1 2	Ralph B. Kalfayan (SBN 133464) Lynne M. Brennan (SBN 149131) KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK &				
3	SLAVENS, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530				
4	San Diego, CA 92101				
5	Fax. (019) 232-4019				
6	Attorneys for the Willis Class				
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUN	NTY OF LOS ANGELES			
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408			
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1,000; Defendants.	WILLIS CLASS' SCHEDULE OF OBJECTIONS AND INCONSISTENCIES TO THE STIPULATED PROPOSED PHYSICAL SOLUTION Date: March 26, 2015 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Superior Court of California			
2627	The Willis Class, hereby submits.	the following separate statement of objections in			
28	support of its Opposition to Motion for Prelin				
20	Support of its Opposition to Motion for From	• •			
		1			
	WILLIS CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL			

Item #	Stipulated Proposed Physical Solution	Objections
1	Introduction. This Judgment is entered as a Judgment binding on all Parties served or appearing in this Action, including without limitation, those Parties which have stipulated to this Judgment, are subject to prior settlement(s) and judgment(s) of this Court, have defaulted or hereafter stipulate to this Judgment.	The SPPS binds the Willis Class without their consent. The SPPS violates the Willis Class Stipulation of Settlement and Amended Final Judgment (Collectively Willis Judgment).
2	3.1 Jurisdiction. This Action is an <i>inter se</i> adjudication of all claims to the rights to Produce Groundwater from the Basin alleged between and among all Parties.1 This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and Parties herein to enter a Judgment declaring and adjudicating the rights to reasonable and beneficial use of water by the Parties in the Action pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution.	The Willis class' rights have been determined by Judgment. There is no jurisdiction over the Willis Class to enter a judgment inconsistent with the Willis Class judgment. No party has sued to subordinate the water rights of the Willis Class. Willis Class members have not received notice that their water rights are a risk of being modified by the SPPS.
4	3.2 Parties. The Court required that all Persons having or claiming any right, title or interest to the Groundwater within the Basin be notified of the Action. Notice has been given pursuant to the Court's order. All Public Water Suppliers, landowners, Non-Pumper Class and Small Pumper Class members and other Persons having or making claims have been or will be included as Parties to the Action. All named Parties who have not been dismissed have appeared or have been given adequate opportunity to appear.	The Willis Class's rights have been determined by a Judgment of this Court. Because no landowner has sued the Willis Class, Willis is not adverse to any pumpin landowner parties. Willis Class members have not had any notice that their water rights will be modified by the SPPS.
5	3.4 Need for a Declaration of Rights and Obligations for a Physical Solution. The Physical Solution set forth in this Judgment: (1) is a fair and reasonable allocation of Groundwater rights in the Basin after giving due consideration to water rights priorities and the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California 4 Constitution; (2) provides for a reasonable sharing of Imported Water costs; (3) furthers the mandates of the State Constitution and State water policy; and (4) is a remedy that gives due consideration to applicable common law rights and priorities to use Basin water and storage space without substantially impairing such rights.	This Physical Solution does not reasonably allocate water rights, violates the common law, violates Article X section 2 of the California Constitution, sections 106 and 106.3 of the Water Code, state water policy and is inconsistent with the Willis Class Judgment.
6	3.5.2 Adjusted Native Safe Yield. The Native Safe Yield minus (1) the Production Right allocated to the Small	This is not consistent with the definition of the Willis Class Judgment. Willis Class defined the term Federal Adjusted Native

1		Pumper Class under Paragraph 5.1.3, (2) the Federal Reserved Water Right under	Safe Yield as 74,700 (82,300 less 7,600). The PWS received 15% of the FANSY or
2		Paragraph 5.1.4, and (3) the State of California Production Right under Paragraph	11,205. Here, PWS received 12,345 or 15% of the entire 82,300 NSY. The rights
3		5.1.5. The Adjusted Native Safe Yield as of the date of entry of this Judgment is	of the PWS are overstated by 1,140 AFY.
4	7	70,686.6 acre-feet per year. 3.5.22 Non-Pumper Class. All private (i.e.,	This Definition is inaccurate. The Willis
5		non-governmental) Persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as	Class Judgment and Stipulation of Settlement define the Class as follows:
6		adjudicated, that are not presently pumping water on their property and did not do so at	"All private (i.e., non-governmental)
7		any time during the five Years preceding January 18, 2006. The Non-Pumper Class	persons and entities that own real property with the Basin, as adjudicated, that are not
8		includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase, gift, inheritance, or otherwise of	presently pumping water on their property and have not done so at any point in time
9		such Non-Pumper Class members' land within the Basin. The Non-Pumper Class	("the Class"). The Class includes the successors-in-interest by way of purchase,
10		excludes (1) all Persons to the extent their properties are connected to a municipal	gift, inheritance, or otherwise of such
11		water system, public utility, or mutual water company from which they receive water	landowners. The class excludes the defendants herein,
12		service, (2) all properties that are listed as "improved" by the Los Angeles County or	any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity in which any defendant has a
13		Kern County Assessor's offices, unless the owners of such properties declare under	controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any of the defendants, and
14		penalty of perjury that they do not pump and have never pumped water on those	the representatives, heirs, affiliates,
15		properties, and (3) those who opted out of the Non-Pumper Class. The Non-Pumper	successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also
16		Class does not include landowners who have been individually named under the Public	excludes all persons to the extent their properties are connected and receive
17 18		Water Suppliers' cross-complaint, unless such a landowner has opted into such class.	service from a municipal water system, public utility, or mutual water company.
19			The Class shall [further] exclude all property(ies) that are listed as "improved"
20			by the Los Angeles County or Kern
21			County Assessor's Office, unless the owners of such properties declare under
22			penalty of perjury that they do not pump and have never pumped water on those properties."
23	8	5.1 Allocation of Rights to Native Safe	It is unfair, prejudicial and inequitable to
24		Yield. Consistent with the goals of this Judgment and to maximize reasonable and	recognize the priority of Water Code section 106 for the small pumping class but not for the Willia Class. The Wood
25		beneficial use of the Groundwater of the Basin pursuant to Article X, section 2 of the Colifornia Constitution, all the Production	but not for the Willis Class. The Wood Class has Water Code section 106 priority but not the Willis Class because the Willis
26		California Constitution, all the Production Rights established by this Judgment are of	but not the Willis Class because the Willis Class water rights are subordinated to
27		equal priority, except the Federal Reserved Water Right which is addressed in Paragraph 5.1.4, and with the reservation of	behind all other rights allocated by the SPPS.
28		the Small Pumper Class Members' right to	
		3	
	WILLIS	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITIO	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

