1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES			
11	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself \				
12	and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING No. 4408			
13	vs. ) LOS ANGELES COUNTY	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CDV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar			
14	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY	PROOF OF SERVICE			
15	OF LANCASTER; CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF PALMDALE;				
16	PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION				
17	DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER				
18	DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER \				
19	CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY   SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC				
20	UTILITY DISTRICT; CALIFORNIA ) WATER SERVICE COMPANY; DESERT ?				
21	LAKE COMMUNITY SERVICES				
22	DISTRICT; NORTH EDWARDS WATER ) DISTRICT; and DOES 4 through 1,000,				
23	Defendants.				
24					
25	I, Ashley Polyascko, declare:				
26	I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a				
27	party to the within action; my business address is 625 Broadway, Suite 635, San Diego,				
28	California, 92101. On <b>August 8, 2008</b> , I served the within document(s):				
	-1-				
	20.00				

1	1. Request by Willis Class for Conference to Address Discovery Disputes.	
2 3	[X]	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
4 5	[]	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Diego, California addressed as set forth below:
6	[]	by causing personal delivery by Cal Express of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
7 8	[]	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
9   10	[]	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by UPS following the firm's ordinary business practices.
11	Lam readily	familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence
12	for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with the postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.  Executed on August 8, 2008, at San Diego, California.	
13		
$14 \mid$		
15		
16		
17		
18		ashley Polyascho
19		Ashley Polydscko
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 2 -