

1 **SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
2 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

3 Coordination Proceeding
4 Special Title (Rule 1550(b))

5 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER
6 CASES

7 _____
8 RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on
9 behalf of himself and all others similarly
10 situated,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 LOS ANGELES COUNTY
14 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

Judicial Council Coordination
Proceeding No. 4408

(Honorable Jack Komar)

Case No. BC 391869

**DECLARATION OF
JENNIFER M. KEOUGH
REGARDING DISSEMINATION OF
SMALL PUMPER NOTICE**

17 I, JENNIFER M. KEOUGH, declare as follows:
18

19 1. As stated in my Declaration filed December 3, 2013, I am Chief Operating
20 Officer of The Garden City Group, LLC¹ (“GCG”). The following statements are based
21 on my personal knowledge and information provided by other GCG employees working
22 under my supervision, and if called on to do so, I could and would testify competently
23 thereto.
24

25 _____
26 ¹ The Garden City Group, Inc. is now Garden City Group, LLC.
27

2. GCG was originally retained as Administrator in the above-captioned litigation (the “Litigation”) pursuant to Section VI.B of the Wood Class Stipulation of Settlement (the “Stipulation of Settlement”) filed on October 7, 2013. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Small Pumper Class Action Settlement and Notice to the Class (the “Order”), dated April 6, 2015, GCG was further engaged to distribute the Notice of Proposed Settlement for the “Small Pumper” Class Action and Settlement Hearing (the “Class Notice”).

DISSEMINATION OF THE CLASS NOTICE

3. In paragraph 1 of the Order, the Court found that the form and content of the Class Notice would provide the best practicable notice to Class Members. Pursuant to the Order, GCG was responsible for disseminating the Class Notice to the “Small Pumper Class,” as defined in Section 3.5.44 of the Proposed Judgment and Physical Solution:

All private (i.e., non-governmental) Persons and entities that own real property within the Basin, as adjudicated, and that have been pumping less than 25 acre-feet per Year on their property during any Year from 1946 to the present. The Class excludes the defendants in *Wood v. Los Angeles Co. Waterworks Dist. 40, et al.*, any Person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity in which any such defendants has a controlling interest or which is related to or affiliated with any such defendants, and the representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party. The Class also excludes all Persons and entities that are shareholders in a mutual water company. The Class does not include those who opted out of the Class.

4. On or about March 31, 2015, GCG provided an Excel spreadsheet to Plaintiff’s Counsel containing mailing information for (a) Class Members who received a copy of the Notice that was mailed pursuant to the Order of the Court dated October 25,

1 2013, and (b) other persons or entities who had notified GCG that they believed they
2 were also Class Members. The mailing list did not include those persons or entities who
3 had requested exclusion from the Class. Plaintiff's Counsel reviewed the records and
4 provided GCG with a revised mailing list and confirmed that thirteen (13) individuals,
5 including those the Court added to the list of known class members, should also receive
6 the Notice. Five (5) recipients from the earlier mailing were removed from the mailing
7 list, either because the available information did not include the identity of the person or
8 entity, or because the person or entity was no longer a Class Member.

9
10 5. GCG promptly updated the information in the database created for this
11 Litigation and assigned a unique identifier to those records that did not already have an
12 identifier in order to maintain the ability to track them. GCG then submitted the
13 addresses for all Class Members to the National Change of Address (NCOA) database.
14 Of the 4,310 records sent out for search, 152 were returned with address updates, and
15 GCG updated the addresses accordingly.

16
17 6. GCG thereafter formatted the Class Notice and caused it to be printed,
18 posted via first-class mail, postage prepaid, and delivered to a U.S. Post Office on April
19 3, 2015, for mailing to each of the 4,310 Class Members. A copy of the Class Notice, as
20 mailed, is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

21
22 7. Class Notices that were returned by the U.S. Postal Service with forwarding
23 address information were promptly re-mailed using the updated address information
24 received from the U.S. Postal Service. As of May 31, 2015, GCG had re-mailed six (6)
25 Class Notices to updated addresses received from the U.S. Postal Service.

26
27 8. As of May 31, 2015, a total of 770 Class Notices had been returned

1 undeliverable without forwarding address information, including two (2) Class Notices
2 that had previously been re-mailed.

3
4 **OBJECTIONS TO THE SETTLEMENT**
5

6 9. Pursuant to paragraph 5 of the Order, Class Members who wished to object
7 to the Settlement had to do so in writing by submitting their objections to the Court and
8 the parties' counsel by May 15, 2015. As of May 19, 2015, GCG had not received any
9 objections. However, GCG did receive two written responses to the Class Notice in
10 which the recipient provided notification that they no longer owned the property in
11 question.
12

13 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that
14 the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
15

16 Executed on June 3, 2015, at Seattle, Washington.
17

18 
19

20 JENNIFER M. KEOUGH
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Exhibit A

**SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on behalf of
himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS
DISTRICT NO. 40; et al.