	claim a priority under Water Code section	
	106.	
10	5.1.1 Overlying Production Rights. The Parties listed in Exhibit 4, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, have Overlying Production Rights. Exhibit 3 sets forth the following for each Overlying Production Right: (1) the Pre-Rampdown Production; (2) the Production Right; and (3) the percentage of the Production from the Adjusted Native Safe Yield 5.1.1.3 Overlying Production Rights may be transferred pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 16 of this Judgment. 5.1.2 Non-Pumper Class Rights. The Non-Pumper Class members claim the right to Produce Groundwater from the Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land as provided for in this Judgment. On September 22, 2011, the Court approved the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement through an amended final judgment that settled the Non-Pumper Class' claims against the Public Water Suppliers ("Non-Pumper Class Judgment"). A copy of the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement are attached for reference only as Appendices A and B. This Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement are discharged for the Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. Future Production by a member of the Non-Pumper Class is addressed in the Physical Solution.	Willis Class members are not accorded an overlying production right. A fixed, guaranteed, and permanent right to others of the entire Native Safe Yield apparently divests the Willis Class of any right to produce from the NSY in the future since such new production will result in overdraft. It is a de facto extinguishment of their rights. Transferability is not consistent with the California Constitution in an overdrafted Basin and is not a reasonable and beneficial use of the water in this Basin. This Physical Solution is not consistent with the Willis Class judgment. Pursuant to paragraph V.B of the Stipulation of Settlement, "The Settling Parties agree to be part ofa Physical Solution to the extent it is consistent with the terms of this Stipulation and to be subject to Court-administered rules and regulations consistent with California and Federal law and the terms of this Stipulation." Pursuant to paragraph IV.D.2 of the Stipulation of Settlement, the Willis Class has a correlative share of 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial uses free or replacement assessment. The SPPS allocates none of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield to the Willis Class.
12	5.1.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class members shall have no right to transfer water pursuant to this Judgment.	It is discriminatory, unfair, and inconsistent to give one group of overlying landowners a right of transfer but not the
10		other group of landowners.
13	5.1.3 Small Pumper Class Production Rights. Subject only to the closure of the Small Pumper Class membership, the Small	The Small Pumping Class has a right to a total of 9,516 AFY free of any replacemer assessment. If to 3 AFY per parcel is
	Pumper Class's aggregate Production Right is 3806.4 acre-feet per Year. Allocation of	pumped, this amount will exceed the Native Safe Yield. The administrative
	water to the Small Pumper Class is set at an average Small Pumper Class Member amount of 1.2 acre-feet per existing	assessment is on 1.2 AFY yet the member of the Small Pumping Class can pump up to 3.0 AFY per parcel. 1.8 AFY escapes
	household or parcel based upon the 3172 known Small Pumper Class Member parcels	any administrative assessment.

