

1 Michael D. McLachlan (State Bar No. 181705)  
2 **McLACHLAN LAW, APC**  
3 2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100  
4 Hermosa Beach, California 90254  
5 Telephone: (310) 954-8270  
6 Facsimile: (310) 954-8271  
7 *mike@mclachlan-law.com*

8 Daniel M. O'Leary (State Bar No. 175128)  
9 **LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY**  
10 2300 Westwood Boulevard, Suite 105  
11 Los Angeles, California 90064  
12 Telephone: (310) 481-2020  
13 Facsimile: (310) 481-0049  
14 *dan@danolearylaw.com*

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff Richard Wood and the Class

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

Coordination Proceeding  
Special Title (Rule 1550(b))  
**ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
CASES**

**RICHARD A. WOOD**, an individual, on  
behalf of himself and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; et  
al.**

Defendants.

Judicial Council Coordination  
Proceeding No. 4408  
(Honorable Jack Komar (ret.))

Lead Case No. BC 325201

Case No.: BC 391869

**STATEMENT OF  
DISQUALIFICATION PURSUANT  
TO C.C.P. § 170.3;  
DECLARATION OF MICHAEL D.  
MCLACHLAN**

1 Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 170.1 and 170.3(c), Plaintiff  
2 Richard Wood, the Wood Class and its counsel (collectively “the Class”) submit  
3 the following Statement of Disqualification as to the Hon. Jack Komar (ret.).  
4

## 5 **I. INTRODUCTION**

6 In Recent disclosure statements, Judge Komar has stated that he has taken  
7 paid mediation work in other matters from counsel and parties to this action,  
8 including County of Los Angeles and its counsel Best Best & Krieger (“BBK”). Los  
9 Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 (“District 40”) is the sole remaining  
10 opponent to the Class in the *Wood* class case after settlements on appeal with all  
11 other remaining defendants.  
12

## 13 **II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

14 After retirement from the Superior Court of California in 2009, Judge Jack  
15 Komar took a position working with JAMS (formerly known as Judicial  
16 Arbitration and Mediation Services) as a paid neutral. (McLachlan Decl. ¶ 3, Ex.  
17 1 (Judge Komar Decl.), at 2:20-22.) The Class is not aware of any disclosures  
18 made by Judge Komar relative to his work as a paid neutral prior to September of  
19 2021. (McLachlan Decl. ¶ 4.)

20 On August 31, 2021, the undersigned wrote to Judge Komar about his role  
21 a paid neutral in the *Los Posas Valley* water dispute, in which he worked with  
22 and was paid by BBK. (McLachlan Decl. Ex. 2; Ex. 1 (Judge Komar Decl.) at 3:8-  
23 13.) This letter asked Judge Komar to provide disclosures regarding his paid  
24 work with the County and BBK in other matters but stated that the more prudent  
25 course was for Judge Komar to recuse himself. (Ex. 2 at p. 2.)

26 In response, on September 4, 2021, Judge Komar disclosed for the first  
27 time that “[he had] also mediated cases involving Los Angeles County . . . a party  
28 in Antelope.” (Ex. 1 (Judge Komar Decl.) at 3:15-16.) In his declaration, Judge

1 Komar indicated that he has worked with counsel for the parties in JCCP 4408  
2 coordinated cases on multiple matters but appeared to only identify a couple of  
3 matters as examples. (Id. at 3:8-18.) The declaration did not provide details of  
4 the other engagements nor did in purport to represent a full disclosure of other  
5 potentially conflicting paid assignments.

6 On September 8, 2021, the undersigned counsel wrote a second letter to  
7 Judge Komar requesting full disclosures regarding paid work with the County  
8 and BBK. (McLachlan Decl. Ex. 3.) On September 9, 2021, Judge Komar  
9 responded by e-mail, but did not offer any further disclosures as requested.  
10 (McLachlan Decl. Ex. 4.)

11 On September 15, 2021, the undersigned wrote another letter to Judge  
12 Komar requesting adequate and complete disclosures of his paid work for the  
13 County and BBK. (McLachlan Decl. ¶ 8, Ex. 5.) On September 16, 2021, Judge  
14 Komar issued an email request to JAMS to provide disclosures regarding his paid  
15 work for the County and BBK. (The correspondence of September 16 and 17,  
16 2021, is collectively attached as Exhibit 6.)

17 On September 17, 2021, JAMS informally produced a disclosure regarding  
18 four JAMS engagements, three of which involve paid mediations with BBK.  
19 (McLachlan Decl. ¶ 10, Ex. 7.) On September 20, 2021, the Court formally  
20 disclosed these matters to all parties by serving through the case e-service  
21 provider. (McLachlan Decl. ¶ 11, Ex. 8.)

### 22 23 **III. ARGUMENT**

#### 24 **A. Judge Komar is Disqualified Pursuant to C.C.P. §** 25 **170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii)**

##### 26 **1. The Objective Disqualification Standard**

27 Code of Civil Procedure section 170.1(a)(6)(iii): “For any reason: . . . A  
28 person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge

1 would be able to be impartial.”

2 The standard for disqualification under this section “is fundamentally an  
3 objective one.” (*Jolie v. Sup. Ct.* (2021) 66 Cal.App.5<sup>th</sup> 1025, 1039.)

4 It represents a legislative judgment that due to the sensitivity of the  
5 question and inherent difficulties of proof as well as the importance of  
6 public confidence in the judicial system, the issue is not limited to the  
7 existence of an actual bias. Rather, if a reasonable man would entertain  
8 doubts concerning the judge's impartiality, disqualification is  
9 mandated. “To ensure that the proceedings appear to the public to be  
10 impartial and hence worthy of their confidence, the situation must be  
11 viewed through the eyes of the objective person.’ [Citations.] . . .  
12 ‘a judge faced with a potential ground for disqualification ought to  
13 consider how his participation in a given case looks to the average  
14 person on the street.’” [citations omitted]

15 (*Id.* at 1039-40.)

16 The California Supreme Court stated that the statutory disqualification  
17 scheme in the Code of Civil Procedure “is not *solely* concerned with the rights of  
18 the parties before the court but is also ‘intended to ensure public confidence in  
19 the judiciary.’” (*People v. Freeman* (2010) 47 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 993, 1000-1001.) “Ethical  
20 breaches by a privately compensated temporary judge serving as a public official  
21 are far more disquieting than similar violations by private arbitrators.” (*Jolie*,  
22 *infra*, at 626 (finding disqualification required under 170.1(a)(6) (A)(iii) based  
23 upon the retention of the judge on other matters by counsel for one of the  
24 parties).) Furthermore, the moving party need not establish actual bias.  
25 (*Wechsler v. Superior Court* (2014) 224 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 384, 390.)

## 26 2. Cal. Code of Judicial Ethics

27 The facts disclosed by the Court violate many provisions of the California  
28 Code of Judicial Ethics. Canon 4D (Financial Activities) provides:

1 (1) A judge shall not engage in financial and business dealings that  
2 (a) may reasonably be perceived to exploit the judge’s judicial  
3 position, or

4 (b) involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business  
5 relationships with lawyers or other persons likely to appear before the  
6 court on which the judge serves.<sup>1</sup>

7 Canon 4F (Service as Arbitrator or Mediator) provides as follows: “A judge  
8 shall not act as an arbitrator or mediator or otherwise perform judicial functions  
9 in a private capacity unless expressly authorized by law.”

10 Canon 4.A states in relevant part: “A judge shall conduct all of the judge’s  
11 extrajudicial activities so that they do not (1) cast reasonable doubt on the judge’s  
12 capacity to act impartially . . . .” (*See also* Canon 4H (Compensation,  
13 Reimbursement, and Honoria).)

### 14 **3. Application to the Facts At Hand**

15 Given the Code of Judicial Ethics cited above, individually and collectively,  
16 it cannot be the case that a sitting Superior Court judge can be taking money from  
17 opposing counsel to act as a mediator. This issue can only be of greater concern  
18 when it is occurring on a repeat basis, as is the case here. The average person will  
19 hold a “reasonable doubt” that a Judge whose financial well-being depends upon  
20

---

21  
22 <sup>1</sup> The Advisory Committee Commentary for Canon 4D(1) reads, in part:

23 Participation by a judge in financial and business dealings is  
24 subject to the general prohibitions in Canon 4A against activities that  
25 tend to reflect adversely on impartiality,\* demean the judicial office,  
26 or interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties. Such  
27 participation is also subject to the general prohibition in Canon 2  
28 against activities involving impropriety\* or the appearance of  
impropriety\* and the prohibition in Canon 2B against the misuse of  
the prestige of judicial office.

1 his paid work as mediator, is likely to be biased in favor of the firm or party who  
2 is regularly hiring him for such matters (and certainly so when the opposing  
3 party or counsel has never hired that judge for such services). It is only natural  
4 for that judge to wonder that if he or she disfavors the paying party of counsel –  
5 in this case Best, Best & Krieger – will they continue to hire him or her for future  
6 paid work. As the Court is no doubt aware, it generally true that counsel select,  
7 hire, and pay neutrals. Hence, the paid work involving BBK is of at least equal if  
8 not greater concern than had it involved the County.

9 In *Jolie*, the judge in question was disqualified under both the Code of  
10 Judicial Ethics and Section 170.1(a)(6(A)(iii) due to other paid work for counsel  
11 for one of the parties. *Jolie, supra*, 66 Cal.App.5<sup>th</sup> at 1049-1050; *see also*  
12 *Benjamin Weill & Mazer v. Kors* (2011) 195 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 40, 66 (arbitrator  
13 representation of opposing parties in different matter); *Advantage Medical*  
14 *Services, LLC v. Hoffman* (2008) 160 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 806, 809 (financial ties in  
15 other matters to the insurer for one of the parties is disqualifying because a  
16 person “could reasonably entertain doubts” of impartiality.) In this instance, the  
17 Code of Judicial Ethics and Section 170.1(a)(6(A)(iii) provide independent and  
18 overlapping authority to determine the Judge Komar is disqualified in this matter  
19 because of his financial dealings and mediations in 2019 and 2021 with BBK as  
20 counsel. A sitting judge is clearly prohibited from acting as a paid neutral in  
21 other matters involving counsel or party before him in the Superior Court  
22 proceeding.

