,21 62:12 64:2 65:9,13 70:14 72:14, 24 79:24 85:20 86:3 87:2,17 89:3 90:7, 11,25 91:1,4,22 99:8 101:19 105:19 106:9,16 107:17 108:7,11 111:3,4 112:8 113:4 119:9 120:5,14,23 122:5,8 123:11 127:16 129:3,10 134:5 135:9 137:19,24 139:11,18 143:19 145:6 146:7,16,23 147:2,12 148:5 152:11,15,20 153:16,17,20 154:1, 2,24,25 155:13,24 156:2,3,6 157:16,17, 20,21 158:4,16,17, 19 161:1,9 162:9,10 163:19 164:23 165:15,16,20,21,25 166:20,22,25 167:1, 5,8,9 168:3 169:23, 24 170:2,9 171:7,8, 11,12,18 173:20,21, 24 175:9,14,17 177:18 178:6,12,17, 18,25 179:2 182:5,8 183:3 184:4,8 186:3, 9 187:13,17,25 188:5,6,10,11 189:2, 8 191:16,20,24 192:21,22 193:12, 13,22,23 196:13 199:9,23 201:17 202:13,24,25

corrections 52:4

**correctly** 34:18 43:18 53:2,6 58:14 174:10 179:22

cost 25:23 179:19

costs 131:3

council 106:5

**counsel** 6:12,16 40:10 85:13 114:13 168:6 175:10 176:25 205:9

**county** 17:12,23 160:9

**couple** 7:22 55:18 191:3 206:13,21

**course** 30:5 175:25 203:19

coursework 15:13,16

**court** 5:4,17,25 6:3, 10,21,25 7:5,11,15, 18,21,25 8:5,13,18, 19,22 9:1,10,13,16 10:10,25 11:6,9,17, 20 13:11,15 16:24 18:22 24:8,11 26:3, 6,9 27:3,21 28:9,15, 17,20 42:21,23 43:25 44:3 45:8 46:18,25 47:3,5,9, 11,20 55:14,16,21 59:13,15,18 60:15, 22 61:4,12,20 62:1,9 63:11 75:11 78:15 82:24 83:7,13,21 84:20,24 85:10 86:10,21 93:17 95:9 103:6,8,10,14,17,21, 24 104:3 105:5,8 112:24 116:7 117:3 126:24 127:4,9,14 128:7 129:24 131:8, 17,22 132:12 133:1 137:13 141:3,5,10,

21,24 144:14,16,19, 22,23 145:4,8 146:8, 14,17,20,24 147:9, 13,24 148:7,11,14, 25 149:7 150:15 151:3,7,18,25 152:3 159:17 163:3,8 166:3,14 172:10 173:11,14 176:18 177:4 186:18.20 187:5 189:16,21 191:5 194:16 200:20 201:10 203:11,14, 16,19,24 204:4,8,18 205:4,9,16,21,25 206:6,18,23,25 207:2,5,7,14,20,24 208:4

Court's 205:7

courtroom 174:7

**cover** 19:20 20:3,13 100:9

**covered** 19:23 100:18 102:8

**covering** 21:6 24:19 100:6

**COVID** 16:6,9,11

Craig 6:4 48:15,22, 24,25 49:13 51:10, 20 112:21,23 114:24 117:7

**create** 162:13

**created** 148:9 162:8, 14

credentialing 153:24

Creek 19:16

criteria 27:2

**critical** 92:2,6,18,22 138:9

crop 102:20 cross-examination 5:13 105:11 194:17 current 19:22 146:25 currently 15:24 152:25

D

dam 21:10 101:2

**Daniel** 50:14,15

data 59:9 75:2,3 84:9 132:14 133:3,9 134:4 156:20 157:12 158:8,15 165:24 166:9,19 174:23 175:20 178:20 181:12 182:15 183:23 200:12

dataset 157:14

date 23:4,6 33:12 36:7 65:10 68:15 85:25 130:19 142:19 161:3,4 207:2

**dated** 74:9 111:25 112:18 114:24 117:8 143:22 181:3 195:19

**David** 101:12 180:21 181:17 182:5 195:16,17

day 32:9,13 37:17 52:5 55:2 88:18 89:11,14,15 95:2 112:5,8 161:6 183:15 184:2 196:18,20,22,24 199:10 200:15 201:16

**days** 184:10,18 185:3 205:18 206:21

**de** 149:7 **Dennis** 30:25 31:1,10 182:10,19,21 183:4, 123:23 10,15,16,22 185:9 80:2 185:10 deal 24:17 104:6 differ 150:21 195:7,16 196:11,17 **deny** 147:20 deals 24:3 28:13 difference 118:16 declarations 49:23 **denying** 148:17 175:5 177:15 debate 191:12 120:13 121:2 149:10 Department 56:17 differences 54:18 150:17 167:12,14,15 December 137:17 206:14 169:22 170:1,3,5 depend 156:17 180:3 different 35:11 39:8 185:7 186:8 187:12 45:1,4 48:2,5 65:25 declaring 170:10 depending 197:10 66:8,11,13,19 67:6,8 decide 134:23 136:5 **deemed** 149:13 **depends** 184:12 72:7 74:6 78:9,10 189:17 206:10 205:14,17 81:9,10 87:7 92:20, decided 17:24 71:23 defined 46:1 48:7 21,23 96:5,12,13,16 deposits 38:3 75:4,19 81:2 149:18 153:23 156:15 98:7,16 99:7 108:1 described 11:23 188:21 109:3 110:8 113:16 decides 36:3 describes 13:5 119:24 120:8 122:24 defines 47:14,16,18, decipher 39:12 130:11 132:6 19 describing 11:11 134:12,16 140:5 decision 17:22 39:16 definite 94:9 description 13:18 40:5,6 44:11 59:1,2 155:20 67:20 69:23 72:6,7 **definitely** 30:5 36:7 designated 27:6 differently 77:1 93:6 77:10 78:21 79:5,8, 66:7,12 77:10 89:21 134:15 138:25 designating 5:5 12 94:8 98:11 98:19 121:16 122:2 difficult 68:4 77:4 123:18 131:25 140:5 detail 121:15 156:16 124:20 207:15 145:22 148:21,25 detailed 157:15 definition 46:1 difficulty 11:1 decision-making 102:16 122:21 determination 39:25 132:5 184:12 diligence 76:16,18 40:11 42:1 65:3 85:9 77:7 87:12 118:10 decisions 40:21 146:21 147:18 157:8 degree 14:17 39:15 123:23 132:8 185:4, 73:22 201:3 determinations 93:20 5 declaration 8:18 delay 196:2 determine 7:12 58:17 direct 9:20 152:7 36:10 38:12,13 89:6 118:17 delayed 170:11 169:12 179:5,25 42:13,15,16 43:1,5, determined 55:24 11 44:23 45:1,13,18 delaying 185:16 directed 116:11 83:19 85:14 88:23 46:5,6,11,15 47:1,6, 139:23 143:16 delays 185:8,22,23, 14,25 48:4,8,13,14, determining 28:10 25 186:25 187:10 directing 113:10 16,19,25 49:13,17, 41:22 156:13 **delete** 49:12 direction 168:24 19,21 50:2,6,7,11, developed 157:4 18,23 51:3,8,11,18, delve 77:14 156:16 directly 100:24 23 52:19 53:5.19 **Development** 96:8 157:2 171:21 60:1 61:25 67:25 diagram 62:12,15 demand 196:18 director 14:11 143:17 79:17 81:15 82:1 198:12 101:10 120:18,20,22 demonstrate 56:9 directors 18:8 25:5 diagrams 60:11 167:8,13,17,18,23 93:5 94:17,18 demonstrated 178:21 168:2,5,7,16,20 140:16 dialed 141:17 denied 45:8 148:14 169:16 170:6 disagree 42:1,3,5 dialogue 92:17 116:4 180:16,17,18 181:1

Index: de-disagree

44:10 95:1 150:5	distinctly 133:24	domestic 87:10,20	<b>DWR's</b> 163:1
disappointment	district 14:12 16:18	88:22 89:6 90:17,24	
125:21	17:2,7,15,18 18:7,	160:3 161:16	E
discarded 36:6,8,11	10,15 19:8,18 20:15	<b>dot</b> 164:22	
discern 195:11,24	21:1,4 22:5,12 23:2, 10,18 24:4,18 25:5,	double-check 133:13	e-mail 70:7 111:24
	22 31:2,6 33:2 47:18	183:5,11	112:17,19,21,23 114:17,18,23 117:7
disconnect 77:24	50:12,20 51:14	doubt 23:16,18	139:6 140:20 141:1
discovered 202:17	57:20,23 68:8,13	40:17,24 86:6	142:13,25 143:14,
discretion 39:12,24	69:15 89:3,6 96:1	doubts 83:16	16,19,21,24,25
40:8,16 95:1	100:6,25 105:18	downhill 102:6	144:5 154:13
discuss 30:20 34:9,	106:12,20 108:24		160:22,24 161:3,4
11 49:21,23 67:23	159:7 161:15 171:2	downward 173:1	165:3 181:22 182:5,
71:19 92:8 130:16	186:11	draft 49:13,18 50:10,	6 191:9
131:1 140:8 175:10	distrust 72:15	17,23 51:2,5,8,11,	<b>e-mailed</b> 170:10
176:21 180:20	distrusted 66:5,7	18,23 162:14 168:5,	<b>e-mails</b> 31:22 70:10,
190:2,6,8 199:14	ditch 19:12,15,19,20,	7,16,19	18,21 139:7,13,22
discussed 30:22 31:9	23 20:14 21:6,8,25	drawdown 88:7	169:14 181:16,18
37:8 51:10 52:5	22:2,7,13,24 24:19	197:10,16 198:17	earlier 68:15 100:7
54:24 66:3 71:22	25:23 68:18 100:7,	203:2	early 170:3
80:23 88:11,12 112:11 121:9 124:5	21,22,24 101:19,20,	drawing 162:11	
130:22 133:10 188:8	21 102:3	<b>draws</b> 58:11	<b>earned</b> 153:18
203:7	divided 197:18	drilled 155:24	earthened 21:10
discussing 78:7	Division 14:11	driller's 88:6	earthquake 24:7,9
113:12 117:23	divisive 111:18		easier 67:15
123:12 133:17	document 44:17	drinking 180:2	easily 162:1
176:25	61:9,11,15,17,20	drive 109:13	
discussion 20:2,18	62:25 63:3 82:25	driven 154:22	<b>easy</b> 185:19
21:24 33:17 34:21	83:1 156:24 157:4	dropping 102:11	Economic 96:8
37:10,22 38:24 52:8 67:2 69:9 80:22 81:1	159:14 173:16	drove 95:20 100:3	<b>ed</b> 15:11
92:8 94:2,21 113:3	documentation 54:16	109:5,7	effect 178:19
117:22 122:20 165:1	78:19	dry 56:16	effects 75:17
192:2 201:15	documents 19:16	due 76:15,18 77:7	eight 103:10
discussions 32:13	20:23 44:1,3 155:15	87:12 118:10 123:23	
34:4,15 52:10 56:2,5	162:6 172:12 173:10	132:7 184:23 185:4,	either 31:17 33:1 110:13 121:1 122:8
74:10,14 92:23	doing 30:17 31:25	5,8	145:25 190:25
94:22 118:13 123:16	38:22 57:5 58:23,24	dust 102:2	194:25
139:17	69:7 85:17 87:13 102:17 118:8 121:18	duties 27:19	elaborate 38:4
dispute 43:2	124:7 132:7 134:13		179:12
distaste 71:1		<b>DWR</b> 162:23	