1		at the time of this Judgment. Any Small Pumper Class Member may Produce up to	
2		and including 3 acre-feet per Year per existing household for reasonable and	
3		beneficial use on their overlying land, and	
4		such Production will not be subject to Replacement Water Assessment. Production	
5		by any Small Pumper Class Member above 3 acre-feet per Year per household or parcel	
		will be subject to Replacement Water	
6		Assessment, as set forth in this Judgment. Administrative Assessments for unmetered	
7		Production by Small Pumper Class Members	
0		shall be set based upon the allocation of 1.2	
8		acre-feet per Year per household or parcel, whichever is the case; metered Production	
9		shall be assessed in accord with the actual Production.	
10	14	5.1.3.1 The Production of Small Pumper	The allocation of up to 3 AFY to the small
11		Class Members of up to 3 acre-feet per Year of Groundwater per household or per parcel	pumper class is a permanent allocation as it requires an undefined "statistically
12		for reasonable and beneficial use shall only be subject to reduction if: (1) the reduction	credible study" and a "court order" and a Water Code section 106 determination.
		is based upon a statistically credible study	This gives an unfair and inequitable
13		and analysis of the Small Pumper Class' actual Native Safe Yield Production, as well	preference to the Small Pumper Class over the Non-pumping class.
14		as the nature of the use of such Native Safe	the rom pumping class.
15		Yield, over at least a three Year period; and (2) the reduction is mandated by Court order	
		after notice to the Small Pumper Class	
16		Members affording a reasonable opportunity	
17		for the Court to hear any Small Pumper Class Member objections to such reduction,	
10		including a determination that Water Code	
18		section 106 may apply so as to prevent a reduction.	
19	15	5.1.3.2 The primary means for monitoring	The Small Pumper Class escapes metering
20		the Small Pumper Class Members' Groundwater use under the Physical	but the Willis Class is required to meter. This is inequitable. The purpose of a
		Solution will be based on physical	Physical Solution is to determine water
21		inspection by the Watermaster, including the	rights vis-à-vis others. This can only be
22		use of aerial photographs and satellite imagery. All Small Pumper Class Members	effectuated through metering and reporting. If the Willis Class has to meter
22		agree to permit the Watermaster to subpoena	and report, so should the Small Pumper
23		the electrical meter records associated with their Groundwater wells on an annual basis.	Class. Failure to monitor and report will promote waste and inefficiency.
24		Should the Watermaster develop a	promote made and memoriney.
25		reasonable belief that a Small Pumper Class	
		Member household is using in excess of 3 acre-feet per Year, the Watermaster may	
26		cause to be installed a meter on such Small	
27		Pumper Class Member's well at the Small Pumper Class Member's expense.	
	16	5.1.3.4 Defaults or default judgments	The same default provision that benefits
28		entered against any Small Pumper Class	the Small Pumper Class should be
		5	
	WILLIS	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

	Member who did not opt out of the Small	provided to the Willis Class.
	Pumper Class are hereby deemed non-	
	operative and vacated <i>nunc pro tunc</i> , but only with respect to their ownership of real	
	property meeting the Small Pumper Class	
	definition.	
17	5.1.3.6 Unknown Small Pumper Class	The small pumper class has the benefit of
	Members are defined as: (1) those Persons	an expert to determine the pumping right
	or entities that are not identified on the list of known Small Pumper Class Members	of the class. The non-pumping class does not have an expert.
	maintained by class counsel and supervised	not have an expert.
	and controlled by the Court as of the Class	
	Closure Date; and (2) any unidentified	
	households existing on a Small Pumper	
	Class Member parcel prior to the Class	
	Closure Date. Within ten (10) Court days of the Class Closure Date, class counsel for the	
	Small Pumper Class shall publish to the	
	Court website and file with the Court a list	
	of the known Small Pumper Class Members.	
18	5.1.3.7 Given the limited number of	The Wood Class has a de minimis
	additions to the Small Pumper Class during	exemption while the non-pumping class
	the more than five Years since the initial notice was provided to the Class, the Court	does not. In addition, the Wood Class had a de minimis 1.8 AFY agricultural use.
	finds that the number of potentially	The Willis Class does not.
	unknown Small Pumper Class Members and	
	their associated water use is likely very low,	
	and any Production by unknown Small	
	Pumper Class Members is hereby deemed to	
	be <i>de minimis</i> in the context of this Physical Solution and shall not alter the Production	
	Rights decreed in this Judgment. However,	
	whenever the identity of any unknown Small	
	Pumper Class Member becomes known, that	
	Small Pumper Class Member shall be bound	
	by all provisions of this Judgment, including without limitation, the assessment	
	obligations applicable to Small Pumper	
	Class Members.	
19	5.1.3.8 In recognition of his service as class	Each acre foot permanently allocated to
	representative, Richard Wood has a	landowner permanently deprives the Wil
	Production Right of up to five 5 acre-feet	Class of its right to pump from the NSY.
	per Year for reasonable and beneficial use on his parcel free of Replacement Water	
	Assessment. This Production Right shall not	
	be transferable and is otherwise subject to	
	the provisions of this Judgment.	
20	5.1.4.1 In the event the United States does	Unused federal pumping rights may
	not Produce its entire 7,600 acre-feet in any	amount to 6,000 AFY. The benefit to the
	given Year, the unused amount in any Year	PWS is large and is not consistent with t Willis Class Judgment. It is inequitable
	will be allocated to the Non-Overlying Production Rights holders, except for Boron	and illegal. The rights of the PWS are
	Community Services District and West	overstated in light of the Willis Class
	Valley County Water District, in the	Judgment.
	following Year, in proportion to Production	

