1 2 3	William M. Smiland (SBN 41928) Theodore A. Chester, Jr. (SBN 105405) Mary C. Alden (SBN 100023) SMILAND CHESTER ALDEN LLP 140 South Lake Avenue. Suite 274 Pasadena, California 91101		
4	Telephone: (213) 891-1010		
5 6 7	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants, Landinv, Inc.; Bruce Burrows; 300 A 40 H, LLC; Little Rock The George and Charlene Lane Family Trust; The Frank and Yvonne Lane 1993 Family Trust	t;	l, Inc.;
7	Monte Vista Building Sites, Inc., and A.V. Mat		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANG	GELES
10			
11	Coordination Proceeding Special Title) Judicial Cour	ncil Coordination No. 4408
12	(Rule 1550 (b)))) Santa Clara C	Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER) [Assigned to]	Hon. Jack Komar]
14	CASES Included Actions:)) SUPPLEME	NTAL CASE
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Co.		IENT CONFERENCE
16	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201;)))	
17 18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Date:Time:Dept.:	October 7, 2015 9:00 a.m. Court Call Only
19	No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of) Trial Date:	September 28, 2015
20	Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254 348 NFT;)))))))))))))))))))	September 20, 2013
21	Diamond Farming Co. vs. City of Lancaster)	
22	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Lead Case No. RIC 344 436;)	
23	[Consolidated with:)	
24	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. vs. City of)	
25	Lancaster, Case No. RIC 344 840; and Diamond Farming Co. vs. Palmdale Water)	
26	Dist. , Case No. RIC 344 668];)	
27	CAPTION CONINUED ON NEXT PAGE))	
28)	
)	

Willis vs. Los Angeles County Waterworks) District No. 40; Superior Court of California,) County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 364 553;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 391 869

Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., The George and Charlene Lane Family Trust, The Frank and Yvonne Lane 1993 Family Trust, Monte Vista Building Sites, Inc., and A.V. Materials, Inc. (the "Lane Family") file this Supplemental Case Management Conference Statement to confirm that the issues concerning the Lane Family and Granite Construction Company, two settling parties, remain "reserved for further discussions after the ruling on the Final Approval Hearing," in accordance with the Court's January 7, 2015 Minute Order. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a copy of the Lane Family's December 31, 2014 Supplemental Case Management Statement describing the issues. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a copy of the Court's January 7, 2015 Minute Order. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a copy of the Court's August 7, 2015 Minute Order stating that "final approval" will not be made until after the "global settlement is adjudicated."

Dated: October 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

SMILAND CHESTER ALDEN LLP

By <u>/s/</u> Theodore A. Chester, Jr. Theodore A. Chester, Jr.

EXHIBIT A

•

•			
1	SMILAND CHESTER LLP		
2	Theodore A. Chester, Jr. (SBN 105405) 601 West Fifth Street, Suite 1100		
3	Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 891-1010		
4	Facsimile: (213) 891-1414		
5	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants Landiny, Inc.; Bruce Burrows; 300 A 40 H, LLC	C:	
6	Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.; The George and Charlene Lane Family Trust;	- ,	
7	The Frank and Yvonne Lane 1993 Family Trust	; riala Inc	
8	Monte Vista Building Sites, Inc., and A.V. Mate	ais, IIIC.	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF	CALIFORNIA
	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELE	S
10	Coordination Proceeding Special Title	Judicial Con	ncil Coordination No. 4408
11	(Rule 1550 (p))		Hon. Jack Komar; Dept 17]
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Santa Clara	Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053
13	CASES		
14	Included CONSOLIDATED Actions:	MANAGEN	IN JOINT CASE MENT CONFERENCE
15		CASE MAN	NT AND SUPPLEMENTAL AGEMENT STATEMENT OF
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 vs. Diamond Farming Company	THE LANE	FAMILY
17	Los Angeles Superior Court Case No.	Date:	January 7, 2015
18	BC325201	Time: Dept.:	10:00 a.m. Court-Call Only
19	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	Dept	Court-Can Only
20	40 vs. Diamond Farming Company Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-2		
21	CV-254348 NFT		
21	Diamond Farming Company vs. City of		
	Lancaster Riverside County Superior Court) Lead Case No. RIC 344436 [Consolidated w/		
23	Case Nos. 344668 & 353840]		
24	Willis v. Los Angeles County Waterworks		
25	District No. 40; Los Angeles Superior Court		
26	Case No. BC 364553		
27	Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks		
28	District No. 40; Los Angeles Superior Court) Case No. BC 391869		
		I	
		1	
	CASE MANAGEM	ÆNT STATEME	NT

