Exhibit A

1	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607	
2	KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP	
3	550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101	
4	Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY) JUDICIAL COUNCIL
14	GROUNDWATER CASES) COORDINATION PROCEEDING) NO. 4408
15	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself)) WILLIS CLASS STATUS
16	and all others similarly situated,) CONFERENCE STATEMENT) RELATED TO PENDING MEDIATION
17	Plaintiff,))
18	VS.))) HIDGE, HONOBARI E IA <i>CE FO</i> MAR
19	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;) JUDGE: HONORABLE JACK KOMAR)
20	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER	,))
21	DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL) DATE: May 2, 2012) TIME: 10:00 a.m.
22	WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY) PLACE: Telephonic
23	SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through	,))
24	1,000;))
25	Defendants.	Ś
26		-
27		
28		- 1×
- 1		

The Willis class respectfully submits the following status conference statement with respect to the pending mediation before Justice Robie.

At the April 17, 2012 Case Management Conference, the Court inquired as to whether any parties are not participating in the ongoing mediation before Justice Robie. Although Messrs Dunn and Zimmer addressed that issue, Willis Class Counsel wish to clarify that we have not been participating in the mediation. As the Court is aware, the Willis Class settled its claims with the Public Water Suppliers last year. The Class has no claims pending against any party to this matter; nor have any other parties to this coordinated litigation asserted any claims against the Class. Given the fact that the Class has settled all of its claims and counsel are confident that the Suppliers will not enter into a physical solution or other settlement that is inconsistent with the Class settlement, we see no need to participate in the mediation. In that regard, the Class Settlement Stipulation narrowly limits Class Counsel's right to seek compensation from the Suppliers for post-judgment efforts. In accord with the Stipulation, we inquired of Suppliers' counsel whether it was necessary for Willis Counsel to attend the mediation and were advised that our participation was not required. Accordingly, absent a specific request from Suppliers' counsel or direction from the Court, Willis does not intend to participate in the mediation.

Dated: April 25, 2012

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS LLP

27

28

David B. Zlotnick, Esq.

/s/Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq. Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 2 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP 3 625 Broadway, Suite 635 San Diego, CA 92101 4 (619) 232-0331 Tel: Fax: (619) 232-4019 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class 6 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 ANTELOPE VALLEY RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL 12 **GROUNDWATER CASES** COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408 13 This Pleading Relates to Included Action: 14 REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself WILLIS CLASS' CASE MANAGEMENT and all others similarly situated, CONFERENCE STATEMENT 15 Plaintiff. 16 VS. 17 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 18 DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF 19 PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER June 19, 2012 DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK Date: 20 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH Time: 9:00 a.m. IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL 316 (CCW) Dept: 21 WATER DISTRICT: ANTELOPE VALLEY Judge: Hon. Jack Komar Coordination Trial Judge WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY 22 SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 23 1,000; 24 Defendants. 25 26 The Willis Class respectfully submits the following status conference statement. We 27 write to explain why the Willis Class has not been actively participating in the mediation before 28 Justice Robie and to express our concerns regarding that mediation. - 1 -

As this Court is aware, the Willis Class entered into a Stipulation of Settlement (the "Settlement" or "Stipulation") with the Public Water Suppliers ("Appropriators") in July 2010, which was approved by the Court in February 2011 and entered as a Final Judgment in our case on May 13, 2011. That Settlement resolved all claims that the Willis Class had asserted in this litigation.

The Stipulation provides, in pertinent part, that: "the Settling Defendants [Appropriators] and the Willis Class Members each have rights to produce groundwater from the Basin's . . .

Native Safe Yield (¶ IV.D.); and that the "Settling Defendants will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the exercise of the Willis Class members Overlying Right to produce and use their correlative share of 85% of the Basin's Native Safe Yield" (¶ IV.D.2.) (emphasis added). The Settlement further provides that the Class will be bound by a Physical Solution ultimately entered by the Court, but only if such a Physical Solution is "consistent with the terms of this Stipulation." (¶ V.B.).