23 The Class will also note that it is of no moment that a judge has been paid  
24 by the opposing party or their counsel through an intermediary like JAMS, i.e.  
25 the law makes no distinction, nor should it, between direct or indirect conflicting  
26

27  
28 In addition, a judge must maintain high standards of conduct in  
all of the judge’s activities, as set forth in Canon 1.

1 relationships. Such an argument would turn the Code of Judicial Ethics and the  
2 general law on disqualification and judicial neutrality on its head. For that  
3 reason, this is not a distinction that any California published opinion has  
4 endorsed. Indeed, the opposite is true. (*See, e.g., Jolie, supra*, 66 Cal.App.5<sup>th</sup> at  
5 1045-1046 (disqualifying judge who worked through ARC, noting that work  
6 through ARC does not obviate a judge’s ethical obligations under the Code of  
7 Judicial Ethics).)

8 **B. Trial Court Jurisdiction and Timing.**

9 Remittitur has not issued but it is the Class’s conclusion that the filing of  
10 this Statement of Disqualification is properly down now because it does not affect  
11 the matters on appeal. The trial court has jurisdiction to “proceed upon any other  
12 matter embraced in the action and not affected by the judgment or order” on  
13 appeal. (C.C.P. § 916(a).) The question of whether a particular matter is  
14 “affected by” or “embraced in” an appealed order so as to be subject to the stay  
15 turns on whether the particular matter would have any impact on the  
16 “effectiveness” of the appeal. (*Marriage of Varner* (1998) 68 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 932,  
17 936; *Varian Med. Systems, Inc. v. Delfino* (2005) 35 Cal.4<sup>th</sup> 180, 189.)

18 At least one court has addressed the question and held that a statement  
19 under Section 170.3 may be filed during the stay on appeal:

20 [I]t is our view that petitioner could have filed a statement of objection  
21 even while the stay was in effect. Our general stay of proceedings was  
22 obviously directed to the *underlying proceedings between the parties to*  
23 *the action (i.e., to the litigation itself)*, not to questions of the judge's  
24 qualification to preside over those proceedings. A judge's qualification to  
25 preside as judge in a particular case is foundational to, and hence distinct  
26 from, the ordinary proceedings between the parties that would be tried or  
27 heard by the judge. (See § 170.5, subd. (f).) Because of this basic distinction  
28 between a judge's qualification and the underlying litigation, we do not

1 believe that our stay could reasonably be understood as barring petitioner  
2 from promptly filing a statement of objection in the trial court.

3 (*Tri Counties Bank v. Superior Court* (2008) 167 Cal.App.4th 1332, 1338-39  
4 (holding arguably dicta).)

5 Because the general standard for timely filing a statement of  
6 disqualification under Section 170.3 is that it should occur after knowledge of all  
7 of the facts giving rise to disqualification, but not after the Court has ruled on a  
8 substantive issue in the case. (*Alhusainy v. Superior Court* (2006) 143  
9 Cal.App.4th 385, 394; *People v. Scott* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 1188, 1207; *Hayward v.*  
10 *Superior Court* (2016) 2 Cal.App.5th 10, 49 (“parties can waive disqualification by  
11 their conduct where they are aware of the grounds for disqualification but  
12 continued to participate in the proceedings without raising the objection.”) For  
13 these reasons, the Class believes this matter is presently ripe for determination.

14 **C. Procedure for Ruling on Disqualification.**

15 After service of the Statement of Disqualification, the judge has ten days to  
16 file a consent to disqualification or to file a written verified answer admitting or  
17 denying the relevant allegations. (C.C.P. § 1703.(c)(3).)

18 A judge who refused to recuse himself or herself shall not pass upon his or  
19 her own disqualification or upon the sufficiency in law, fact, or otherwise,  
20 of the statement of disqualification filed by the party. In that case the  
21 question of disqualification shall be heard and determined by another  
22 judge agreed upon by all the parties who appeared or in the event they  
23 were unable to agree within five days of notification of the judge’s answer,  
24 by a judge selected by the chairperson of the judicial council, or if the  
25 chairperson is unable to act, the vice chairperson. . . .

26 (C.C.P. § 1703.(c)(5).)

27

28



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IV. CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Class respectfully requests that Judge Jack Komar recuse himself in this matter.

DATED: September 29, 2021    McLACHLAN LAW, APC  
LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. O'LEARY

By: /s/ Michael D. McLachlan  
MICHAEL D. MCLACHLAN  
Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class

1 **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL D. MCLACHLAN**

2 I, Michael D. McLachlan, declare:

3 1. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge, except where  
4 stated on information and belief, and if called to testify in Court on these matters,  
5 I could do so competently.

6 2. I am co-counsel of record of record for Plaintiff Richard Wood and  
7 the Class and have been so since 2008. I am duly licensed to practice law in  
8 California.

9 3. After retirement from the Superior Court of California in 2009,  
10 Judge Jack Komar took a position working with JAMS (formerly known as  
11 Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services) as a paid neutral. Attached as  
12 **“Exhibit 1”** is a true and correct copy of Judge Komar’s Declaration.

13 4. I am not aware of any disclosures made by Judge Komar relative to  
14 his work as a paid neutral prior to September of 2021. I have similarly inquired  
15 of my co-counsel Daniel O’Leary and he affirms the safe.

16 5. On August 31, 2021, I wrote to Judge Komar about his role a paid  
17 neutral in the *Los Posas Valley* water dispute, in which he worked with and was  
18 paid by Best, Best & Kreiger (“BBK”) . Attached as **“Exhibit 2”** is a true and  
19 correct copy of this letter. This letter asked Judge Komar to provide disclosures  
20 regarding his paid work with the County and BBK in other matters but stated that  
21 the more prudent course was for Judge Komar to recuse himself.

22 6. On September 8,2021, I wrote a second letter to Judge Komar  
23 requesting full disclosures regarding paid work with the County and BBK.  
24 Attached as **“Exhibit 3”** is a true and correct copy of my letter.

25 7. On September 9, 2021, Judge Komar responded by e-mail, but did  
26 not offer any further disclosures as requested. Attached as **“Exhibit 4”** is a true  
27 and correct of this e-mail.

28

1           8.     On September 15, 2021, I wrote another letter to Judge Komar  
2 requesting adequate and complete disclosures of his paid work for the County  
3 and BBK. Attached as “**Exhibit 5**” is a true and correct copy of my letter.

4           9.     On September 16, 2021, Judge Komar issued an email request to  
5 JAMS to provide disclosures regarding his paid work for the County and BBK. A  
6 true and correct copy of the correspondence of September 16 and 17, 2021, is  
7 collectively attached as “**Exhibit 6.**”

8           10.    On September 17, 2021, JAMS informally produced by e-mail a  
9 disclosure regarding four JAMS engagements, three of which involve paid  
10 mediations with BBK in 2019 and 2021. A true and correct copy of this e-mail  
11 and the disclosure documents is attached collectively as “**Exhibit 7.**”

12          11.    On September 20, 2021, the Court formally disclosed these matters  
13 to all parties by serving through the case e-service provider. Attached as  
14 “**Exhibit 8**” is a true and correct copy of an e-mail from Complex Coordination  
15 Clerk Rowena Walker advising of this.

16           I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California  
17 that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021,  
18 at Hermosa Beach, California.

19  
20  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Michael D. McLachlan  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# **Exhibit 1**

## Rowena Walker

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 4, 2021 12:17 PM  
**To:** Rowena Walker  
**Subject:** Fw: ANTELOPE JCCP 4408  
**Attachments:** 2021-09-02 Judge's Declaration in Response to McLachlan Letter.doc

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender.

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**To:** mike@mclachlan-law.com <mike@mclachlan-law.com>  
**Cc:** Rowena Walker <rwalker@scscourt.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 4, 2021, 12:07:13 PM PDT  
**Subject:** ANTELOPE JCCP 4408

Rowena: This is the letter I would like sent to Mr. McLachlan with a signed copy of the declaration which I am sending to you by U.S. Mail

Dear Mr. McLachlan:

Thank you for your letter of August 31, 2021 with a unilateral request that I step down from the Antelope Valley Coordinated cases which was forwarded to me.

You ask that I voluntarily withdraw from the Antelope Valley Coordinated cases. Your letter sets forth a number of facts that are incorrect. I have set forth in declaration form under penalty of perjury a response to the circumstances referred to in your letter. And a signed copy of that declaration will be forwarded to you. I attach a copy for your information but will set forth certain of the correct facts for your immediate information.

First, the only work I perform for any lawyers or other parties is as a neutral mediator or arbitrator. I do not work for or with attorneys or law firms in any other capacity. Secondly, I do not enter into arrangements with lawyers or law firms or parties to perform mediations or arbitrations directly. I make myself available through JAMS to provide such services and law firms or lawyers or parties may seek my services through that forum. I do not make arrangements for payment and never know who is or is not providing the compensation which I then receive monthly from JAMS based solely on my submissions to JAMS for time expended.

Thirdly, I do not act as a consultant in any fashion for any law firms or lawyers or other parties. I specifically have no relationship with the County or City of Los Angeles or the Best, Best and Krieger law firm in any form (as suggested in your letter), or with any other of the participants in any mediation or arbitration in which I act as a neutral, whether former Antelope case lawyers, or otherwise.

I have made it practice to try to do nothing that would impair my ability to hear post judgment motions or other requests in the Antelope Valley case. All the things you cite in your letter would disqualify me if they were accurate. Simply, they are not accurate.

I can also assure all counsel, who should be apprised of your request and this response, that all motions and other relief sought are treated de novo without any predisposition to an outcome. That included any potential remands for further hearing, including the Woods matter.

A request that I seek reassignment of this case to another judicial officer is not one I take lightly but also one that other counsel should also be apprised of and should have an opportunity to be heard at least informally. Accordingly, I have asked the Superior Court to apprise all counsel of your request and to provide a copy of this letter and my attached declaration to them..

