

1 Ralph B. Kalfayan (SBN 133464)
2 KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK &
3 SLAVENS, LLP
4 550 West C Street, Suite 530
5 San Diego, CA 92101
6 Tel: (619) 232-0331
7 Fax: (619) 232-4019

8 Class Counsel for the Willis Class

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

10 **ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER**
11 **CASES**

12 This Pleading Relates to Included Action:
13 REBECCA LEE WILLIS and DAVID
14 ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves and all
15 others similarly situated,

16 *Plaintiffs,*

17 v.

18 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
19 DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;
20 CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE
21 WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK
22 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH
23 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL
24 WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY
25 WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY
26 SERVICE DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON
27 HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICE
28 DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1,000;

Defendants.

RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL
COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408

**SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN
SUPPORT OF WILLIS CLASS' SECOND
SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR AN
AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES,
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND
CLASS REPRESENTATIVE AWARD**

Date: April 1, 2016
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Dept.: 1
Place: San Jose Superior Court
191 N. First Street
San Jose, CA 95113
Judge: Hon. Jack Komar

1 The Willis Class offers this supplemental authority in addition to the cited cases for the
2 Second Supplemental Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Class
3 Representative Incentive Award dated January 22, 2016 and the reply briefs to the oppositions of
4 said motion filed March 25, 2016- *Bowman v. City of Berkeley*, 131 Cal.App.4th 173 (2005). The
5 Willis Class cites this case here as supplemental authority for the proposition that a party need not
6 win its case on the merits to be a prevailing party under CCP 1021.5.

7
8 The Plaintiffs in *Bowman* were deemed "successful," despite having been unsuccessful in
9 their challenge – except for the trial court's sua sponte decision to remand the matter for a rehearing
10 on due process grounds. The challenged housing complex was approved on a second hearing. In
11 support of its decision, the appellate court noted, "The trial court is to assess the litigation
12 realistically and determine from a practical perspective whether [the statutory] criteria have been
13 met." (*Bowman* at 177.) "In order to effectuate the purpose of section 1021.5, courts 'have taken
14 a broad, pragmatic view of what constitutes a successful party.'" (*Id.* at 178.) In *Bowman*, the court
15 found fees appropriate because Plaintiff's challenge to the project "was key to the Court being able
16 to fully consider the remaining issues...." (*Id.*)

17
18
19 Dated: April 1, 2016

Respectfully submitted,
KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK &
SLAVENS, LLP

21
22 /s/ Ralph B. Kalfayan

23 _____
Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.
Class Counsel for the Willis Class

1 Ralph B. Kalfayan (SBN 133464)
2 Phillip E. Stephan (SBN 283818)
3 KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK &
4 SLAVENS, LLP
5 550 West C Street, Suite 530
6 San Diego, CA 92101
7 Tel: (619) 232-0331
8 Fax: (619) 232-4019

9 Class Counsel for the Willis Class

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

12 ANTELOPE VALLEY
13 GROUNDWATER CASES

RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL
COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408

14 This Pleading Relates to Included Action:
15 REBECCA LEE WILLIS and DAVID
16 ESTRADA, on behalf of themselves and
17 all others similarly situated,

PROOF OF SERVICE

18 *Plaintiffs,*

19 v.

20 LOS ANGELES COUNTY
21 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40;
22 CITY OF LANCASTER; CITY OF
23 PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER
24 DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK
25 IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM
26 RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT;
27 QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT;
28 ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.;
ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICE
DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL
COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT;
and DOES 1 through 1,000;

Defendants.

1 I, Cindy Barba, declare:

2 I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Diego County, California. I am
3 over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is
4 Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens, LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 530, San Diego, California,
5 92101. On April 1, 2016, I caused the following document(s): to be served on the parties in this
6 action, as follows:

7 **SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF WILLIS CLASS' SECOND
8 SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES,
9 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
10 AWARD**

11 (X) (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara
12 County Superior Court website: www.scefiling.org regarding the Antelope Valley Groundwater
13 matter.


14 () (BY U.S. Mail) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing
15 of documents for mailing. Under that practice, the above-referenced documents(s) were placed in
16 sealed envelope(s) addressed to the parties as noted above, with postage thereon fully prepaid and
17 deposited such envelope(s) with the United States Postal Service on the same date at San Diego,
18 California, addressed to:

19 () (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I served a true and correct copy by Federal Express or other
20 overnight delivery service, for the delivery on the next business day. Each copy was enclosed in
21 an envelope or package designed by the express service carrier; deposited in a facility regularly
22 maintained by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized to receive
23 documents on its behalf; with delivery fees paid or provided for; addressed as shown on the
24 accompanying service list.

25 () (BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of
26 facsimile transmission of documents. It is transmitted to the recipient on the same day in the
27 ordinary course of business.

28 (X) (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that
the above is true and correct.

() (FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
America that the foregoing is true and correct.

29 
30 Cindy Barba