•

٠

Joinder

Landiny, Inc., Bruce Burrows, 300 A 40 H, LLC, Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc.,
the George and Charlene Lane Family Trust, the Frank and Yvonne Lane 1993 Family Trust,
Monte Vista Building Sites, Inc., and A.V. Materials, Inc. hereby join in the Joint Case
Management Conference Statement filed December 31, 2014 by the Stipulating Parties.
Supplemental Statement by the Lane Family

The Frank and Yvonne Lane 1993 Family Trust, Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., and
 Monte Vista Building Sites, Inc. (the "Lane Family") and Granite Construction Company
 ("Granite") are parties to this lawsuit. The Lane Family and Granite have participated in the
 settlement discussions referred to in the Stipulating Parties' Joint Case Management Statement.

11 The Lahe Family owns about 240 acres within the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication. 12 and since 1987 has leased that property to Granite, where Granite has conducted quarrying 13 operations. The current term of the lease expires in April 2021. The lease provides that during 14 its term Granite has the right as tenant to use "such water rights as [the Lane Family] has to ... 15 underground water located ... under the leased premises." Since about 1987, Granite has 16 produced groundwater from wells located on the leased property and used that water on the 17 leased property in connection with Granite's quarrying operations on the leased property. 18 Granite purchased other property adjacent to the leased property in 2008. However, the Lane 19 Family understands that to date Granite has not conducted quarrying operations on such other 20 property.

There exists a dispute between the Lane Family and Granite, and no other parties, with respect to title to water rights associated with the leased property that would be adjudicated in this case. The Lane Family would seek title to the adjudicated rights as land owner (the water rights would remain subject to Granite's use for the term of the lease). The Lane Family understands that Granite seeks separate conflicting title in its own name. The Lane Family has made a number of attempts to resolve this two-party dispute with Granite, but, to date, those attempts have failed.

28

1

The Lane Family is prepared to stipulate to entry of the proposed judgment that has been
negotiated by and among the settling parties. By doing so the Lane Family would be settling
with all other Stipulating Parties, provided, however, that the issue of title to water rights
allocated under the proposed judgment as between the Lane Family and Granite would remain
undecided. The Lane Family would seek to have this remaining two-party dispute decided by
the Court or by an alternate approach, including mediation.

7 The Court's November 4, 2014 Case Management Order sets forth a schedule for
8 determining disputed matters, and the Lane Family would ask that its two-party dispute with
9 Granite be included therein.

The principals of Granite and the Lane Family met during the week of December 15th in
 an attempt to settle this matter. They are scheduled to meet again in advance of the January 7,
 2015 case management conference. The Lane Family's counsel will report to the Court after
 such meeting.

14		
15	Dated: December 31, 2014	Respectfully submitted
16		SMILAND CHESTER LLP
17		By /s/ Theodore A Charten In
18		By <u>/s/ Theodore A. Chester, Jr.</u> Theodore A. Chester, Jr.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3
	C.	ASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
4	I, Felicia Herbstreith am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I
5	am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 140 South
6	Lake Avenue, Suite 274, Pasadena, California 91101.
7	On December 31, 2014, I served the foregoing document described as: CASE
8	MANAGEMENT STATEMENT OF LITTLE ROCK SAND AND GRAVEL, INC.; THE
9	FRANK AND YVONNE LANE 1993 FAMILY TRUST; AND MONTE VISTA
10 11	BUILDING SITES, INC. on the interested parties in this action by posting the document listed
11	above to the Santa Clara County Superior website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater
12	Adjudication matter, pursuant to the Electronic Filing and Service Standing Order of Judge
14	Komar.
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above
16	is true and correct.
17	Executed on December 31, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.
18	
19	<u>/s/ Felicia Herbstreith</u> Felicia Herbstreith
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
20	
	4 CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