Very truly yours,

Judge Jack Komar (Ret)

.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
CASES**

Judicial Council Coordination  
Proceeding No. 4408

Included Consolidated Actions:

Lead Case No. BC 325 201

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201

**DECLARATION IN RESPONSE TO  
AUGUST 31, 2021 LETTER FROM  
MR. MICHAEL MCLACHLAN**

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
Superior Court of California, County of Kern,  
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

Judge: Honorable Jack Komar, Ret.

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster  
Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster  
Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.  
Superior Court of California, County of  
Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos.  
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District No. 40  
Superior Court of California, County of Los  
Angeles, Case No. BC 364 553

Richard A. Wood v. Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District No. 40  
Superior Court of California, County of Los  
Angeles, Case No. BC 391 869

1 **This Document Pertains to Add-One Case:**

2 Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., a California  
3 corporation v. Granite Construction Company  
4 Superior Court of California  
5 County of Los Angeles, Case No. MC026932

6 I, Jack Komar, declare:

7 In 2005, as an active Superior Court Judge since 1985, sitting in Santa Clara County, I  
8 was assigned to sit as coordination trial judge in the referenced case. I retired from active service  
9 at the end of October 2009 and was then requested to continue as the assigned judge in this case  
10 and currently retain that assignment as an assigned judge in the Los Angeles County Superior  
11 Court. Following additional phases of trial, a judgment was signed in 2015 and entered either at  
12 the end of 2015 or early 2016.

13 The judgment adopted and created a physical solution to the overdraft conditions in the  
14 jurisdictional boundaries established in the case and provided water right allocations to many of  
15 the parties and established processes for those without preexisting water rights..As the judgment  
16 created a watermaster with oversight responsibilities supervised by the court, the court retained  
17 jurisdiction in equity to enforce its terms in equity as required. Since the entry of judgment, I  
18 have heard multiple post trial motions and other requests for relief pursuant to the terms of the  
19 judgment.

20 Since my retirement from the court as an active judge, at the end of 2009, I have offered  
21 and provided services upon request as an independent arbitrator/mediator through Judicial  
22 Arbitration and Management Services (JAMS) located in San Jose, California. JAMS has  
23 arrangements with the parties and lawyers using their services and provides my compensation  
24 monthly for the services I provide on an hourly basis. I am not an owner or shareholder in JAMS  
25 and have no direct relationship with the organization other than as an independent contractor. I  
26 do not engage in any other business activities.



1 The only cases I accept are cases where the parties request my assistance as a neutral  
2 through JAMS. I do not negotiate fees or collect fees but leave it exclusively to JAMS to provide  
3 my remuneration for such services. Nor do I solicit cases.

4 The sole professional activity I engage in is as a neutral in either mediations, arbitrations,  
5 or occasionally on a CCP 638/639 judicial reference or as stipulated judge pro tempore. I do not  
6 provide consulting services for anybody; nor do I act as a representative or advocate for any  
7 party. I am not an owner of JAMS and do not hold shares of stock therein.

8 Some of the cases in which I have participated as a mediator have been for parties  
9 whose lawyers or law firms were counsel of record and appeared in the Antelope  
10 Coordination cases. Among those lawyers were the law firm of Best, Best and Kreiger and a  
11 large number of others as well, some of whom were on opposite sides of each other in Antelope.  
12 The case cited by Mr. McLachlan, the Las Posas Water case in Santa Barbara Superior Court, is  
13 one such case in which I was requested by various counsel through JAMS to act as a mediator.  
14 Some of the lawyers who represented various parties, public and private, were also counsel of  
15 record in the Antelope case. I have also mediated cases involving Los Angeles County and the  
16 Metropolitan Water District in the past. Los Angeles County was a party in Antelope. I also  
17 mediated a dispute between Los Angeles County and Inyo County involving water and  
18 contractual issues. Most of the cases I hear as mediator or arbitrator do not involve water issues.

19 The post judgment hearings on the Antelope case I have heard are before me as an  
20 assigned judge; JAMS is not involved in any way. Many, if not most, are not opposed requests  
21 for various orders under the “physical solution created in the judgment.. An example is  
22 an uncontested motion by the Antelope Watermaster to modify or amend the Watermaster rules  
23 which will be heard on September 20, 2021 by Court Call, for which I will receive no  
24 compensation for my services even though I sit as an assigned judge to hear the motion. The  
25 hearing will be conducted by Court Call. I am informed there is no opposition to the motion.

26 The bulk of the Appeals on the substantive issues in the Antelope Case have been  
27 affirmed on appeal and the Supreme Court has declined review. The case in which Mr.  
28 McLachlan has an interest was bifurcated for hearing on appeal and, as I am informed, relates to

1 his attorney fees and costs. I have not yet read the decision but am informed that the Court of  
2 Appeal for the Fifth District reversed in part and affirmed in part and remanded for further  
3 hearing on certain specified issues.

4 I have no bias against Mr. McLachlan or his client, respect his ability as a lawyer, and  
5 do not have a predisposition as to what should occur on remand. Mr. McLachlan is an able  
6 lawyer who has ably represented his client, the entire class, and has contributed greatly to the  
7 resolution of a very complicated case that has greatly benefitted the residents in the valley.

8 I have continued to hear post judgment matters in case without significant compensation  
9 for almost six years as I have seen it as a duty to complete and tie up loose ends to what was  
10 a very important and complex solution to a most difficult dispute affecting thousands of parties,  
11 including the state and federal governments, with which I was invested for many years as the  
12 trial judge, both active and retired. The Judicial Council does not compensate assigned judges  
13 for work performed outside the courtroom, including legal research and writing. Since 2016,  
14 almost all hearings have been by telephone and other than in courtroom work for which I have  
15 not been compensated which in effect is a donation of my time- which I am happy to contribute.  
16 Moving those hearing to another judge, who basically will have to start over, will impose  
17 burdens on both the court and the parties which have prompted me to retain the assignment after  
18 retirement. The case has always had a priority over any other duties I have as a retired judge or  
19 neutral in an arbitration or mediation.

20 It is suggested that I have a relationship with certain of the law firms and lawyers in the  
21 Antelope Case which has occurred since the judgment was entered. That suggestion is  
22 absolutely mistaken and untrue. It should also be clear that I do not have a relationship with  
23 either Los Angeles County or its lawyers, or any parties or lawyers in the Antelope case, or  
24 otherwise, or in any other case or situation. Also, I do not act as a consultant for lawyers, law  
25 firms, or individuals or corporations of any kind, law related or otherwise. My sole professional  
26 activities are as a “judicial neutral” as described above.

27 In my work as a neutral affiliated with JAMS, if lawyers pay JAMS for my services, I am  
28 not aware of who pays what and I have no interest, as a neutral, whether in mediations or

1 arbitrations, in knowing who is paying fees that might be paid to me. No such knowledge could  
2 ever influence me in any event to favor one side or the other or affect a decision I make as a  
3 judicial officer, active or retired- not now, or in the past, or ever.

4 I am familiar with the canons of judicial ethics and have never been accused of violating  
5 them or any other rules or canons as a judge or as a lawyer in the more than 55 years since being  
6 admitted to the bar. . The intimation that I might somehow be violation of the canons is both a  
7 surprise, very personally wounding, and based on inaccurate and mistaken beliefs.

8 I certify under denalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
9 foregoing is true and correct.

10 Dated: September 2, 2021

/s/ Jack Komar

11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Hon. Jack Komar (Ret.)  
13 Judge of the Superior Court

## **Exhibit 2**

McLACHLAN LAW

---

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
2447 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY, SUITE 100  
HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254  
PHONE 310-954-8270 FAX 310-954-8271  
E-MAIL mike@mclachlan-law.com

August 31, 2021

**VIA E-FILING**

Hon. Jack Komar (ret.)  
c/o Santa Clara County Superior Court  
Department D-17  
161 N. First Street  
San Jose, CA 95113

**Re: *Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation, JCCP 4408*  
*Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks Dist. No. 40***

Dear Judge Komar:

As you are aware, the Court of Appeal for the Fifth District recently issued its opinion in *Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks Dist. No. 40 et al.* (Case No. FO83138), reversing and remanding the matter to the trial court for further proceedings.

I write to address a matter informally that is of particular concern upon remand. Specifically, we are informed that you have acted as a paid neutral/consultant in at least one matter involving Best, Best & Krieger as a client and counsel for parties in that matter. (*Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition v. Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency et al.*, pending in Santa Barbara Superior Court (Case No. VENC100509700).) We do not know of the extent of your involvement with Los Angeles County or Best, Best & Krieger in other matters, but we request notification your past or present involvement in any other such legal proceedings where you were paid.

To date, we have not received any notice of paid engagements involving parties or opposing counsel involved in JCCP 4408. Upon remand in this case, we have serious concerns about having a sitting bench officer have been paid or being paid for services by our opposing counsel in this matter. While we greatly appreciate and respect your service in this matter, the potential for bias as well as the appearance of impropriety is not acceptable to us on remand.

Some of our concerns are reflected in the California Code of Judicial Ethics. Canon 4D provides:

**D. Financial Activities**

- (1) A judge shall not engage in financial and business dealings that

Hon. Jack Komar (ret.)

August 31, 2021

Page 2

(a) may reasonably be perceived to exploit the judge's judicial position, or

(b) involve the judge in frequent transactions or continuing business relationships with lawyers or other persons likely to appear before the court on which the judge serves.<sup>1</sup>

There are numerous other Canons implicated, or potentially implicated, including 4F (Service as Arbitrator or Mediator), 4H (Compensation, Reimbursement, and Honoria), among others.

In deference to your notable career, and for the sake of moving the *Wood* matter to closure without further delay, we would greatly prefer not to litigate this matter. However, if you feel a formal hearing is necessary, we will file a noticed motion. We feel the more prudent course is for you to request the Judicial Council to reassign JCCP 4408.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



Michael D. McLachlan

cc: Daniel M. O'Leary (*via electronic mail*)  
Chair, Judicial Council of California (*via U.S. Mail*)

---

<sup>1</sup> The Advisory Committee Commentary for Canon 4D(1) reads, in part:

Participation by a judge in financial and business dealings is subject to the general prohibitions in Canon 4A against activities that tend to reflect adversely on impartiality,\* demean the judicial office, or interfere with the proper performance of judicial duties. Such participation is also subject to the general prohibition in Canon 2 against activities involving impropriety\* or the appearance of impropriety\* and the prohibition in Canon 2B against the misuse of the prestige of judicial office.

