1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9	\	
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY)GROUNDWATER CASES)	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
11		
12 13	This Pleading Relates to Included Action:)REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,)	CASE NO. BC 364553
) Plaintiff,	
14 15) vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING WILLIS SETTLEMENT
15 16) LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS) DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;)	
17	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF) PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER	
18	DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK) IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH)	Date: February 24, 2011
19	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL) WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY)	Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept: 15 (CCW)
20	WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY) SERVICE DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through)	Judge: Hon. Jack Komar Coordination Trial Judge
21	1,000;	
22	Defendants.)	
23		
24	On February 24, 2011, the Motion of Plaintiff Willis for final approval of class action	
25	settlement came on for hearing. The Court-approved Notice of the proposed settlement was	
26	previously sent by mail to over 60,000 persons and was published in three local newspapers.	
27	The Court has read and considered Plaintiff Willis' motion and supporting papers, as well as the	
28	objections filed by two class members and by certain other parties to these consolidated actions.	
		1

1	Having read and considered the forgeoing and following hearing on the motion, and good cause	
2	appearing therefore,	
3	IT IS ORDERED THAT:	
4	1. Plaintiff Willis' motion for final approval of the proposed settlement between the	
5	Willis Class and the Public Water Suppliers is granted. The Court finds that the settlement is fair	
6	and reasonable to all affected parties.	
7	2. The Court overrules the objections made to the settlement by Class Members	
8	Bobb and D'Orio, as well as by AGWA and Bolthouse.	
9 10	3. The Court finds that the settlement does not prejudice the legal rights of any non-	
10	settling parties, and such parties retain any and all rights they currently have to contest any of the	
12	issues as to which the Settling Parties agreed among themselves.	
13	4. The Court approves the form of the Proposed Final Judgment, but defers entry of	
14		
15	that Judgment until plaintiff's motion for an award of fees is determined.	
16		
17	Date:	
18	Judge of the Superior Court	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27 28	2	
-0	[Proposed] Order BC 364553	