I			
1	Michael D. McLachlan, Bar No. 181705 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL D. McLACHLAN, APC		
2	44 Hermosa Avenue	LACHLAN, APC	
3	Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 Phone: (310) 954-8270		
4	Fax: (310) 954-8271		
5	Daniel M. O'Leary, Bar No. 175128 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY		
6	2300 Westwood Boulevard, Suite 105 Los Angeles, CA 90064		
7	Phone: (310) 481-2020		
	Fax: (310) 481-0049		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class		
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES	
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408	
13 14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	(Honorable Jack Komar)	
15	RICHARD A. WOOD, an individual, on	Case No.: BC 391869	
16	behalf of himself and all others similarly		
17	situated,	PLAINTIFF RICHARD WOOD'S BRIEF RE: MEMORANDUM OF	
	Plaintiff,	COSTS	
18	v.		
19	LOS ANGELES COUNTY		
20	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; et al.		
21	di.		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

On April 25, 2016, the Court issued its Order after Hearing on April 1, 2016 in which it instructed Small Pumper Class Counsel to file a memorandum of costs under the Code of Civil Procedure. On May 11, 2016, Class Counsel filed the Judicial Council Memorandum of Costs.

This cost bill is joint, aggregating the outstanding costs for both of the Plaintiff's law firms using most, but not all, of the costs items listed on the cost bills filed with the Court on January 27, 2016. (O'leary Decl., Ex. 2 [D.E. 11145]; McLachlan Decl., Ex. 5 [D.E. 11144]; *see also* Supp. McLachlan Decl. (March 11, 2016), Ex. 13 [D.E. 11279].)

A. Class Counsel Complied with the Applicable Procedural Requirements in January of 2016

The Declarations and detailed cost summaries filed by Class Counsel comply with the requirements for a memorandum of costs under California Rule of Court 3.1700. As noted on the Judicial Council forms (MC-010 and MC-011), their use is optional. All that is required under the applicable rules is a verified statement of the party or counsel that, the best of his knowledge, the items on the list of costs are correct and incurred in the case. (C.R.C. 3.1700(a)(1); McLachlan Decl. In Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees (January 27, 2016), ¶¶ 33-35); O'Leary Decl., ¶ 4.) Hence, Class Counsel complied with Rule 3.1700 at the time of the filing of the Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

B. The Amount of Costs At Issue Is Lower Than the Total of the Memorandum of Costs Filed on May 11, 2016

The cost bill filed on May 11, 2016 totals \$90,226.86 and, as noted above, includes costs for both Class Counsel. That sum is larger than what Plaintiff has sought. In 2013, Class Counsel were paid a portion of their costs pursuant to that prior settlement. The balance of costs being sought under the Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs is \$76,639.48. (Supp. McLachlan Decl. (March 11,

1	2016), ¶¶ 3-4, Ex. 13 [D.E. 11279].) That remains the sum being sought,	
2	notwithstanding the fact the	at Class Counsel has filed a larger cost bill.
3		
4	DATED: May 12, 2016	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL D. McLACHLAN LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY
5		
6		
7		By: MICHAEL D. MCLACHLAN
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		