

# **EXHIBIT B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JANET SOBEL, DANIEL DUGAN, Ph.D.	)	CASE NO. 3:06-cv-545-LRH(RAM)
and LYDIA LEE, individually and on behalf of	)	
all others similarly situated,	)	MINUTES OF THE COURT
	)	
vs.	)	DATED: APRIL 22, 2008
	)	
THE HERTZ CORPORATION, a Delaware	)	
corporation,	)	
	)	
Defendant(s).	)	
_____	)	

PRESENT: HONORABLE LARRY R. HICKS, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Deputy Clerk: Rosemarie Miller Reporter: Donna Davidson

Counsel for Plaintiff(s): G. David Robertson and David Zlotnick (Mr. Zlotnick is present telephonically)

Counsel for Defendant(s): William Peterson and Peter Hecker

PROCEEDINGS: STATUS CONFERENCE

3:07 p.m. Court convenes.

The Court notes this hearing was scheduled at the request of the parties in order to discuss the status of the case given the stay that has been imposed and the recent denial of the interlocutory appeal by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Mr. Robertson provides the Court with a proposed discovery and motions schedule which has been mutually drafted by the parties. Mr. Peterson notes that the schedule goes slightly beyond the time lines set by the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. Mr. Hecker notes that the parties have also agreed that, if it is necessary to file motions to compel which are granted by the Court, discovery could take place after the discovery cutoff as long as the motions are filed before the cutoff. The Court finds that, given the nature of the case, an extension of the normal time lines is acceptable, but cautions counsel that the Court will be hesitant to grant further extensions, if requested. IT IS ORDERED that all stays previously imposed by this Court are hereby VACATED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall abide by the following discovery and motions schedule:

(Continued)

Sobel, et al. v. Hertz Corp., et al.

3:06-cv-545-LRH(RAM)

April 22, 2008

Page Two

---

5/23/08	Exchange of initial disclosures;
9/30/08	Fact discovery cutoff;
10/10/08	Exchange expert reports;
11/7/08	Exchange rebuttal expert reports;
12/19/08	Expert discovery cutoff;
1/9/09	File dispositive motions;
2/6/09	Oppose dispositive motions;
3/6/09	Reply re dispositive motions;
4/09	Further pretrial conference to review schedule and any issues that remain in the case; subject to elimination or modification, depending on Court's rulings on dispositive motions;
2 weeks post rulings:	File class certification motion;
6 weeks thereafter:	Oppose class certification motion;
3 weeks later:	Reply re class certification.

3:14 p.m. Court adjourns.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: Rosemarie Miller  
Deputy Clerk

**Full docket text for document 74:**

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS - Motion Hearing held on 12/1/2008 before Magistrate Judge Robert A. McQuaid, Jr. Crtrm Administrator: *Jennifer Cotter*; Pla Counsel: *Arthur Stock and G. David Robertson*; Def Counsel: *William Peterson and Peter Hecker*; FTR #: *1:33:05 p.m. - 1:59:01 p.m.*; Time of Hearing: *1:30 p.m.*; Courtroom: 2. Parties present argument as to their respective positions regarding the subject motion. The Court places its findings on the record. IT IS ORDERED that [53] Motion to Compel is DENIED. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - JC)

---

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
03/18/2011 17:04:12			
PACER Login:	zt0001	Client Code:	Ant Val
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	3:06-cv-00545-LRH -RAM
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.08