In addition, a judge must maintain high standards of conduct in all of the judge's activities, as set forth in Canon 1.

## **Exhibit 3**

McLACHLAN LAW

---

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
2447 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY, SUITE 100  
HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254  
PHONE 310-954-8270 FAX 310-954-8271  
E-MAIL mike@mclachlan-law.com

September 8, 2021

**VIA E-FILING**

Hon. Jack Komar (ret.)  
c/o Santa Clara County Superior Court  
Department D-17  
161 N. First Street  
San Jose, CA 95113

**Re: *Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation, JCCP 4408*  
*Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks Dist. No. 40***

Dear Judge Komar:

I have received your signed declaration and e-mail through electronic service today. Thank you for your response and for providing the additional information, much of which is new information.

I will not further address the ethical concerns here but am compelled to request further information regarding your paid work for Los Angeles County (the "County") and its counsel in this matter. I was not aware that you have worked directly with the County as a mediator, as set forth on page three of your declaration.

As noted in the Court of Appeal for the Fifth District's opinion in *Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks Dist. No. 40 et al.* (Case No. F083138), Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is the only remaining defendant subject to further trial court proceedings on remand. Hopefully you can understand why a party might be concerned about a bench officer having been retained on multiple occasions to perform paid work for the opposing party and/or its counsel of record.

For the purposes of understanding the implications under C.C.P. section 170.1, we request a more complete disclosure regarding your work for the County and Best Best & Krieger. Your declaration is not clear exactly when and how many cases you have mediated with the County, so I ask that you identify specific matters and the dates of those mediations.



For the same reasons, we also ask that you identify any matters on which you are having or have had “discussions”<sup>1</sup> about work as a neutral for any County affiliated entity.<sup>2</sup>

This disclosure request is relevant to judicial disqualification under several provisions of Section 170.1, including subparts (a)(6)(A)(iii) and (a)(8)(A). Hence, in light of matters referenced in your declaration, a more detailed disclosure of relevant facts is necessary.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



Michael D. McLachlan

cc: Daniel M. O’Leary (*via electronic mail*)  
Chair, Judicial Council of California (*via U.S. Mail*)

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 170.1(a) (8)(B)(i) provides:

“Participating in discussions” or “has participated in discussion” means that the judge solicited or otherwise indicated an interest in accepting or negotiating possible employment or service as an alternative dispute resolution neutral, or responded to an unsolicited statement regarding, or an offer of, that employment or service by expressing an interest in that employment or service, making an inquiry regarding the employment or service, or encouraging the person making the statement or offer to provide additional information about that possible employment or service. If a judge’s response to an unsolicited statement regarding, a question about, or offer of, prospective employment or other compensated service as a dispute resolution neutral is limited to responding negatively, declining the offer, or declining to discuss that employment or service, that response does not constitute participating in discussions.

<sup>2</sup> Section 170.1(a) (8)(B)(ii) provides: “Party’ includes the parent, subsidiary, or other legal affiliate of any entity that is a party and is involved in the transaction, contract, or facts that gave rise to the issues subject to the proceeding.”

# **Exhibit 4**

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 9, 2021 9:57 AM  
**To:** Mike McLachlan  
**Cc:** Rowena Walker  
**Subject:** Antelope Cases

Mr. McLachlan:

Your letter of September 8, 2021 inaccurately states that I worked for Los Angeles County and its counsel. That is inaccurate and did not occur. Either I was not clear or you misread my earlier letter or declaration.

Again, all work as a neutral was with through JAMS, including mediating an action between Los Angeles County and Inyo County. I was not employed or retained by Los Angeles City or County or by any lawyer or law firm employed by either entity, including Best Best and Krieger. The one and only time I worked for any county was in 1966-69 as a deputy district attorney for Santa Clara County.

I have not worked directly with Los Angeles or any other county as a mediator. I am never hired by any party or law firm in a mediation or arbitration (or any other) setting and do not solicit such an ongoing relationship or otherwise. All of my work is exclusive through JAMS.

Whether sitting as an assigned judge in Antelope or acting as a neutral mediator or arbitrator through JAMS I am beholden to no one other than the law and truth. As I was through 24 years as a superior court judge and 15 years plus service in the Antelope Coordinated cases.

I am by copy of this e-mail requesting that the Superior Court add this to the website so that all counsel are apprised of this communication.

Very truly yours,

Judge Jack Komar (Ret)

# **Exhibit 5**

McLACHLAN LAW  

---

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
2447 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY, SUITE 100  
HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254  
PHONE 310-954-8270 FAX 310-954-8271  
E-MAIL mike@mclachlan-law.com

September 15, 2021

**VIA E-FILING**

Hon. Jack Komar (ret.)  
c/o Santa Clara County Superior Court  
Department D-17  
161 N. First Street  
San Jose, CA 95113

***Re: Antelope Valley Groundwater Litigation, JCCP 4408  
Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks Dist. No. 40***

Dear Judge Komar:

Thank you for your further e-mail of September 9, 2021.

I will start by emphasizing my career-long, committed belief that our profession requires substantial respect to sitting bench officers. With that said, although the import of the present circumstances requires me to press this issue, please take no disrespect to you, your office or your prior accomplishments.

I do not enjoy the position I am in here terribly, but at the present moment you control this situation. More specifically, I have requested specific information from you that is relevant to determine your mandatory disqualification pursuant to C.C.P. section 170.1. (I am again not revisiting in detail the ethical concerns set forth in my letter of August 31, 2021, but we believe those also present grounds for your recusal or disqualification (*see, e.g.*, § 170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii)).)

In my letter of September 8, 2021, I requested specific disclosures relevant to your dealings with the County of Los Angeles (“the County”) and its counsel as a private, paid neutral. It is clear from your declaration of September 2, 2021 that you have mediated with the County in recent years. In your e-mail of September 9, you appear to state the proposition that because you are employed by JAMS, and because JAMS was employed by the County in certain matters in recent years to provide alternate dispute resolution services, that you “not employed or retained by Los Angeles City or County or by any lawyer or law firm employed by either entity, including Best Best and Krieger.” (Judge Komar E-mail, September 9, 2021 (a copy of which is attached.))

You appear to be suggesting several things. First, your e-mail strongly implies that if the money the County pays for your services passes through a third party’s hands first, then all is well. Second, your email suggests that lawyers simply call an entity like JAMS and request them to assign a mediator “off the wheel.” In my nearly

26 years of practice, I have never seen that occur. The way this works in essentially every mediation scenario is that the parties identify a neutral and that approach his or her case manager. If your proposition were true, it would turn the ethical rules for judges on its head, would nullify several subsections of Section 170.1, and would if fact contradict a large portion of the pertinent case law.

In short, the fact that the check for your services may be written to JAMS is irrelevant here. There is absolutely no legal authority to support it, and the body of caselaw regarding neutral disclosures runs counter to this notion, as noted in some of the cases cited below).

You have also stated that you have no bias toward me (and presumably my co-counsel Mr. O’Leary), suggesting that is somehow germane to the inquiry at hand. That is incorrect and ignores the central premise that bench officers must stay clear of the appearance of impropriety.

*Code of Civil Procedure section 170.1* does not disqualify *only* those judges who have actual bias; it disqualifies judges in situations where the Legislature has presumed bias, or the appearance of possible bias, may exist. (See *Code Civ. Proc, § 170.1, subd . (a)(6)(A)(iii)* [disqualifying a judge if a "person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain a doubt that the judge would be able to be impartial"].)

(*Rossco Holdings Inc. v. Bank of America* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 1353, 1362.)

I do not believe you are properly assessing the matter at hand, so I am going to add further detail. I will start with the primary statutory framework:

The judge has a current arrangement concerning prospective employment or other compensated service as a dispute resolution neutral or is participating in, or, within the last two years has participated in, discussions regarding prospective employment or service as a dispute resolution neutral, **or has been engaged in that employment or service, and any of the following applies:**

(i) The arrangement is, or the prior employment or discussion was, with a party to the proceeding. . . .

(C.C.P. § 170.1(a)(8)(A) (emphasis added).)

Section 170.1(a)(6)(A)(iii) is clearly implicated here as well and may be more important: “A person aware of the facts might reasonably entertain doubt that the judge would be able to be impartial.” This “objective standard clearly indicates that

the decision on disqualification not be based on the judge's personal view of his own impartiality . . .” (*Jolie v. Superior Court* (2021) 66 Cal.App.5<sup>th</sup> 1025, 281 Cal.Rptr.3d 610, 619) “[A] judge faced with a potential ground for disqualification ought to consider how his participation in a given case look to the average person on the street.” (*United Farm Workers of America v. Superior Court* (1985) 170Cal.App.3d 97, 104.) “Ethical breaches by a privately compensated temporary judge serving as a public official are far more disquieting than similar violations by private arbitrators.” (*Jolie, infra*, at 626 (finding disqualification required under 170.1(a)(6) (A)(iii) based upon the retention of the judge on other matters by counsel for one of the parties).)

Properly addressing the question of disqualification requires full disclosure of the pertinent facts. The California Code of Judicial Ethics addresses the requirement for disclosures in this context as follows:

E. Disqualification and Disclosure

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which disqualification is required by law.

(2) In all trial court proceedings, a judge shall disclose on the record as follows:

(a) Information relevant to disqualification

A judge shall disclose information that is reasonably relevant to the question of disqualification under Code of Civil Procedure section 170.1, even if the judge believes there is no actual basis for disqualification.

(California Code of Judicial Ethics, Canon 3.E.)

In sum, you are required to disclose completely the information regarding your dealings with the County and Best Best & Krieger in your work as a neutral so that the assessment of disqualification can be made on a proper record. It is preferable to complete this process sooner rather than later since orders issued by a properly disqualified judge are void. (*Christie v. City of El Centro* (2006) 135 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 767, 776.) Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,



Michael D. McLachlan

cc: Chair, Judicial Council of California (via U.S. Mail)

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 9, 2021 9:57 AM  
**To:** Mike McLachlan  
**Cc:** Rowena Walker  
**Subject:** Antelope Cases

**Categories:** Evidence

Mr. McLachlan:

Your letter of September 8, 2021 inaccurately states that I worked for Los Angeles County and its counsel. That is inaccurate and did not occur. Either I was not clear or you misread my earlier letter or declaration.