		. ,	
<b>elected</b> 105:20,22 106:2	engineers 108:21,25 150:16,21 152:13	95:24 96:25 105:5 135:13	14,16 11:12,14,15, 16,22 12:10,20 13:1
	150:16,21 152:15		17:25 18:1,3,6
embarrassing 172:4		evidence 5:10 7:23	22:15,16,17,21 23:1
embodied 148:12	<b>ensure</b> 59:4,8	8:3 10:9 13:10,14 18:1 22:17 25:1	24:3,17,25 25:1,3,8
emotion 78:18	<b>ensured</b> 58:25 59:3,6	40:23 47:8 52:24	42:17 47:7,8 62:7
employee 132:19	ensuring 102:1	53:4 54:13 63:5,23	63:4,5,6,19,21,23,24 64:22 72:16,17
employees 32:19	entered 20:14 27:21	95:5 110:18 111:22 114:21 132:21 142:5	73:12 81:15,21 83:4,
endeavors 129:5	entering 24:3	145:15 147:16,25	7,12 101:11 110:17,
ended 72:6	Enterprise 96:9	149:14 150:1 160:18	18,21 111:21,22,24 112:1 114:10,20,21,
endorse 132:18	entertain 205:7	172:8 181:24 182:2 195:8 198:25 202:2	25 117:6 119:13
enforce 5:6 126:24	entirely 149:2 164:16	206:15	124:1 128:11 133:8 137:16 140:25
engage 68:3 126:7	entity 96:9	evidentiary 5:11	142:4,5,11 143:22
engineer 5:7 39:3,11	equation 197:15	204:3	159:10,13 160:16,
40:9 41:20 54:23	equivocal 132:14	exact 53:20 78:14	18,19,21 161:6,22 162:4 163:13 167:11
55:24 58:17 64:14	<b>errors</b> 201:1,5 202:3,	80:15 189:4	172:1,7,8,19 181:21,
65:8,15 73:1,23	6,9,16	exactly 43:16 66:9	23,24 182:1,2,4
74:15,20 80:12 85:3, 13 88:23 90:4 92:4	<b>escrow</b> 146:12	88:10 109:21 136:1 162:21 168:13	195:7,8,16 196:12
93:13,21 94:16	<b>especially</b> 71:8 126:9	192:13 193:21	197:21,23 198:21, 24,25 204:15
95:15 116:1 117:24 118:14 119:11	essentially 205:3	<b>exam</b> 154:1	exhibits 6:18 9:25
118:14 119:11 120:4,17 122:21	establish 131:22	examination 9:20	10:1,24 43:14,15
123:7 124:22 125:7	132:2	103:4,18 117:4	61:8,10,16,18,21
126:13 127:22,23	established 123:8	141:7,13 150:24	62:4 154:13 171:25
130:6 132:13,25	150:4,8	152:7 153:24 200:22	181:20 204:6,12
134:5,12 135:5 136:2 137:22 145:16	estimate 106:19	<b>examine</b> 13:9,13	207:11,13,15
149:1 150:13,19,24	197:19	173:15	exist 178:11
152:22 153:5,9	estimated 91:6,17	examined 141:8	existing 62:19
154:10 155:5 166:20 174:16,19 180:13	estimates 198:12	<b>example</b> 75:1 98:24	<b>expect</b> 197:17 200:2
181:9 185:16 188:19	evaluate 73:24	Excellent 190:1	<b>expected</b> 154:19
189:7 194:22	149:22	exchange 31:22	156:1
engineer's 37:19	evaluating 64:14	<b>exclude</b> 7:24 8:4,14	<b>experience</b> 111:16 153:23
39:25 66:5 72:9,22 74:19 80:23 97:25	102:10 155:2	<b>excuse</b> 23:20 29:3	<b>expert</b> 55:8 66:12
119:13 135:22 170:9	evaluation 80:10	99:8 183:9	73:21
202:11	evening 207:25	<b>executed</b> 20:25 167:22	<b>experts</b> 55:9 134:11
engineering 15:19,22	<b>event</b> 148:2		<b>explain</b> 37:14 38:1
120:12 145:2,4	everybody 5:17	<b>exhibit</b> 8:18 10:3,7,9,	54:10 66:1 74:21

Index: elected-explain

77:19 81:8 176:3 189:18 explained 140:20 explaining 48:13 58:14 explanation 123:25 **express** 101:12 expressed 180:11 expression 137:2,7, 10 191:13,15 extensions 110:11 **extensive** 168:12,14 **extent** 131:6,9 141:11 **extract** 178:24 extracted 121:24 156:2 F

Facebook 10:18 12:1 facilitate 62:8 facilities 99:22 facility 101:5 fact 38:19 54:25 67:5 77:8 88:14 99:22 100:18 118:18 119:7 125:21 129:9 149:15 154:22 169:4,25 175:11 178:21 180:20 185:6 191:15 202:9 factors 185:24 186:1 facts 52:24 53:3 82:22 131:14 173:8, 16 fail 54:14 58:2 76:10 182:16

failed 57:16 158:19 183:3 failing 57:24 182:24 failure 58:15 fair 16:8 65:7 98:21 125:24 157:6,9 familiar 20:9 106:25 137:1,6 **family** 20:15 far 64:18 132:20 149:9 farm 102:24 **farmers** 58:11 farming 67:14 Farms 21:23 22:23 24:5,18 96:2 fault 67:5 154:23 158:10,13 188:14 favorably 79:1 feedback 92:2 feeds 101:4,21 feel 23:25 35:3 39:13, 17 58:20 59:8 69:22 70:6,16 79:18 85:23 89:19 116:2,5 118:9 120:2 123:21 125:6 135:8 138:25 140:2, 3 193:24 194:6 202:15 205:4 feeling 71:6,7 109:24 feet 87:23,25 88:15 90:5 91:4,7,18,21 102:12 160:6 162:17 196:21,23 197:9 199:6 200:15 202:8 feet allocation 90:24

finding 10:4 38:16 95:16 117:24,25 157:18

felt 37:19 42:4 58:23 71:20 82:10,17,23 83:1 115:22 117:25 124:21 125:25 126:10 135:20 157:25 174:3 175:16 179:8 188:19 191:18 194:2,4 fight 175:3 figure 47:24 56:23 64:25 65:16 89:5 150:9,14 162:9,12, 16 164:20,21 165:5 file 195:2 204:24 filed 7:10 44:7,14 45:12 fill 132:21 filled 136:13 **final** 40:5 45:15,16

153:24 159:4 204:20 finalization 22:14 **finalized** 20:19,20 22:1,6,8 162:15 **find** 42:14,19 61:11, 19 77:14 78:7 88:4 89:24 91:23 95:17 focused 131:25 109:20 140:25 162:24 163:18 197:22 200:25

findings 41:22 65:8, 13,14,17 66:6 72:9, 22 74:15,19 82:1,7, 10,11,12 93:13,20 95:2,15 97:20 101:13 106:24 107:13 119:15 120:5 121:4 137:23 138:1 161:7,18 162:5

188:10 189:8 201:1 202:11,23 fine 103:24 121:15 151:3 173:12,15 finish 18:20 60:15 61:4 75:11 85:22 **finished** 153:15

first 8:23 11:23 12:15 17:10 68:7 107:2,17 110:22 111:7 112:4 142:12,13,15,16 143:18,21 147:4 153:3,7 154:9 161:11 162:14 168:6 191:21

Fitzpatrick 154:16 five 38:19 111:1,12 153:22 five-mile 64:9

five-year 153:25 flip 161:25

flowers 102:24

focus 52:20 78:8 81:14 91:15 132:5 133:8

**folks** 32:23 141:17

follow 143:19,21 148:23 149:3

follow-up 143:24

followed 63:7

following 51:24

follows 9:19 152:6

forgot 13:8 66:25

**form** 22:9 45:15,16 48:17 114:9 121:20 155:15

fell 171:5,9

forth 46:2 72:22 121:11 148:23 149:4 154:16 156:21 201:6 forward 9:2 38:21 57:11 113:14 137:21 Forwarded 144:4 **fought** 40:20 **found** 61:8,15 115:11 148:3 159:15 175:20 foundation 112:25 four 99:4 127:23 four-wheeler 109:8 frankly 131:24 free 131:13 frequent 109:10 Friday 7:10 114:24 friends 67:6 front 9:24 42:25 47:1 65:10 72:16 81:21 85:24 110:16 133:11

141:1 163:14

fruitful 204:24

frustrated 185:15

full 9:10 28:1 111:3 158:14 170:12 178:2

fully 20:25 184:19 205:10

function 128:21

functioning 129:1

functions 14:9

fundamental 200:10, 16

further 38:1 56:9 59:6,7 66:22 79:19 94:6 103:6,7,17 112:24 115:12 116:4 117:1 137:5 139:23

141:12 144:11,13,15 194:12 200:18,20 203:10,11,13,23 204:5 205:7

G

gallon 98:23 197:14 gallons 54:21 89:10, 11,14,15 91:8,12 196:18,19,20,22,24 197:6,7,11 198:5,9, 13 199:7,12 200:15 201:16 202:7

**qap** 134:4

gaps 133:9 136:12

**gardens** 102:21

gathering 119:23

gears 109:14

general 15:11 30:22, 24 31:1,5 34:3 62:23 67:4 69:8 71:16 80:19 99:20 101:8

generate 102:2

generous 172:6

geologic 158:5

geological 61:1 62:14.15 66:2 174:20

geologist 152:11,12, 21 153:16 191:22

geologist's 157:7

Geologists 152:14 153:22

geology 14:25 15:2,4, 5,8,11,16,22

Geosyntec 60:19 63:1,13 64:23,25

65:16 158:21 159:22 162:20 163:12 164:3,6,10,18 165:4 181:13 194:25 195:15 197:8.19 203:2,3

**gesture** 136:21

getting 81:18 120:9 130:3 153:15 183:23 189:14

**GIS** 153:2 162:15

give 6:23 9:6 10:3 37:18 38:10 39:18 47:11 54:19 60:10 65:7 69:14 75:1 78:17,18 86:3 92:8 93:5 96:18 113:18 114:1 116:3 123:22 130:4 151:10 163:5 166:10 185:4,5 205:9

given 54:17 73:8 77:23 83:25 84:15 90:9 92:2 136:3 148:2 165:22 166:8, 22 207:15

gives 102:16 132:16

giving 39:11 59:9 67:19 68:4 77:21 89:20 114:7 123:23

Glendale 13:24

**go** 24:13 25:19 34:14, 25 37:23 42:18 46:17 47:23 55:21 63:21,24 70:22 71:7 74:5,19 75:11 76:1 79:20 85:10 90:10 94:5 102:22 109:12 110:10 115:10 117:3 121:6 130:7 135:25 143:4 145:19 163:25 173:11 176:2 178:13

183:11 187:12 191:5 194:16 201:14

**go-ahead** 59:10

goalpost 138:4

goalposts 137:2,3

goes 78:15 81:8 100:24,25

going 6:11 9:25 11:1, 22 16:24,25 21:16 37:8 39:20 51:20 62:1 70:20 78:12 79:13,14 81:3 83:22 86:1 87:2,3 89:16, 19,23 90:6,9 91:12 92:20,21 93:24 102:20,22 104:5 107:24 116:6 118:8. 21 119:6 128:19 131:18 132:21 139:15 141:10,12,22 143:4 185:19 204:2 205:20 207:14

**good** 6:4 9:22,23 18:23 59:12 84:12 94:4 97:1 100:20 104:8 105:5,13,14 109:1 114:6 136:21 152:9,10 180:11 190:1 207:19

gotten 20:19 100:9 132:8

governed 27:20

governs 93:8

graciously 68:8 69:14

graduate 14:1,4

grant 85:2

granted 13:15

grants 100:9 177:17

**grasses** 102:24 greater 203:2 **ground** 121:19 **grounds** 150:7 176:12 groundwater 6:8 57:25 62:21 83:7 92:2 93:4 107:13 108:18 152:15,18, 22,23 156:19,25 159:14 162:5 163:21 180:21 186:2,7 198:20 199:15,18 **Groundwater's** 151:23 161:7 199:3 group 95:24 113:9 growers 58:10 guarantee 59:7 guess 12:12 37:4 122:6,9,14 183:20 guidance 156:24 157:4 guys 44:1 54:19 87:5 135:3 Н **half** 163:5 hammering 124:22, 23 125:6,7 hand 151:8 189:15 handle 207:13

handled 77:3 hang 181:19 186:4 happen 57:1 162:25 201:1 happened 23:19 35:18 38:14 53:11

78:8 115:21 129:10 131:15 145:12 170:14 193:10 **happens** 66:10 hard 38:2 40:19 136:8 189:21 194:3 harm 40:17 54:14 57:17,24 58:7 head 23:12 26:21 33:12 64:21 68:16, 25 88:3,10 89:23 123:4 151:23 156:23 heading 16:12 headquarters 78:3 health 76:4,23 hear 5:25 71:24 83:12 117:19 126:10 149:25 192:21 heard 69:13,17 130:2 132:20 145:14 147:25 149:9 157:10 160:15 174:5,6 hearing 5:12 8:1 11:1 85:15 94:19,20,23 119:7,9 124:12 125:9 141:20 147:5 149:7 192:5 204:3 heavily 34:25 **held** 78:1 help 34:16 94:7 113:19 128:19 helpful 144:22 151:1 204:13 helping 110:1 166:12 **helps** 147:9 Henry 50:14,15

hey 71:16 74:20 **Herbert** 13:19,23 14:1

high 13:19,23 14:1 15:7,8 100:4 154:20 158:11 174:21 188:15 189:11 198:11 higher 157:22 158:2 188:23 hill 33:1 95:25 102:6 hit 153:25 **Hm-hmm** 142:23 161:8 **hold** 142:6 207:17 holes 136:11 home 159:3 179:23 homes 56:15,18 76:10 79:13 160:4,9 **Honor** 5:16 6:4.15.20 7:4,17,19,23 8:4,16, 17,21,24 10:23 11:4, 8,11 12:6 13:8 16:23 24:6,7 26:2 27:4 28:16,19 42:18 45:6, 9 46:23 47:7,13 55:11 59:11,14 60:6, 12,17,25 61:11,14 62:2,8 63:3,10 75:9 83:11 84:17 86:7 103:4 104:2.8 116:25 117:2 131:11,14,21 132:10,24 133:6 137:11 140:24 141:15 144:12.21 145:6 146:7 147:3, 23 148:5,20 149:5 150:3 151:1 172:11 173:6,12 176:11,13 181:1 189:13,20 191:2 194:12,15 200:19,21 201:8