1		Rights set forth in Exhibit 3. This	
2		Production of unused Federal Reserved Water Right Production does not increase	
3		any Non-Overlying Production Right holder's decreed Non-Overlying Production	
4		Right amount or percentage, and does not affect the United States' ability to fully	
5		Produce its Federal Reserved Water Right as provided in Paragraph 5.1.4 in any	
6		subsequent Year. Upon entry of a judgment confirming its Federal Reserved Water	
7		Rights consistent with this Judgment, the United States waives any rights under State	
8		law to a correlative share of the Groundwater in the Basin underlying	
9		Edwards Air Force Base and Air Force Plant 42.	
10	21	5.1.5.3 If at any time, the amount of water supplied to the State of California by District	It is clear that imported water may not be available or may be limited; further,
11		No. 40, AVEK, or Rosamond Community Service District is no longer available or no	imported water may be very expensive. In such an event the State has a right to the
12		longer available at reasonable rates to the State of California, the State of California shall have the additional right to Produce	NSY. The non-pumping class is unfairly excluded entirely from the NSY. This is inconsistent with the judgment and
13		Native Safe Yield to meet its reasonable and beneficial needs up to 787 acre-feet per	inequitable. The reasonableness of the rate is not determined in this paragraph. Willis
14		Year, the amount provided by District No. 40, AVEK and Rosamond Community	Class needs an expert to analyze these provisions. See Kalfayan Declaration.
15		Services District to the State of California in the Year 2013.	,
16	22	5.1.6 Non-Overlying Production Rights . The Parties listed in Exhibit 3 have	The PWS overlying production right is inconsistent with the Willis judgment. The
17		Production Rights in the amounts listed in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 3 is attached hereto, and	PWS received FANSY not NSY. This provision overstates their water right by
18 19		incorporated herein by reference. Non- Overlying Production Rights are subject to Pro-Rata Reduction or Increase only	1100 AFY. The PWS ask the Willis Class to honor their right to pump 15% NSY for
20		pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.10.	free but demand that the Willis Class
21			members subordinate their water rights and pay to pump groundwater.
22	23	5.1.10 Production Rights Claimed by Non-Stipulating Parties. Any claim to a	This Court has repeatedly stated that a Settlement among certain parties cannot hind non settling portion but the SPDS
23		right to Produce Groundwater from the Basin by a Non-Stipulating Party shall be subject to procedural or legal objection by	bind non-settling parties, but the SPPS would do just that.
24		any Stipulating Party.	Any non-stipulating production is subject to objection in the future. Yet the pumpers
25		Should the Court, after taking evidence, rule that a Non-Stipulating Party has a	may pump their FPA free from any objection in the future. If court rules that
26		Production Right, the Non-Stipulating Party shall be subject to all provisions of this	they have a production right then they have the burdens of the PS but not the benefits
27		Judgment, including reduction in Production necessary to implement the Physical	of the PS. That is inequitable, inconsistent, and illegal.
28		Solution and the requirements to pay assessments, but shall not be entitled to	
		7	
	WILLIS	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITIO	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

1		benefits provided by Stipulation, including but not limited to Carry Over pursuant to	
2		Paragraph 15 and Transfers pursuant to Paragraph 16. If the total Production by	
3		Non-Stipulating Parties is less than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe Yield, such	
4		Production will be addressed when Native	
5		Safe Yield is reviewed pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9.	
6		If the total Production by Non-Stipulating	
7		Parties is greater than seven percent (7%) of the Native Safe Yield, the Watermaster shall determine whether Production by Non-	
8		Stipulating Parties would cause Material Injury, in which case the Watermaster shall	
9		take action to mitigate the Material Injury, including, but not limited to, imposing a	
10		Balance Assessment, provided however, that the Watermaster shall not recommend any	
11		changes to the allocations under Exhibits 3 and 4 prior to the redetermination of Native	
12		Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9. In all cases, however, whenever the	
13		Watermaster re-determines the Native Safe Yield pursuant to Paragraph 18.5.9, the	
14		Watermaster shall take action to prevent Native Safe Yield Production from	
15		exceeding the Native Safe Yield on a long- term basis.	
16	24	6.1 <u>Injunction Against Unauthorized</u> Production. Each and every Party, its	The Willis class is enjoined from producing groundwater from the NSY in
17		officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, and assigns, except for the	the future. This is illegal, inequitable, and inconsistent with the Willis judgment,
18		United States, is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from Producing	which states: "The settling Parties agree that the Willis
19		Groundwater from the Basin except pursuant to this Judgment.	Class Members have an Overlying Right to
20		to and additional	a correlative share of 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield for reasonable
21			and beneficial uses on their overlying land free of any Replacement Assessment. The
22			Settling Defendants will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that
23			are inconsistent with the exercise of the
24			Willis Class Member's Overlying Right to produce and use their correlative share of
25			the 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield."
26	25	6.4 <u>Injunction Against Transportation</u> From Basin. Except upon further order of	The exportation of groundwater in favor of Abbey, Borax, and Tejon is contrary to law
27		the Court, each and every Party, its officers, agents, employees, successors and assigns,	and it harms the Basin and the Willis Class.
28		is ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED from	
		8	
	WILLIS	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITIO	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