Again, all work as a neutral was with through JAMS, including mediating an action between Los Angeles County and Inyo County. I was not employed or retained by Los Angeles City or County or by any lawyer or law firm employed by either entity, including Best Best and Krieger. The one and only time I worked for any county was in 1966-69 as a deputy district attorney for Santa Clara County.

I have not worked directly with Los Angeles or any other county as a mediator. I am never hired by any party or law firm in a mediation or arbitration (or any other) setting and do not solicit such an ongoing relationship or otherwise. All of my work is exclusive through JAMS.

Whether sitting as an assigned judge in Antelope or acting as a neutral mediator or arbitrator through JAMS I am beholden to no one other than the law and truth. As I was through 24 years as a superior court judge and 15 years plus service in the Antelope Coordinated cases.

I am by copy of this e-mail requesting that the Superior Court add this to the website so that all counsel are apprised of this communication.

Very truly yours,

Judge Jack Komar (Ret)



# **Exhibit 6**

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Mike McLachlan  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2021 10:15 AM  
**To:** Jack Komar; jcare@jamsadr.com  
**Cc:** Dan O'Leary; Rowena Walker; Wendy Wang; Jeff Dunn  
**Subject:** RE: Request

Your honor,

I concur, the City of LA is a separate entity so the Inyo matter would not seem to be a disclosure of relevance to the Wood class. I was out yesterday afternoon and will be engaged most of today, but will review the other matters with Dan O'Leary later today or Monday.

In one of the emails with JAMS yesterday I saw your reference to the Supreme Court's Judicial Ethics Advisory Committee. I know nothing about that or how it works but I would guess you do given your years on the bench. If you feel that makes sense here, then I see no reason for you not to consult them. This is a very unusual and circumstance for sure.

There were several emails yesterday on this subject exchanged between the court, JAMS and me. I understand is the only sensible way to handle this given that you do not have a regular chambers and full-time staff. To the extent Ms. Walker is not able to post/serve the emails, I will make sure to go through and have my office post any that are missed so we do not have any ex parte communications. That may not occur until this weekend or Monday.

For current purposes, I'm adding Jeff Dunn and Wendy Wang to this email, since they are counsel for the only remaining party who is directly adverse to the Wood Class. I'm not readily finding Eric Garner's email in my contacts so he is omitted.

**Mike McLachlan**

McLachlan Law, APC  
2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100  
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
Office: 310-954-8270  
Fax: 310-954-8271

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 10:27 PM  
**To:** jcare@jamsadr.com; Mike McLachlan <mike@mclachlan-law.com>  
**Cc:** Dan O'Leary <dan@danolearylaw.com>; Rowena Walker <rwalker@scscourt.org>  
**Subject:** Re: Request

As it seems, after a search by JAMS of its records, it was not L.A. County but rather the city that was involved in the mediation, I have not been able to recall any other mediations or arbitrations, for that matter, in which L.A. County was a party with the exception of the Antelope Coordinated Cases.

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 5:16 PM  
**To:** Rowena Walker  
**Cc:** Mike McLachlan  
**Subject:** Service Lists for Cases involving some Antelope Lawyers  
**Attachments:** ServiceListbyRep - 1110026664.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1110024055.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1110023845.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1220043690.pdf

Rowena: I think it appropriate that the attached service lists be downloaded to the Antelope Case website. Do you agree?  
These are cases I have handled through JAMS,

----- Forwarded Message -----

These are service lists compiled by JAMS.

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Josephine Care <jcare@jamsadr.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 4:48 PM  
**To:** Mike McLachlan; Jack Komar  
**Cc:** Dan O'Leary  
**Subject:** RE: Request  
**Attachments:** ServiceListbyRep - 1110026664.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1110024055.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1110023845.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1220043690.pdf

Attached are the service lists for:

Steinbeck Vineyards # 1, LLC, et al. vs County of San Luis Obispo, et al. - 1110026664  
Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition, et al. vs Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency, et al. – 1110024055  
Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board, et al. – 1110023845  
City of Los Angeles, et al. vs Board of Supervisors of the County of Inyo - 1220043690

---

**From:** Mike McLachlan <mike@mclachlan-law.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 3:46 PM  
**To:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>; Josephine Care <jcare@jamsadr.com>  
**Cc:** Dan O'Leary <dan@danolearylaw.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Request

Just to be clear, it was matters involving the County of Los Angeles or Best Best and Krieger, not the County and BBK.

I do not see the LA County v. Inyo County matter here. Thanks,

Mike McLachlan  
McLachlan Law, APC  
2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100  
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
310-954-8270  
[www.mclachlan-law.com](http://www.mclachlan-law.com)

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 3:35 PM  
**To:** [jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)  
**Cc:** Mike McLachlan  
**Subject:** RE: Request

Yes. If there are others I will search my brain but I do not have written records of closed mediation cases. Thank you.

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Thu, Sep 16, 2021 at 3:00 PM, Josephine Care <[jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)> wrote:

Hello,

Judge Komar is correct. These three cases pop up in our system:

2021, Mediation, Steinbeck Vineyards # 1, LLC, et al. vs County of San Luis Obispo, et al. - 1110026664

2019, Mediation, Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition, et al. vs Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency, et al. – 1110024055

2019, Mediation, Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board, et al. – 1110023845

Judge let me know if you'd like me to release the service list to him on these three cases.

Josie



**Josephine Care**  
Assistant Manager

**JAMS - Local Solutions. Global Reach.™**

160 W. Santa Clara Street | Suite #1600 | San Jose, CA 96113

P: 408.346.0737

[www.jamsadr.com](http://www.jamsadr.com)

Follow us on [LinkedIn](#), [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#).

**Our Moderators Make Remote and Hybrid Sessions Seamless**

Your case management team includes a JAMS Virtual ADR Moderator. [Learn more about our moderators.](#)

---

**From:** Jack Komar <[jvkomar@sbcglobal.net](mailto:jvkomar@sbcglobal.net)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 1:44 PM  
**To:** Josephine Care <[jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)>  
**Cc:** [mike@mclachlan-law.com](mailto:mike@mclachlan-law.com)  
**Subject:** Fw: Request

Josie:

I don't know if its possible to compile the cases (I think they were all mediations) I have had since 2010 with JAMS that involve the County of Los Angeles (I know one was County of L.A. v. Inyo County) or the Best, Best and Kreiger law firm (Attorneys Jeffry Dunn and Vera Wang and Eric Garner. Other names coming to mind are Los Pasos and the Steinbeck Winery Case. That I think is what Mr. McLachlan has reference to. I know you would not have any listings for Antelope Valley or related cases since those were by assignment and not through JAMS. If you can do a keyword search just using L.A. County (including its subdivisions), Best, Best and Kreiger, Jeffry Dunn, Ms. Wang, and Mr. Garner, it will be a good start and may suffice.

Thanks

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Mike McLachlan <[mike@mclachlan-law.com](mailto:mike@mclachlan-law.com)>  
**To:** Josephine Care <[jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)>; Jack Komar <[jvkomar@sbcglobal.net](mailto:jvkomar@sbcglobal.net)>  
**Cc:** Rowena Walker <[rwalker@scscourt.org](mailto:rwalker@scscourt.org)>; [dan@danolearylaw.com](mailto:dan@danolearylaw.com) <[dan@danolearylaw.com](mailto:dan@danolearylaw.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021, 01:06:58 PM PDT  
**Subject:** RE: Request

Ms. Care,

I will take a stab at this. The case name, as a consolidated JCCP Proceeding, is "Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases." I attach the caption that has generally been used by the Court. My case is the Wood case at the bottom.

Although the cases were consolidated, generating a full disclosure would be very time consuming I think. Here is a list of the primary parties if you want to see why that would be quite an endeavor and one I would not pursue:

<http://www.avwatermaster.org/cases/parties/partylist.jsp?caseId=19>

I am fine with a disclosure report that deals just with Los Angeles County-affiliated entities and departments and the firm Best, Best & Krieger. If JAMS for some reason feels compelled to be more complete on a case-wide basis, then my suggestion is to limit it to my case, Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks et al. That had 9 or 10 defendants and 4-5 counsel. But again, not necessary for our purposes as all but LA County have settled out of the case going forward.

**Mike McLachlan**

McLachlan Law, APC

2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100

Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Office: 310-954-8270

Fax: 310-954-8271

---

**From:** Josephine Care <[jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)>

**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 12:46 PM

**To:** Mike McLachlan <[mike@mclachlan-law.com](mailto:mike@mclachlan-law.com)>; Jack Komar <[jvkomar@sbcglobal.net](mailto:jvkomar@sbcglobal.net)>

**Cc:** Rowena Walker <[rwalker@scscourt.org](mailto:rwalker@scscourt.org)>

**Subject:** RE: Request

Good afternoon,

Could I get a case name and all parties and attorneys and then I can run a disclosure report for you?

Josie



**Josephine Care**  
Assistant Manager

**JAMS - Local Solutions. Global Reach.™**

160 W. Santa Clara Street | Suite #1600 | San Jose, CA 96113

P: 408.346.0737

[www.jamsadr.com](http://www.jamsadr.com)

Follow us on [LinkedIn](#), [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#).

**Our Moderators Make Remote and Hybrid Sessions Seamless**

*Your case management team includes a JAMS Virtual ADR Moderator. [Learn more about our moderators.](#)*

---

**From:** Mike McLachlan <[mike@mclachlan-law.com](mailto:mike@mclachlan-law.com)>

**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 11:44 AM

**To:** Jack Komar <[jvkomar@sbcglobal.net](mailto:jvkomar@sbcglobal.net)>; Josephine Care <[jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)>

**Cc:** Rowena Walker <[rwalker@scscourt.org](mailto:rwalker@scscourt.org)>

**Subject:** RE: Request

Thank you Your Honor. As a small point of clarification, that request should include any Department within the County of Los Angeles, e.g. LA County Department of Public Works, LA Dept of Water and Power, etc. (This arises from the definition of "Party" in C.C.P. 170.1(8)(B)(ii).)