EXHIBIT B

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	
ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
Included Actions:	
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.	
Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California,	
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201	
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.	For Court's Use Only:
Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California,	Santa Clara County Case No.
County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	1-05-CV-049053 (for E-Posting/E-Service
Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster	Purposes Only)
Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster	
Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.	
Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos.	
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
Willis v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District	
No. 40, Superior Court of California, County of Los	
Angeles, Case No. BC 364 553	
Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District	
No. 40, Super or Court of California, County of Los	
Angeles, Case No. BC 391869	
Wood v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et al., Superior Court	
of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC	
509546	
Wood v. County of Los Angeles, Superior Court of	
California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BS 143790 [ADD-ON PETITION IS PENDING]	
Date/Time: Wednesday, January 7, 2015	10:00 a.m.
Location: [CourtCall]	Superior Court of California County of Santa Clara
Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408)	1
Ancelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4400)	

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201 January 7, 2015 (10:00am) / Hon. Jack Komar

F:\komar\antelope Valley\2015-01-07 MO.doc

Present:	Hon. Jack Komar, Judge
	, Reporter

MINUTE ORDER / TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE TO DISCUSS

- Demand by Charles Tapia and the Nellie Tapia Family Trust for Inclusion in Settlement Discussions, filed on December 16, 2014
- Demand by the Willis Class for Inclusion in Settlement Negotiations, filed December 22, 2014
- Demand by Juanita Eyherabide and the Eyherabide Sheep Company for Inclusion in Settlement Negotiations, filed December 23, 2014
- Case Management Conference

An informal teleconference call was held by the Court, off the record, with counsel to discuss the various "demands" noted above.

Attorney McLachlan raised an issue regarding the briefing schedule noted in the November 4, 2014 Case Management Order (attached for reference), specifically, the possibility of being unable to meet the January 15, 2015 deadline to file the Stipulation(s) for Entry of Judgment by the Stipulating Parties. Should any party be unable to timely file its stipulation, the Court will address the issue at the next Case Management Conference, set for **January 22**, **2015 at 10am in Department 12** of the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, 191 N. 1st Street, San Jose, California.

There remains an outstanding issue between two parties, namely the Lane Family (represented by Attorney Theodore A. Chester, Jr.) and Granite Construction Company (represented by Attorney Robert Kuhs), which the Court reserved for further discussion after the ruling on the Final Approval Hearing of the Wood Class Settlement.

PARTIES/ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Blum, Sheldon	Goldsmith, Janet	McLachlan, Michael	Ukkestad, John
Brumfield, Robert	Graham, Justin	Miliband, Wesley	Wang, Wendy
Bunn, Thomas	Holmes, Kyle	Ramos, Andrew	Weeks, Bradley
Casey, Edward	Hughes, Joseph	Reed, Chad	Wellen, Warren
Chester, Theodore	Joyce, Bob	Rose, Lori	Wilson, Walter
Coldren, Robert	Kalfayan, Ralph	Rusinek, Walter	Wood, Richard
Davis, Michael	Kuhs, Robert	S.Renwick, Edward	Worth, James
Dunn, Jeffrey	Kuney, Scott	Sanders, Christopher	Zimmer, Richard
Evertz, Douglas	Leininger, R.Lee	Skahan, Patrick	Zolezzi, Jeanne
Fife, Michael	Lemieux, Keith	Sloan, William	
Golden-Krasner, Noah	McElhaney, Leland	Tootle, John	

REPORTER:

Not reported.

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201 January 7, 2015 (10:00am) / Hon. Jack Komar

