1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP 625 Broadway, Suite 635 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class								
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES								
11									
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES) RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL) COUNCIL COORDINATION							
13	This Pleading Relates to Included Action:) PROCEEDING NO. 4408							
14	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself) The Honorable Jack Komar) Coordination Trial Judge							
15	and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,) DECLARATION OF REBECCA LEE) WILLIS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION							
16	,) FOR STAY							
17	VS.)							
18	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF))							
19	PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK	,))							
20	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL))							
21	WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY) Date: August 17, 2009							
22 23	SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through) Time: 9:00 a.m.) Dept: LASC- Dept. 1							
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$	1,000;))							
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$	Defendants.	<i>)</i>							
26	///								
$_{27}$	///								
28	///								
		. 1 .							

24

25

26

27

28

I. REBECCA LEE WILLIS, declare:

- 1. I am a landowner in the Antelope Valley and the representative of the "dormant" landowner Class. I make this declaration in opposition to certain parties' motion to stay this litigation. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration and am competent and willing to testify to the facts herein.
- 2. I have attended many, but not all, of the principals' meetings held in this matter in an effort to reach a settlement. The meetings have involved broad discussions of many of the issues that must be addressed as part of any settlement, but there has been no agreement reached on any significant issue.
- 3. In particular, the critical issue of the Basin's yield remains the subject of substantial disagreement. To the best of my knowledge, the parties' very disparate positions on yield and overdraft have not been significantly narrowed, much less the subject of any agreement, during the approximately seven (7) months that these meetings have taken place. Nor to my knowledge have the parties narrowed their divergent views on the issue of whether the purveyors are entitled to prescriptive rights.
- 4. It is important that the Court determine as promptly as possible whether the Public Water Suppliers are correct that the Basin is in a state of overdraft. Without such a finding, it is impossible to take whatever steps might be appropriate to protect the integrity of the Basin for future uses. Hence, I oppose any substantial delay in the trial of this issue.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 31st day of July 2009, in Tehachapi, California.

Rebecca Lee Willis

POSTNET

I, RI	BEC	CA I	LEE	WIL,	LIS,	dec	lare:
-------	-----	------	-----	------	------	-----	-------

- I am a landowner in the Antelope Valley and the representative of the "dormant" landowner Class. I make this declaration in opposition to extain parties' motion to stay this litigation. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained it is declaration and am competent and willing to testify to the facts herein.
- 2. I have attended many, but not all, of the princicals' meetings held in this matter in an effort to reach a settlement. The meetings have involve broad discussions of many of the issues that must be addressed as part of any settlement, but there has been no agreement reached on any significant issue.
- 3. In particular, the critical issue of the Bas n's yield remains the subject of substantial disagreement. To the best of my knowledge, the parties' very disparate positions on yield and overdraft have not been significantly narrowel, much less the subject of any agreement, during the approximately seven (7) months that these meetings have taken place. Nor to my knowledge have the parties narrowed their divergent views on the issue of whether the purveyors are entitled to prescriptive rights.
- 4. It is important that the Court determine as promptly as possible whether the Public Water Suppliers are correct that the Basin is in a state of overdraft. Without such a finding, it is impossible to take whatever steps might be appropriate to protect the integrity of the Basin for future uses. Hence, I oppose any substantial delay in the trial of this issue.

l declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 31st day of July 2009, in Tehacapi, California.

Repeate Lee Willis