1	Robert G. Kuhs, SBN 160291 Bernard C. Barmann, Jr., SBN 149890	
2	Kuhs & Parker	
2	P. O. Box 2205	
3	1200 Traktan Teoriae, Same 200	
4	Bakersfield, CA 93303 Telephone: (661) 322-4004	
_	Facsimile: (661) 322-2906	
5	E-Mail: rgkuhs@kuhsparkerlaw.com	
6		
7	Attorneys for Tejon Ranchcorp and Tejon Ranch Co	ompany
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	- CENTRAL DISTRICT
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
12	CASES	-
	Total Andrew	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
13	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar
14	v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	TEJON RANCHCORP'S
_	California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC	STATEMENT OF NON-
15	325201;	OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF
16	,	ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN
10	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	WATER AGENCY FOR SUMMARY
17	v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	ADJUDICATION RE: OWNERSHIP
	California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-	OF RETURN FLOWS
18	254-348;	Data: January 27, 2014
19	Www. Dolthouse Forms, Inc. v. City of Language	Date: January 27, 2014 Time: 9:00 a.m.
	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond	Dept: TBD
20	Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior	Dopt. TDD
21	Court of California, County of Riverside, Case	Phase 5 Trial Date: February 10, 2014
	No. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	•
22		
23	COMEGNOW TEION DANCHCORD and	TEION DANICH COMBANY ("Tojon") and
	COMES NOW TEJON RANCHCORP and	TEJON RANCH COMPANY ("Tejon") and
24	files this Statement of Non-Opposition to the motion of ANTELOPE VALLEY-EAST KERN	
25		
	WATER AGENCY ("AVEK") for summary adjudication of all causes of action relating to	
26		
27	AVEK's ownership of return flows attributable to A	AVEK's imported Table A SWP water.
28		

ATTORNEY TANKER ATTORNEY TAW P. O. BOX 2205 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 93303 (661) 322-4004 • FAX (661) 322-2906

I. INTRODUCTION

On November 11, 2013 AVEK filed a motion to summarily adjudicate the Public Water Suppliers' purported sixth cause of action claiming the right to return flows from State Water Project ("SWP") water purchased from AVEK and AVEK's purported fourth cause of action for declaratory relief regarding the right to return flows from AVEK's SWP table A entitlement.

AVEK's motion, the statement of undisputed material facts, and the supporting evidence are directed to the dispute between the so-called Public Water Suppliers and AVEK regarding the right to recapture return flows for imported water sold by AVEK to the Public Water Suppliers. Accordingly, Teion does not oppose AVEK's motion.

Tejon purchases water from AVEK and also imports and banks additional supplies in the AVAA. For example, Tejon has banked water in the AVAA, and purchased and imported SWP water from other agencies such as Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District and Dudley Ridge Water District. Tejon claims return flows from these imported supplies. There is no evidence in the motion regarding the contractual relationships between Tejon and AVEK. To the extent AVEK seeks to adjudicate issues between Tejon and AVEK, AVEK's motion must be denied because (1) AVEK has not put in any evidence regarding the contracts between Tejon and AVEK and therefore has failed to meet its burden of persuasion as to Tejon (*Aguilar v. Atlantic Richfield Co.* (2001) Cal.4th 826, 850) and (2) AVEK has not addressed Tejon's Cross-Complaint in which Tejon seeks adjudication of its right to return flows from imported water (Cross-Complaint dated Nov. 23, 2005 and amended Feb. 9, 2007 at ¶ 14.)

Dated: December 27, 2013 KUHS & PARKER

Robert G. Kuhs, Attorneys for

Tejon Ranchcorp and Tejon Ranch Company

F:\1291.01 - Tejon Ranch - Antelope Valley\Phase 5 Federal Reserve Rights - Return Flows\Statement of Non-Opposition of Tejon Ranchcorp.docx