Further, the Appropriators insisted that Class Counsel cease active involvement in the litigation and not seek further compensation from them (except for certain narrowly defined circumstances). In reliance on the fact that the Settlement was approved and became final, as well as the fact that no other parties have asserted any claims against the Class, Willis has not actively participated in the recent settlement negotiations before Justice Robie. Willis is pleased that the parties appear to be making progress towards a comprehensive resolution and will whole-heartedly support any resolution that is consistent with the terms of the Settlement that the Class previously reached with the Appropriators.

Based on comments that have recently been made to the Court, however, we are concerned that the mediating parties may be negotiating a proposed Physical Solution that allocates all or virtually all of the Basin's groundwater to existing pumpers and does not respect the Class' correlative rights to use the Basin's Native Safe Yield, as provided by the Stipulation and May 13, 2011 Judgment. Although we agree that it is critically important for the parties to this coordinated proceeding to reach a comprehensive settlement that fairly allocates use of the Basin's available groundwater and protects the Basin for future users, we must and will insist

that the Class' rights under the Settlement not be abrogated. In our view, Willis cannot agree to 1 2 any proposal that does not confer meaningful benefits to the class members or respect their correlative rights. On behalf of landowners of some 65,000 parcels, which constitute 3 approximately 60% of the Basin, we will reluctantly have to object to any proposal that does not 4 fully respect the Class' Court-approved rights, or provide meaningful benefits to the Class, 5 should such a proposal be presented for approval. Further, as noted above, we believe any such 6 7 proposal would be inconsistent with the Court's May 13, 2011 Final Judgment. We have raised these concerns with the Appropriators and other mediating parties. As 8 noted above, however, Class Counsel's active ongoing involvement is restricted by the terms of 9 10 the Stipulation (absent further Order of this Court under paragraph VIII.D.c of the Stipulation). Hence, we will continue to rely upon the terms of the Settlement in the expectation that any 11 12 proposed Physical Solution will fully respect the Class' correlative rights that were recognized under the Stipulation and Judgment. However, we feel it important to advise the Court and all 13 parties that, to the extent a proposed Physical Solution does not respect the Class' rights to use 14 the Basin's groundwater, we will reluctantly have to oppose it. 15 Dated: June 13, 2012 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS LLP /s/Ralph <u>B. Kalfayan</u> Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq. David B. Zlotnick, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class - 3 -

Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 232-0331 4 Tel: (619) 232-4019 Fax: 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class 6 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL ANTELOPE VALLEY 12 COUNCIL COORDINATION **GROUNDWATER CASES** PROCEEDING NO. 4408 13 This Pleading Relates to Included Action: 14 WILLIS CLASS' CASE MANAGEMENT REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself CONFERENCE STATEMENT and all others similarly situated, 15 Plaintiff, 16 VS. 17 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 18 DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF 19 PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER Date: October 12, 2012 DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK 20 Time: 9:00 a.m. IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH Dept: 1, Room 534 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL 21Judge: Hon. Jack Komar WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY Coordination Trial Judge WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY) 22 SERVICE DISTRICT; MOJAVE PUBLIC) UTILITY DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 23 1,000; 24 Defendants. 25 26 The Willis Class respectfully submits the following status conference statement. The 27 Willis class continues to monitor the case without actively participating in the mediation before 28 -1 -

Justice Robie. The reasons behind its limited participation were discussed in its last case management conference statement dated June 13, 2012. Based on the most recent filing of the Federal government it would appear that the landowner parties may be close to resolving their claims with the public water suppliers. The Willis class will continue to rely on the terms of the court approved stipulation of settlement in the expectation that any proposed Physical Solution will fully respect the Class' correlative rights and stay consistent with the terms of the stipulation of settlement. To the extent a proposed Physical Solution does not respect the Willis Class' rights to use the Basin's groundwater, we will reluctantly have to oppose it.

Dated: October 9, 2012

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS LLP

/s/Ralph B. Kalfayan

Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.
David B. Zlotnick, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class