1	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464			
2	David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK			
3	& SLAVENS LLP 625 Broadway, Suite 635			
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331			
5	Fax: (619) 232-4019			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class			
7				
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
11				
$_{12}$	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION		
13		PROCEEDING NO. 4408		
14	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself	) ) ) PLAINTIFF REBECCA WILLIS`		
15	and all others similarly situated,	STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT		
16	Plaintiff,	) )		
$\begin{bmatrix} 17 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}$	vs.	) )		
18	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	)		
19	DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF	)		
$\begin{vmatrix} 10 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK	Date: April 24, 2009		
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL	) Time: 9:00 a.m. ) Dept: 1		
	WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY	) Judge: Hon. Jack Komar Coordination Trial Judge		
22	SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through	) )		
23	1,000;	) )		
24	Defendants.	)		
25				
26	_	tus conference statement outlining its positions		
27	relative to discovery, Class notice, and trial issues			
$^{28}$				

#### I. **DISCOVERY**

After considerable effort, a group of landowners served a uniform set of basic discovery requests on all the Public Water Suppliers. It is hoped that this will enable the Public water Suppliers to not have to respond to multiple overlapping requests. In turn, it is expected that the Public Water Suppliers will serve a uniform set of basic discovery on all the landowners.

Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a proposed protocol governing the production of documents by all parties. The Public Water Suppliers disapprove of these protocols particularly as to the allocation of costs. Most landowners believe the attached protocols are fair and will save all parties time and effort, as well as spare the Court from unnecessary discovery disputes.

#### II. CLASS NOTICE

The Willis Class Notice was mailed and published in accordance with the Court's Order. Willis suggests that the exclusion deadline be modified to be April 1, 2009 and has asked the Public Water Suppliers to stipulate to that modest extension. Counsel for District 40 is continuing to process the responses to the Notice and is due to report on that matter by the end of this month. The proposed stipulation is attached as Exhibit B.

#### III. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ISSUES

The Willis Class is attempting to resolve its issues relating to AGWA's Town Hall Meetings and suggests that the Court defer that issue.

#### IV. PHASE III TRIAL

Plaintiff Willis prepares for the Phase III trial. We continue to believe that the next phase should include not merely overdraft issues, but also the merits of the Suppliers' prescription claims.

Dated: April 21, 2009 KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS LLP

Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.
David B. Zlotnick, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

<u>/s/Ralph</u> B. Kalfayan

- 2 -

### EXHIBIT A

# **Document Production Protocols**

Case: Antelpe Valley Case Map Created: 3/6/09 12:49:14 PM by RBK

Kundar		Prolocols	
	Production organized by Request For Production	All documents produced must be organized and labeled to correspond with the categories in the demand.	Borne by producing party
2	Converting the documents into an electronic images.	All documents produced must be (1) electronically scanned; (2) unitized with separate document page breaks; (3) bate stamped with a three letter prefix and 7 digit number; and (4) if feasible, scanned with optical character recognition.	Borne by producing party
	Format of electronic documents	All scanned documents should be in single page TIFF (tagged image format) file or Adobe PDF file format.	Borne by producing party
4	Copies made available	A disc shall be prepared of the electronically scanned documents and made available or copied for the benefit of anyone making a request of those records.	Borne by producing party.
5	Originals made available	Originals of all the documents shall be made available for inspection upon reasonable request.	Cost of copying is borne by the party making the request.
9	Review platform	Each party can perform its own review.	Borne separately by each firm
7	Objective coding	Each party can peform its own coding.	Borne separately by each firm
000	Subjective coding	Each party can perform its own coding.	Borne separately by each firm

## EXHIBIT B

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607			
3	KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK  & SLAVENS LLP  625 Broadway, Suite 635			
$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$	San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331			
5	Fax: (619) 232-4019			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES		
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY	) RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL		
11	GROUNDWATER CASES	) COUNCIL COORDINATION ) PROCEEDING NO. 4408		
12	This Pleading Relates to Included Action:	) The Honorable Jack Komar		
13 14	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	) Coordination Trial Judge		
15	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION AND ORDER ) REGARDING WILLIS CLASS NOTICE		
16	VS.	)		
17	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;	) )		
18	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER	)		
19	DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH	)		
20	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY	)		
21	WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC	)		
22	UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1,000;	)		
23	Defendants.	)		
24				
25	WHEREAS, on or about December 16, 2008, the Court signed Plaintiff			
26	Willis' Revised Order Governing Class Notice (the "Order"), which provided, inter			
27	alia, that Class members would have until March 1, 2009 to exclude themselves			
28	from the Class; and			
		- 1 -		

1	WHEREAS, the Order stated	d that such deadline would be subject to	
2	modification by the Court upon a show	ving of good cause; and	
3	WHEREAS, due to the size of the Class and other unavoidable delays, Clas		
4	Counsel could not respond to all of the many telephonic and e-mail inquiries the		
5	received from Class Members before the March 1, 2009 deadline;		
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that the deadlin		
7	for persons to have excluded themselves from the Class shall be extended to April 1		
8	2009. All exclusion request submitte	d by that date shall be deemed timely.	
9			
10	Dated: April 21, 2009	KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS, LLP	
11			
12			
13		Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.	
14		David B. Zlotnick, Esq. Attorneys for the Willis Class	
15			
16		BEST, BEST & KRIEGER, LLP	
17			
18	Jeffrey Dunn, Esq. On Behalf of all Public Water Suppliers		
19			
20			
21	ORDER  APPROVED AND SO ORDERED:		
22			
23			
24	Dated: April, 2009	Hon. Jack Komar,	
25		Judge of the Superior Court	
26			
27			
28			