1		transporting Groundwater hereafter	
		Produced from the Basin to areas outside the	
2		Basin except as provided for by the	
3		following. The United States may transport water Produced pursuant to its Federal	
		Reserved Water Right to any portion of	
4		Edwards Air Force Base, whether or not the location of use is within the Basin. This	
5		injunction does not prevent Saint Andrew's	
_		Abbey, Inc., U.S. Borax and Tejon	
6		Ranchcorp/Tejon Ranch Company from conducting business operations on lands	
7		both inside and outside the Basin boundary,	
8		and transporting Groundwater Produced	
8		consistent with this Judgment for those operations and for use on those lands outside	
9		the Basin and within the watershed of the	
10	26	Basin as shown in Exhibit 9. 6.5 Continuing Jurisdiction. The Court	The Court has no jurisdiction to amend the
	20	retains and reserves full jurisdiction,	judgment. This paragraph is too narrow.
11		power and authority for the purpose of	
12		enabling the Court, upon a motion of a Party or Parties noticed in accordance with the	
10		notice procedures of Paragraph 20.6 hereof,	
13		to make such further or supplemental order or directions as may be necessary or	
14		appropriate to interpret, enforce, administer	
1.5		or carry out this Judgment and to provide for	
15		such other matters as are not contemplated by this Judgment and which might occur in	
16		the future, and which if not provided for	
17	27	would defeat the purpose of this Judgment. 7.1 Purpose and Objective. The Court	The physical solution is inequitable,
	21	finds that the Physical Solution incorporated	illegal, and inconsistent with the Willis
18		as part of this Judgment: (1) is a fair and	Class judgment. Willis Class needs an
19		equitable basis for satisfaction of all water rights in the Basin; (2) is in furtherance of	expert to determine the reasonable and beneficial uses of all parties.
		the State Constitution mandate and the State	constraint does of the purvious.
20		water policy; and (3) takes into account water rights priorities, applicable public trust	
21		interests and the Federal Reserved Water	
22		Right. The Court finds that the Physical	
22		Solution establishes a legal and practical means for making the maximum reasonable	
23		and beneficial use of the waters of the Basin	
24		by providing for the long-term Conjunctive Use of all available water in order to meet	
		the reasonable and beneficial use	
25		requirements of water users in the Basin.	
26		Therefore, the Court adopts, and orders the Parties to comply with this Physical	
27	20	Solution.	The variable of
27	28	7.4 Water Rights. A Physical Solution for the Basin based upon a declaration of water	The Willis Class has a correlative rights judgment. They have no notice of
28		rights and a formula for allocation of rights	quantification proceedings. The Willis
	WILLIG	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
11	· · inni	SALES STITEMENT OF TROOPS IN SOUTONE OF OFFOSITIO	Z. Z. ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

1 2		and obligations is necessary to implement the mandate of Article X, section 2 of the California Constitution. The Physical	Class is not able to quantify its rights without an expert. The Physical Solution ignores the priority right of the Willis
3		Solution requires quantifying the Producers' rights within the Basin in a manner which	class. The Willis Class is not able to counter reasonable and beneficial uses of
4		will reasonably allocate the Native Safe Yield and Imported Water Return Flows and which will provide for sharing Imported	any other landowner.
5		Water costs. Imported Water sources are or will be available in amounts which, when	
6		combined with water conservation, water reclamation, water transfers, and improved	
7		conveyance and distribution methods within the Basin, will be sufficient in quantity and	
8		quality to assure implementation of the Physical Solution. Sufficient information	
9		and data exists to allocate existing water supplies, taking into account water rights	
10		priorities, within the Basin and as among the water users. The Physical Solution provides	
11		for delivery and equitable distribution of Imported Water to the Basin.	
12	29	8.1 <u>Installation of Meters</u> . Within two (2) Years from the entry of this Judgment all	Small pumpers are excluded from metering while Willis Class members are required to
13		Parties other than the Small Pumper Class shall install meters on their wells for	meter. Failure to monitor and report encourages waste.
14		monitoring Production. Each Party shall bear the cost of installing its meter(s).	cheodrages waste.
15		Monitoring or metering of Production by the Small Pumper Class shall be at the	
16		discretion of the Watermaster, subject to the provisions of Paragraph 5.1.3.2.	
17	30	8.4.1 During the Rampdown period, District No. 40 agrees to purchase from AVEK each	This paragraph highlights the unreliability of State Water deliveries and the
18		Year at an amount equal to 70 percent of District No. 40's total annual demand if that	sensitivity of water rates. It provides favorable rates to the PWS. The Willis
19		amount is available from AVEK at no more than the then current AVEK treated water	Class needs an expert to determine fairness of all drought provisions. See Kalfayan
20		rate. If that amount is not available from AVEK, District No. 40 will purchase as	Declaration.
21		much water as AVEK makes available to District No. 40 at no more than the then	
22		current AVEK treated water rate. Under no circumstances will District No. 40 be	
23		obligated to purchase more than 50,000 acre-feet of water annually from AVEK.	
24		Nothing in this Paragraph affects AVEK's water allocation procedures as established	
25	21	by its Board of Directors and AVEK's Act.	appa i
26	31	9.2.1 The Non-Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement, executed by its signatories and	The SPPS is not consistent with the Willis Class judgment. The Willis Settlement
27		approved by the Court in the Non-Pumper Class Judgment, specifically provides for	provides: "The settling Parties agree that the Willis Class Members have an
28		imposition of a Replacement Water Assessment on Non-Pumper Class members.	Overlying Right to a correlative share of
28			Overlying Right to a correlative share of