Ms. Care, this clarification is not an instruction by me to you per se, as the below request comes at the instruction of Judge Komar, at my request. I am not intending to countermand his authority in this regard.

**Mike McLachlan**



McLachlan Law, APC

2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100

Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Office: 310-954-8270

Fax: 310-954-8271

---

**From:** Jack Komar <[jvkomar@sbcglobal.net](mailto:jvkomar@sbcglobal.net)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 11:20 AM  
**To:** Josephine Care <[jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)>  
**Cc:** Mike McLachlan <[mike@mclachlan-law.com](mailto:mike@mclachlan-law.com)>; Rowena Walker <[rwalker@scscourt.org](mailto:rwalker@scscourt.org)>  
**Subject:** Request

Josie: An attorney in the Antelope Case seeks my JAMS Case history with regard to both the County of Los Angeles and the law firm of Best, Best and Kreiger. Specifically, he requests to know of all cases in which I have acted as a mediator and JAMS has been compensated by either Los Angeles County or the Law Firm, or both, which resulted in fees to me.. This would include all mediations and any arbitrations, with the name of the case, the dates of service, etc., Can you provide that to me with a copy to him ; in any event I will pass it on to him and post it on the Antelope Valley Case website..

I am copying him on this e-mail.

Thanks.

# **Exhibit 7**

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Josephine Care <jcare@jamsadr.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 4:48 PM  
**To:** Mike McLachlan; Jack Komar  
**Cc:** Dan O'Leary  
**Subject:** RE: Request  
**Attachments:** ServiceListbyRep - 1110026664.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1110024055.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1110023845.pdf; ServiceListbyRep - 1220043690.pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Attached are the service lists for:

Steinbeck Vineyards # 1, LLC, et al. vs County of San Luis Obispo, et al. - 1110026664  
Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition, et al. vs Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency, et al. – 1110024055  
Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board, et al. – 1110023845  
City of Los Angeles, et al. vs Board of Supervisors of the County of Inyo - 1220043690

---

**From:** Mike McLachlan <mike@mclachlan-law.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 3:46 PM  
**To:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>; Josephine Care <jcare@jamsadr.com>  
**Cc:** Dan O'Leary <dan@danolearylaw.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Request

Just to be clear, it was matters involving the County of Los Angeles or Best Best and Krieger, not the County and BBK.

I do not see the LA County v. Inyo County matter here. Thanks,

Mike McLachlan  
McLachlan Law, APC  
2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100  
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254  
310-954-8270  
[www.mclachlan-law.com](http://www.mclachlan-law.com)

---

**From:** Jack Komar <jvkomar@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 3:35 PM  
**To:** [jcare@jamsadr.com](mailto:jcare@jamsadr.com)  
**Cc:** Mike McLachlan  
**Subject:** RE: Request

Yes. If there are others I will search my brain but I do not have written records of closed mediation cases. Thank you.

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

## SERVICE LIST

**Case Name:** Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition, et al. vs. Fox Canyon Groundwater      **Hear Type:**      Mediation  
**Reference #:**      1110024055      **Case Type:**      Energy  
**Panelist:**      Komar, Jack ,

### **David Aladjem**

Downey Brand LLP

David Aladjem    Plaintiff  
621 Capitol Mall                                      Phone: 916-444-1000  
18th Floor    Fax: 916-444-2100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
daladjem@downeybrand.com  
Assistant's Emails: cgermain@downeybrand.com

#### **Party Represented:**

Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
Grimes Rock Inc.  
Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition  
Placco Inc.  
Rolling Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
SCS Partners  
Saticoy Properties, LLC  
Thermic Mutual Water Co. LTD

### **Meghan Marie Baker**

Downey Brand LLP

Meghan Marie Baker                                      Plaintiff  
621 Capitol Mall                                      Phone: 916-444-1000  
18th Floor    Fax: 916-444-2100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
mbaker@downeybrand.com  
Assistant's Emails: cpiazza@downeybrand.com

#### **Party Represented:**

Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
Grimes Rock Inc.  
Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition  
Placco Inc.  
Rolling Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
SCS Partners  
Saticoy Properties, LLC  
Thermic Mutual Water Co. LTD

### **Samuel Bivins**

Downey Brand LLP

Samuel Bivins    Plaintiff  
621 Capitol Mall    Phone: 916-444-1000  
18th Floor    Fax: 916-444-2100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
sbivins@downeybrand.com

#### **Party Represented:**

Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
Grimes Rock Inc.  
Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition  
Placco Inc.  
Rolling Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
SCS Partners  
Saticoy Properties, LLC  
Thermic Mutual Water Co. LTD

### **Albert Boada**

Ventura County Counsel

Albert Boada    Defendant  
County Government Center                          Phone: 805-654-2950  
800 S. Victoria Ave.                                      Fax: 805-654-2185  
Ventura, CA 93009  
alberto.boada@ventura.org

#### **Party Represented:**

Fox Canyon Groundwater Management  
Authority

### **Michael B. Brown**

Stoel Rives, LLP

Michael B. Brown    Party  
500 Capitol Mall    Phone: 916-447-0700  
Suite 1600    Fax: 916-447-4781  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
michael.brown@stoel.com

#### **Party Represented:**

Fox Canyon Groundwater Management  
Authority  
Jeffery Pratt  
Kimball R. Loeb  
Peter T. Quinlan

**Peter L. Candy**

Hollister &amp; Brace

Peter L. Candy Defendant  
1126 Santa Barbara St. Phone: 805-963-6711  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Fax: 805-965-0329  
pcandy@hbsb.com  
Assistant's Emails: cecilia@hbsb.com

**Party Represented:**

Fuller Falls Mutual Water Company

**Edward J. Casey**

Alston &amp; Bird, LLP

Edward J. Casey Party  
333 S. Hope St. Phone: 213-576-1000  
16th Floor Fax: 213-576-1100  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1410  
ed.casey@alston.com  
Assistant's Emails: Yolie.Ramos@alston.com

**Party Represented:**

Butler Ranch Mutual Water Co.  
Hypericum Companies  
Lloyd-Butler Mutual Water Company  
National Cement Company of California, Inc.

**Jeffrey V. Dunn**

Best Best &amp; Krieger LLP

Jeffrey V. Dunn Party  
18101 Von Karman Ave Phone: 949-263-2600  
Suite 1000 Fax: 949-260-0972  
Irvine, CA 92614  
jeffrey.dunn@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: Kerry.Keefe@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**

Calleguas Municipal Water District

**Theodore J. England**

Ferguson Case Orr Paterson LLP

Theodore J. England Defendant  
1050 S. Kimball Road Phone: 805-659-6800  
Ventura, CA 93004 Fax: 805-659-6818  
tengland@fcoplaw.com

**Party Represented:**

Sunshine Ranch, LLC

**Elizabeth P. Ewens**

Stoel Rives, LLP

Elizabeth P. Ewens Party  
500 Capitol Mall Phone: 916-447-0700  
Suite 1600 Fax: 916-447-4781  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com  
Assistant's Emails: sheila.brown@stoel.com

**Party Represented:**

Fox Canyon Groundwater Management  
Authority  
Jeffery Pratt  
Kimball R. Loeb  
Peter T. Quinlan

**Bruce A. Finck**

Benton Orr Duval, et al.

Bruce A. Finck Party  
39 N. California St. Phone: 805-648-5111  
Ventura, CA 93001-2620 Fax: 805-648-7218  
bfinck@bentonorr.com

**Party Represented:**

Fox Canyon Groundwater Management  
Authority

**Andrew D. Foley**

Atkinson Andelson Loya et al.

Andrew D. Foley Party  
2151 River Plaza Drive Phone: 916-923-1200  
Suite 300 Fax: 916-923-1222  
Sacramento, CA 95833-4130  
andrew.foley@aalrr.com  
Assistant's Emails: ebarnett@aalrr.com

**Eric L. Garner**

Best Best &amp; Krieger LLP

Eric L. Garner Party  
300 S. Grand Ave Phone: 213-787-2561  
25th Floor Fax: 213-617-7480  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Eric.Garner@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: teresa.stephens@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**

Calleguas Municipal Water District

**Peter A. Goldenring**

Goldenring &amp; Prosser

Peter A. Goldenring                      Plaintiff  
6050 Seahawk St.                      Phone: 805-642-6702  
Ventura, CA 93003-6622              Fax: 805-642-3145  
peter@gopro-law.com

Assistant's Emails: sally@gopro-law.com;  
samhira@gopro-law.com

**Party Represented:**

Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
Grimes Rock Inc.  
Las Posas Valley Water Rights Coalition  
Placco Inc.  
Rolling Green Hills Ranch, LLC  
SCS Partners  
Saticoy Properties, LLC  
Thermic Mutual Water Co. LTD

**Steven R. Hagemann**

Ventura Legacy Group, APC

Steven R. Hagemann                      Defendant  
1823 Knoll Drive                      Phone: 805-941-3500  
Ventura, CA 93003  
steve@venturalegacygroup.com

**Party Represented:**

Bard Ranch, GP  
Hawley Ranch, LP  
Lauren A. Borchard  
Leslie K. Borchard  
Meredith C. Horton  
Michael E. Culbert  
Sharlee C. Carnes  
Waters Ranches, LLC

**Dean W. Hazard**

Arnold LaRochelle Mathews et al.