F:\komar\antelope Valley\2015-01-07 MO.doc

EVENT CALEN January 22, 20 Location: 191 San Jose, CA (12)	N. 1 st Street,	10:00am	Blum MSJ; Motion to Sub Plaintiff to Willis Class; Request by Willis Class to Dismiss Answer of the Leslie Property; Hearing on Proposed Statement of Decision on PPH Trial on Causes of Action 2 and 6; CMC
February 6, 20	15	9:00am	Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Wood Class Settlement
June 1, 2015		9:00am	Final Fairness Hearing (Wood Class Settlement)
MATTERS OF COURT:	E CALENDAR A	ND CONTINU	ED UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE
April 4, 201 4	9am	contii Right 2014	orte Application by the United States to nue briefing schedule on the Federal Reserve Claim (currently: closing brief due April 2, ; opposition brief due April 22, 2014; reply due 5/1/14; stands submitted as of 5/1/14.
			rte Application by the Public Water Suppliers tend Date to Respond to Phase 6 Discovery
April 7, 2014 Dept 41, Roon Los Angeles	9am 1 417, 4 th Fl.	(1) M (2) M Evide Presc Motio Neces Elemo	A's Motions, including, but not limited to: otion for Judgment on the Pleadings; otion in Limine for an Order Establishing the ntiary Standard for Notice for Proof of ription by the Public Water Purveyors; (3) n In Limine for an Order Establishing the ssity of the Public Water Purveyors Proving the ents of Prescription as to Each Landowner; and otion for Order Setting Matter for Jury Trial
		Joind Entiti	ers to the above motion by the Bolthouse es
		reser	inued) Trial, Phase 5 (return flows, federal ve rights); Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.'s n for Non-Suit on the Federal Reserve Right
August 4, 201 Old Dept 1, Lo		n Trial,	Phase 6 (prescription + remaining issues)
Dept 41, Room Los Angeles August 4, 201	1 417, 4 th Fl. 4 9am	Ex Pa to Ex Order (1) M (2) M Evide Presc Motio Neces Elema (4) M Joinda Entitia (Cont reser Motio Claim	A's Motions, including, but not limited to: A's Motions, including, but not limited to: otion for Judgment on the Pleadings; otion in Limine for an Order Establishing the ntiary Standard for Notice for Proof of ription by the Public Water Purveyors; (3) n in Limine for an Order Establishing the ssity of the Public Water Purveyors Proving the ents of Prescription as to Each Landowner; an otion for Order Setting Matter for Jury Trial ers to the above motion by the Bolthouse es clinued) Trial, Phase 5 (return flows, federal ve rights); Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.'s n for Non-Suit on the Federal Reserve Right

3

Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201 January 7, 2015 (10:00am) / Hon. Jack Komar

F:\komar\antelope Valley\2015-01-07 MO.doc

۰.

,ã

EXHIBIT C

	SUPER		RCOURTO	F CALIF	URN.	IA, COU		of LUS A	NGELES	
DATE: 08/0	3/15								DEPT. 222	
HONORABLE C	Jack Kom	ar		л	JDGE	J.M. G	URNEE		DEPUTY CLERK	
HONORABLE				JUDGE PRO	TEM			EL	ECTRONIC RECORDIN	NG MONITOR
				Deputy S	iheriff	V. RODI	RIGUEZ	#12215	Reporter	
10:00 am	JCCP440 Coordin Title R ANTELOF	8 at: ule E V	*NO ion Proceed e (1550(b)) VALLEY ER CASES TO JUDGE J CLARA COUN	COURT F: ling Spec	CLE*	Plaintiff Counsel Defendant Counsel	MICHA RALPH LYNNE DANIE DOUGL	EL MCLACH KALFAYAN BRENNAN L O'LEARY AS EVERT2	HLAN (X) V (X) (X) (X) Z (X) Z (X)	
	*ASSIGN IN SAN	ED TA	TO JUDGE J CLARA COUN	ACK KOMI TY (8/3:	AR L/05		THEOD	ORE CHEST TOPHER SP	TER JR (X) INDERS (X)	
			PROCEEDINGS:					<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
	APPEARA	NCI	ES (CONT) I	N COURT	:					
	ON COUR CARLOS WALTER JOSEPH W. KEII ANDREW	UNI UBC REI REI REI I UBC I DI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	EY (X) OIS (X) NWICK (X) HS (X) ELHANEY (X) ICK (X) EN-KRAZNER AN (X) LUM (X) NN (X) NN (X) EEKS (X) ALL: BRIZ (X) SINEK (X) GHES (X) LEMIEUX, JF MOS (X) LOAN (X) PM	ε (X)) ()	
	FINAL F		RNESS HEARI	ING SMAL	L PU	MPER/WO	OD CLA	SS		
	The Ord Officia	ler	Appointing Reporter Pr	g Court ro Tempo	Appr re i	oved Re s signe	porter d and	as filed		
			E	Page 1	of	3	DEPT.	222	MINUTES EN 08/03/15 COUNTY CLE	