1		This Judgment is consistent with the Non- Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement and	the 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native
2		Judgment. The Non-Pumper Class members	Safe Yield for reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land free of any
3		specifically agreed to pay a replacement assessment if that member produced "more	Replacement Assessment. The Settling
4		than its annual share" of the Native Safe Yield less the amount of the Federal	Defendants will not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are
		Reserved Right. (See Appendix B at	inconsistent with the exercise of the Willis
5		paragraph V., section D. Replacement Water.) In approving the Non-Pumper Class	Class Member's Overlying Right to produce and use their correlative share of
6		Stipulation of Settlement this Court	the 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted
7		specifically held in its Order after Hearing dated November 18, 2010, that "the	Native Safe Yield." The Willis Settlement
8		court determination of physical solution cannot be limited by the Class Settlement."	States:
		The Court also held that the Non-Pumper	"The Settling Parties agree to be part ofa
9		Class Stipulation of Settlement "may not affect parties who are not parties to the	Physical Solution to the extent it is consistent with the terms of this Stipulation
10		settlement."	and to be subject to Court-administered
11			rules and regulations consistent with California and Federal law and the terms of this Stipulation."
12	32	9.2.2 Evidence presented to the Court demonstrates that Production by one or more	This provision is inaccurate, inequitable, illegal, and inconsistent. This is a total
13		Public Water Suppliers satisfies the elements	abrogation of the Willis judgment. In
14		of prescription and that Production by overlying landowners during portion(s) of	addition, it subordinates and extinguishes the rights of Willis class without a
15		the prescriptive period exceeded the Native Safe Yield. At the time of this Judgment the	pleading or notice. The Willis Settlement states:
		entire Native Safe Yield is being applied to	
16		reasonable and beneficial uses in the Basin.	"The Settling Parties agree that the Settling Defendants collectively have the right to
17		Members of the Non-Pumper Class do not and have never Produced Groundwater for	produce up to 15% of the Basin's
18		reasonable beneficial use as of the date of	Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield free of any Replacement Assessment."
19		this Judgment. Pursuant to <i>Pasadena v</i> . <i>Alhambra</i> (1949) 33 Cal 2d 908, 931-32 and	of any Replacement Assessment.
20		other applicable law, the failure of the Non- Pumper Class members to Produce any	"The settling Parties agree that the Willis
		Groundwater under the facts here modifies	Class Members have an Overlying Right to a correlative share of the 85% of the
21		their rights to Produce Groundwater except as provided in this Judgment. Because this is	Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield for
22		a comprehensive adjudication pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, consistent with	reasonable and beneficial uses on their overlying land free of any Replacement
23		the California Supreme Court decisions,	Assessment. The Settling Defendants will
24		including In Re Waters of Long Valley Creek Stream System (1979) 25 Cal. 3d 339,	not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the
25		this Court makes the following findings: (1)	exercise of the Willis Class Member's
		certainty fosters reasonable and beneficial use of water and is called for by the mandate	Overlying Right to produce and use their
26		of Article X, section 2; (2) because of this mandate for certainty and in furtherance of	correlative share of the 85% of the Basin's Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield."
27		the Physical Solution, any New Production,	, ,
28		including that by a member of the Non- Pumper Class must comply with the New	"The Settling Parties agree to be part ofa Physical Solution to the extent it is
		11	
	WILLIS	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
·			

1		Production Application Procedure specified	consistent with the terms of this Stipulation
2		in Paragraph 18.5.13; (3) as of this Judgment no member of the Non-Pumper Class has	and to be subject to Court-administered rules and regulations consistent with
3		established a Production Right to the reasonable and beneficial use of	California and Federal law and the terms of this Stipulation."
4		Groundwater based on their unexercised claim of right to Produce Groundwater; (4)	-
5		if in the future a member of the Non-Pumper Class proposes to Produce Groundwater for	The Public Water Suppliers are in material breach of the Willis Settlement.
6		reasonable and beneficial use, the Watermaster as part of the New Production Application Procedure, has the authority to	
7		determine whether such a member has established that the proposed New	
8		Production is a reasonable and beneficial use in the context of other existing uses of	
9		Groundwater and then-current Basin conditions; and (5) the Watermaster's	
10		determinations as to the approval, scope, nature and priority of any New Production is	
11		reasonably necessary to the promotion of the State's interest in fostering the most	
12		reasonable and beneficial use of its scarce water resources.	
13		All provisions of this Judgment regarding	
14 15		the administration, use and enforcement of the Replacement Water Assessment shall	
16		apply to each Non-Pumper Class member that Produces Groundwater. Prior to the commencement of Production, each	
17		Producing Non-Pumper Class member shall install a meter and report Production to the	
18		Watermaster. The Court finds that this Judgment is consistent with the Non-Pumper	
19	33	Stipulation of Settlement and Judgment. 14. STORAGE. All Parties shall have the	This provision denies the rights of the
20	33	right to store water in the Basin pursuant to a Storage Agreement with the Watermaster. If	This provision denies the rights of the Willis Class to store water.
21		Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or Palmdale Water District stores Imported	
22		Water in the Basin it shall not export from its service area that Stored Water. AVEK,	
23		Littlerock Creek Irrigation District or Palmdale Water District may enter into	
24		exchanges of their State Water Project "Table A" Amounts. Nothing in this	
25		Judgment limits or modifies operation of preexisting banking projects (including	
26		AVEK, District No. 40, Antelope Valley Water Storage LLC, Tejon Ranchcorp and	
27		Tejon Ranch Company, Sheep Creek Water1 Co.,Rosamond Community Services District and Palmodale Water District) or	
28		District and Palmdale Water District) or performance of preexisting exchange	
		12	
	WILLIS	CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