Dean W. Hazard                      Defendant  
300 Esplanade Dr.                      Phone: 805-988-9886  
Suite 2100                      Fax: 805-988-1937  
Oxnard, CA 93036  
dhazard@atozlaw.com

**Party Represented:**

Allstar Growth Fund, Inc.  
Jeannie O'Donnell  
Rancho Canada Water Company

**Sean Herman**

Hanson Bridgett LLP

Sean Herman                      Defendant  
425 Market St.                      Phone: 415-777-3200  
26th Floor                      Fax: 415-541-9366  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
sherman@hansonbridgett.com

**Party Represented:**

Ventura County  
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1  
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 19

**Matthew Kline**

O'Melveny &amp; Myers LLP

Matthew Kline                      Co-Counsel  
1999 Avenue of the Stars              Phone: 310-553-6700  
8th Floor                      Fax: 310-246-6779  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035  
mkline@omm.com

**Party Represented:**

Ann Broome Priske Trust  
Elizabeth Broome Trust  
Kirschbaum, LLC  
Lemon 500, LLC  
Mittag Farms  
Mittag Ranches

**Janelle Krattiger**

Stoel Rives, LLP

Janelle Krattiger                      Party  
500 Capitol Mall                      Phone: 916-447-0700  
Suite 1600                      Fax: 916-447-4781  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
janelle.krattiger@stoel.com  
Assistant's Emails: dawn.forgeur@stoel.com

**Robert Kuhs**

Kuhs &amp; Parker

Robert Kuhs                      Party  
1200 Truxtun Ave., Suite 200              Phone: 661-322-4004  
P.O. Box 2205                      Fax: 661-322-2906  
Bakersfield, CA 93303-2205  
rgkuhs@kuhsparkerlaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: lluna@kuhsparkerlaw.com;  
vhanners@kuhsparkerlaw.com

**Party Represented:**

Berkshire Investments LLC  
Berylwood Ranch, LLC  
Broadway Road Moorpark, LLC  
Santa Clara Avenue Oxnard, LP  
Santa Elena Farms, LLC

**Robert N. Kwong**

Arnold LaRoche Matthew, et al.

Robert N. Kwong 300 Esplande Dr. Suite 2100 Oxnard, CA 93036 rk Wong@atozlaw.com	Defendant Phone: 805-988-9886
--	----------------------------------

**Party Represented:**  
Allstar Growth Fund, Inc.  
Jeannie O'Donnell  
Rancho Canada Water Company

**Neal P. Maguire**

Ferguson Case Orr Paterson LLP

Neal P. Maguire 1050 S. Kimball Road Ventura, CA 93004 nmauire@fcoplaw.com Assistant's Emails: tmercado@fcoplaw.com	Defendant Phone: 805-659-6800 Fax: 805-659-6818
---	---

**Party Represented:**  
Alton L. Jones  
Diana L. Cusumano  
Donlon Ranch Somis  
Epworth Water Group  
Gary M. Cusumano  
Georgia A. Mahan  
Jane Donlon Waters  
Kathleen M. Stevens  
Kirschbaum, LLC  
Las Nietas, LLC  
Leon Scott Stevens  
Mahan Development Corporation  
Mahan Ranch  
Marcia L. Donlon  
McGonigle Ranch  
Oro Del Norte, LLC  
RBV - Vanoni  
RBV 2+5, LLC  
Ralph D. Mahan  
Stevens Ranch  
Sunshine Ranch, LLC  
Urban-D Ranch Limited Partnership  
VNB Water System

**Karen V. Marble**

Ventura County Counsel

Karen V. Marble County Government Center 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura, CA 93009 karen.marble@ventura.org	Defendant Phone: 805-654-2950 Fax: 805-654-2185
--	---

**Party Represented:**  
Fox Canyon Groundwater Management  
Authority

**Russell McGlothlin**

O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Russell McGlothlin 1999 Avenue of the Stars 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035 rmcglothlin@omm.com Assistant's Emails: cgreenfield@omm.com	Co-Counsel Phone: 310-553-6700 Fax: 310-246-6779
---	--

**Party Represented:**  
Ann Broome Priske Trust  
Carolyn Howarth  
Claire Catherine Milligan  
Dos Amigos Trust  
Elizabeth Broome Trust  
Farmland Reserve, Inc.  
Grether Family Trust  
JG Leavens, LLC  
Jim Summers  
John J. Pomatto Trust  
John R. Milligan Trust  
John S. Broome, Jr. Trust  
Julia Summers  
Kimberly Jeanne Milligan  
Leavens Ranches, LLC  
Lucy Milligan Walh  
Mittag Farms  
Mittag Ranches  
Nicole K. Bavo  
Palmyre Lucie Lent  
Richard H. Jones Limited Partnership  
Seacoast Farms, LLC  
Sunshine Agriculture, Inc.  
Susan C. Bavo  
Wesley J. Pomatto Trust

**Nathan A. Metcalf**

Hanson Bridgett LLP

Nathan A. Metcalf Defendant  
425 Market St. Phone: 415-777-3200  
26th Floor Fax: 415-541-9366  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
nmetcalf@hansonbridgett.com

**Party Represented:**  
Ventura County  
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1  
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 19

**Tim E. Metzinger**

Price, Postel & Parma LLP

Tim E. Metzinger Defendant  
200 E. Carrillo St. Phone: 805-962-0011  
Suite 400 Fax: 805-965-3978  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
tem@ppplaw.com

**Party Represented:**  
Berylwood Heights Mutual Water Company  
Del Norte Water Company  
Zone Mutual Water Company

**Wesley A. Miliband**

Atkinson Andelson Loya et al.

Wesley A. Miliband Party  
2151 River Plaza Drive Phone: 916-923-1200  
Suite 300 Fax: 916-923-1222  
Sacramento, CA 95833-4130  
wes.miliband@aalrr.com  
Assistant's Emails: ebarnett@aalrr.com

**Party Represented:**  
Mesa Union School District

**Attorney Miscellaneous**

Miscellaneous Attorney

Attorney Miscellaneous Non-Party  
18881 Von Karman Ave. Fax:  
Suite 350  
Irvine, CA 92612  
E-mail Declined

**Clynton W. Namuo**

Alston & Bird, LLP

Clynton W. Namuo Party  
333 S. Hope St. Phone: 213-576-1000  
16th Floor Fax: 213-576-1100  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1410  
clynton.namuo@alston.com

**Party Represented:**  
Butler Ranch Mutual Water Co.  
Hypericum Companies  
Lloyd-Butler Mutual Water Company  
National Cement Company of California, Inc.

**Meredith E. Nikkel**

Downey Brand LLP

Meredith E. Nikkel Plaintiff  
621 Capitol Mall Phone: 916-444-1000  
18th Floor Fax: 916-444-2100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
mnikkel@downeybrand.com

**Craig A. Parton**

Price, Postel & Parma LLP

Craig A. Parton Defendant  
200 E. Carrillo St. Phone: 805-962-0011  
Suite 400 Fax: 805-965-3978  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
cap@ppplaw.com

**Party Represented:**  
Berylwood Heights Mutual Water Company  
Del Norte Water Company  
Zone Mutual Water Company

**Gregory J. Patterson**

Musick, Peeler & Garrett, LLP

Gregory J. Patterson Party  
2801 Townsgate Rd. Phone: 805-418-3100  
Suite 200 Fax: 805-418-3101  
Westlake Village, CA 91361  
g.patterson@musickpeeler.com

**Party Represented:**  
Crestview Mutual Water Company



**Christopher M. Pisano**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Christopher M. Pisano Party  
300 S. Grand Ave. Phone: 213-617-8100  
Suite 2500 Fax: 213-617-7480  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
christopher.pisano@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: Joy.Oates@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**  
Calleguas Municipal Water District

**Robert J. Saperstein**

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck

Robert J. Saperstein Party  
1021 Anacapa St. Phone: 805-963-7000  
Fl. 2 Fax: 805-965-4333  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
rsaperstein@bhfs.com  
Assistant's Emails: ckmalone@bhfs.com

**Party Represented:**  
Lemon 500, LLC  
Wonderful Citrus Packing, LLC

**Thomas G. Thornton**

Hollister & Brace

Thomas G. Thornton Defendant  
1126 Santa Barbara St. Phone: 805-963-6711  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Fax: 805-965-0329  
tgthornton@hbsb.com

**Party Represented:**  
Fuller Falls Mutual Water Company

**Michael J. Van Zandt**

Hanson Bridgett LLP

Michael J. Van Zandt Defendant  
425 Market St. Phone: 415-777-3200  
26th Floor Fax: 415-541-9366  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
mvanzandt@hansonbridgett.com

**Party Represented:**  
Ventura County  
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 1  
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 19

**Wendy Wang**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Wendy Wang Party  
300 S. Grand Ave. Phone: 213-617-8100  
Suite 2500 Fax: 213-617-7480  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
wendy.wang@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: maya.mouawad@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**  
Calleguas Municipal Water District

**Heather A Welles**

O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Heather A Welles Co-Counsel  
400 S. Hope St. Phone: 213-430-6000  
18th Floor Fax: 213-430-6407  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899  
hwelles@omm.com

**Party Represented:**  
Ann Broome Priske Trust  
Elizabeth Broome Trust  
Kirschbaum, LLC  
Lemon 500, LLC  
Mittag Farms  
Mittag Ranches



**Sarah C. Foley**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Sarah C. Foley  
2001 N. Main St.  
Ste. 390  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
sarah.foley@bbklaw.com

Respondent  
Phone: 925-977-3300  
Fax: 925-977-1870

**Party Represented:**  
City of San Buenaventura

**Noah M. Golden-Krasner**

Deputy Attorney General

Noah M. Golden-Krasner  
300 S. Spring St.  
Suite 1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov

Party  
Phone: 213-897-2614  
Fax: 213-897-2810

**Party Represented:**  
California Department of Fish & Wildlife

**Christopher R. Guillen**

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck

Christopher R. Guillen  
2049 Century Park East  
Suite 3550  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3007  
cguillen@bhfs.com

Cross Defendant  
Phone: 310-500-4600  
Fax: 310-500-4602

**Party Represented:**  
Wood-Claeysens Foundation

**Shawn D. Hagerty**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Shawn D. Hagerty  
655 W. Broadway  
15th Floor  
San Diego, CA 92101-3301  
Shawn.Hagerty@bbklaw.com

Respondent  
Phone: 619-525-1300  
Fax: 619-233-6118

**Party Represented:**  
City of San Buenaventura

**Brad J. Herrema**

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck

Brad J. Herrema  
2049 Century Park East  
Suite 3550  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3007  
bherrema@bhfs.com

Cross Defendant  
Phone: 310-500-4600  
Fax: 310-500-4602

**Party Represented:**  
Wood-Claeysens Foundation

**Eric M. Katz**

Department of Justice

Eric M. Katz  
300 S. Spring St.  
Suite 1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
eric.katz@doj.ca.gov

Party  
Phone: 213-897-2639  
Fax: 213-897-2802

**Party Represented:**  
California Department of Fish & Wildlife

**Robert N. Kwong**

Arnold LaRochelle Matthew, et al.