203:10,15,17,22 204:3,5,10,23 205:15 206:12.20 207:4,10,19 208:1,3 Hoover 13:19,23 14:1 15:8 hoping 56:22 113:24 119:19 128:17 135:15 **hot** 191:13,15,18 194:3 **hour** 50:20 51:12 103:25 163:4 hours 51:13 108:13, 15 199:10 household 89:7,14 housekeeping 7:22 206:13 houses 66:25 **Huh** 180:11 198:9 **Hummer** 5:16,20 6:20,22 7:3,6,14,19, 22 8:2,6,15,24 9:21 10:11,23 11:3,7,10, 21 12:6,8 13:8,13, 16,17 16:22 17:1 18:2 22:18 24:6,9,15 25:2 26:12 27:4,5 28:12,16,19,23 42:18,24 45:6,9,10 46:23 47:2,7,13,22 55:11,15,22 59:11, 14,19 60:12,16,20, 24 61:7,14,23 62:2, 10 63:3,6,13,17 64:1 73:4 75:24 83:4,11 84:17,21 85:11 86:14,24 93:18 95:10 103:4,7 104:1, 8 112:19 114:15 117:2,5 127:6,16,17

15:8

128:8 130:14 131:14,20 132:4,24 133:6.7 137:14 140:24 141:8,13,15 142:2,7 144:11,19, 21 145:6 146:7,10, 16,18,23 147:3,12, 21 148:5,20 149:5 150:3 151:5 152:8 159:19 160:20 163:10 165:12 166:6,18 172:9,14 173:6,17 176:13 177:5 182:3 186:22 187:6 189:13,24 191:2,6 194:12,20 200:21,23 201:13 203:10,17,20,22 204:1,10,23 205:14, 17,24 206:12,19 207:4,10,19,22 208:1 husband 10:21 11:25

**husband** 10:21 11:2 12:11 36:2,6

### hydrogeologic

154:20,21 158:14 197:11

# hydrogeologist

199:17

hydrogeology 14:22 15:14,19 73:25 74:1 189:23

hydrological 61:2 62:15 66:3 73:18 83:25 84:15

**hydrologist** 37:24 79:4 92:19

ı

idea 39:1 71:4

ideal 197:2

**ideas** 126:7

identifiable 82:7,13

identification 61:21

identified 82:21

198:1

identify 62:6 110:21 159:3 182:18 183:17 196:6

**ifs** 97:21

ignored 181:8,15 182:11,22 183:13

ignoring 182:25

illegal 58:10

**imagery** 160:10

immediately 162:6

impact 60:3 73:24 97:5 122:16 133:4 161:16 202:10

implementing 157:7

importance 109:19

important 38:18 39:16 78:21,22 126:14 129:1 138:10 147:14 149:16 157:25

improved 84:5

**in-depth** 73:19

in-person 32:25

inappropriate 194:7

inclined 205:7

include 12:22 98:22

included 38:6 63:4 124:1 133:21 158:20 163:13 166:16 168:19 171:15

188:13 195:1 198:13

includes 172:20,21

including 84:9 86:12 94:18

incomplete 163:1

inconsistencies 66:2

Incorrect 171:19

incorrectly 82:2,8

independent 134:22 150:5 156:4

indicated 124:2

indicates 14:8 111:7

individual 156:5

individualized 156:11

individuals 80:5,8

indulgence 61:18

**information** 13:5 14:9 39:11 54:20 56:4,8, 21 57:9 58:25 65:25 68:5 69:14 70:19 72:1 75:14 78:25 84:10 85:7 86:11 92:9 93:5 94:24 95:5,17 110:15 113:18 114:4 115:13 116:3,8 117:25 118:3 119:23 126:15 136:3 140:4 145:15 158:23,25 159:6 162:19,22 165:8,14, 17,19,23 166:8,22, 25 167:3,5 171:22 174:18,20 176:7 177:12 180:22 181:14 182:8,12,16, 20,25 183:18 184:1,

initial 154:7,11

195:3

20 188:7,13,23

189:2,9 190:10

initially 115:14 154:19 160:14 174:10

injury 41:23 82:3,9, 16,17 83:20 85:6 93:14 95:16 155:8 156:8,11,14 157:8, 20 175:21 177:12 178:22 188:21

**input** 80:5,9 96:17 193:16

instruct 134:4

**insufficient** 90:25 157:12

intend 119:7 144:19

intending 21:14

intent 8:13 18:16 19:4,6 21:13,14,15, 16 22:4

interested 115:13 141:18 165:10

interesting 53:23 180:12

intermeddling 186:14

internal 164:6 196:17

interpret 178:3

interpreted 54:8 82:20

interrogated 193:25

intimidating 194:5

**Intro** 13:2

investigate 100:14

invited 77:17

inviting 77:13

involved 74:12 76:20

**ipad** 35:12,14 50:25 51:1,2 171:17

Index: husband-ipad

irrelevant 149:19

isolated 158:11

issue 42:6 55:19 76:8 101:23 111:19 119:15 135:23 145:19 147:7 149:16 200:17 207:11

**issued** 195:2

issues 6:11 39:14 66:15 67:21 76:10, 11 82:6 97:5,22,24 106:15 109:2 127:22 138:9 150:2,10,11, 13 157:10 180:14

item 18:13,17,25 20:25 24:2,16 25:10, 25 34:11,14 100:8, 12 101:11 115:16 121:7 123:25 130:12 133:14 150:25 169:13,14 190:20 191:19

items 81:2 113:17 119:12 137:20

J

January 29:8,25 30:2, 6,10 34:6 65:9 66:18 72:10,19,23 73:2,16 74:9 95:11,14 98:3, 22 99:12 106:24 107:3,11 108:18 119:15 120:4 121:3 122:10 127:23 129:14 137:18,21 159:5,20 161:5 162:5 178:8,10 187:13,20,23 188:1, 5,10,25 189:8 198:22,23 201:2 202:11,18,19,23 **Jeep** 109:9,13

job 85:7

jogged 198:2

**John** 5:23 6:1 142:16

Joshua 6:9

**Judge** 39:7 44:12 76:17 123:20

judgment 27:21,24 28:1,2,4,13,15 29:6, 12 39:4,8,10 40:22 41:2 75:25 76:3 93:7,12 109:18 121:10,22 126:22,25 127:8 128:4,11,15 145:21 148:12,15,23 149:4,21 150:5,6 155:1,6 156:9,15 157:5 188:21

judicial 7:1,3,7,8,9

judicially 204:16

juncture 103:5

June 114:25 115:5,16 116:13 118:23 119:2,16 136:20

K

**Kate** 153:8 158:23 165:3,10 181:16

**Kathryn** 9:12,17

**Kathy** 6:7 8:25 140:11 173:18

**keep** 16:25 36:1,2 76:22 136:7

Kensington 99:22

kicked 16:6

**kind** 14:21,24 16:6 21:9 34:14 35:9 54:7

72:4 75:15 79:3,5,13 84:12 92:14,18 101:2 126:4 139:13 153:12 154:15 157:1 179:22 196:8 197:8 198:6,8

kinds 99:7

knew 38:20 99:21 186:24

know 10:5,25 20:4,19 21:12 22:1,5,8,9 23:20 24:21 25:22 26:22 27:17 28:17 31:25 33:12,22 36:8 37:5 38:3 41:18 43:23 44:24 45:17 52:17 55:1,11,15 58:1,13 64:11,13,18, 22 67:1,7,13 69:2 72:4,11 76:24 77:23 80:8 81:9 86:4,21. 22,23 87:19,22,24 88:5,11 89:5,17,22 92:6.20 98:18 99:24 102:21 104:4,5 108:23,25 110:2,5,9, 15 111:5 112:20 114:1,3 115:10 116:16,17 118:1,20 119:6 120:7,16,21 121:1,15,16 123:4 124:20 129:15,22,24 131:17 134:2 136:8. 17,23 137:4 138:19, 21,22 139:5 140:6, 13,14 149:17,24 150:15 151:22 155:6 158:1,13 162:21 170:23 171:21 178:1 179:3,21,25 180:10 185:12,14,18 186:13 187:8,9 188:20 189:13 192:13 194:4

198:14 199:4 201:3

203:6,9 205:19 206:4

**knowing** 109:2 118:10 121:14

**knowledge** 58:20 121:5

known 145:16

**Knudson** 112:14 113:3 170:10

**Komar** 44:12

L

**LA** 14:17 17:12

labeled 13:2 162:12 164:6

labor 14:18,19,20

**Lake** 101:4

Lamoreaux 30:25 31:1,11 33:5,17 80:2 170:7,16,20 185:10 186:9,13 187:7

Lamoreaux's 185:12

**Lancaster** 95:22 96:1,18 97:3,8

Land 152:13 153:21

language 28:12 30:19 34:17,18

large 12:12 149:10

largely 181:8,15 182:11,22,25 183:12

larger 180:4

Larson 158:24 165:4 180:22 181:2,12,17 182:5 195:16,17 196:14 198:4 200:5, 7,25 203:7

Index: irrelevant-Larson

Transcript of Procee
<b>Larson's</b> 196:10
197:23 201:5,14
202:9
latched 198:6
late 170:4
laws 167:24

lay 112:24 layperson 38:2 92:19

lead 58:12 139:19

leads 80:13 81:10

learn 170:19

learned 93:2 94:9 122:19 161:14

learning 165:11

**leave** 98:20 103:12, 13,14

**led** 145:17 185:24 197:21

**Leed** 87:12,14 134:14

left 59:20 75:15 82:11 87:16 126:2 135:14 163:11 198:7

legal 34:19 48:3 93:15 95:7 127:2,12 173:7 177:25

legend 172:13,18

lengthy 34:20 81:1

let's 5:17 6:13 8:22 56:23,24 59:15,18 61:21 71:23,24,25 77:14 103:24 104:7 121:6 133:8 134:1 141:5 142:1 172:1 181:19 206:6 207:25

letter 16:20 17:6 18:16 19:4,6 21:12, 14,15 22:4 72:23 73:2,7,10 74:5,9 81:4 113:10,20 114:10,14,15 115:2 121:4 170:22,24 171:2 174:25 185:11 189:3 198:14,19 199:6 201:4 202:11, 14,19 203:3,5

letterhead 22:25 159:14

level 154:20 156:16 157:22 158:2 174:21 188:16,24 189:11

**liability** 179:9,13

licensed 152:12 191:21

**lies** 54:18

life 96:14

lightly 40:21 57:7

liked 65:1

likelihood 178:22

**limited** 188:22

**limits** 145:21

line 25:25 45:23,25 47:11,14,16,17,19 55:8 60:2,5,6 67:5 81:25 82:4 100:8,12 101:11 132:8 179:5 180:25 181:3 184:5

**linearly** 197:15

liner 101:2

**lines** 52:19,21 81:23 173:4,7

list 35:5 38:5 98:13 133:9 138:5 183:19,

listed 97:14 128:10 203:1

listen 77:9 81:3

**listening** 37:21 77:11 81:13

lists 117:11

lithological 84:9

litigation 124:8,12 125:17 139:19 148:10

little 7:25 12:2,5 16:5 39:8 53:8 81:10,18 89:20,21 92:12,13, 25 93:21 96:1 101:1 126:2 131:24 158:8 162:2 164:22 189:15 191:18,24

live 109:11 150:16 180:5

living 56:18 57:21 79:14

LLC 5:22 19:8

**local** 83:25 84:15 158:9

**locate** 164:11 165:19 166:24 167:5

located 13:23 16:18 154:23 160:5

location 62:18 76:2 121:12,24 123:9 156:18 157:1 158:3 160:7 182:15

**locations** 61:2 62:19 165:6 166:16

logistical 207:11

long 16:3 26:19 37:24 40:19 50:17 81:1 98:13 106:6 126:1

longer 16:6

**look** 10:2 29:23 35:15 39:7,10 40:4 43:15 45:18,21,23 46:17

48:10,11 52:18 55:2 57:11 59:9 60:1,22, 23 64:14,22 65:6 70:22 72:13,17 74:1 75:19 78:9 81:10 82:24 83:8 85:8 93:6 96:11 102:15 108:22 109:8,22,23 110:6 114:20 119:19 124:18 130:12 134:2 135:7 136:6 142:24 159:23 161:10 164:5 179:4 180:12 188:14 196:3,5 200:13 201:14 205:25

looked 25:24 29:19 36:8 50:25 64:24 65:24 91:11 96:4 97:11 99:1 100:2 110:4 116:7 129:13, 20 162:22,23

looking 10:4 11:19 23:24,25 36:9 38:21 43:20 58:13 59:9 60:16,18,23 62:7,11, 14,22 64:8 65:4 67:4 73:25 76:18 81:5 87:5 103:3 111:5 136:2 180:17,19 181:23

looks 64:19 75:3,21 92:14 142:17

Los 14:14

lot 5:9,10 6:10 27:16 30:17 35:8,13,21 37:25 67:1,11,15 84:17,21 85:25 89:22 93:2 96:4 97:14 102:16 110:3, 13 130:5 132:22 145:15 150:18 158:6 162:25 204:25

lots 66:19 67:8

love 86:20 189:23

low 58:8,9,15

lower 197:18

**lunch** 103:22,25 104:10

#### M

ma'am 73:5 152:16 153:17 154:9 155:18 157:9 161:2 167:21 169:5 171:8 173:24 189:3 191:25