Defendants.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION
PROCEEDING No. 4408

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT
FOR THE “SMALL PUMPER” CLASS
ACTION AND SETTLEMENT HEARING**

**TO CERTAIN ANTELOPE VALLEY LANDOWNERS: CAREFULLY READ AND RESPOND TO THIS
NOTICE, AS IT MAY AFFECT YOUR RIGHT TO PUMP GROUNDWATER ON YOUR PROPERTY IN THE
FUTURE.**

1. Why should I read this Notice?	2
2. What is this lawsuit about?	2
3. Who is covered by the proposed settlement?	2
4. What are the terms of the proposed settlement?.....	2
5. What do I need to do?.....	3
6. Can I exclude myself from the Class?	4
7. Why, when and where will the Settlement Hearing take place?	4
8. Who represents Plaintiff and the Class?	4
9. Why does Plaintiff's Counsel favor the Settlement?.....	4
10. How will Plaintiff's Counsel's fees be paid?	4
11. Will I have to pay anything?	5
12. Will I receive any monetary compensation?	5
13. What happens if the Settlement is approved by the Court?.....	5
14. What happens if the Settlement is not approved by the Court?.....	5
15. Where can I get additional information?.....	5
16. What are the relevant dates?	5
17. May I pump water on my property?.....	5
18. What if I pump more than 3 acre-feet of groundwater per year?	6
19. Will I have to install a water meter on my property?	6
20. Will my groundwater use be monitored?	6
21. What if I sell my property?.....	6

QUESTIONS? VISIT WWW.AVGROUNDWATER.COM.

1. WHY SHOULD I READ THIS NOTICE?

Available records indicate that you own property in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin (the “Basin”). Your property rights may be affected by the proposed settlement of this lawsuit. Your right to object to, or comment on that settlement is described below. In addition, this Notice contains important information about your disclosure obligations in the event you sell your property. ***PLEASE TAKE THE TIME TO READ THIS IMPORTANT LEGAL NOTICE, WHICH IS DIFFERENT FROM THE PRIOR NOTICES SENT TO YOU ABOUT THIS CASE.***

2. WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

This Class Action lawsuit involves water rights in the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin. Plaintiff Richard Wood brought this case to protect his right and those of other landowners (the Wood Class comprises persons who are pumping or have pumped less than 25 acre-feet of groundwater during any year from 1946 to the present) in the Basin to pump water on their properties in the future. The case has been combined with other cases to determine all the groundwater rights in the Basin. The Court has not yet decided the case.

Property owners have a right to pump groundwater (water underneath the surface) and use it for reasonable and beneficial purposes on their overlying land. The right to use groundwater, however, may be limited during times of groundwater shortage conditions. In this case, the naturally available supply of groundwater is not adequate to meet the groundwater pumping demands of everyone who wants to use that water. For that reason, the Court decides how much water can be pumped by each party under a claim of priority to use the groundwater. Richard Wood claims that he and other such landowners have water rights that are superior to the water rights of certain public water suppliers and entities, listed in the Settlement Agreement (“Settling Defendants”) who have used and continue to use groundwater. The public water suppliers claim that their historical pumping has given them superior water rights for a public water supply as to some or all of the Richard Wood and Wood Class members’ rights to use groundwater.

3. WHO IS COVERED BY THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

You have been designated as a Class member because records indicate that you own property in the Antelope Valley. **The Wood Class includes all private (i.e., nongovernmental) landowners within the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin who are pumping or have pumped less than 25 acre-feet of groundwater during any year from 1946 to the present on their properties,** with certain exceptions set out below.

You are not in the Class if you do not own real property within the Basin. In addition, you are **NOT** in the Class if any of the following are true as to you:

1. Your property is connected to and receives water from a public water system, public utility or mutual water company; or
2. You are already a party to this litigation; or
3. You have already timely excluded yourself from the Wood Class and have not rejoined the Class.

4. WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

The Small Pumper Class has previously settled its claims with the City of Lancaster, Palmdale Water District, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District, and the Rosamond Community Services District. By way of the current settlement, the Small Pumper Class is now attempting to resolve all claims with California Water Service Company, City of Palmdale, Desert Lake Community Services District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, North Edwards Water

QUESTIONS? VISIT WWW.AVGROUNDWATER.COM.

District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, and Quartz Hill Water District. Through the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Physical Solution, which is incorporated into the Small Pumper Class Stipulation of Settlement, the Small Pumper Class is also resolving its claims with many other parties claiming beneficial interest in the groundwater in the Antelope Valley basin. These additional parties are listed on the signature pages to the Judgment and Physical Solution.