1		agreements of the Parties. The Watermaster			
2		shall promptly enter into Storage Agreements with the Parties at their request.			
3		The Watermaster shall not enter into Storage Agreements with non-Parties unless such			
4		non-Parties become expressly subject to the provisions of this Judgment and the			
5		jurisdiction of the Court. Storage Agreements shall expressly preclude			
6		operations which will cause a Material Injury on any Producer. If, pursuant to a			
7		Storage Agreement, a Party has provided for pre-delivery or post-delivery of			
8		Replacement Water for the Party's use, the Watermaster shall credit such water to the			
9		Party's Replacement Water Obligation at the Party's request. Any Stored Water that			
10		originated as State Water Project water imported by AVEK, Palmdale Water			
11		District or Littlerock Creek Irrigation District may be exported from the Basin for			
12		use in a portion of the service area of any city or public agency, including State Water Project Contractors, that are Parties to this			
13		action at the time of this Judgment and whose service area includes land outside the			
14		Basin. AVEK may export any of its Stored State Project Water to any area outside its			
15		jurisdictional boundaries and the Basin provided that all water demands 10 within			
16		AVEK's jurisdictional boundaries are met. Any Stored Water that originated as other			
17		Imported Water may be exported from the Basin, subject to a requirement that the			
18		Watermaster make a technical determination of the percentage of the Stored Water that is			
19		unrecoverable and that such unrecoverable			
20	34	Stored Water is dedicated to the Basin. 16.1 When Transfers are Permitted.	Transfers are inappropriate under these		
21		Pursuant to terms and conditions to be set forth in the Watermaster rules and	circumstances and injure the Willis Class. Transfers will encourage waste and		
22		regulations, and except as otherwise provided in this Judgment, Parties may	exploitation of the Basins water. It is not consistent with the Constitution and		
23		transfer all or any portion of their Production Right to another Party so long as such	mandates reasonable and beneficial use by overlying landowners on their properties.		
24		transfer does not cause Material Injury. All transfers are subject to hydrologic review by			
25	35	the Watermaster Engineer. 18.1 Appointment of Initial Watermaster.	The Willis class is not represented on the		
26		Appointment and Composition: The Court hereby appoints a Watermaster. The	five-member committee that constitutes the Watermaster. The Willis Class		
27		Watermaster shall be a five (5) member board composed of one representative each	acres of land overlying the Basin. To		
28		from AVEK and District No. 40, a second Public Water Supplier representative	exclude the Willis Class from the Watermaster Committee is unreasonable		
		13			
	WILLIS CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL				

1		selected by District No. 40, Palmdale Water	given the important role of the		
2		District, Quartz Hill Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District,	Watermaster and area of the Basin owned by the Willis Class.		
3		California Water Service Company, Desert Lake Community Services District, North			
4		Edwards Water District, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Palm Ranch Irrigation			
5		District, and Rosamond Community Services District, and two (2) landowner			
6		Parties, exclusive of public agencies and members of the Non-Pumper and Small			
7		Pumper Classes, selected by majority vote of the landowners identified on Exhibit 4 (or			
8		their successors in interest) based on their proportionate share of the total Production			
9		Rights identified in Exhibit 4. The United States may also appoint a non-voting			
10		Department of Defense (DoD) Liaison to the Watermaster committee to represent DoD			
11		interests. Participation by the DoD Liaison shall be governed by Joint Ethics			
12	36.	18.4.9 New Production Applications. The Watermaster shall consider and	Willis Class members are not guaranteed the right to pump any amount of		
13		determine whether to approve applications for New Production after consideration of	groundwater—even for the purposes of domestic and human use.		
14		the recommendation of the Watermaster Engineer.			
15	37.	18.5.13 New Production Application Procedure. The Watermaster Engineer shall	The Willis Class needs a land use and well expert to determine the reasonableness of		
16		determine whether a Party or Person seeking to commence New Production has	these regulations. Initial discussions with the Los Angeles County Department of		
17		established the reasonableness of the New Production in the context of all other uses of	Environmental Health revealed that many of these regulations are not required for		
18		Groundwater in the Basin at the time of the application, including whether all of the	agricultural or domestic uses. These regulations are onerous, expensive and		
19		Native Safe Yield is then currently being used reasonably and beneficially.	unreasonable. See Kalfayan Declaration.		
20		Considering common law water rights and priorities, the mandate of certainty in Article			
21		X, section 2, and all other relevant1 factors, the Watermaster Engineer has authority to recommend that the application for New			
22		Production be denied, or approved on condition of payment of a Replacement			
23		Water Assessment. The Watermaster Engineer shall consider, investigate and			
24		recommend to the Watermaster whether an application to commence New Production of			
25		Groundwater may be approved as follows:			
26		18.5.13.1 All Parties or Person(s) seeking approval from the Watermaster to			
2728		commence New Production of Groundwater shall submit a written application to the Watermaster Engineer which shall			
		14			
	WILLIS CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL				