Maceri 5:23 6:1 68:7, 24 69:13,21,25 70:7 71:5,13 138:15 139:10 140:7,15,21 142:16 144:8,24

Maceri's 70:18

Maclaren 6:7 8:7.12. 25 9:1,12,17,22 10:12 11:11,22 12:16 13:9,14,18 18:4 22:21 25:3 26:13 39:1,22 42:12, 20,25 43:13,21 45:11 47:23 52:21 55:23 57:14,24 59:20 60:13 61:17 62:11,25 63:4,18 67:16,25 69:4 72:8, 21 75:8,25 77:16,25 82:24 83:14 85:12 93:19 95:4 101:24 102:9 103:5 105:6,8, 13 112:20 114:20 117:6 118:21 125:20 127:7,18 128:9 132:24 133:8 136:14 137:1,15 139:3,7,9, 11 140:17 141:1,8 142:3 145:10 150:4, 20 173:18 174:3

176:5 179:12 180:10 190:4,6,8 192:13,23 193:19

**Maclaren's** 62:3 132:5 150:21

Maclaren-gomez 25:20

making 59:1 69:23 72:1 73:22 140:5 141:23 145:22 157:8 205:2

Maley 153:1 154:15

manager 30:23,24 31:1,5 69:8 71:17 99:20 108:20

managers 34:3 80:19 95:23 108:20

**manner** 194:5

**map** 62:15 158:21 162:6,8,11 195:2

mapping 162:23

**maps** 163:16

March 18:8,9

margin 110:12

marijuana 58:10

**Marina** 164:1

mark 61:21

match 11:12

matching 46:7 55:6

material 41:23 82:3,9, 16,17 83:20 85:6 93:14 95:16 155:8 156:8,11,14 157:8, 20 175:21 176:12 177:12 178:22 188:21

**Matt** 112:14 170:10

matter 6:15 9:7 75:13 78:12 114:3 115:21 117:19 126:10 141:18 149:12 151:11 204:11 206:10

matters 7:23 149:23 184:24 206:13

**Maureen** 152:25

maximum 90:6 91:3

**mayor** 96:17 97:3,8 106:4

mayors 95:22

mean 20:6,21 28:9
33:22 43:24 50:5,20
56:11 59:3 60:8
70:25 72:25 82:20
85:4 120:8 134:8
137:3,10 147:14
177:13 179:20 183:8

meaning 48:2 85:4

means 75:20 116:2

meant 47:24 54:11 179:12

measure 119:24

measures 88:16

meet 32:5 70:3 77:17 140:16 143:9 144:8 196:18

meeting 18:8,10 23:9, 21,23 24:1,3 25:4 28:21,25 29:1,9,25 30:3,10,11,15,16,21 31:20 33:11,13,14, 15,16,19,20 34:1,2, 3,7,10,20,22,24 35:18,20 36:23 37:11,12 38:6 41:5, 12 42:8 53:9 68:7, 12,17,19 69:2,15

70:4,8,17,20,24 71:1,5,16,19,21,24 73:10 77:18,25 78:5, 24 79:20,21,22,23 80:1,6,9,13 86:16 88:13,15 95:14 99:9, 11 100:6 107:11 112:12 113:6,9 114:2,4 115:11,16 116:13,15,20 117:20 119:3,17 123:13,16 127:25 130:16 136:4,22 137:21 139:1,3,5,21,22 143:11 170:1,3,4 171:7,13 172:21 175:10,12 176:17 179:6 184:14 186:8 187:16,21,22,24 188:1,3 189:4 190:4, 9 191:8,21 198:3,8 199:24 201:7,17,20, 22,24 202:4

meetings 31:16,24 32:25 33:1 35:1 37:7,9 74:11 88:11 200:8 202:1

member 15:12 26:14 69:10 97:22 132:17 146:1,2,5,11,22 191:23

members 5:14 39:9 40:6 92:7,9 94:25 96:21 110:7 120:12 124:24 125:6 167:16 193:11,17

memo 87:14 181:3,7 182:18 183:8,12,14 195:17,24 200:25 203:3

**memo'** 181:7

memorandum 23:3,4 195:5 196:11,15

Index: love-memorandum

138:4 141:9 148:18

negligible 83:20 85:6

#### **Transcript of Proceedings**

205:11 206:8 memorialized 38:11. 13 memory 80:16 194:4 198:2 mention 13:8 202:6 mentioned 28:24 40:15 99:18 161:18 173:18 195:5 198:4 mentioning 169:19 **mentions** 164:10 **message** 191:16 met 38:14 69:25 71:12 methodology 134:21 Metrolink 10:22 12:19 Michael 153:1,2 162:14 microphone 11:2,4 middle 16:6 25:8 124:5 141:7 Mike 153:1 miles 160:6 milestone 153:25 million 100:9 mind 81:9 109:1 145:25 minute 54:21 91:9,13 98:23 120:11 196:19 197:6,7,11,14 198:5, 9,13 199:7,12 202:8 minutes 25:4 59:16 103:10 126:3 miscalculation 197:22 198:1 199:14,20

miscalculations 196:6,14 **missing** 133:3 misspoke 164:13 mistake 57:4 mistakes 57:2 mixed 124:8 mobile 56:15 179:23 model 118:6,7 172:24 173:3 197:1 modifying 19:7 moment 42:19 51:7 80:16 86:6 89:17 91:19 109:14 119:8 140:25 Monday 18:8 31:17 107:5 month 29:22 107:6 months 107:19,22 127:24 185:17 Montoya 6:9 191:16 morning 5:2 6:4 7:16 9:22,23 57:15 63:10 121:9 150:22 174:7 192:2 197:25 **motion** 5:4 44:7,10, 15,19 45:7,8 111:11 120:14,19,23 126:24 127:10 147:5 167:7 203:25 204:21 motioning 50:2 motions 206:14 move 7:23 8:3 12:6 16:22 45:2 101:7 132:10,11 137:5 moved 30:18 **moving** 5:22 137:2,3

149:16 206:8 188:22 multiple 69:4 neighborhood 150:11 mute 164:25 nerve-racking 191:24 Ν never 37:8 70:10 111:20 185:19 190:19 **name** 9:11,12 25:19 80:14 88:2 151:14, **new** 19:25 21:7 28:7, 19,21 152:1 167:16 13 29:7,17,20,24 30:9,14 33:7,18 named 123:13 35:6,17 36:18 38:7 **nature** 168:15 40:24 41:23 59:22 **nauseam** 107:24 61:25 63:9 64:15 69:1 73:24 79:2 **nearby** 197:10 80:3,9 84:4 87:1 necessarily 41:13 88:24 90:10 91:2,7 42:3,5 56:3 58:2 95:19 96:18 97:4,9 69:3,22 73:19 77:5 99:5 102:11 108:5 80:25 90:15 97:11 110:24 116:3 118:22 100:8,20 110:5 119:1,15 121:20 117:21 120:7 138:20 123:2 125:3,10,23 175:15 127:10 129:13 130:16,23 131:4 necessary 65:18 133:21 136:15 138:7 116:2 163:22 183:20 148:18 152:18 153:4 202:5,15 154:6 155:2,8,14,17, need 7:11 8:11 35:3 19 156:5 157:15 38:19 39:15 43:21 160:25 163:16 164:4 55:16 56:20 65:6 169:21 170:8 171:3, 71:25 75:14 78:9,13, 5 177:7,9 178:5,10, 15 86:10 87:10 14,16 185:11 187:11 90:14 94:5 107:8 188:4 190:3,12,15, 128:14 132:4 136:6 20 192:3,9 139:12 144:21 183:4 **nice** 109:12 199:7 non-pumper 146:1 **needed** 54:17 65:5 79:1.7.19 95:17 nonresponsive 12:7 168:2 170:19 184:14 16:22 45:2,7 188:20 205:23 nontechnical 97:24 needing 20:3 noon 103:11 needs 64:14 88:22 **Nope** 124:25 110:6 131:22

normal 185:2 normally 89:22 193:11 **north** 66:23 146:12 160:4,6,7 161:15 northwest 161:15 **Notably 196:16 notate** 87:11 note 65:2 162:2 171:20 178:7 189:12 **notes** 35:12,13,16,22, 24 36:1,2,4,9 37:25 38:2 43:14 60:13.16. 20 61:24 62:3 88:8 171:13,17,23 172:20,21 179:6 notice 7:1,4,7,8,10 noticeable 204:17 November 137:17 165:3 170:1,4 181:3, 17 183:11 195:6,19, 20 200:25 206:9,22, 23,24 **novo** 149:7 **number** 10:3 18:13. 17 24:2,16 47:5,12 63:12 64:3 72:17 83:3 121:7 122:15 124:1 125:25 133:14 140:25 149:10 169:13,14 195:7 numbered 63:14 172:12 numbers 25:8 63:21 64:4 90:10 203:8 numerous 29:18 30:22 96:15 99:2

136:23,25

0 oath 9:3 105:9 163:9 object 7:7 86:7 131:11 176:12 204:14 objection 7:2,13 93:15 95:7 112:19 114:15 127:2,12 128:6 131:6,18,23 166:1 177:3 186:16 187:3 201:9 204:13 **objections** 141:11,23 206:15 **observers** 141:19,20 obtain 133:20 obtaining 159:6 **obviously** 5:9 131:13 133:5 occur 28:11 125:15, 18 occurred 86:12 201:6 October 5:1 105:1 137:17 153:8 183:24 184:1,6,14,16,25 187:12 204:11 offered 69:14 207:15 offering 70:19 139:23 **office** 16:12 32:9,21, 24 33:3 offices 32:18 151:22 **Oh** 8:2 19:23 47:2 61:6 73:6 81:17

83:23 142:6 152:2

183:9 186:6,21

**okay** 5:17 6:3,24,25

162:2 164:21 167:17

7:11,14,15,21 8:5,20

19,22,24 **old** 35:10 94:20,22 **online** 163:2 192:20 82:22

9:16 10:6 11:5,7,10 **opening** 113:25 26:11 28:20 32:15 118:13 36:17 42:21 43:16 openings 82:20 46:4 51:1 53:23 operation 32:9 54:10 58:5 60:24 61:6 72:19 81:14,17 opinion 66:12,13 83:3,6,18,23 85:21 **opinions** 66:8,11 86:23 90:16 91:14 98:2 103:8,24 106:8 opportunity 39:21 108:8,16 111:2,11 57:13 114:7 123:22, 112:10,16 113:3 24 143:9 205:10 114:13 119:11 121:6 **opposed** 17:23 27:15 122:15 126:9 134:1 70:4 131:25 174:12 142:3 145:23 147:9. 181:9 197:6 13 151:25 152:3 opposing 44:1 159:9,18 160:16 161:9 162:3 163:6 **opposition** 43:10,23, 164:14 166:15 25 44:2,18,21 45:11 169:2.18 170:16 46:2,7,12,15 47:19, 172:2 183:6,22 25 48:7 120:19,22 186:21 188:3 192:8 204:21 206:9,21 195:18 199:1,2 oppressive 194:1 200:18 203:24 204:18 205:21,24 **orchard** 102:25 206:6,19,25 207:5,9, order 79:1 95:18 131:4 153:23 174:17 182:18 184:13 189:16 190:11 199:6 once 139:15 204:24 202:8 **one-on-one** 70:20 organic 102:21 71:9,13 139:1,2,5,25 original 198:11,20 ones 72:12 73:8 199:5 originally 115:25 outcome 25:10 open 21:8 32:13,19 68:2 77:10 82:11 outlined 135:19 101:2 102:3,8 114:7 outside 99:4 127:20 123:22,23 136:12 131:12 157:4 196:8 173:3 177:1.2 overall 49:24 50:1,7 94:21 open-ended 75:16 overdraft 148:4 overlier 110:3 **opened** 77:13 125:25