The following is a summary of the basic terms and conditions of the proposed settlement. You may view the complete settlement agreement at www.avgroundwater.com. If you do not have Internet access, you may request a copy of the settlement agreement by writing to the following: Small Pumper Class Settlement, 44 Hermosa Avenue, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254.

In summary, the proposed settlement includes the following terms (capitalized terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement), in addition to other terms discussed in other sections of this Notice:

- A. Members of the Small Pumper Class will have the right to pump up to 3 acre-feet of groundwater per year for reasonable and beneficial use without having to pay any replacement water assessment. Small Pumper Class members pumping between 3 and 25 acre-feet per year will pay a replacement water assessment.
- B. To the extent the Settling Defendants have obtained water rights by prescription, those rights shall not be exercised to diminish the Small Pumper Class' water rights.
- C. The Parties agree that the United States has a Federal Reserved Right to some portion of the Basin's Native Safe Yield.
- D. The Class agrees not to challenge certain Parties' right to recapture return flows from water that they import. The Class agrees not to contest Settling Defendants' best estimates that agricultural use of imported water results in 34% return flows and municipal and industrial use of imported water results in 39% return flows.
- E. The Settling Parties agree that the Basin has limited water resources and that there is a need for a groundwater management plan for the Basin. The Parties have agreed to be bound by such a plan, which is subject to approval and modification by the Court. This management plan will be supervised and administered by a watermaster engineer and watermaster board, which will report to the Court.
- F. The Settlement contains mutual releases of the claims the Settling Parties have asserted against each other in the litigation.

5. WHAT DO I NEED TO DO?

You are not required to do anything, unless you wish to object to the settlement. However, if you wish to object to the settlement or to Plaintiffs' Counsel's Application for Fees and Expenses, you must file a Notice of Intent to Appear and Be Heard with the Clerk, Los Angeles County Superior Court, 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012. **That Notice must be received by May 15, 2015** for it to be considered and must briefly state the position(s) you wish to take with respect to the settlement and/or any related matters, such as Counsel's fee application. In addition, you must send a copy of that Notice to the following attorneys by that date:

Michael D. McLachlan
mike@mclachlan-law.com
Law Offices of Michael D. McLachlan
44 Hermosa Avenue
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
Class Counsel

Jeffrey V. Dunn
jeffrey.dunn@bbklaw.com
Best Best & Krieger LLP
18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614
Liaison Counsel for Defendants

QUESTIONS? VISIT WWW.AVGROUNDWATER.COM.

6. CAN I EXCLUDE MYSELF FROM THE CLASS?

No. All Class members have been given two prior opportunities to opt out of the Class, therefore the Court will not permit further opt outs.

7. WHY, WHEN, AND WHERE WILL THE SETTLEMENT HEARING TAKE PLACE?

The Court must approve the proposed settlement after a fairness hearing in order for the settlement to become effective. That fairness hearing will take place on August 3, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 222 of the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90010. **You are welcome to attend that hearing but you are not required to attend.**

Any Class member may appear in person or through counsel and state his or her comments on or objections to the proposed settlement and/or on counsel's fee application, *but only if he or she files a Notice of Intent to Appear and Be Heard pursuant to the procedures set forth in paragraph 5, above, on or before May 15, 2015.*

8. WHO REPRESENTS PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS?

Plaintiff and the Class are represented by the following attorneys in this matter:

Michael D. McLachlan
mike@mclachlan-law.com
Law Offices of Michael D. McLachlan
44 Hermosa Avenue
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
310.954-8270
310.954.8271 (fax)

Daniel M. O'Leary
dan@danolearylaw.com
Law Offices of Daniel M. O'Leary
2300 Westwood Boulevard, Suite 105
Los Angeles, CA 90064
310.481.2020
310.481.0049 (fax)

9. WHY DOES CLASS COUNSEL SUPPORT THE SETTLEMENT?

Class Counsel believes that the settlement reflects a reasonable and fair resolution of the claims asserted in this matter. The Settling Defendants assert that they have prescriptive rights to substantially more than 15% of the Basin's Native Safe Yield; the Class asserts that the Settling Defendants have no such prescriptive rights. Counsel believe that the Settlement fairly compromises the parties' positions, and resolves the risk that the class members will lose water rights to the Settling Defendants. Further, the settlement protects the rights of all Class members to use water for domestic use in amounts sufficient to sustain such use without the requirement to pay any replacement water assessment.

