1 2 3 4 5 6	Robert G. Kuhs, SBN 160291 Bernard C. Barmann, Jr., SBN 149890 Kuhs & Parker P. O. Box 2205 1200 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 200 Bakersfield, CA 93303 Telephone: (661) 322-4004 Facsimile: (661) 322-2906 E-Mail: bbarmann@kuhsparkerlaw.com Attorneys for Granite Construction Company	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT	
10	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
11	INCLUDED ACTIONS: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV- 254348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668 Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 364553	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to Honorable Jack Komar SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ROBERT G. KUHS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION BY GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY TO INTERPRET AND ENFORCE THE JUDGMENT AND TO PARTITION THE EXHIBIT 4 "Granite Construction Company (Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.)" PRODUCTION RIGHT Date: June 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 222
23 24 25 26 27	Angeles, Case No. BC 364553 Wood v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et al., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 509546 Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc. v. Granite Construction Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, North Judicial District, Case No. MC026932	

28

I, ROBERT G. KUHS, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in all courts of the State of California and an attorney with Kuhs & Parker, counsel for Granite Construction Company (Granite) in this proceeding.
- 2. If called as a witness I could and would competently testify to the facts set forth herein.
- 3. I previously filed a Declaration in Opposition to the Lane Family's Motion for Post-Judgment Supplemental Order Re Granite Construction Company filed with the Court on March 8, 2016 as Doc No. 11261. This Declaration supplements my previous declaration.
- 4. During the Phase 4 trial I, on behalf of Granite, introduced and the Court admitted evidence the declarations of William Taylor (Granite 1) and Steven McCracken (Granite 2) establishing Granite's property ownership and groundwater pumping and use which the Court relied upon as part of the evidence during the Prove-Up trial phase. LS&G did not put on any independent evidence of water use on the property that is the subject of its FAC.
- 5. As set forth in paragraph 15 of my prior declaration, shortly following the January 7, 2015, CMC hearing, I, as well as other counsel, including Mr. McLaughlin, Bob Joyce and others made it clear in several phone conversations to Ted Chester that LS&G could not be part of the global settlement and simultaneously reserve issues for further litigation between Granite and LS&G. By email dated January 15, 2015, at 5:40 p.m., I notified counsel for the settling parties that I was in receipt of signatures to the Stipulation for my clients Tejon Ranchcorp and Granite. Shortly thereafter I received an email dated January 15, 2015 at 6:22 p.m. from Ted Chester indicating that he had obtained signatures to the Stipulation from all of his clients. A true and correct copy of the January 15th emails is attached to the accompanying Appendix as **Exhibit** L.
- 6. On or about February 20, 2015, counsel for LS&G, Ted Chester sent an email to James Dubois, counsel for the United States, forwarding the signatures of his clients, including LS&G and making the following statement:

"Also, the signature of Littlerock Sand and Gravel, Inc. is provided with the understanding that the subdivision of the **joint allocation to Granite** and Little Rock shown on Ex. 4 of the proposed judgment remains unresolved, and such subdivision will be addressed and resolved at a later time."

A true and correct copy of the February 20 email is attached to the accompanying Appendix as **Exhibit M**. Although LS&G made mention of the Granite/LS&G dispute in Case Management Statements, LS&G did not attempt to further litigate the dispute during the prove-up phase of trial or at any time prior to entry of Judgment on December 28, 2015.

- 7. At no time prior to entry of judgment did I indicate to LS&G or its counsel Ted Chester, that Granite would accept less than 100 AF of the 234 AF allocated on Exhibit 4.
- 8. LS&G alleges in paragraph 1 of its FAC that LS&G was and is the owner of four parcels of real property which LS&G designates as Parcels 1, 2 3 and 4. On or about November 22, 2017 I caused to be served on LS&G, Granite's Request for Admissions, Set Two (RFAs) addressing LS&G's alleged ownership. Attached to the Appendix as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of LS&G's verified response to the RFAs.
- 9. With regard to Parcel 2, designated APN 3050-010-016, LS&G admitted in response to RFAs 23, 25, 30 and 34 that it does not own Parcel 2 as described in the FAC and did not own such parcel on December 28, 2015, when Judgment was entered.
- 10. With regard to Parcel 4, designated APN 3050-028-015, LS&G admitted in response to RFAs 24, 26 and 30 that it does not own Parcel 4 as described in the FAC and did not own such parcel on December 28, 2015.
- 11. Attached to the Appendix as **Exhibit O** is a true and correct copy of selected pages from the Lane Family's Reply to Granite Construction Company's Opposition to Motion for Post-Judgment Supplemental Order, dated March 14, 2016.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 2 day of April, 2018, at Bakersfield, California.