Robert N. Kwong  
300 Esplande Dr.  
Suite 2100  
Oxnard, CA 93036  
rkwong@atozlaw.com

Cross Defendant  
Phone: 805-988-9886

**Party Represented:**  
Casitas Municipal Water District

**Neal P. Maguire**

Ferguson Case Orr Paterson LLP

Neal P. Maguire  
1050 S. Kimball Road  
Ventura, CA 93004  
nmaguire@fcoplaw.com

Cross Defendant  
Phone: 805-659-6800  
Fax: 805-659-6818

**Party Represented:**  
Rancho Matilija Mutual Water Company



## SERVICE LIST

**Case Name:** Steinbeck Vineyards # 1, LLC, et al. vs. County of San Luis Obispo, et al.      **Hear Type:** Mediation  
**Reference #:** 1110026664      **Case Type:** Business/Commercial  
**Panelist:** Komar, Jack ,

### **Ryan S. Bezerra**

Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan

Ryan S. Bezerra      Defendant  
1011 22nd St.      Phone: (916) 446-4254  
Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-4907  
rsb@bkslawfirm.com

**Party Represented:**

Templeton Community Services District

### **Barbara A. Brenner**

Churchwell White LLP

Barbara A. Brenner      Defendant  
1414 K Street      Phone: (916) 468-0950  
3rd Floor      Fax: (916) 468-0951  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
barbara@churchwellwhite.com

**Party Represented:**

San Miguel Community Services District

### **Jessica L. Diaz**

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck

Jessica L. Diaz      Defendant  
1021 Anacapa St.      Phone: 805-963-7000  
Fl. 2      Fax: 805-965-4333  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
jdiaz@bhfs.com

**Party Represented:**

Atascadero Mutual Water Company

### **Jeffrey V. Dunn**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Jeffrey V. Dunn      Defendant  
18101 Von Karman Ave      Phone: 949-263-2600  
Suite 1000      Fax: 949-260-0972  
Irvine, CA 92614  
jeffrey.dunn@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: Kerry.Keefe@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**

City of Paso Robles

### **Elizabeth P. Ewens**

Stoel Rives, LLP

Elizabeth P. Ewens      Defendant  
500 Capitol Mall      Phone: 916-447-0700  
Suite 1600      Fax: 916-447-4781  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com  
Assistant's Emails: sheila.brown@stoel.com

**Party Represented:**

County of San Luis Obispo  
San Luis Obispo County Flood Control & Water  
Conservation Ds

### **Eric L. Garner**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Eric L. Garner      Defendant  
300 S. Grand Ave      Phone: 213-787-2561  
25th Floor      Fax: 213-617-7480  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Eric.Garner@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: teresa.stephens@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**

City of Paso Robles

### **Andrew J. Ramos**

Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan

Andrew J. Ramos      Defendant  
1011 22nd St.      Phone: (916) 446-4254  
Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95816-4907  
ajr@bkslawfirm.com

**Party Represented:**

Templeton Community Services District

---

**Robert J. Saperstein**

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck

Robert J. Saperstein                      Defendant  
1021 Anacapa St.                      Phone: 805-963-7000  
Fl. 2                      Fax: 805-965-4333  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
rsaperstein@bhfs.com  
Assistant's Emails: ckmalone@bhfs.com

**Party Represented:**  
Atascadero Mutual Water Company

**Wendy Wang**

Best Best & Krieger LLP

Wendy Wang                      Defendant  
300 S. Grand Ave.                      Phone: 213-617-8100  
Suite 2500                      Fax: 213-617-7480  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
wendy.wang@bbklaw.com  
Assistant's Emails: maya.mouawad@bbklaw.com

**Party Represented:**  
City of Paso Robles

**Richard G. Zimmer**

Zimmer & Melton, LLP

Richard G. Zimmer                      Plaintiff  
11601 Bolthouse Dr.                      Phone: 661-463-6700  
Suite 100                      Fax: 661-501-4221  
Bakersfield, CA 93311  
rzimmer@zimmermelton.com  
Assistant's Emails: dseibert@zimmermelton.com

**Party Represented:**  
Steinbeck Vineyards #1, LLC

**William T. Zimmer**

Zimmer & Melton, LLP

William T. Zimmer                      Plaintiff  
11601 Bolthouse Dr.                      Phone: 661-463-6700  
Suite 100                      Fax: 661-501-4221  
Bakersfield, CA 93311  
wzimmer@zimmermelton.com

**Party Represented:**  
Steinbeck Vineyards #1, LLC

## SERVICE LIST

**Case Name:** City of Los Angeles, et al. vs. Board of Supervisors of the County of Inyo      **Hear Type:** Arbitration  
**Reference #:** 1220043690      **Case Type:** Business/Commercial  
**Panelist:** Komar, Jack ,

### Joseph A. Brajevich

City of Los Angeles/DWP

Joseph A. Brajevich  
111 N. Hope Street  
Suite 340  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
joseph.brajevich@ladwp.com

Plaintiff  
Phone: 213-367-4500  
Fax: 213-367-4588

**Party Represented:**

City of Los Angeles  
Department of Water & Power

### Richard M. Brown

Department of Water and Power

Richard M. Brown  
111 N. Hope St.  
Suite 340  
Los Angeles, CA 90051-0100  
richard.brown@ladwp.com

Plaintiff  
Phone: 213-367-4500  
Fax: 213-367-4544

**Party Represented:**

City of Los Angeles  
Department of Water & Power

### David J. Edwards

Department of Water and Power

David J. Edwards  
111 N. Hope St.  
Suite 340  
Los Angeles, CA 90051-0100  
david.edwards@ladwp.com

Plaintiff  
Phone: 213-367-4496  
Fax: 213-367-4588

Assistant's Emails:

selena.westmoreland@ladwp.com

**Party Represented:**

City of Los Angeles  
Department of Water & Power

### S. David Hotchkiss

S. David Hotchkiss  
1400 N. Roosevelt Ave.  
Pasadena, CA 91104  
david.hotchkiss@mac.com

Non-Party  
Phone: 626-484-4279

### Gregory L. James

County of Inyo

Gregory L. James  
712 Owens Gorge Road  
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546  
gregjames@earthlink.net

Defendant  
Fax:

**Party Represented:**

County of Inyo

### Randy Keller

County Counsel - County of Inyo

Randy Keller  
224 N. Edwards St.  
P.O. Box M  
Independence, CA 93526  
rkeller@inyocounty.us

Defendant  
Phone: 760-878-2241  
Fax: 760-878-2241

**Party Represented:**

County of Inyo

### Philip McDowell

Philip McDowell  
420 N Mountain View Rd  
Bishop, CA 93514  
cjbishop@aol.com

Neutral Mediator  
Fax:

### Julie C. Riley

Los Angeles Department of Water & Power

Julie C. Riley  
PO Box 111  
111 N. Hope St., Room 340  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
julie.riley@ladwp.com

Plaintiff  
Phone: 213-367-4513

Assistant's Emails: Lillian.Catena@ladwp.com

**Party Represented:**

City of Los Angeles  
Department of Water & Power

---

**Carmen A. Trutanich**

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office

Carmen A. Trutanich  
200 N. Main St.  
9th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4110  
CTrutanich@lacity.org

Plaintiff  
Phone: 213-473-6858  
Fax: 213-473-6818

**Party Represented:**

City of Los Angeles  
Department of Water & Power



# **Exhibit 8**

## Mike McLachlan

---

**From:** Rowena Walker <RWalker@scscourt.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 20, 2021 3:48 PM  
**To:** jvkomar@sbcglobal.net  
**Cc:** Mike McLachlan  
**Subject:** RE: Service Lists for Cases involving some Antelope Lawyers

All four documents have now been posted to the Antelope Valley website. Thank you.

---

**Rowena Walker | Complex Coordinator**  
Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara  
191 N. First Street | San Jose, California 95113  
p: 408-882-2286 | e: [rwalker@scscourt.org](mailto:rwalker@scscourt.org)  
Pronouns: she/her/hers

---

**From:** Jack Komar [mailto:jvkomar@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2021 5:16 PM  
**To:** Rowena Walker <RWalker@scscourt.org>  
**Cc:** mike@mclachlan-law.com  
**Subject:** Service Lists for Cases involving some Antelope Lawyers

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender.**

Rowena: I think it appropriate that the attached service lists be downloaded to the Antelope Case website. Do you agree?  
These are cases I have handled through JAMS,

----- Forwarded Message -----

These are service lists compiled by JAMS.

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over  
3 the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action. My business address is  
4 2447 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100, Hermosa Beach, California 90254. My  
electronic notification address is katelyn@mclachlan-law.com.

5 On September 29, 2021, I caused service in the manner indicated below of  
6 the foregoing document(s) described as **STATEMENT OF  
7 DISQUALIFICATION PURSUANT TO C.C.P. § 170.3; DECLARATION  
8 OF MICHAEL D. MCLACHLAN** to be served on all parties in this matter as  
9 follows:

10 (X) (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Per court order requiring service and filing  
11 by electronic means, this document was served by electronic service to the  
12 by posting to Glotrans via the watermaster service page, including  
13 electronic filing with the Los Angeles Superior Court.

14 (X) (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I served a true and correct copy by Federal  
15 Express or other overnight delivery service, for delivery on the next  
16 business day. Each copy was enclosed in an envelope or package designed  
17 by the express service carrier; deposited in a facility regularly maintained  
18 by the express service carrier or delivered to a courier or driver authorized  
19 to receive documents on its behalf; with delivery fees paid or provided for;  
20 addressed as follows:

21 Hon Jack Komar (ret.)  
22 JAMS  
23 160 W. Santa Clara Street, Suite 1600  
24 San Jose, CA 95113

25 Hon Jack Komar (ret.)  
26 c/o Rowena Walker, Complex Coordinator  
27 Santa Clara Superior Court  
28 191 N. First Street  
San Jose, CA 95113

(X) (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of  
California that the above is true and correct.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Katelyn Furman  
Katelyn Furman