10. HOW WILL PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL'S FEES BE PAID?

Plaintiff's Counsel will petition for an award of fees and expenses to be paid by the Settling Defendants. *You will not be responsible to pay any portion of their fees.* Plaintiff's Counsel have already been paid for some work on this matter.

Plaintiff's Counsel have worked on this matter for over seven years without being paid and they have advanced considerable amounts to pay for out-of-pocket expenses, including travel, hearing transcripts, consultants, etc. The Court will ultimately determine whether Counsel is entitled to a fee award and the appropriate amount of any such award.

QUESTIONS? VISIT WWW.AVGROUNDDWATER.COM.

11. WILL I HAVE TO PAY ANYTHING?

You will not be required to pay anything in connection with Plaintiff's Counsel's fees and costs. However, you will have to pay an annual administrative assessment which will be used to fund the watermaster appointed by the Court to implement certain provisions of the settlement. For those pumping in excess of three acre-feet of groundwater per year, the assessment will be up to \$5 per acre-foot, or as ordered by the Court. For those pumping less than three acre-feet per year, the administrative settlement will be based on 1.2 acre-feet of groundwater pumping multiplied by up to \$5 per acre-foot, or as ordered by the Court. You might also be required to pay an additional balance assessment in the future if the watermaster determines it necessary.

12. WILL I RECEIVE ANY MONETARY COMPENSATION FROM THE SETTLEMENT?

No. The settlement does not provide you with any monetary benefits.

13. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE SETTLEMENT IS APPROVED BY THE COURT?

If the settlement is approved, and not successfully appealed, the above litigation between and among the settling parties will be over and you will be bound by the stipulation for judgment and physical solution.

14. WHAT HAPPENS IF THE SETTLEMENT IS NOT APPROVED BY THE COURT?

If the settlement is not approved, the settlement agreement will be null and void and the parties will be returned to their prior positions in the litigation.

15. WHERE CAN I GET ADDITIONAL INFORMATION?

The amended complaint and certain other documents from the litigation are available at www.avgroundwater.com. In addition, that website has a list of answers to certain other questions you may have and has an email address for you to obtain information if you have further questions. That website will be updated from time to time to advise you of the status of this litigation. Also, all of the documents filed in the case are available on the court's website at <http://www.scefilng.org/cases/casehome.jsp?caseld=19>.

16. WHAT ARE THE RELEVANT DATES?

The Settlement Hearing is scheduled for August 3, 2015. If you wish to be heard at the Hearing, you must file a Notice of Intent to Appear and Be Heard with the Clerk, Los Angeles County Superior Court, as discussed above in paragraph 5. **That Notice must be received by May 15, 2015** for it to be considered. In addition, by that date, you must send a copy of that Notice to the attorneys identified in paragraph 5, above.

17. MAY I PUMP WATER ON MY PROPERTY?

Yes. There are presently no restrictions on your ability to pump water on your property or the amount that you can pump for reasonable and beneficial uses on your property. However, it is possible that there will be limits imposed on the amount of pumping in the future.

18. WHAT IF I PUMP MORE THAN 3 ACRE-FEET OF GROUNDWATER PER YEAR?

A Class Member will have the right to pump up to 3 acre-feet per year for reasonable and beneficial use on his or her property, without assessment. However, if you pump more than 3 acre-feet per year, you may be required to pay a replacement assessment in the future for any water you pump in excess of 3 acre-feet per year. This settlement does not affect your ability to continue to pump in excess of 3 acre-feet per year, but the Court may limit those rights in future proceedings. The replacement assessments, if any, will be levied by a court-appointed watermaster, who will implement various provisions of this settlement.

19. WILL I HAVE TO INSTALL A WATER METER ON MY PROPERTY?

If the watermaster develops a reasonable belief that you are pumping more than 3 acre-feet of groundwater per year, you may be required to install a water meter.

20. WILL MY GROUNDWATER USE BE MONITORED?

The watermaster may choose to monitor your water use through aerial photography and/or satellite imagery. The watermaster may also decide to subpoena your electrical usage records from your electrical utility provider. As noted above, if you are pumping less than 3 acre-feet of groundwater per year, you will not be required to install a meter.

21. WHAT HAPPENS IF I SELL MY ANTELOPE VALLEY PROPERTY?

If the settlement is approved by the Court, anyone who acquires your property will be bound by the terms of the settlement. Hence, you should disclose the terms of the settlement to anyone who may acquire your Antelope Valley property.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL OR WRITE THE COURT OR CLERK'S OFFICE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONSULT YOUR OWN COUNSEL, VISIT THE WEB SITES LISTED ABOVE, OR WRITE TO CLASS COUNSEL AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE.

Dated: March 27, 2015

BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF
LOS ANGELES

QUESTIONS? VISIT www.AVGROUNDWATER.COM.