**overrule** 39:25 131:18 166:3

Overruled 201:10

overtaking 57:20

Ρ

**p.m.** 104:10 117:8 163:7 208:6

packed 84:17,21

packet 29:11 37:19 63:9 90:4 107:7

page 10:18 12:15,20, 22 13:1 14:8 18:15 25:7,9 43:7,8 47:12, 13,17,19 48:19 52:19 60:5 63:12,14 64:4,23 72:17 81:23 83:9,10,13 84:22 101:11 110:17 111:5,7 112:2,3 142:10,12,15,16,21 143:18 159:16,17, 18,23,24 160:2 163:19 164:7,8,17, 21 167:20 172:13,15 180:18,25 182:4 196:16 198:24 199:3

pages 63:14 149:10 196:17

**pagination** 159:13 164:6

Palmdale 9:15 14:12 16:18 17:2,7,15,18, 22 18:7,10,15 19:7, 12,15,18,23 20:14 21:1,4,6,25 22:1,2,5, 7,12,13,24 23:2,10, 18 24:4,17,19 25:5, 22 31:2 47:18 50:12 68:8,12,18 69:15 89:2,5 95:23 96:1 100:6,24 101:4 105:18,24,25 106:12 108:23 159:7 171:2 186:10

paper 81:6,8 205:3

**papers** 35:9,10 54:22 91:20 206:17

paragraph 45:21 46:23 47:17 52:18 60:2 81:24 83:9,13, 15 84:18,22 101:10 142:24 160:1 164:9 169:16,19 180:18 182:10 183:23,25 184:5 199:4

paragraphs 82:19

parameter 174:20

parcel 160:6,8

**pardon** 140:24 143:20

park 179:23

Parkway 164:1

Parris 6:6 8:7,21 49:22 72:23 112:14 113:4 140:6 208:3

Parris' 8:17 49:18

part 7:13 12:13 17:13, 15 34:22 40:14 41:4 42:15 53:24 56:5 64:23 68:1 73:12,25 91:10 123:17 130:15 131:7 132:2,7 146:14 148:9 155:23 158:2 161:2 170:23 188:9 198:24 207:20

partial 158:14

partially 17:12 19:12

participate 141:20 160:15 particular 10:2 52:20 83:8 157:3,15 204:13

particularly 8:6

parties 5:11 113:6 148:10

**Parton** 6:4,5,15,23 7:9,17 8:17,20 47:3 48:25 49:13 51:24 52:9 63:12,16 75:9 86:7 93:15 95:7 103:13,18,19,23 105:7,12 110:20 111:23 112:21,22,23 113:2 114:16,22,24 116:25 117:7 127:2, 12,15 128:6,10 131:6,9 137:11 144:13,14,15 166:1 173:12,14,15 175:18 176:7,9,11,18,20 177:2,6 178:15 186:16 187:3 194:14.16.18 195:9 200:18 201:8 203:12,13 204:4,5,9, 15 205:4,6 206:9,20, 24 207:1,6,23 208:2

parts 28:1

party 5:22 141:9 148:18 149:16 206:8

**pass** 118:17

**passed** 154:1

**payment** 157:19

pen 51:4

penalty 9:6 151:10 167:23

**pending** 189:14

**people** 5:5,21,24 6:2 16:12 25:16 32:2,9, 13 54:23 56:18 57:21,22 67:3,9,12 70:17 74:11 77:5 79:12 87:8,18 90:2 96:13,16 97:14,16, 24 98:10 99:21 108:9 110:13 123:13 128:20,21 132:1,7 134:2 138:11 141:6, 10 146:13 178:3 180:2,5 192:12,14

**percent** 148:10 174:9 187:18 197:4 200:1, 3 202:21

percentage 199:24

performance 92:3

**perjury** 9:6 151:10 167:23

permission 90:9

permit 85:2 205:12

person 32:5 36:3 69:7 76:4 80:13 81:3 89:15 120:17 139:13 143:10 154:9 185:19

person's 202:16

personal 70:25 151:24 200:6

personally 20:10 70:5 80:17 133:15, 18

pertinent 119:21 121:8,17 122:3 136:10

peruse 195:10

petition 149:1

**phone** 32:4 51:10,20 52:16 88:12 141:6

phones 24:10

**phrase** 194:2

Index: overrule-phrase

Phyllis 41:5,15 56:2,7 72:3 88:12 113:13 123:14,17 130:6 133:16,24 152:25 158:22 160:15,23 165:10 185:4,14,18, 21 188:6 189:5 190:17 198:18 199:2,19 202:13,17, 25

physical 27:21,25 28:5,13 29:6,12 32:22 40:22 76:1 93:7,12 121:10,22 126:22 127:8 128:4, 11 148:8,11,24 155:1.23

physically 32:20 141:21

**picking** 67:13 82:14 200:24

picture 10:19,20,21, 22 11:18,23,24 12:1, 2,4,5,10,12,13,14, 15,18,22,24,25 13:3

pictures 10:17 12:2 35:14

**piece** 146:25

pieces 62:3

piles 130:11

**place** 59:12

placeholder 161:25

Plaintiff 9:17 152:4

**plan** 13:9

planning 8:10 66:20 67:8,17,18,21 69:10 101:23 105:23,25 106:11,19

Platinum 87:12,14 134:14 played 185:24

please 9:2,11,13,16 10:7 12:9 13:2,12 17:25 18:20 22:15 24:14,25 25:7 42:14 43:15 45:19,23 52:18 54:10 55:14 61:13 63:19 72:16 73:11 81:15,23 117:6 138:16 142:3, 11 151:8,14,25 159:11 160:16 161:13,22 164:5 166:5 172:1,7 183:9

plotted 181:13

plotting 182:15

**PM** 105:1

pockets 158:11

point 6:12,13 16:25 38:5 70:23 76:21 120:24 131:19 141:16 146:5 147:10 150:6 161:11,12 202:5 203:1 204:19 206:11 207:18

**pointed** 199:20

**points** 35:13 37:16, 18,23 38:6,11 53:10, 14,18 119:16

political 147:15,22

portion 90:18

portions 28:4

portray 76:14

portrayed 78:20

93:24

**position** 66:4 105:20, 21 106:6 116:9 131:2 139:16 184:9

positions 71:11

106:11

possibility 38:22 116:15 178:21

possible 22:22 110:24 166:7 167:2, 6 187:2

possibly 75:5 77:1 87:17 88:15

potential 60:3 101:14 158:11 179:13

potentially 33:6

powers 145:20

**PRA** 150:19 171:24 172:13

practice 37:2,6 167:7 196:25

practices 157:7 196:9

**precise** 78:16,17 192:16

precision 62:6

prefer 93:20 143:10

preparation 41:4 107:9 130:15

**prepare** 166:13 184:19

prepared 41:21 62:25 144:24 145:17

**prepay** 131:3

prerogative 140:18

present 8:7 32:9 71:17 141:21 171:5, 9 200:7,9 202:2,3 205:10

**presentation** 37:21 125:3 149:14 176:6, 14 178:19 188:6,9, 11 189:5

presented 18:16 20:25 95:15 147:16 150:24 177:7 190:19 192:1,9

presenter 193:8

presenting 178:16 191:19,22

**president** 96:10 199:19

presidents 199:18

press 184:23

pressure 54:18

presumably 5:12

presupposing 136:19

pretty 23:24,25 145:9,13 150:17 202:6

previous 20:3,5

principal 199:17

print 50:20 51:15,18

**printed** 51:19 52:3

prior 19:7,11,18 20:24 33:15 34:23 38:24 79:21 96:4 146:21 150:9 155:4 174:11 175:3,10,12, 13 176:6,14,16,25 178:8,16 190:4

**private** 70:17 173:1,4, 22 179:6

privilege 176:19

**privileged** 131:7,15 176:12

**probably** 6:11 29:22 64:20 69:24 76:25 89:24 94:3 98:12 122:11 135:5 197:13

Index: Phyllis-probably

**problem** 68:2 92:15 126:7 134:25 173:16 200:10,16

problems 58:9

procedure 148:2

**proceed** 6:14 7:15 8:22 9:16 10:10 62:5 142:1 177:4 178:24 204:19,22

proceeded 147:5

**proceedings** 141:25 208:6

process 93:3 99:10 138:6 153:10,18 155:20 179:4

processes 99:17

processing 123:1 152:18 154:6

**produce** 150:1 199:6 202:8

produced 150:18

producers 27:9,15 31:24 34:5 74:10 79:20

**production** 5:8 19:25 21:7 28:7,10,14 29:7,17,20,24 30:9, 14 33:7,18 35:6,17 36:18 38:8 40:24 41:23 59:10,22 63:9 64:15 79:2 80:3,10 87:1 88:25 90:11 91:3 95:19 96:19 97:4,10 99:5 102:11 108:5 110:24 118:22 119:1 121:20 123:2 125:3,10,23 127:10 129:13 130:17,23 131:4 133:22 136:15 152:18 153:4 154:7 155:3,8,14,17,20

156:5 157:16 160:25 163:16 164:4 169:21 170:8 171:3,6 174:18 177:7 178:5, 16 187:11 188:4 190:3,12,15,20 192:4,9 197:1 200:1

professional 14:9 152:12 153:16 191:22 196:8,25 199:15

prohibits 127:8

project 19:22 20:4 21:15,17,19,20,21, 22,23 47:16 62:16, 18 66:22 67:11,13, 15 69:7,23 73:14,16 82:8 87:21 88:22,24 91:21 99:23,25 101:14,15,16 102:5, 9,13,15 119:21 121:8,18 122:16,17, 23 139:14 154:22 165:6 171:22 188:12

**projects** 66:21 82:2 96:5

**promise** 174:9

promising 115:18

promulgated 29:13

proper 148:2 150:7

properly 148:17

properties 5:21 8:25 17:19 19:8 22:3,6,12 33:19 34:9 35:18 36:5 40:12 41:24 43:3 44:7 59:4 78:4 85:15 86:4,17 87:20 88:6 119:2,6 123:9 124:2,24 125:2,12, 22 126:23 127:9 130:17,23 131:2 136:14 146:11 151:5 153:4 155:3 160:3 164:5 165:24 174:23 177:8,17 186:13,24 193:5

Properties' 7:6 19:25 28:7 33:7 35:6 36:18 38:7 41:11 44:15,19 56:1 59:22 80:3 87:1 91:20 123:2,10 125:10 141:19 154:6 160:25 169:21 190:3

**property** 5:8 16:17,18 17:7,14 18:11 19:13, 24 61:3 97:5 146:6, 9,12,15,20 147:1 150:12 160:4 164:19 180:9

property's 170:12

proposed 56:1 62:18 83:16 121:3 123:10 127:19 158:3 160:7 162:17

protection 21:11,24 22:2,23

protocol 69:2

provide 17:23 53:22 70:19 78:3 151:24 158:19 163:21 174:18 180:2 181:12 182:16,20,24 183:3 184:10 188:7

**provided** 53:10 80:9 84:11 158:21 165:8 166:16,19 183:18

**providing** 5:12 174:19

proximate 62:20

proximity 101:13 102:5 109:2 156:18 195:12 public 27:9,12,15 31:14,15,18 33:11, 24,25 34:4,5 36:14 37:7 79:21 107:9 110:4 145:1 171:15 172:11 179:18

**pull** 163:15 181:18

**pulled** 169:22 170:7 186:8 187:8,11

pump 53:22 177:14, 18,22 196:18 199:7 202:7

**pumped** 100:25

**pumper** 110:3 146:1, 5,11,22 147:6

pumping 75:20 83:17 91:7,17 147:11,18 148:18 197:3,5,14, 15 198:5,12 199:13, 25 200:3 202:13,14, 18,25

purchased 146:20

purely 147:17

purported 125:14,17

purports 113:20 114:23 115:1

purpose 19:6

**purposes** 5:12,19

**pushing** 55:11,15

put 35:12,13 57:10,21 71:11 75:22 76:6 78:14,23 79:17 113:14 116:16 120:8 121:15 122:2 123:21 128:20 136:24 162:2 167:11 197:5

**puts** 126:20

**putting** 98:6 139:14, 16

Index: problem-putting

Q

qualification 153:16

qualified 146:10 quality 172:24 173:3 Quartz 33:1 95:25 question 12:9 16:23 24:12,13 26:9 27:10, 17 28:3 30:13 32:3, 4,11 40:14 42:10 43:22 45:5 46:9 47:20,23 48:5,6 57:14 58:4,19 62:9 65:4 73:13 74:16 75:14 79:11 84:25 90:22 93:11 98:14 101:9 123:15 127:5 128:2 131:20 132:12,17 134:19,20 136:7 137:6 138:3 140:1,23 148:7,8,16, 21 159:21 166:4,14 167:2 172:24 173:10 178:1 182:14 186:5. 20 189:14,19 194:19 195:22 201:11,12

questioned 100:3 193:18,25

questioning 77:8 124:6,14 125:12,14 128:23 132:9 150:16 191:3

questions 5:9 18:21 31:15 34:15 35:2,3, 5,11,16,21,22 36:5 38:16 39:17 41:8,10, 14 55:19 57:12 68:9, 10,23 69:3,16 70:14, 16 71:25 73:13,18, 20 74:2,4 76:15 77:9 79:6,7,9 87:16 89:22 96:16 98:4 100:3

