

**CONFIRMED COPY**  
FOR COURT USE ONLY  
**OF ORIGINAL FILED**  
**Los Angeles Superior Court**

JUN 03 2008

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk

By: D.M. SWAIN, DeputyCASE NUMBER: **BC391869**

JUDICIAL OFFICER:

DEPT.:

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>Michael D. McLachlan SBN 181705</b> <b>Law Offices of Michael D. McLachlan, APC</b> <b>523 West Sixth Street, Suite 215, Los Angeles, CA 90014</b>	
TELEPHONE NO.: <b>213-630-2884</b> E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): <b>mike@mclachlanlaw.com</b> ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>Richard A. Wood</b>	FAX NO. (Optional): <b>213-630-2886</b>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles</b> STREET ADDRESS: <b>111 North Hill Street</b> MAILING ADDRESS: <b>111 North Hill Street</b> CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>Los Angeles, California 90012</b> BRANCH NAME: <b>Central</b>	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: <b>Richard A. Wood</b> DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: <b>Los Angeles County Waterworks District 40, et al.</b>	
<b>NOTICE OF RELATED CASE</b>	

Identify, in chronological order according to date of filing, all cases related to the case referenced above.

1.
  - a. Title: **Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases**
  - b. Case number: **1-05-CV-049053**
  - c. Court: ☒ same as above  
☐ other state or federal court (name and address):
  - d. Department: **1 (Hon. Jack Komar)**
  - e. Case type: ☐ limited civil ☒ unlimited civil ☐ probate ☐ family law ☐ other (specify):
  - f. Filing date: **8/10/2005**
  - g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?" ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):
    - ☒ involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
    - ☒ arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
    - ☒ involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
    - ☒ is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
    - ☐ Additional explanation is attached in attachment 1h
  - i. Status of case:
    - ☒ pending
    - ☐ dismissed ☐ with ☐ without prejudice
    - ☐ disposed of by judgment
2.
  - a. Title:
  - b. Case number:
  - c. Court: ☐ same as above  
☐ other state or federal court (name and address):
  - d. Department:

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Richard A. Wood	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Los Angeles County Waterworks District 40, et al	

## 2. (continued)

e. Case type: ☐ limited civil ☐ unlimited civil ☐ probate ☐ family law ☐ other (specify):

f. Filing date:

g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?" ☐ Yes ☐ No

h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):

- ☐ involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
- ☐ arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
- ☐ involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
- ☐ is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
- ☐ Additional explanation is attached in attachment 2h

i. Status of case:

- ☐ pending
- ☐ dismissed ☐ with ☐ without prejudice
- ☐ disposed of by judgment

## 3. a. Title:

b. Case number:

c. Court: ☐ same as above  
☐ other state or federal court (name and address):

d. Department:

e. Case type: ☐ limited civil ☐ unlimited civil ☐ probate ☐ family law ☐ other (specify):

f. Filing date:

g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?" ☐ Yes ☐ No

h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):

- ☐ involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
- ☐ arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
- ☐ involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
- ☐ is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
- ☐ Additional explanation is attached in attachment 3h

i. Status of case:

- ☐ pending
- ☐ dismissed ☐ with ☐ without prejudice
- ☐ disposed of by judgment

4. ☐ Additional related cases are described in Attachment 4. Number of pages attached: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: June 2, 2008

Michael D. McLachlan

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)



(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)