Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK	
625 Broadway, Suite 635	
Fax: (619) 232-4019	
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	
SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
DEDECCA LEE WILLIG on balade of homels	
and all others similarly situated,	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
Disinsing CC	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
vs.	Tiborghed to The Homewale twen Home
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	DECLARATION OF RALPH B. KALFAYAN IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE
CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF	APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM EXPERT DISCLOSURE DEADLINE
PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT: LITTLEBOOK CREEK	EALERT DISCLOSURE DEADLINE
IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH	DATE: June 25, 2008
IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL	TIME: 8:15 a.m. DEPT: 17
WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY	
SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC	
WATER SERVICE COMPANY and DOES 2	
through 1,000;	
Defendants.	
///	
///	
	David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP 625 Broadway, Suite 635 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class SUPERIOR COURT OF THE FOR THE COUNTY REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, vs. LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT; CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY and DOES 2 through 1,000;

 I, Ralph B. Kalfayan, declare:

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California and a partner with the law firm of Krause, Kalfayan, Benink & Slavens, LLP who are the attorneys of record herein for the Willis Class.
- I am informed that the earliest date the defendant purveyors can make their lead expert witness, Joseph Scalmanini, available for an informal conference with class counsel is Friday, June 27, 2008.
- 3. The Willis Class has not had the benefit of participating in technical committee meetings which has allowed experts for the purveyors to spend years in research, analysis, and exchange of information in order to arrive at conclusions relevant to the next phase of trial. The Willis Class has not had an opportunity to evaluate the issues, review data, and hire experts for the next phase of trial.
- 4. At the May 22, 2008 hearing, defendant purveyors offered to make their lead expert witness, Joseph Scalmanini, available for an informal conference to class counsel and offered to make his report available for review. On Friday, June 20, 2008, a copy of the report was produced. The report is approximately 1000 pages long. Counsel for the Willis class needs time to review the information contained in the report, evaluate the need to retain an expert, and then locate and retain a qualified expert.
- 5. On May 29, 2008, the Willis class propounded discovery on all the defendant purveyors. Reponses are due on June 27, 2008. Counsel for LA county water works has requested an extension of time to respond to the outstanding discovery. It is believed that the request was on behalf of all the water purveyors. While no extension has been given, the parties are scheduled to meet and confer on Tuesday, June 24, 2008.