103:6,7,20 109:1 114:8 115:24 117:1, 11,15,19,22 118:11, 12,16 119:18 120:9, 11 123:22 125:2 128:16 129:6 133:25 135:1,12,15,17,19 136:4,10,13,18,22 137:15,20,23 138:12,17,18,19 139:23 140:8,15,18, 19 141:12,22 143:11 144:11,13,15 145:24 154:17 181:8 184:10 187:15 192:3,11,12, 14,17,21,24 193:2,5, 8,12,14,19 194:5,6, 13,14 200:18

quick 6:15 200:13

quickly 86:2 104:3

quiet 189:22

quite 46:13 62:23 96:12 98:16 110:9, 10 131:24 179:3 203:1

**quotes** 181:7,8

R

radius 64:9

raise 127:22 151:7

raised 129:6 159:21

raising 67:18

ran 179:17

rate 197:5,14,15 198:5 199:13 202:13,18,20,25

**rating** 87:12

re-ask 131:20

reach 11:4 70:7 73:14 77:2 140:7,12,15

**reached** 138:15

read 8:19 27:24 28:1, 18 37:24 44:3,21,24 45:1,11,14,15,16 53:2,5 80:18 81:7 83:21,22 91:20 98:25 107:7,22,25 120:20 133:1 135:20,25 142:21 155:5 161:12 197:21,25

reading 37:20 75:18 108:1 183:14

ready 115:10 205:13

real 10:25 189:21 200:13

realistically 197:13

reality 155:23

realize 100:4

really 18:21 43:13 56:12 65:7 110:13 114:6 126:7,10,17 129:23 180:11 189:23

realm 196:8

Realtors 67:8 95:21

reason 5:14 40:3 71:1 78:14 140:2 145:10 166:7 167:2 195:11

reasonable 200:2

reasonably 197:3

reasons 38:9 77:22. 23 78:5 79:9 195:25

reassure 72:6

recalculate 134:5

recalculations

133:20

recall 33:5 53:12 57:18 59:24 64:8 68:6 79:23 118:24 168:23 169:9 176:9 177:6 187:18 188:11 191:7 192:5,23 193:1,4,9 199:1

recalling 174:9

receipt 172:10

**receive** 153:24 158:24 165:16 195:3

received 10:9 18:1 22:17 25:1 36:14 47:8 48:23 63:5,23 80:5 110:18 111:22 114:21 115:8 142:5 144:25 149:9 153:7 160:18,22 172:8 181:24 182:2 184:2 195:8 198:25

receiving 138:5 143:14 184:20 205:19

recess 59:15,17 103:11 104:10 163:6,7 207:25

recharge 75:5,23 76:1,6 121:18 150:11

recharged 121:11

recharging 119:21 121:8

recognize 10:14 43:5 160:21 167:18 172:18 180:13

recognized 157:21

recollect 16:10 21:2 31:25 37:10 85:24 105:15 120:25

124:13 130:21

recollection 15:10
 105:16 107:16
 119:10 124:19 175:2

recommend 151:2
 199:11,12,25

recommendation 5:6 85:2 90:3 119:24 130:1 132:15,18 137:25 174:15 175:22 176:1

recommended 140:6 recommending 84:3

reconsider 113:19 115:17 126:15 190:11

#### reconsideration

113:15 114:5 116:12 119:8 125:23 126:19 136:15,19,25 190:15,20

reconsidered 126:18

Reconsidering 119:1

record 5:18,19 9:11
18:23 36:21 37:9
42:19,22,23 44:6
52:24 53:4 61:9,13,
16 62:4 63:19
116:17 123:17
124:17 141:4,5
145:9 151:15,19
161:12 163:1,22
165:1 189:17 203:21
207:21

recording 37:3

records 36:14 96:5 144:25 145:1 150:18 160:9 171:16 172:11

redeveloped 150:13

**Redirect** 117:2,4 200:22

reentered 115:15

refer 60:13 161:17 198:19 200:13

reference 173:7 194:23 195:23 196:10

**referenced** 68:15 159:2

references 181:16

referencing 61:17 173:22

referred 19:15 155:7

referring 10:1 11:24 28:25 43:14 44:17 46:19 63:4 64:7 155:11 160:12 174:8 180:17 195:14

**refers** 46:23 48:25 172:13 182:7 196:21

**refine** 114:3

reflect 51:21 119:13 202:20

reflected 37:19

refresh 105:16

refused 77:18

refusing 77:21

regard 145:22

regarding 19:19 29:20,24 30:14 35:17,22 36:5 37:7 69:18 160:24 206:14

regards 166:23

regenerative 99:19

region 96:23,25

regional 97:5

regionally 96:11

registered 152:11

regular 25:4

regulation 178:11,14

regulations 29:14,16, 20,23 30:8,14 31:10 39:4 155:9,10,12 156:22 157:5 175:19 176:4 177:10,20,22 178:4,9

**Reilly** 152:25

reiterate 204:6

rejected 39:2

relate 29:17 30:9 150:10

related 19:11,24 97:5 170:21 176:7 185:9 186:10 194:8 200:17

**relates** 63:9 131:23 152:18

relating 22:12 38:7 97:21

relation 59:23 111:2

relatively 177:9

relaxation 109:13

relevance 132:3 137:12

relevancy 120:9

relevant 79:8 123:14 140:3 149:20 150:2

rely 118:4

remember 25:25 29:10 64:9 68:15 88:2 124:4 133:16, 23,24 134:1 142:18 143:13,15,16 168:13 183:14 192:13 193:3,6,18,19,20,21 remind 130:7 198:21

reminding 94:14

remove 116:11

**removed** 168:17 169:6

removing 121:19

repeat 166:4 192:6 195:21 201:12

rephrase 30:7 32:14 98:5 192:7 199:19

replaced 121:24

replacement 119:20 121:7,23 131:3 157:19

report 41:22 63:13 64:25 65:17 80:23 81:4,11,13 83:8,19 88:6 99:6 107:12,23 108:14,17 111:10,11 130:4 132:13 133:1, 2 135:19,22 145:17 159:4,5,9 162:20 163:12 164:3,18 165:4,5 166:16 195:15 197:9,23 198:2

reported 156:19

reporter 18:23 55:17 163:5 164:25 205:22 206:4

reports 64:21 106:13, 19 116:4 118:4 156:20

represent 27:9,12 31:14 33:25 95:22, 23 110:7 172:9

representative 8:10 113:11 198:3

representing 179:21

#### ngs

Transcript of Proceeding
request 5:11 7:1,3,7, 8,9 36:15 113:20 115:1 116:12 142:1 145:1 150:19 158:23 170:11 171:16 172:11 182:11,23 183:1,13
requested 156:17 166:20 183:23 194:25
requesting 139:22
requests 121:6
require 39:5 40:8 84:3 121:23 174:17 175:13
required 19:19 40:1, 11 85:14 174:4 175:3 180:8 185:2 196:19 197:5
requirement 121:10 122:4,7
requirements 128:9 148:22 155:16
requires 95:5 153:22
requiring 175:6
reread 28:4
research 30:18,20 31:16 34:22,25 35:15 96:4 98:21,23 99:4,15 100:1,13,14, 16 130:15 132:7 138:10
researched 39:16 99:7,19,21 107:25

99:7,19,21 107:25 researching 75:18 reservations 83:2 133:3 reserve 206:16 resolve 66:17

Resources 56:17 respect 108:5,17 113:10 respond 45:3 70:14 131:13 responded 70:10 114:14,17 181:2 response 44:14 75:10 114:18 115:1, 5,7,10 145:1 150:19 181:7 194:19 responses 184:6 responsibilities 152:17 responsibility 133:5 rest 61:24 **restate** 151:19 resting 203:22,24 resubmit 116:23 resubmittal 113:21 resubmitted 115:15 142:25 result 112:16 113:9 results 84:10 **resume** 59:18 104:3

retiring 153:6,10 retrospect 76:25 returning 180:16 revealed 178:20 revealing 131:10

retired 153:11

retract 93:23

returned 61:5

reuse 194:2

reveal 150:20

reveals 195:25 review 7:12 20:23 29:6 30:8,13 43:10 44:12 49:3 51:25 62:8 65:14,18 107:2 148:14 154:9,11,18 155:1,14 159:8 168:8 182:17 183:4 185:3 201:21,23 reviewed 29:16 30:4 50:10,22 51:11 65:12 66:20 80:9 106:13,21 107:17 reviewing 50:17 66:14 108:13 revise 202:19 revised 73:1 171:3 revisited 155:7 **revoked** 175:20 177:12 189:8 rewrite 198:14 right 5:4 6:10 8:22 9:1 11:19,20 12:5 23:11 24:11 26:15 59:15 61:22 63:11, 22 65:3,10 66:23 67:17 68:16,21,22 71:20 75:11 81:20 86:10,13 88:5,9 89:16 92:14 99:12,

28:17,21 47:20 52:3 84:2,12,16 85:10,24 14 101:6,21 103:24 105:18 106:25 107:20 108:6 109:6 112:12 113:23 115:2 116:9 122:4 133:17 139:16,18 141:3 147:19 150:11 151:7,8,18 154:8 157:12 164:18,22 174:7 175:5 177:4,

14,18,22 187:2 189:16 196:12 201:20 203:11,16,18 204:18 206:6 207:7

right-hand 63:15 64:5 72:18

rights 126:24 146:8

rise 132:16

**RJN** 204:11,12

roads 76:11

**Rob** 6:6 71:21 112:14 115:18 126:9,17,20 136:4,23 140:9

Rock 96:2 101:1

role 26:14 73:23 129:1 180:13

rolling 144:1

room 52:11 92:13 93:14,22,23 94:11

Rosslyn 5:20

round 124:8

row 102:20

**Rs** 177:10

rule 79:1 178:14

**ruled** 188:4

rules 29:13,16,20,23 30:8,13 31:10 39:4 69:18 155:9,10,12 156:21 157:5 175:18 176:3 177:10,19,22 178:4,9,10

ruling 45:6 94:13 206:16

run 157:23 196:19

running 21:9

runs 19:12 100:21 101:3 102:6

Index: request-runs

<b>Russ</b> 34:1 142:17	search 201:5	169:1,6 181:6	<b>severed</b> 146:16,18
144:6	seat 9:10 61:5 151:18	sentences 173:2	<b>Sevilla</b> 20:9,15
	191:13,16,19 194:3	separate 146:25	Sevillas 20:7
S	seated 11:6	separately 146:19	Sevills 20:2,6
<b>safe</b> 180:2	seats 27:1,4,6	September 122:12	<b>shadow</b> 40:17,23
safely 56:8	second 12:20,22	137:16	shadowing 153:12
said/she 71:10	111:12 142:24 179:5 180:18 181:19	serious 76:7,8 109:22	<b>shame</b> 116:5
<b>San</b> 154:23 188:14	198:21 199:4	132:17	<b>share</b> 174:23
sat 89:2 126:8,12	section 13:2 196:16	seriously 109:23 129:7 149:12	shift 26:13 109:14
satellite 160:10	sections 155:7	seriousness 57:10	<b>short</b> 150:23 163:6
satisfy 108:16	sediments 58:12	serve 17:2 105:17	shoulders 179:18
<b>saw</b> 55:7 66:1 74:7,9,	see 13:21 23:13,14	152:22 160:3	show 11:16 12:18,24
16 99:18	32:10 46:3 52:25	served 26:19 69:4	14:11 119:9 162:16
saying 16:20 20:16	60:5 61:24 67:11 73:7 81:4 82:5 99:8	161:15	<b>showed</b> 54:17 62:17
23:14 40:15 43:17, 19 44:2 55:9 58:21	111:14 112:1 117:13	service 16:19 17:7,	<b>showing</b> 54:13 61:2
64:8 70:15 72:15	143:2,6 167:13,17 172:5,15 173:6	18,23 118:5	73:10
76:3,4 79:3 82:15,16	172.3,13 173.0	serviceability 16:20 17:6 170:21,23	shown 64:24 65:16
83:18 84:6 85:5,19, 21 88:16 90:13	181:4,10,11,18	171:2 185:11	164:17,18 197:19
92:15,16 94:3,5	184:7 190:11	services 92:3 97:2	<b>shows</b> 25:13 60:11 62:20 144:1 165:4
109:23 134:10 137:4 174:3 175:17 176:9	seeing 62:23 143:15	servicing 17:11	<b>shut</b> 179:2
177:6,24 200:1	seeking 5:6	session 5:2 105:3	<b>sic</b> 20:2 75:6 139:7
says 13:19 14:14	seen 18:3 22:8,14 49:18 111:17 185:19	130:25 131:7,10,13,	<b>side</b> 16:6 66:23
41:1 76:3 84:2,16	send 154:12 171:21	16 163:4,8 172:22, 25 173:2,3,5,13,23	130:11 141:19
143:4,8 156:25 160:1 173:1 176:2	sending 135:1 171:21	174:1 176:5,12,14,	sides 205:10
181:6 182:19	174:25	22 177:1,2 190:10	sidetracked 100:18
196:17,20	senior 153:9 191:23	192:20	<b>signal</b> 173:25
<b>scale</b> 165:7	sense 21:20,22 32:19	sessions 37:9	signature 43:7
school 13:20,23 14:2	74:19	set 46:1 72:22 94:24 109:18 121:10 138:7	167:20,21
15:7,9 35:10	sent 21:3 44:4 112:21	148:23 149:4 156:21	signed 20:21,24
scope 127:20,21	113:11 114:10,25 136:22 139:7,10	setting 62:15 66:3	36:10 43:1,4,11 44:23 45:1,13 46:5
screen 50:23 51:12 172:3	142:17 165:3 168:8	140:17	47:25 48:8 49:17
screenshots 171:23	191:10	settlement 112:8	50:8 52:4 167:8 182:19,20 183:10,15
se 52:10	sentence 143:8	seven 124:1 169:13, 14 205:18	102.13,20 103.10,13
<b>32</b> 32.10	161:11 168:17,19	14 203.10	

Index: Russ-signed

**spent** 108:11,13 122:15

spontaneously

**spoke** 41:9 72:2 97:7

### **Transcript of Proceedings**

Transcript of Proceeding
significant 112:4
<b>similar</b> 34:13 53:21 56:13
simple 42:5 128:2 184:17
simpler 42:12
<b>simply</b> 79:10 128:16
<b>single</b> 121:16
sink 177:18
<b>sir</b> 195:4
sit 11:3,4 56:23 69:9 71:19,24 77:14 96:6 98:7 126:1 184:9 203:6
site 62:17 91:13 95:20 100:3 109:5 165:6
situation 56:13
<b>six</b> 184:10
skinny 150:17
<b>slides</b> 189:5
slim 110:12
<b>slowly</b> 83:21
small 21:10 81:18 96:3 110:3 118:20 146:1,5,11,22 147:6 179:8,13
<b>smaller</b> 10:21 12:13 180:4
so-called 195:17
<b>sold</b> 146:6,17,18
<b>solemnly</b> 9:5 151:9
solution 27:21,25 28:5,13 29:7,13 40:22 76:1 93:7,12 121:10,22 126:23 127:8 128:5,12

gs Aı
148:8,11,24 155:2
<b>solve</b> 126:7
somebody 69:2 71:9 131:22 140:12 197:1
somewhat 132:14 158:11
sorry 5:25 7:5 8:2 11:10 13:11 24:8 26:6 28:5 31:7 33:22 42:15 47:2 60:6 73:11,15 85:21 112:6 130:3 139:10 142:18 164:13,21 169:16 171:10 173:14 177:10 183:9 185:5 186:6 195:14 203:3 205:16
sort 10:5 15:11 99:10 158:10
<b>sought</b> 91:15
<b>sound</b> 68:20
<b>sounds</b> 68:22 94:3 98:13
source 109:1
Southern 27:10
<b>speak</b> 11:1 61:14 69:20 129:23 187:7
<b>speaking</b> 70:5 92:19
<b>specific</b> 46:18 64:11 101:9
<b>specifically</b> 25:25 81:25 109:8 123:3
speculate 166:11
<b>speculation</b> 166:2 186:17 187:4
<b>spell</b> 151:25
<b>spend</b> 50:17

er Cases [JCCP No. 4408]
<b>spun</b> 86:2
staff 15:19,22 71:17 120:13 144:9 145:3, 5 154:17 184:15 191:23
stakeholders 27:7
<b>stance</b> 187:20
stand 8:25 105:6 163:9 189:10 202:24
standard 37:2,6 64:13 122:25 123:7 149:21 156:12,15
<b>standards</b> 28:9 29:7 149:3
stands 58:4
Stanin 41:5,15,21 113:13 120:21 145:7 152:25 154:14 158:23 160:12 165:10 184:22 185:5,21 186:2,7 187:7 190:25 191:7, 10 201:4 202:17
<b>start</b> 148:18
started 6:16 100:17 153:3,14 155:2
starting 182:4 184:4
<b>starts</b> 63:13 142:11, 25
state 5:18 9:5,10 52:21 101:14,15 123:12 151:9,14,18 159:1 167:24
stated 64:20 89:1 136:11 175:1,19 185:9 202:13
statement 86:15 91:25 127:4 138:3 149:6 168:24,25

Transcript of Froceeding	,o Ant	solopo ranoj Grounanak	Cases [JCCF NO. 4400]
176:8,25 178:15	studied 14:14	sunk 54:1	system 179:8,13
statements 204:20,	studies 14:20	supplier 31:14	180:1,4,8
24 states 154:15 203:3	<b>study</b> 14:18,19 61:2 107:8 158:5	<b>suppliers</b> 27:12,15 31:15,18 33:4,11,24,	systems 118:20 179:18
stating 64:10 169:4	<b>stuff</b> 21:9	25 34:6 79:21 107:10 110:4	т
status 145:25	subject 191:12	supply 88:22 116:3	
staying 131:25	subjects 114:2	support 95:6 120:18,	table 121:19
step 8:11 60:23	submit 205:6 206:17	22	tailored 121:21
103:15 144:16 203:14	<b>submitted</b> 5:10 41:24 80:11 120:13,18,22	<b>supported</b> 52:23 53:3 175:20	<b>take</b> 6:13 14:17 15:4, 6 34:13 35:9,10,16,
stepped 96:10	122:13 134:24	suppose 103:11	22 45:18 55:16 57:6, 13,15 59:12,13
stick 161:24	149:13 155:16 158:22 171:24	supposed 43:18	65:11 70:23 74:20
sticking 115:24	206:10 207:16	44:25 66:23 85:8	84:18,22 85:7 91:23
sticky 162:2	submitting 115:13	86:9 138:7	94:24 96:24 98:5 101:7 103:11,25
stipulated 6:21,22	subpoena 6:8,9	<b>Supreme</b> 148:13	109:12,21 113:10
204:7	subside 58:16	sure 17:24 20:11,17	128:20 129:6,12
stipulating 6:17	subsidence 58:16,18	43:16,19 44:5 46:13 56:20 57:1,20 63:18	133:15,18 139:17,21 153:23 161:10 169:8
stipulation 148:9	76:7,9	65:25 69:18 72:14	171:13 182:17
stone 20:17,20	substandard 57:22	86:20 91:21 96:24, 25 97:1 100:21	185:6,10 196:3,5
<b>stop</b> 135:25 151:7	substantial 52:24	110:13 115:9,18	207:12
straight 58:19	53:4	120:15 129:15,21	taken 14:21,24 15:2, 10 17:22 38:23
straightforward	subsurface 158:9	141:5,9 147:13,21 156:23 160:13	40:21 59:17 60:20
184:17	successful 99:25	161:20,21 164:16	71:21 104:10 108:2
<b>street</b> 56:13 109:11	sufficient 76:6 88:21	187:18 202:6 204:13	134:14 148:21 163:7 170:12,20 171:17,19
streets 64:10,12	sufficiently 75:23	surpassed 188:17	188:5 193:20
strictly 147:8	suggest 147:14	surrounding 75:2	takes 81:9 135:23
strike 12:6 16:22,24 23:16 26:25 32:7,17	suggested 146:4 160:5	<b>Surveyors</b> 152:13 153:22	talk 16:16 18:22 32:2 41:5,15 42:7 49:24
34:5 41:3 45:2,7 48:23 82:2 100:13	suggestion 140:11	suspect 206:2	50:1,7 56:24 67:24
106:23 169:20 190:7	163:3	<b>Sustained</b> 93:17 95:9	77:5 132:25 133:16 144:22 172:23
206:14	<b>Suite</b> 164:1	128:7 186:18 187:5	183:23,25 207:8
<b>strong</b> 168:24,25 187:19	<b>sum</b> 53:10 84:13	swayed 98:11	talked 6:16 51:9
	<b>summary</b> 188:12	sworn 6:13 9:19	95:20,21,22,24
strongly 169:3	<b>summed</b> 53:13,14,18	152:6	97:14 108:9 126:12 132:6
L			

Index: statements-talked

talking 21:22 33:5 43:12 46:22 48:4 55:3 56:22 62:12 74:3 84:14 90:20 92:18 97:8,23 99:12 102:18 113:13 122:16 123:14 133:23,24 138:11 139:2 145:4
talks 99:23
tardy 184:11,12
tasked 80:19
<b>Taylor</b> 199:16
team 78:4,25 152:23, 24 153:9 154:10 155:5
Tech 14:17
technical 14:15 97:12,15,17,21 98:1 10,19 99:15 106:13, 15,19
telephone 51:24
tell 54:7 74:6 82:25 83:14 88:9 112:1 176:18 190:14
telling 98:4 191:7
ten 59:16 87:22,24 88:15 90:24 108:15
ten-mile 64:9
ten-minute 163:6
tend 188:14
tense 191:8,11
term 21:19,20 27:11 43:24
terminology 27:16 37:25 92:20 94:4 99:9
04 10 1-0-

terms 21:12 45:25

s Ar
46:6,7,8,10,12,14, 18,21 47:15,24 48:6, 8,9,11,12 106:7 132:14 148:15 149:8,21
test 38:22 39:2,19 40:1,9,11,15 58:24 84:4,10 85:14,16,18 86:5,8,18 124:3,15 138:13 174:11,17 175:3,6,7,13,22,23 176:4 178:20 195:12
testified 9:19 51:7 53:8 57:15 65:12 67:25 89:25 91:19 93:19 97:19 108:10 112:7 118:21 119:3 125:20,21 128:25 129:9 132:6,25 133:2 144:7 152:6
testify 8:11 69:13,17 81:25 123:6,11 144:24 168:2 184:4
testifying 8:8,12 59:21 60:14 79:23 168:1 170:6 182:11
testimony 9:6 10:1 17:13 20:12,13 26:16 39:24 40:2,13 41:10 42:2 51:12 53:12,16 57:18 59:24 62:8 68:6 69:12 70:13 77:12 90:21,23 91:2 95:12 108:9 109:4 118:24 119:5 124:10,14 125:1,9 130:7 135:4, 22,24 143:13 150:4, 8,16,21 151:10 165:13,18 166:21 169:2 174:4,6
169:2 174:4,6

185:21 192:5 205:1

text 53:5 78:20

142:22 191:16	16:5 17:9,11,12 20:1
thank 8:15,21 13:16	22:14 30:17 40:3
18:25 45:9 47:5,10	49:16 56:2 59:11
60:25 62:2 63:16	61:7,15 62:5 65:18
103:9,16 105:7	66:11 72:11 76:13
116:25 141:15	80:14,15 85:1,17
144:16,18 152:3	86:10 88:11 89:1,12
154:2,4 186:21	90:12 92:5,9,22 93:2
203:14,20 204:9	95:12 96:2 97:11
206:12 207:6,23	98:25 109:1,25
208:1,2,3	112:7 114:18 115:9
Thanks 64:6	116:6,9,14 120:6 124:7,13 125:5
	128:13 136:7,21
<b>Theis</b> 197:15	137:13 138:19
theoretically 204:1	140:9,12 141:2
theory 88:2,3	144:21 148:1 150:3
	159:23,24 163:5
thing 39:6 40:20 43:19 68:25 79:5	167:10 168:17,23
84:12 94:9 101:2	173:12 175:2 177:9,
103:2 121:16 180:12	25 178:2 180:14,15
183:2 199:11	182:24 186:15
	190:17 191:3 192:14
things 35:8,11 36:9	195:10,19 198:4,16
37:16,18,24 38:18,	202:5 203:1 204:10,
20 39:20 42:12	16,23 205:1,11,21
43:17 48:2 55:6,7 56:6 58:12,21,24	207:9,10,17
66:8 67:4,7 71:25	thinking 38:14 67:21
72:5 73:21 74:6,25	68:14 98:15 116:15
75:15 76:25 77:6	124:18 130:21
79:14,15,16,18,19	138:10
80:19,24 81:5,12	thinks 151:1
82:23 84:11,18,22	third 31:17 107:5
87:14,15 92:21 93:1,	thorough 157:15
6 96:6 99:1,2 102:16 108:1 109:3 110:8	
113:14,16 116:7	thought 73:8 85:6
118:2,14,19 119:19,	92:10 94:10 97:20
22 121:13 126:13	114:6 115:25 126:8, 14 147:4 169:3
128:14,17,18	179:22 180:11
134:12,13,14 135:12	183:20
138:7,24 141:2	
150:18 154:5 191:3	threat 125:17
think 6:16 8:10 15:7	threats 124:7,11,12
IIIIK 0.10 0.10 10.7	
1	

152:15,17,21,23

156:24 159:14 161:6

three 26:23 107:19,22 162:5 163:21 180:21 try 27:18 88:4 114:6 110:25 111:12 186:2,7 198:20 116:3 136:10 122:15 185:17 199:3,15,18 trying 45:3 46:20 three-mile 60:10 told 71:21 80:23,24 69:12 76:14 77:20 139:12 140:10 80:14 85:22 90:16 throw 126:7 99:16 112:17 118:6 **tomorrow** 205:23 throwing 27:14 123:22 136:5.8 tool 162:23 163:2 137:4 140:25 172:3 tie 62:7 **top** 22:25 23:6,12 tunnel 10:21 11:23 tie-in 56:14 26:21 33:12 64:21 turn 10:7 17:25 22:15 ties 197:8 68:16 88:3,9 123:4 24:25 25:7 63:19 151:23 156:23 time 8:13 17:10 20:5 72:16 73:11 81:23 159:24 164:9 27:24 29:19 49:17 110:16 111:21 117:6 51:13,20 52:12 topic 109:15 128:23 142:3,10,21 55:12.15 77:4 82:18 143:18 159:10 totally 42:9 84:7 84:19,23 86:8 107:5, 160:16 161:22 146:25 17 117:15,18 118:1 167:10 172:1 122:15 126:1 136:1 **Trade** 14:15,17 turned 127:11 137:18 145:17 transcript 36:21 153:8,19 167:22 turning 13:1 163:12 141:25 204:25 178:13 182:17 164:3 205:2,15,18,19 183:10 184:18,19,24 206:2 turns 186:1 185:2,4,10 191:21 transcripts 206:7 195:24 197:4 200:2 tutored 15:18.21 206:5 transpired 52:22 93:2 **TWD** 161:14 timeframe 95:12 transportation 97:1,6 twice 138:16 times 29:18 30:4,5,22 trash 35:25 two 6:23 16:5 50:21 35:13 67:3 75:18 51:13 106:7 109:7 treatment 101:5 99:2 106:14,18 120:12 121:7 139:6 tried 39:16 109:7 110:3 130:5 163:16 173:2,4,7 136:23,25 197:18 trouble 7:25 10:4 184:18 185:3 title 80:15 two- 60:10 **trucked** 56:19 today 16:16 35:24 true 18:9 39:1 55:23 type 172:3 70:13 78:12 86:1 56:3 72:8,21 77:16 typical 196:8 120:3 122:19 124:10 78:24 85:12 95:4 125:1,9 138:20 typically 157:23 120:3 124:23 126:20 157:11 184:9 189:7 188:17 193:16 127:15 139:6 155:19 202:23 203:6 205:1 174:22 180:7 184:22 typos 49:9 51:8 **Todd** 6:8 92:2 93:4 172:2,5 **truth** 9:7,8 151:11,12 106:24 107:12 truthful 168:4 108:17 145:5 151:22

truthfully 168:3

U unable 38:9 unanimously 25:14 uncertain 120:1 uncertainties 81:12 84:15,16 92:16 117:23 120:1 uncertainty 55:10 84:1,2 88:19,20 110:14 118:2 119:25 135:21 136:12 154:20,21 157:23 158:3 168:18,22 174:21 185:2 188:16,24 189:1,11 uncomfortable 71:4. 6,7 unconditional 85:1 undergrounding 24:19 25:23 68:18 underlining 75:7 underlying 55:6 underneath 13:3 14:14 understand 8:9 20:11

42:8,10 43:22 46:6, 10 48:1 50:22 69:12 78:11 84:7,9 87:13 90:16,21 92:24 94:14,23 109:17,19 127:18 132:4 138:4 148:6 understanding 17:5 34:17 37:1,20 39:6 84:5 94:6 109:4

115:4,14,20 116:10

24:11 27:17,19

32:10 39:17 40:3

Index: three-understanding

137:9 176:20 understood 8:15 27:16 42:9 108:8 133:6 146:6 168:1 undeveloped 160:9 units 14:20 unreasonable 199:11 unsure 69:20 171:4 193:16 updated 203:8 upper 63:14,22 64:4 72:17 upset 185:20,22 **use** 33:3 35:13 56:8 87:10.20 89:6 90:15. 18,25 91:6,21 92:20, 21 126:15 133:21 135:23 149:22 181:19 191:11,13 uses 64:14 89:6 156:25 **USGS** 118:5 usual 193:7,9 usually 31:16 32:1 33:1 34:20 35:12 69:6,11,22 71:7,8,10 107:5 109:10,11 130:7,11 **utility** 17:23 **utilize** 94:24 utilizing 67:22 ٧ **vague** 86:8

Valley 6:5 15:13,25 26:14,20 27:20 28:6 29:8,25 30:2 31:6,9, 19,23 32:6,8,12,16, 18,23 33:14 34:6,23 35:19 36:14,22 37:6 42:16 45:12 53:9 62:21 66:24 93:9 96:8 106:9,20 107:12 109:16 111:17 152:19 188:15

variables 156:21 various 27:2 149:10

vast 67:14

vegetable 102:20

verbal 124:19

verbally 32:2 51:9 134:1

verbiage 53:20 70:23

verify 48:6 182:22 183:16

**versus** 146:2

vice 15:24,25 16:3,8, 13 26:17 37:5 70:1 95:21 96:17 97:3 106:8 199:17,19

vicinity 55:25 60:4,8, 9,10 62:13,16,24 64:7,15 65:21 67:4 122:17,18,19,20,22, 23 123:1,7,8 165:6

Village 164:1

violations 77:6

**volume** 156:17 207:15

volumes 6:23

**vote** 18:25 19:2 37:14 38:10,19,25 39:18 40:16 52:23 53:13, 15 71:22 78:5,8 79:10 82:23 84:13,

14 85:5 88:18 92:10 94:12 95:5 108:2 109:21 110:25 111:12,18 125:15,18 188:5,8 193:20

voted 25:16 28:6 36:18 37:12 53:19, 24 66:4 77:19 91:16 94:15 95:3 99:11,13 107:20 137:19 145:11 192:18

**voting** 65:21 85:20 150:9

#### W

W-E-L-L-S 151:17 152:2 wait 18:20 89:24 186:20 189:18 waiting 135:6 walks 96:14 waned 16:11 want 7:15 14:5 18:21, 22 21:18 28:17 35:14 43:13 44:5 45:4 47:11 61:12 62:9 74:5 75:6 78:22 86:1 91:14 95:11 96:24,25 97:1

100:20 103:21

149:24 150:1 168:25 172:23 178:1 192:16 204:19,21,22 205:11 206:16

109:14 111:21 112:2

126:5 128:23 134:22

wanted 70:3 89:24 105:15 113:18 116:22 117:11 124:18 126:10 128:17 141:15 wanting 185:10

wants 110:5

wasn't 19:22 20:24 58:23 76:13 79:12 91:21 97:12 100:22 117:15,18 122:7 126:9 130:3 131:18 136:5 138:14 174:14 183:2 186:2,7

watching 172:3

water 5:7 14:12 16:18 17:2,7,15,18 18:7, 10,15 19:7,18 20:14 21:1,4 22:5,12 23:2, 10,18 24:4,18 25:5, 22 27:12,15 28:10 31:2,6,14,15,18 33:1,4,11,24,25 34:5 37:19 47:4,18 50:12, 20 53:25 54:3,4,11 56:17,19 57:20,23 58:3,6 68:8,12 69:15 75:22 87:2,3,6,8,20 88:22 89:2,5,6 90:1, 18,24 95:25 99:7,10, 17 100:6,25 101:7, 15 105:18 106:12,20 107:9 108:23 110:4 118:8,20 119:20 121:7,11,19,23 131:3 133:20 134:13,16 135:23 138:23 146:8 156:1 157:2,19 159:7 171:2,10 172:24 173:3 177:14,18,22 178:24 179:17,18 180:1,2,5,8 186:11

Watermaster 5:7,14 6:5 15:13,18,21,25 21:3 22:10,13 23:16 26:15,20 27:1,20 28:6 29:9,13 30:3 31:6,10,20,23 32:6, 8,12,16,18,23 33:14, 15,20 34:7,23 35:19 36:14,22 37:4,6,11 39:3,5,10,25 40:9,10 41:19 42:16 44:11, 14,18 45:12 50:19 53:9 55:24 58:17 59:5 63:8 64:13,19, 24 65:8,15 66:5 69:1 70:1,2 72:9,22 73:1, 23 74:15,18,20 76:22 79:22 80:12 84:3,11 85:3,13 87:7 88:23 90:4 92:1,3 93:13,21 94:16 95:15 97:22,25 102:1,4,10 106:9,21 107:12 108:24 109:16 111:17 115:15 116:1 117:24 118:4 119:2,11,13, 14 120:3,12,16 121:21 122:21,25 123:7 124:3,11 129:2,5 132:13 134:4 137:19,22 143:5 145:2 147:19 148:17,22 149:1,3, 22 150:19 152:19,22 154:10 155:5 166:20 168:6 170:1,7,8,17 171:10 174:16,19,24 175:25 176:1 180:13 181:9 184:15 185:16 187:1 188:19 189:7 190:9,11 192:10 202:10

Watermaster's 43:10 120:18 155:11 172:11 186:14 204:11

Waterworks 95:25

**Waxman's** 180:17

way 17:10 23:24 42:4 45:4 48:12 54:8 62:5 75:22 77:3,15,22 87:7 93:4,25 94:23 98:12 108:22 109:12,21,25 110:1, 6,10,14 114:6 134:8 135:8,9 139:18 145:11 149:18 173:22 180:12 194:1 199:4

ways 93:3 118:15 134:16

**we'll** 10:5 61:16 73:12 120:11 205:8 207:17

we're 9:25 16:16 24:6 34:17,19 42:23 55:11,15 56:25 57:4 73:21 84:6,14 85:8 92:15,18,22 96:11, 23,24 116:9 118:6 134:10 138:7 139:15,19 141:7,12 148:14 156:25 163:8 180:16 203:22,23 204:1

we've 26:16 32:25 58:9 81:2 92:23 94:13 100:8 132:20 138:8 144:7 157:10 163:4

wealth 118:3

Wednesday 5:1 105:1 142:17,19 161:4

**week** 31:17 114:25 145:2

weighs 71:2

**welcome** 113:25 203:15

well's 174:18

wells 6:8 54:15,20 55:25 56:21 58:8,15 59:23 60:3 61:3 62:13,19,23 64:24 65:16,19,20 75:2 76:5,9 79:16 84:8 120:17 122:16 123:6 125:2,12,14 145:6 151:6,16,21 152:4,9 155:19,24 156:18 158:7 159:25 160:2, 3 161:14,16,17 163:1,11 165:4,9,14, 17,20 166:8,23,25 167:3,17 171:1 172:10,16 173:10 180:7 184:22 186:23 190:2 194:19 195:1, 2

went 13:19 36:8 50:19 51:14 85:20 96:6 113:16 115:10 117:16,19 126:13 135:3 187:12

weren't 69:18 90:9 91:11,12 94:18,20 97:17 115:23 135:13 141:21 166:7

west 160:4

white 9:25 10:8 42:11 63:20 82:15 83:4 96:2 128:2,3 138:1 142:4,6 153:8,14 154:12,14 158:23 159:10 160:11,17 165:3,10 167:11 180:21 181:16 201:3 203:8

White's 182:5 183:13

**wiggle** 92:13 93:14, 22,23 94:11

**willing** 68:2 136:23, 24 149:25 205:6

willingness 126:6

Willis 146:2 147:8

**wish** 11:6 36:24 204:20

withdraw 27:10 119:14 177:2

withdrawn 120:4 177:21

withdrew 121:2

witness 8:23 9:9,12, 14,18 11:15,18 24:13 26:1,4,7,11 28:21 46:20 47:4,10, 21 59:18 60:18 61:1, 6 63:24 73:3 75:13 83:3,6,10,18,23 85:4 86:13,23 103:9,12, 16 105:10 110:19 130:2 142:6 144:18 149:9 151:4,13,16, 21 152:2,5 159:18 160:19 163:9 165:2 166:4,15 176:16,24 181:25 186:19,21 189:20,23 194:13 199:1 201:12 203:15

witnesses 6:13 7:18, 20,24 8:4,14 104:4 144:20 149:11 203:23 204:2

wonder 55:12

Wood 146:2

word 28:24 59:3,6 65:11 75:6 82:13,14 86:6 191:11 198:6

worded 42:4 169:3

**wording** 41:2 48:3 54:8 78:20 91:6

**words** 37:25 48:18,24 49:3,12 75:19 94:1

		elope Valley Groundwater Cases [JC
115:23 118:10		
179:21 191:9	Y	
work 21:4 32:19 38:16 56:10,11	<b>y'all</b> 175:3	
57:12 68:2 77:15	yeah 60:9 108:19	
92:24 96:13 152:15	111:8 128:3 146:14	
155:4 170:16	163:25 164:15	
worked 166:12	167:18 174:2 178:10 195:23 204:15 207:5	
working 56:16,22,25 76:20 80:20 96:11 153:3,14,19 155:4 194:24	year 91:8,18,22 196:21,23 199:6 200:15 202:8	
works 110:1	years 16:5 26:23	
worried 97:19	56:17 74:12 76:19, 20 80:21 153:12,22	
worries 127:20	years' 131:3	
worth 100:9 131:3	yesterday 198:1	
<b>Nottrich</b> 153:2 162:15	199:20	
vouldn't 23:11 42:3	z	
86:6 92:17 116:8 121:1 134:21	<b>zone</b> 154:23 158:10	
raparound 97:2	188:15	
rapped 37:17	<b>Zoom</b> 32:25 143:10	
vrapping 38:6		
write 35:9 48:14,16, 17,18 138:22		
writing 35:8,10 204:22		
written 36:21 82:15 114:1 130:4 159:8 178:8 204:24 205:11		
wrong 147:19 175:17 176:23 200:11		
wrote 48:21,24		

Index: work-Zoom

# 1

# 2 3

4

5

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

6 7

Figueroa Street, Suite 4200, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

20078597.1

# PROOF OF SERVICE

**Judicial Counsel Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases** Santa Clara County Case No. 2005-1-CV-049053

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 777 S.

On October 30, 2023, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE OF LODGING AND LODGING OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF OCTOBER 18, 2023, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ACTION AND IMPLEMENTATION BY THE PEOPLE CONCERN, INC. AS AGENT FOR BARREL **SPRINGS PROPERTIES, LLC** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: By submitting an electronic version of the document listed above to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases through the user interface at the Antelope Valley Watermaster's website to all parties on the service list maintained by the website at: www.avwatermaster.org.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 30, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.