Ì

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DATE: 08/03	/15					DEPT. 222
HONORABLE J	ack Koma	r JUDGE	J.M.	GURNEE		DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE		JUDGE PRO TEM			E	LECTRONIC RECORDING MONITO
		Deputy Sheriff	V. RO	DRIGUEZ	#12215	Řeporter-
10:00 am	Coordina Title Ru ANTELOPE GROUNDWA *ASSIGUE IN SANT	tion Proceeding Special le (1550(b)) VALLEY TER CASES D TO JUDGE JACK KOMAR A CLARA COUNTY (8/31/09	Counsel Defendant Counsel	RALPH LYNNE DANIE DOUGL OLAF THEOD		N (X) (X) Y (X) Z (X)
	NATURE OI	PROCEEDINGS:				
	this dat	e.				
		er is called for hearing				
	by any u Wood Cla	t makes a finding that member to the allocation iss but there may be an fillis Class who is also an amount that would qua- llass.	of th object o a lan	e amoun ion by d owner	c in the a member who has	
	Prove up	is held.				
	Witnesse and test	s Mark Wildermuth and J ify.	Richard	Wood a	re aworn	
1	Counsel	argue Motions in Limin	e as fo	llows:		
	Willis (1 Re: E)	lass' Notice of Motion clusion of Expert Repo	and Mo rt of T	tion in im Thom	Limine i pson	No
	2 Re: 01	lass' Notice of Motion Sinion Testimony on Rea Froundwater by the Smal	sonable	and Be	nericial	No
	Court re	eserves ruling until th	e witne	ss test	ifies.	
	Timothy	Thompson is sworn and	testifi	es.		
	Exhibts into ev:	are marked for identif	ication	and ad	mitted	
		Page 2 of	3	DEPT.	222	MINUTES ENTERED 08/03/15 COUNTY CLERK
	Maria di Karataria				allen i seorit	

ł

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DATE: 08/0	3/15								DEPT. 222
HONORABLE	•	ma	r		JUDGE	J.M.	GURNEE		DEPUTY CLERK
HONORABLE				JUDGE	PRO TEM			E	LECTRONIC RECORDING MONITOR
				De	puty Sheriff	V. R	DRIGUEZ	#12215	Reporter
10:00 am	Coordi Title ANTELO GROUND *ASSIG IN SA	nau E E WAE T	tion Proc le (1550 (1 VALLEY TER CASES D TO JUDG A CLARA C PROCEEDING	b)) E JACK K OUNTY (8	pecial	Counsel Defendan: Counsel	RALPH LYNNE DANIE DOUGL OLAF THEOD	EL MCLAC KALFAYA BRENNAN L O'LEAR AS EVERT LANDSGAA ORE CHES TOPHER S	N (X) (X) Y (X) Z (X)
	RW1 2 RW2 I RW3 W and fo		OLUME TEC IVIDUAL G D DOCUMEN identific RICULUM V	HNICAL F RANT DEF TS ation or	D ly as	follo	¥8:		
	After Limine overru		rther arg Motions d.	ument, t in Limir	he cou ne are	rt ru denied	les on M 1, Objec	otions i tions ar	n e
	No fin settle	al me	approval nt needs	is made to be ad	e at th ljudica	is tin ted.	ne, as g	lobal	
	Denart	me S	in reces nt 222 fo ettlement nt.	r Hearir	na Re:I	ncons:	istencie	s of	
				Page	3 of	3	DEPT.	222	MINUTES ENTERED 08/03/15 COUNTY CLERK

PROOF OF SERVIC

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, Lynda Ann Hachem, am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 140 South Lake Avenue, Suite 274, Pasadena, California 91101.

On October 6, 2015, I served the foregoing document described as: SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT on the interested parties in this action by posting the document listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication matter, pursuant to the Electronic Filing and Service Standing Order of Judge Komar.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 6, 2015, at Pasadena, California.

<u>/s/ Lynda Ann Hachem</u> Lynda Ann Hachem