1	include the following:
2	18.5.13.1.1 Payment of an application fee sufficient to recover all costs of application
3	review, field investigation, reporting, and hearing, and other associated costs, incurred
5	by the Watermaster and Watermaster Engineer in processing the application for New Production;
6	18.5.13.1.2 Written summary describing the proposed quantity, sources of supply, season
7	of use, Purpose of Use, place of use, manner of delivery, and other pertinent information
8	regarding the New Production;
9	18.5.13.1.3 Maps identifying the location of the proposed New Production, including Basin Subarea;
11	18.5.13.1.4 Copy of any water well permits,
12	specifications and well-log reports, pump specifications and testing results, and water meter specifications associated with the New
13	Production;
14	18.5.13.1.5 Written confirmation that the applicant has obtained all applicable
15	Federal, State, County, and local land use entitlements and other permits necessary to
16	commence the New Production;
17	18.5.13.1.6 Written confirmation that the applicant has complied with all applicable
18 19	Federal, State, County, and local laws, rules and regulations, including but not limited to,
20	the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et. seq.);
	18.5.13.1.7 Preparation of a water
21	conservation plan, approved and stamped by a California licensed and registered
22	professional civil engineer, demonstrating that the New Production will be designed,
23 24	constructed and implemented consistent with California best water management
25	practices.
26	18.5.13.1.8 Preparation of an analysis of the economic impact of the New Production on the Basin and other Producers in the Subarea
27	of the Basin;
28	18.5.13.1.9 Preparation of an analysis of the physical impact of the New Production on

1		the Basin and other Producers in the Subarea of the Basin;			
2 3		18.5.13.1.10 A written statement, signed by			
4		a California licensed 4 and registered professional civil engineer, determining that the New Production will not cause Material			
5		Injury;			
6		18.5.13.1.11 Written confirmation that the applicant agrees to pay the applicable			
7		Replacement Water Assessment for any New Production.			
8		18.5.13.1.12 Other pertinent information which the Watermaster Engineer may			
9	38.	require. 18.5.13.2 Finding of No Material Injury.	This is vague, arbitrary, and confers no		
10		The Watermaster Engineer shall not make recommendation for approval of an	guaranteed right to pump groundwater to the Willis Class. It is totally discretionary.		
11		application to commence New Production of Groundwater unless the Watermaster	As it pertains the Willis Class it is inconsistent with the Judgment.		
12		Engineer finds, after considering all the facts and circumstances including any	, and the second		
13		requirement that the applicant pay a Replacement Water Assessment required by	"The settling Parties agree that the Willi Class Members have an Overlying Right to		
14		this Judgment or determined by the	a correlative share of the 85% of the Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield for		
15		Watermaster Engineer to be required under the circumstances, that such New Production	reasonable and beneficial uses on their		
16		will not cause Material Injury. If the New Production is limited to domestic use for one	overlying land free of any Replacement Assessment. The Settling Defendants will		
17		single-family household, the Watermaster Engineer has the authority to determine the	not take any positions or enter into any agreements that are inconsistent with the		
18		New Production to be <i>de minimis</i> and waive payment of a Replacement Water	exercise of the Willis Class Member's		
19		Assessment; <i>provided</i> , the right to Produce such <i>de minimis</i> Groundwater is not	Overlying Right to produce and use their correlative share of the 85% of the Basin's		
20		transferable, and shall not alter the	Federally Adjusted Native Safe Yield."		
	39.	Production Rights decreed in this Judgment. 20.8 No Abandonment of Rights. In the	The SPPS is a de facto extinguishment of		
21		interest of the Basin and its water supply, and the principle of reasonable and	the water rights of Willis Class members. However, the SPPS is careful not cause an		
22		beneficial use, no Party shall be encouraged to Produce and use more water in any Year	abandonment of the stipulating parties free production allowance. The SPPS is unfair,		
23		than is reasonably required. Failure to Produce all of the Groundwater to which a	illegal, and inconsistent with the Willis Settlement.		
24		Party is entitled shall not, in and of itself, be deemed or constitute an abandonment of	~		
25		such Party's right, in whole or in part, except as specified in Paragraph 15.			
26		cacopt as specifica in 1 aragraph 13.			
27					
28					
		16			
	WILLIS CLASS STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL				